## Operator means and comparison of their norms: general theory and examples

九大·数理 幸崎 秀樹 Hideki Kosaki (Kyushu University)

The classical Heinz inequality ([4]) states

(1) 
$$||H^{\theta}XK^{1-\theta} + H^{1-\theta}XK^{\theta}|| \le ||HX + XK|| \quad (0 \le \theta \le 1)$$

for Hilbert space operators H, K, X with  $H, K \ge 0$ . This inequality remains valid for an arbitrary unitarily invariant norm  $|||\cdot|||$ , and the special case  $\theta = 1/2$  of this generalized version is the "arithmetic-geometric mean" inequality obtained in [1]:

$$|||H^{1/2}XK^{1/2}||| \le \frac{1}{2}|||HX + XK|||.$$

In recent years such operator (and/or matrix) means and comparison of their norms are under active investigation (see [2, 5, 6, 8, 10] for instance). We will briefly explain the general apparatus (obtained in [7]) to deal with such problems. More details as well as a more complete list of references can be found in my survey article in "Sugaku" to be published shortly (or in [7]).

## 1. OPERATOR (MATRIX) MEANS

In this article a scalar (symmetric homogeneous) mean will mean a continuous function M(s,t) on on  $[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)$  satisfying

- (a) M(s,t) = M(t,s),
- (b)  $M(\alpha s, \alpha t) = \alpha M(s, t)$  for  $\alpha \ge 0$ ,
- (c) M(s,t) increasing in each variable,
- (d)  $\min\{s, t\} \le M(s, t) \le \max\{s, t\}$ .

The set of all such means will be denoted by M. Typical examples are

$$(st)^{1/2}, \quad (s-t)/(\log s - \log t) \ \left( = \int_0^1 s^x t^{1-x} dx \right),$$
 
$$\frac{s+t}{2}, \quad \frac{s^{\theta} t^{1-\theta} + s^{1-\theta} t^{\theta}}{2} \ (\text{with } 0 \le \theta \le 1).$$

To each  $M(s,t) \in \mathfrak{M}$  a corresponding operator mean (denoted by M(H,K)X) will be associated.

To get more intuition on the subject matter, we begin with the matrix case  $(H, K, X \in M_n(\mathbf{C}))$  and  $H, K \geq 0$ . At first we diagonalize H, K:

$$H = U \operatorname{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) U^*, \quad K = V \operatorname{diag}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) V^*$$

with unitary matrices U, V. For each  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$  we define

$$M(H,K)X = U\Big(\Big[M(s_i,t_j)\Big]_{i,j=1,2,\cdots,n} \circ (U^*XV)\Big)V^*$$

with the Schur product  $\circ$ . If M(s,t) is of the form  $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} f_k(s)g_k(t)$ , we simply have

$$M(H,K)X = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} f_k(H)Xg_k(K).$$

Let us consider the projections  $P_i = UE_{ii}U^*, Q_j = VE_{jj}V^*$ . Then,  $H = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i P_i$ ,  $K = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j Q_j$  are the spectral decompositions of H, K, and we observe that the above matrix mean M(H, K)X can be also expressed as

$$M(H,K)X = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} M(s_i, t_j) P_i X Q_j.$$

We now move to the general (operator) case. Let H, K be positive operators with the spectral decompositions

$$H = \int_0^{\|H\|} s \, dE_s, \quad K = \int_0^{\|K\|} t \, dF_t.$$

The above expression involving  $\sum_{ij}$  suggests that an operator mean M(H,K)X should be something like

$$M(H,K)(X) = \int_0^{\|H\|} \!\! \int_0^{\|K\|} M(s,t) \, dE_s X dF_t$$

(at least formally). Of course the meaning of this double integral has to be justified, however fortunately the well-developed theory of Stieltjes double integral transformations (see the recent survey article [3]) is at our disposal. Also the problem on multipliers has to be taken care of.

## 2. STIELTJES DOUBLE INTEGRAL TRANSFORMATIONS

Given a function  $\phi(s,t) \in L^{\infty}([0,\|H\|] \times [0,\|K\|]; \lambda \times \mu)$  (with  $\lambda \sim dE_s$  and  $\mu \sim dF_t$  in the absolute continuity sense), we would like to make a sense out of

(2) 
$$\Phi(X) = \int_0^{\|H\|} \int_0^{\|K\|} \phi(s,t) dE_s X dF_t \ (\in B(\mathcal{H})) \text{ for } X \in B(\mathcal{H}).$$

We begin with the case  $X \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ , the Hilbert-Schmidt operators. For Borel subsets

$$\Lambda \subseteq [0, ||H||], \quad \Xi \subseteq [0, ||K||]$$

 $\pi_{\ell}(E_{\Lambda}), \pi_{r}(F_{\Xi})$  are commuting projections acting on  $\mathcal{C}_{2}(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\pi_{\ell}(\cdot), \pi_{r}(\cdot)$  mean the left and right multiplications acting on  $\mathcal{C}_{2}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let us consider the correspondence

$$\Lambda \times \Xi \subseteq [0, ||H||] \times [0, ||K||] \longrightarrow \pi_{\ell}(E_{\Lambda})\pi_{r}(F_{\Xi}) \in B(\mathcal{C}_{2}(\mathcal{H}))_{proj},$$

which can be easily extended to a spectral family. Therefore, we can perform functional calculus relative to this spectral family. Thus, we have

$$\Phi(\cdot) = \int_0^{\|H\|} \int_0^{\|K\|} \phi(s,t) \, d(\pi_{\ell}(E_{\cdot})\pi_r(F_{\cdot})) \in B(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})),$$

and  $\Phi(X)$  ( $\in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ ) makes a perfect sense. The right side of (2) should be understood in this way (for  $X \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ ).

The discussion so far is indeed the starting point of the theory of Stieltjes double transformations by Birmann-Solomjak. For each practical purpose the definition domain of  $\Phi(\cdot)$  should be enlarged as much as possible (to  $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})$ , etc. depending upon available regularity assumption). Various important applications to many subjects (such as perturbation theory, Volterra operators, Hankel operators and so on) are known.

**Definition.**  $\phi(s,t)$  is called a Schur multiplier (more precisely,  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -Schur multiplier relative to (H,K)) when  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$ .

Theorem (V.V. Peller's characterization, [9])

For  $\phi \in L^{\infty}([0, ||H||] \times [0, ||K||]; \lambda \times \mu)$  the following conditions are all equivalent:

- (i)  $\phi$  is a Schur multiplier (relative to (H, K));
- (ii) whenever a measurable function  $k: [0, ||H||] \times [0, ||K||] \to \mathbb{C}$  is the kernel of a trace class operator  $L^2([0, ||H||]; \lambda) \to L^2([0, ||K||]; \mu)$ , so is the product  $\phi(s,t)k(s,t)$ ;
- (iii) one can find a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  and functions  $\alpha \in L^{\infty}([0, ||H||] \times \Omega; \lambda \times \sigma)$ ,  $\beta \in L^{\infty}([0, ||K||] \times \Omega; \mu \times \sigma)$  satisfying

(3) 
$$\phi(s,t) = \int_{\Omega} \alpha(s,x)\beta(t,x)d\sigma(x);$$

(iv) one can find a measure space  $(\Omega, \sigma)$  and measurable functions  $\alpha, \beta$  on  $[0, ||H||] \times \Omega$ ,  $[0, ||K||] \times \Omega$  respectively satisfying (3) and

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} |\alpha(\cdot, x)|^2 d\sigma(x) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\lambda)} \left\| \int_{\Omega} |\beta(\cdot, x)|^2 d\sigma(x) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} < \infty.$$

When  $\phi(s,t)$  is a Schur multiplier,  $\Phi: \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$  is a bounded linear operator (by the closed graph theorem) so that we have the transpose  ${}^t\Phi: B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})^* \to B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})^*$ . Starting from the decomposition (3), one can prove

$$\int_0^{\|H\|} \int_0^{\|K\|} \phi(s,t) dE_s X dF_t = \int_\Omega \alpha(H,x) X \beta(K,x) d\sigma(x).$$

3. Norm inequalities for operator means

When a scalar mean M(s,t) ( $\in \mathfrak{M}$ ) is a Schur multiplier, we define

$$M(H,K)X = \int_0^{\|H\|} \int_0^{\|K\|} M(s,t) dE_s X dF_t \in B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{(for each } X \in B(\mathcal{H})).$$

**Theorem** (F. Hiai and H. Kosaki, [6, 7])

For  $M, N \in \mathfrak{M}$  the following conditions are all equivalent:

(i) There exists a symmetric probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  with the following property: if N is a Schur multiplier relative to (H, K) of non-singular positive operators, then so is M and

$$M(H,K)X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H^{ix}(N(H,K)X)K^{-ix}d\nu(x) \text{ for } X \in B(\mathcal{H});$$

(ii) If N is a Schur multiplier relative to a pair (H,K) of positive operators, then so is M and

$$|||M(H, K)X||| \le |||N(H, K)X|||$$

for all unitarily invariant norms and all  $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ ;

- (iii)  $||M(H, H)X|| \le ||N(H, H)X||$  for all X of finite rank and for all  $H \ge 0$ ;
- (iv) For each n and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$

$$\left[\frac{M(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}{N(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}\right]_{i, j=1, 2, \dots, n} \ge 0;$$

(v)  $M \prec N$ , i.e.,  $\frac{M(e^x, 1)}{N(e^x, 1)}$  is a positive definite function.

This theorem explains the Heinz inequality (1) as follows: We set

$$M(s,t) = \frac{s^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}t^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} + s^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}t^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}}{2}, \quad N(s,t) = \frac{s+t}{2} \quad (\alpha \in [0,1]).$$

The ratio is

$$\frac{M(e^x,1)}{N(e^x,1)} = \frac{e^{\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right)x} + e^{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)x}}{e^x + 1} = \frac{\cosh\left(\alpha x/2\right)}{\cosh\left(x/2\right)}$$

whose Fourier transform is given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cosh{(\alpha x/2)}}{\cosh{(x/2)}} \ e^{ixy} dx = \frac{4\pi \cosh(\pi y) \cos{(\alpha \pi/2)}}{\cosh{(2\pi y)} + \cos{(\alpha \pi)}} > 0.$$

Bochner's theorem thus yields  $M \prec N$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Bhatia and C. Davis, More matrix forms of the arithmetic geometric mean inequality, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 14 (1993), 132-136.
- 2. R. Bhatia and K. R. Parthasarathy, Positive definite functions and operator inequalities, Bull. London Math. Soc., 32 (2000), No 2, 214-228.
- 3. M. Sh. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, Double operator integrals in a Hilbert space, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 47 (2003), 131-168
- 4. E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, Math. Ann., 123 (1951), 415-438.
- F. Hiai and H. Kosaki, Comparison of various means for operators, J. Funct. Anal., 163 (1999), 300-323.
- F. Hiai and H. Kosaki, Means for matrices and comparison of their norms, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 48 (1999), 899-936.
- F. Hiai and H. Kosaki, Means of Hilbert space operators, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1820, Springer, 2003.
- 8. H. Kosaki, Arithmetic-geometric mean and related inequalities for operators, J. Funct. Anal., 156 (1998), 429-451.
- 9. V. V. Peller, Hankel operators and differentiability properties of functions of self-adjoint (unitary) operators, LOMI Preprints E-1-84, USSR Academy of Sciences Steklov Mathematical Institute Leningrad Department, 1984.
- X. Zhan, Inequalities for unitarily invariant norms, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 20 (1998), 466-470.