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ABSTRACT

To sample from distributions in high dimensional spaces or finite large sets directly is not feasible in practice, especially when the corresponding densities are known up to normalizing constants only. One has to resort to approximations. A Markov process with the underlying distribution as its equilibrium is often used to generate an approximation ("MCMC"). How good the approximation is depends on the approximating Markov process and on the specific criterion used for comparison. One may investigate the convergence properties of some particular Monte Carlo Markov processes, or compare the convergence rate within a family of Markov processes (with the same equilibrium) w.r.t. different criteria, or even try to find optimal solutions in that family. Mathematical problems arising from this approach are challenging. By simply adding a weighted divergence-free drift to a reversible diffusion, the convergence to equilibrium is accelerated. In other words, from an algorithmic point of view the nonreversible algorithm performs better. Note that two criteria are considered. The analysis is related to the study of antisymmetric perturbations of self-adjoint infinitesimal generators. The optimal solution is still open. However on torus the rate could be pushed to infinity. As for finite sample space nonreversible perturbations reduce variance.

1 Introduction

In this talk we consider nonreversible (weighted antisymmetric) perturbations of reversible diffusions and finite state Markov chains. By simply adding a weighted
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divergence-free drift to a reversible diffusion, the convergence to equilibrium is accelerated. In other words, from an algorithmic point of view the nonreversible algorithm performs better. The analysis is related to the study of antisymmetric perturbations of self-adjoint infinitesimal generators. As in the Markov chain case, antisymmetric perturbations of reversible dynamic Monte Carlo methods have variance reduction effect.

To sample directly from distributions in high dimensional spaces or large finite sets is not feasible in practice, especially when the corresponding densities are known up to normalizing constants only. One has to resort to approximations. A Markov process with the underlying distribution as its equilibrium is often used to generate an approximation ("MCMC"). How good the approximation is depends on the approximating Markov process and on the specific criterion used for comparison. One may investigate the convergence properties of some particular Monte Carlo Markov processes, or compare the convergence rate within a family of Markov processes (with the same equilibrium) w.r.t. different criteria, or even try to find optimal solutions in that family. Mathematical problems arising from this approach are challenging. Related works may be found in Amit (1991), Amit and Grenander (1991), Frigessi, Hwang and Younes (1992), Frigessi, Hwang, Sheu and di Stefano (1993), Hwang, Hwang-Ma and Sheu (1993), Amit (1996), Athreya, Doss and Sethuraman (1996), Gilks and Roberts (1996), Mengersen and Tweedie (1996), Stramer and Tweedie (1997), Chang and Hwang (1998), Hwang and Sheu (1998, 2000), Roberts and Rosenthal (2004).

We formulate the diffusion case first. Let $U$ be a given real-valued function defined in $R^d$ satisfying some smoothness conditions. The underlying distribution $\pi$ is assumed to have a density proportional to $\exp -U(x)$. The following diffusion is commonly used for sampling from its equilibrium $\pi$,

$$dX(t) = -\nabla U(X(t))dt + \sqrt{2} \ dW(t), \ X(0) = x_0, \ (1)$$

where $W(t)$ is the Brownian motion in $R^d$. For convenience, $\pi$ will be used to denote the underlying probability measure as well as its probability density.

If a diffusion is regarded as a useful approach to sampling, then it is natural to consider a family of diffusions with $\pi$ as their common equilibrium:

$$dX(t) = -\nabla U(X(t))dt + C(X(t))dt + \sqrt{2} \ dW(t), \ X(0) = x_0, \ (2)$$

under suitable conditions on $C(x)$. Roughly speaking, the conditions are that $\div(C(x) \exp -U(x)) = 0$ and there is no explosion in (2), i.e. $\lvert X(t) \rvert$ does not tend to infinity in a finite time. A strict definition of explosion can be found on p.172 of Ikeda and Watanabe (1989). It is easy to pick such a $C$. For example $C(x) = S(\nabla U(x))$, for any skew symmetric matrix $S$. We are interested in how $C(x)$ influences the convergence of the diffusion (2) to equilibrium.

Hwang, Hwang-Ma and Sheu (1993) focused on a special case, the study of a family of Gaussian diffusions where $2U(x) = (-Dx) \cdot x$, $-\nabla U(x) = Dx$, $C(x) =$
$SDx$, and where $D$ is a strictly negative-definite real matrix and $S$ is any skew symmetric real matrix. In this case, $\pi(x)$ is Gaussian with mean 0 and covariance matrix $-D^{-1}$ and $X(t)$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with drift $(D + SD)x$. Using the rate of convergence of the covariance of $X(t)$ (or together with $EX(t)$) as the criterion, the reversible diffusion with drift $Dx$ (i.e. $C = 0$) is the worst choice and the optimal solution is obtained in this setup.

If $C(x)$ is not zero, then the corresponding diffusion, regarded as a Markov process, is nonreversible. In general it is difficult to analyze nonreversible processes. We just cite some related works in different settings. In Geman and Geman (1984), Amit and Grenander (1991), Hwang and Sheu (1998) the convergence properties of some nonreversible Gibbs samplers is studied. The ergodicity of systematic sweep in stochastic relaxation, again nonreversible, is investigated in Hwang and Sheu (1992).

Two comparison criteria are considered here. Basic questions such as the acceleration of convergence and the consistency of the comparison w.r.t. these two criteria are answered.

Let $L_{C}$ denote the infinitesimal generator of the diffusion $X(t)$ from (2) and for $C = 0$, let $L = L_{0}$. Let $T(t) = e^{tL_{C}}$ denote the corresponding semigroup,

$$T(t)f(x) = E_{x}f(X(t)) = \int p(t, x, y)f(y)dy,$$

where $p(t, x, y)$ is the transition density if it exists. Note that the index $C$ is suppressed from $T(t)$ and $p(t, x, y)$ for the sake of brevity.

We define now the spectral gap of $L_{C}$ in $L^{2}(\pi)$ as the first comparison criterion. Since $E_{x}f(X(t)) \rightarrow \pi(f)$ for any starting point $x$, one may consider the average case formulation by averaging the difference $(E_{x}f(X(t)) - \pi(f))^{2}$ over the starting point w.r.t. $\pi$:

$$\int (E_{x}f(X(t)) - \pi(f))^{2}\pi(x)dx = \|T(t)f - \pi(f)\|^{2} \leq \text{constant} \|f - \pi(f)\|^{2} e^{\lambda t}, \quad (3)$$

for some $\lambda$ less than or equal to 0, where $\pi(f)$ means integration of $f$ w.r.t. $\pi$. Now consider the worst-case analysis over $f$, then $\|T(t) - \pi\| \leq \text{constant } e^{\mu}$. The infimum over such $\lambda$'s indicates the convergence rate. This shows that the spectral radius of $T(1)$ in the space $\{f \in L^{2}(\pi), \pi(f) = 0\}$ is a measure of convergence rate of diffusions to equilibrium. Furthermore the weak spectral mapping theorem holds between $L_{C}$ and $e^{tL_{C}}$ (Nagel (1986) p.91). Hence, the spectral gap of $L_{C}$ in $L^{2}(\pi)$ defined by

$$\lambda(C) = \text{Sup}\{\text{real part of } \mu : \mu \text{ in the spectrum of } L_{C}, \mu \neq 0\} \quad (4)$$

is a good candidate to serve as a criterion for the comparison of convergence rates.

The constant in (3) may depend on $C$. If instead we reformulate the inequality in (3) without the constant term,

$$\|T(t)f - \pi(f)\| \leq \|f - \pi(f)\| e^{\lambda t},$$

we have

$$(E_{x}f(X(t)) - \pi(f))^{2} \leq \|T(t)f - \pi(f)\|^{2} e^{2\lambda t}, \quad (3)$$

We shall call this the second comparison criterion.
for some $\lambda$, then the inequality depends only on the behavior of the process around time 0 and the rate will be the same regardless of perturbations (Chen (1992) p.312). Our interest here is instead in the large-time behavior.

We will always assume that there is no explosion for the diffusions under consideration. For simplicity we just assume that the following assumption holds throughout this paper,

$$(A1) : C \text{ and } \nabla U \text{ are in } L^1(\pi) \cap L^1_{loc}(\pi), \text{ for some } l > d;$$

for $f \in C_0^\infty$, $\int (C \cdot \nabla f) \pi = 0$.

Under (A1) there is no explosion in the diffusion (2) and the transition density exists with $\pi$ as its equilibrium distribution (Stannat (1999), Bogachev, Krylov and Röckner (2001)). For $f \in C_0^\infty$, $\int (C \cdot \nabla f) \pi = 0$ means that $C$ is weakly weighted divergence free. This is essential for $\pi$ to be an invariant measure.

Intuitively $L_C$ is a perturbation of a self-adjoint operator $L$ by an antisymmetric operator $C \cdot \nabla$ in $L^2(\pi)$. We are interested in how the spectrum changes. Note that in general this perturbation is neither small nor relatively compact. For general references, refer to Kato (1995), Yosida (1980). $L_C$ is not self-adjoint for nonzero $C$. The spaces considered are real vector spaces of real functions. However for spectral analysis, one has to consider complex vector spaces. Let $C_+$ denote $L_C - L$ and $C_-$ denote $L - L_C - L$.

We assume that the reversible diffusion (1) w.r.t. $\pi$ has an exponential convergence rate. Equivalently $L$ has a spectral gap in $L^2(\pi)$, i.e.

$$(A2) : \lambda(0) < 0.$$

Note that the exponential convergence rate assumption is imposed only on the reversible diffusion. As a consequence of Theorem 1 the perturbed diffusion (2) has a better exponential convergence rate. In other words, adding an extra drift accelerates convergence.

For the nonexplosion of (1), (A2), and $\lambda(0)$ in the discrete spectrum of $L$ to all hold, the following is a sufficient condition (Reed and Simon (1978)):

$$\frac{1}{2} | \nabla U(x) |^2 - \Delta U(x) \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5)$$

From a probabilistic point of view, one may consider the rate of convergence of $p(t, x, y)$ to $\pi$ in variational norm as a comparison criterion. The variational norm of two probability measures is defined as the supremum of the difference between the two probabilities over all events. This may be regarded as some kind of worst case analysis. Note that the variational norm equals one half of the $L^1(dy)$ distance between the two corresponding densities. Hence, $\rho(C)$ defined below is used as a comparison criterion,

$$\rho(C) = \inf \{ \rho : \int | p(t, x, y) - \pi(y) | \, dy \leq g(x)e^{\alpha t} \}. \quad (6)$$
$g(x)$ may depend on $C$. Usually $g$ is assumed to be essentially locally bounded or locally integrable w.r.t. $\pi$. It needs further study for unrestricted $g$. Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 show that $\rho(C) \leq \lambda(C)$ and equality holds for the reversible case. Again using $\rho(C)$ as the comparison criterion, adding an antisymmetric perturbation does help. This result is consistent with the previous one.

It is not clear how the perturbations affect $\rho(C)$ directly. We compare $\rho(C)$ and $\rho(0)$ via $\lambda(C)$ and $\lambda(0)$.

Results for the above two criteria are given in section 2. Details, proofs, and discussion for other criteria can be found in Hwang, Hwang-Ma, Sheu (2005).

One may ask for the existence of an optimal $C$ or whether $\inf f \lambda(C) = -\infty$. We have answer only for torus case, it is still open even for $R^d$ or $S^d$. We consider antisymmetric perturbations of Laplacian on $n$ dimensional torus, namely, the Brownian motion is perturbed by a divergence free drift $C$ to accelerate the convergence to its equilibrium, the uniform distribution on torus.

The approach goes as follows. Some specific form of $C$'s are chosen. For each picked $C$, $\inf f \lambda(kC)$ is characterized explicitly. From the characterization, one can show that $\inf f \lambda(C) = -\infty$, the infimum is taken over general $C$, Hwang, Pai (2005). This result is Theorem 4.

Now we turn to the dynamic Monte Carlo method. Assume that $S$ is a finite set. We are interested in the evaluation of $\sum_{s \in S} f(s) \pi(s)$, denoted by $\pi(f)$. Let $X_0, X_1, ... , X_n, ...$ be a Markov chain with transition matrix $P$ and invariant probability $\pi$. Under suitable condition on $P$, it is known that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(X_k)$ converges to $\pi(f)$ and the corresponding asymptotic variance $v(f, P)$ depends only on $f$ and $P$.

For any two real-valued functions $f$ and $g$ defined on $S$, the weighted inner product $< f, g >$ is defined by

$$< f, g > = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) g(s) \pi(s).$$

The following result is well-known, see e.g. Theorem 4.8 in Iosifescu 1980. Under suitable condition on $P$, for any initial distribution $\mu_0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n E_{\mu_0}[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{0}^{n-1} f(X_k) - \pi(f)]^2 = v(f, P),$$

where the asymptotic variance $v(f, P)$ equals

$$< (I - P)^{-1}(I + P)(f - \pi(f)), f - \pi(f) > .$$

The inverse is taken in the space $N = \{ f : \pi(f) = 0 \}$ and the $\pi(f)$ appearing in $f - \pi(f)$ means constant function with value $\pi(f)$.

Regarding $P$ as a theoretical algorithm and without exploiting any prior knowledge on any specific $f$, the worst case analysis for reversible $P$ was investigated in Frigessi, Hwang, Younes (1992) where the optimal $P$ is characterized.

For any fixed reversible $P$, we consider the perturbation of $P$ by adding an antisymmetric (w.r.t. the above mentioned inner product) $Q$ with row sums of $Q$
being zero and entries of $P + Q$ being positive. The perturbation reduces variance, this is Theorem 5. Details can be found in Hwang, Hwang-Ma (2005).

2 Results

If $\lambda(0)$ is in the discrete spectrum of $L$ in $L^2(\pi)$, then by definition its corresponding eigenspace, denoted by $M$, is finite dimensional. In this section our analysis assumes $\pi(f) = 0$, $f \in L^2(\pi)$.

**Theorem 1.** If (A1) (A2) hold, then $\lambda(C) \leq \lambda(0)$. Furthermore if $\lambda(0)$ is in the discrete spectrum of $L$, then equality holds if and only if $C_+$ or $C_-$ leaves a nonzero subspace of $M$ invariant.

**Remark.** It seems that a stronger result should hold: if $\lambda(C) = \lambda(0)$, then $\lambda(0)$ is the real part of an eigenvalue of $L_C$. If this is the case, Theorem 1 has a stronger form: the equality holds iff $C_+$ leaves a nonzero subspace of $M$ invariant. If (5) holds, then $(L - a)^{-1}$ is compact for $a$ in the resolvent of $L$ (Reed and Simon (1978)). And the stronger statements hold.

**Remark.** As mentioned in the Introduction, the existence of the transition density is not needed here. A weaker assumption than (A1) suffices, e.g. $C$ and $\nabla U$ are in $L^1(\pi) \cap L^{loc}_2(\pi)$ (Stannat (1999)).

Under (A1) the transition density $p(t, x, y)$ exists. Let $p_t(x, y)$ denote $p(t, x, y)/\pi(y)$; $p_t(x, y)$ is locally Hölder [Bogachev, Krylov, Röckner 2001].

**Theorem 2.** In addition to (A1) (A2) if $\nabla U$ and $C$ are locally bounded, then there exists a locally bounded function $g$ such that

$$\int |p_t(x, y) - 1|\pi(y) dy \leq g(x)e^{\rho(C)t}.$$  

Moreover, $\rho(C) \leq \lambda(C)$.

**Remark.** The local boundedness assumption in Theorem 4 is not needed for the reversible case, since $\int p_t^2(x, y)\pi(y)dy = p_2(x, x)$ is locally bounded. The following theorem implies that for the reversible case, $\rho(0) = \lambda(0)$.

**Theorem 3.** For the reversible case, if there exists some $g$ in $L^1_{loc}(\pi)$ such that

$$\int |p_t(x, y) - 1|\pi(y)dy \leq g(x)e^{\rho(x)t},$$
then  \[ \| T(t) - \pi \| \leq e^{\rho t}. \]

We are going to consider the perturbation of Laplacian by divergence free vector field on n-torus.

Assume \( C(x) = p \cos(q \cdot x) \), for \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R^n, p, q \in Z^n \) satisfying \( p \cdot q = 0 \). It is not difficult to check that \( C \) is divergence free.

**Theorem 4.**

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda(kC) = \sup \{- |\hat{m}|^2 : \hat{m} \cdot p = 0, \hat{m} \in Z^n - \{0\}. \}
\]

Hence by properly chosen \( C \), the spectral gap can be pushed to \(-\infty\).

For the finite sample space, we are interested in the variance reduction for dynamic Monte Carlo evaluation of expection.

**Theorem 5.**

Let \( P \) be a stochastic matrix reversible w.r.t. \( \pi \). If \( P \) has a cycle of length larger than two, then there exist an antisymmetric \( Q \) such that \( P + Q \) is a stochastic matrix and \( v(f, P + Q) \leq v(f, P) \). Equality holds for rare situations.
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