

# Radially symmetric solutions of a chemotaxis model in the critical case

Piotr BILER

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski,  
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland;  
Piotr.Biler@math.uni.wroc.pl

## 1 The formulation of the problem

This is a report on a joint work with Grzegorz Karch (Wrocław), Philippe Laurençot (Toulouse) and Tadeusz Nadzieja (Zielona Góra), cf. a part of published results in [5].

We investigate properties and large time asymptotics of radially symmetric solutions of a parabolic-elliptic model of chemotaxis (the simplified Keller–Segel system) either in a disc of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or in the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , in the subcritical and critical cases.

Denoting by  $u = u(x, t) \geq 0$  the density of microorganisms (e.g. amoebae), and by  $\varphi = \varphi(x, t)$  the concentration of a chemoattractant secreted by themselves, the simplified Keller–Segel system we study herein reads

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u + u \nabla \varphi), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\varphi = E_2 * u, \quad (1.2)$$

with the space variable  $x$  ranging either in  $B(0, R) \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x| < R\}$ ,  $R > 0$ , or  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and the time variable  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Here  $E_2(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |z|$

denotes the fundamental solution of the Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so that (1.2) leads to the Poisson equation  $\Delta\varphi = u$ . The system is supplemented with either the no flux boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{\nu}} + u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{\nu}} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\bar{\nu}$  denotes the unit normal vector field to the boundary of  $B(0, R)$ , or a suitable decay condition  $u(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  implying the integrability condition  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x, t) dx < \infty$ . Moreover, an initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 \quad (1.4)$$

is added. After a suitable reduction, see [5, (1.5)–(1.7)] (or [4]), the problem may be posed as a nonlinear nonuniformly parabolic equation for the cumulated mass variable  $M(s, t) = \int_{B(0, \sqrt{s})} u(x, t) dx$

$$M_t = 4s M_{ss} + \frac{1}{\pi} M M_s \quad (1.5)$$

with a nondecreasing continuous initial condition

$$M(s, 0) = M_0(s) \quad (1.6)$$

on either the interval  $(0, 1)$  or the half-line  $(0, \infty)$ , together with the boundary conditions:

$$M(0, t) = 0, \quad M(1, t) = \widehat{M}, \quad (1.7)$$

or

$$M(0, t) = 0, \quad M(\infty, t) = \widehat{M}, \quad (1.8)$$

respectively. We study the problem (1.5)–(1.6) and either (1.7) or (1.8) when the total mass parameter  $\widehat{M}$  belongs to the interval  $[0, 8\pi]$ .

As it is well known, in the supercritical case  $\widehat{M} > 8\pi$  there occurs a loss of the boundary condition at  $s = 0$ :  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} M(s, t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$  with some

$T > 0$ , cf. e.g. [2], [11]. This is interpreted as a blow up of solutions of the original chemotaxis system (at  $x = 0$  for radially symmetric solutions)

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} = \lim_{t \nearrow T} |u(t)|_{L^p} = \lim_{t \nearrow T} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \log u(x, t) dx = \infty$$

for each  $p > 1$ , cf. [4, 3, 6]. A fine description of blowing up solutions is fairly complicated, see [12], but for radially symmetric solutions the situation is much simpler. The degeneracy of the elliptic operator  $4sM_{ss}$  at  $s = 0$  does not allow the diffusion to compensate the growth induced by the convection term  $\frac{1}{\pi} M M_s$  and  $M(0, t) \neq 0$  for  $t > T$  holds. On the one hand, we will show that, in the critical case  $\widehat{M} = 8\pi$ , the blow up in the disc does not take place in a finite time but occurs in infinite time, i.e. the whole mass concentrates at  $s = 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We also obtain some temporal decay estimates on  $|M(t) - 8\pi|_{L^1}$  for large times. On the other hand, if  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi)$ , we show the exponential convergence of  $M(t)$  towards the unique stationary solution to (1.5)–(1.7) in the disc. The situation is completely different in the case of the whole plane.

## 2 (Sub)critical case in the disc

The problem (1.5)–(1.7) on  $(0, 1)$  is well posed whenever  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi]$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Consider  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi]$  and a continuous nondecreasing function  $M_0$  satisfying*

$$M_0(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M_0(1) = \widehat{M}. \quad (2.1)$$

*There exists a unique function  $M \in C([0, \infty); L^2(0, 1)) \cap C_{s,t}^{2,1}((0, 1) \times (0, \infty))$  such that*

$$0 \leq M(s, t) \leq \widehat{M}, \quad M_s(s, t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (s, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \quad (2.2)$$

$$M^*(t) \equiv \inf_{s \in (0, 1)} M(s, t) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad (0, \infty), \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$M_t = 4s M_{ss} + \frac{1}{\pi} M M_s, \quad (s, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \quad (2.4)$$

$$M(1, t) = \widehat{M}, \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad (2.5)$$

$$M(s, 0) = M_0(s), \quad s \in (0, 1). \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, if there is  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $M_0(s) \leq (8\pi s)/\delta$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$ , then  $M^*(t) = 0$  for each  $t \geq 0$ . Observe that if the derivative of  $M_0$  is finite:  $M_{0,s}(0) < \infty$ , then the above condition on  $M_0$  is satisfied with a suitable  $\delta > 0$ .

The idea of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is to consider a uniformly parabolic regularized problem

$$M_{\varepsilon,t} = 4(s + \varepsilon) M_{\varepsilon,ss} + \frac{1}{\pi} M_{\varepsilon} M_{\varepsilon,s}, \quad (s, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \quad (2.7)$$

$$M_{\varepsilon}(0, t) = \widehat{M} - M_{\varepsilon}(1, t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad (2.8)$$

$$M_{\varepsilon}(s, 0) = M_{0\varepsilon}(s), \quad s \in (0, 1). \quad (2.9)$$

This problem has a unique solution

$$M_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1] \times [0, \infty)) \cap \mathcal{C}_{s,t}^{2,1}((0, 1) \times (0, \infty)),$$

and we infer from (2.1), (2.7)–(2.8), and the comparison principle that

$$0 \leq M_{\varepsilon}(s, t) \leq \widehat{M} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\varepsilon,s}(s, t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (s, t) \in [0, 1] \times (0, \infty). \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, classical parabolic regularity results imply that

$$\|M_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{s,t}^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}([\delta, 1] \times [\tau, T])} \leq C(\alpha, \delta, \tau, T) \quad (2.11)$$

for each  $T > 0$ ,  $\tau \in (0, T)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , where  $0 < C(\alpha, \delta, \tau, T) < \infty$  is a constant depending on  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\tau$  and  $T$  but independent of  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ .

The key estimate which allows us to control the behavior of solutions for small  $s > 0$  is

$$0 \leq \int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{M_{\varepsilon}(s, t) (8\pi - M_{\varepsilon}(s, t))}{s + \varepsilon} ds dt \leq C_1(T) \quad (2.12)$$

for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and a constant  $0 < C_1(T) < \infty$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . This is obtained by multiplying (2.7) by  $-\log(s + \varepsilon)$  and integrating over  $(0, 1)$ . Here we use crucially the relation  $0 \leq M_\varepsilon \leq \widehat{M} \leq 8\pi$ .

The behaviour of  $M_\varepsilon$  for small times can be inferred from the estimate

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 (s + \varepsilon) |M_{\varepsilon,s}(s, t)|^2 ds dt + \int_0^T \|M_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|_{H^{-1}}^2 dt \leq C_2(T) \quad (2.13)$$

for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and a constant  $0 < C_2(T) < \infty$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

The above estimates permit us to pass to the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  with the approximate solutions  $M_\varepsilon$  and obtain a solution  $M$ .  $\square$

In fact, for each continuous increasing initial data  $M^*(t) = 0$  holds for every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , not merely for a.e.  $t$ . Moreover there is a regularizing parabolic effect for (1.5) on the derivatives of solutions. Namely, the estimate  $M_s(s, t) \leq C/t$  holds for each  $s > 0$  and  $t > 0$ . These properties are shown by a local comparison with self-similar solutions discussed in Section 3.

*Remark.* Using the methods above, similar existence and regularity results can be obtained for the “star problem” considered in [6, Theorem 1(i)] and describing a cloud of self-attracting particles in the gravitational field of a fixed point mass (“star”). Namely, the equation (1.5) with the boundary conditions  $M(0, t) = m^* \in (0, 4\pi)$ ,  $M(1, t) = \widehat{M} \leq 8\pi - m^*$ , and suitable initial conditions, has global solutions satisfying properties similar to those in Theorem 2.1.

Since (1.5) is a convection-diffusion equation, we anticipate that it may enjoy some contraction property with respect to some  $L^1$ -norm. We actually show the following  $L^1$ -stability property for solutions.

**Theorem 2.2** *If  $M, \bar{M}$  are two solutions to (1.5)–(1.7) (as in Theorem 2.1) with initial data  $M_0$  and  $\bar{M}_0$  satisfying (2.1) with the same  $\widehat{M}$ ,  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi]$ , then  $t \mapsto |\varrho(M(t) - \bar{M}(t))|_{L^1}$  is a nonincreasing function of time for each*

nonnegative, nonincreasing and concave weight  $\varrho \in W^{2,\infty}(0,1)$ . Furthermore, if  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi)$ ,

$$|M(t) - \bar{M}(t)|_{L^1} \leq 2 |M_0 - \bar{M}_0|_{L^1} e^{-(4 - (\widehat{M}/2\pi))t}. \quad (2.14)$$

To prove Theorem 2.2 we consider the difference  $N = M - \bar{M}$  which satisfies the equation

$$N_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( 4sN_s + \frac{1}{2\pi} N(M + \bar{M} - 8\pi) \right) \quad (2.15)$$

with  $N(0, t) = N(1, t) = 0$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . We prove the  $L^1((0, 1); \varrho(s) ds)$  contraction property of solutions. For  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we use a convex approximation of  $r \mapsto |r|$ , e.g.,

$$\Phi_\delta(r) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\delta} \left( |r| - \frac{\delta}{2} \right)_+^2 & \text{if } |r| \in [0, \delta], \\ |r| - \frac{3}{4}\delta & \text{if } |r| \in (\delta, \infty), \end{cases}$$

We multiply (2.15) by  $\varrho \Phi'_\delta(N)$  and integrate over  $(0, 1)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \varrho(s) \Phi_\delta(N) ds \\ &= 4s\varrho(s)N_s\Phi'_\delta(N) \Big|_0^1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \varrho(s)\Phi'_\delta(N)N(M + \bar{M} - 8\pi) \Big|_0^1 \\ & \quad - \int_0^1 4s\varrho(s)\Phi''_\delta(N)N_s^2 ds - \int_0^1 4s\varrho'(s)\Phi'_\delta(N)N_s ds \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho(s)\Phi''_\delta(N)N_sN(M + \bar{M} - 8\pi) ds \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho'(s)\Phi'_\delta(N)N(M + \bar{M} - 8\pi) ds \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho(s)\Phi''_\delta(N)NN_s(M + \bar{M} - 8\pi) ds \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho'(s)\Phi'_\delta(N)N(M + \bar{M} - 16\pi) ds \\ & \quad + 4 \int_0^1 s\varrho''(s)\Phi_\delta(N) ds + 4 \int_0^1 \varrho'(s)(\Phi_\delta(N) - N\Phi'_\delta(N)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $N_\delta$  belongs to  $L^\infty((0, \infty); L^1(0, 1))$ ,  $M$ ,  $\bar{M}$  and  $N$  are bounded, and  $r \mapsto r \Phi_\delta''(r)$  is bounded and converges a.e. towards zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem ensures that the first term of the right-hand side of the above inequality converges to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, both  $r \mapsto \Phi_\delta(r)$  and  $r \mapsto r \Phi_\delta'(r)$  converge uniformly towards  $r \mapsto |r|$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thanks to the boundedness of  $M$ ,  $\bar{M}$  and  $N$ , we can pass to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in the other terms of the above inequality, and end up with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \varrho(s) |N| ds &\leq - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho'(s) |N| (M + \bar{M} - 16\pi) ds \\ &\quad + 4 \int_0^1 s \varrho''(s) |N| ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Since  $M + \bar{M} \leq 2\widehat{M} \leq 16\pi$  and  $\varrho'$  and  $\varrho''$  are both nonpositive, the right-hand side of (2.16) is nonpositive, from which the first assertion of Theorem 2.2 follows.

We now turn to the decay rate (2.14) and assume that  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi)$ . We take  $\varrho(s) = 2 - s$  in (2.16). Since  $M + \bar{M} \leq 2\widehat{M} < 16\pi$ , we infer from (2.16) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (2 - s) |N| ds \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 |N| (2\widehat{M} - 16\pi) ds \leq \frac{\widehat{M} - 8\pi}{2\pi} \int_0^1 (2 - s) |N| ds,$$

whence

$$\int_0^1 (2 - s) |N(t)| ds \leq \int_0^1 (2 - s) |N(0)| ds e^{-(4 - (\widehat{M}/2\pi))t},$$

from which (2.14) readily follows.

An immediate consequence of (2.14) with  $\bar{M} = M_b$  — the (unique) steady state such that  $M_b(1) = \widehat{M}$ , i.e.

$$M_b(s) = 8\pi \frac{s}{s + b}, \quad s \in (0, 1), \quad \text{with } b = \frac{8\pi}{\widehat{M}} - 1 > 0, \quad (2.17)$$

is the exponential decay

$$|M(t) - M_b|_{L^1} \leq 2 |M_0 - M_b|_{L^1} e^{-(4 - (\widehat{M}/2\pi))t}.$$

The exponential decay rate does not hold true for the critical case  $\widehat{M} = 8\pi$  but the following weaker assertion is available

$$|M(t) - 8\pi|_{L^1} \leq \frac{8\pi}{t}. \quad (2.18)$$

For the proof, we put  $N(s, t) = M - 8\pi$ ,  $\varrho(s) = 2 - s$ . We notice that  $N$  solves

$$N_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( 4sN_s + \frac{1}{2\pi}NM \right) \quad (2.19)$$

with  $N(0, t) = -8\pi$  and  $N(1, t) = 0$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Keeping the notations from the proof of Theorem 2.2, we multiply (2.19) by  $\varrho \Phi'_\delta(N)$  and integrate over  $(0, 1)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \varrho(s) \Phi_\delta(N) ds \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho(s) \Phi''_\delta(N) NN_s M ds - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho'(s) \Phi'_\delta(N) NM ds \\ & \quad + 4 \int_0^1 s \varrho''(s) \Phi_\delta(N) ds + 4 \int_0^1 \varrho'(s) \Phi_\delta(N) ds, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Phi'_\delta$  vanishes on a neighbourhood of 0 and  $M^*(t) = 0$ , so the boundary terms vanish. We then proceed as in the proof of (2.16) to pass to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and end up with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \varrho(s) |N| ds \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \varrho'(s) (8\pi - M) |N| ds,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (2 - s) |N| ds \leq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 |N|^2 ds.$$

We infer from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (2 - s) |N| ds \leq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^1 |N| ds \right)^2 \leq -\frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \int_0^1 (2 - s) |N| ds \right)^2,$$

whence

$$|M(t) - 8\pi|_{L^1} \leq \int_0^1 (2-s)|N(t)| ds \leq \frac{8\pi}{t + 4\pi|8\pi - M_0|_{L^1}^{-1}}.$$

□

### 3 The problem in the whole plane

The equation (1.5) for  $s \in (0, \infty)$  is invariant under the space-time scaling

$$s \mapsto Rs, \quad t \mapsto Rt, \quad R > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

This property has important consequences for the analysis of the problem (1.5)–(1.6) on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ .

The global in time existence of solutions of that problem can be proved using the ideas of regularizations of the nonlinear term in [11]. An alternative way is to use our previous construction in Theorem 2.1 and the scaling property (3.1) of (1.5). More precisely, if  $0 \leq M_0 \nearrow \widehat{M} \leq 8\pi$  is a subcritical initial data, then we consider its restriction to the interval  $(0, R)$ . Rescaling  $M_0$  to  $M_{0R}$  defined on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $M_{0R}(s/R) = M_0(s) \leq \widehat{M}$  for  $s \in (0, R)$ , we construct the solution  $M_R$  of (1.5)–(1.7) with the initial condition  $M_R(s, 0) = M_{0R}(s)$ . For each  $s \in (0, 1)$  the functions  $M_{0R}(s) \leq \widehat{M}$  increase with  $R \nearrow \infty$  so that, by the comparison principle,  $M_R(s, t) \leq \widehat{M}$  are also increasing with respect to  $R$ . The functions  $\widetilde{M}_R(s, t) = M_R(s/R, t/R)$  defined for  $(s, t) \in (0, R) \times (0, \infty)$  solve the equation (1.5) with  $\widetilde{M}_R(s, 0) = M_0(s)$ ,  $s \in (0, R)$ . To obtain a global in time solution with analogous regularity properties as in Theorem 2.1, we perform the passage with  $\widetilde{M}_R$  to the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since (1.5) is invariant under the scaling (3.1) it is natural to consider self-similar solutions of (1.5), i.e. those satisfying  $M(Rs, Rt) \equiv M(s, t)$  for each  $R > 0$ . They have the form  $M(s, t) = m(s/t)$  for a function  $m$ . The existence of self-similar solutions in the range  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi)$  has been established in,

e.g., [2] and [10] (not necessarily radially symmetric case of the chemotaxis system).

Let us briefly recall the reasoning from [2, Prop. 3, i)]. For  $M(s, t) = 2\pi\zeta(s/t)$  (1.5) reads

$$\zeta'' + \frac{1}{4}\zeta' + \frac{1}{2y}\zeta\zeta' = 0 \quad \text{with } y = \frac{s}{t}. \quad (3.2)$$

The change of variables  $\tau = \frac{1}{2} \log y$ ,  $v(\tau) = 2y \frac{d\zeta}{dy}(y)$ ,  $w(\tau) = \zeta(y)$  transforms (3.2) into the nonautonomous problem for  $(u, v)$  in the plane

$$\begin{aligned} v' &= (2 - w)v - \frac{e^{2\tau}}{2}v, & w' &= v, & \tau' &= \frac{d}{d\tau}, \\ v(-\infty) &= 0, & w(-\infty) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Evidently,  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} w(\tau) < 4$  because the function  $(w - 2)^2 + 2v$  is strictly decreasing along the phase trajectories of the above system.

We consider also an autonomous system

$$\underline{v}' = (2 - \underline{w})\underline{v} - \varepsilon\underline{v}, \quad \underline{w}' = \underline{v},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\underline{v} = \underline{v}_\varepsilon$ ,  $\underline{w} = \underline{w}_\varepsilon$ , with the same condition at  $\tau = -\infty$ . A comparison of these vector fields gives the relation  $\underline{w}(\tau) \leq w(\tau)$  for all  $\tau \leq \tau_\varepsilon$  with  $e^{2\tau_\varepsilon} = 2\varepsilon$ . Since  $\underline{w}(\tau) = 2(2 - \varepsilon)Ae^{(2-\varepsilon)\tau} (1 + Ae^{(2-\varepsilon)\tau})^{-1}$  with an arbitrary  $A > 0$  is a solution of the auxiliary system, so  $\underline{w}(\tau_\varepsilon) = 2(2 - \varepsilon)A(2\varepsilon)^{1-\varepsilon/2} (1 + A(2\varepsilon)^{1-\varepsilon/2})^{-1}$  and  $\sup Z = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(y) = \sup w(\tau) \geq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \tau \leq \tau_\varepsilon, A > 0} \underline{w}(\tau) = 4$ .  $\square$

We prove that the asymptotics of general solutions of (1.5)–(1.6), (1.8) for  $0 < \widehat{M} < 8\pi$  is described by that of self-similar solutions, i.e.

$$0 \leq \frac{m(s/t) - M(s, t)}{m(s/t)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here  $m$  denotes the self-similar solution with  $m(\infty) = \widehat{M}$ . The proof involves analysis of the family of suitable scalings of the solution  $M$ , and the

uniqueness property of self-similar solutions with a given mass  $\widehat{M} \in [0, 8\pi)$ . A related result for the original chemotaxis system has been recently announced in [8].

Looking at the problem on a finite interval  $(0, 1)$ , one might suspect that  $M(s, t) \rightarrow 8\pi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  but for  $s \in (0, \infty)$  the picture is much more complicated. First of all, nontrivial solutions of the steady state problem (1.5)–(1.6), (1.8) on  $(0, \infty)$  exist for  $\widehat{M} = 8\pi$  (only!) and are parametrized by  $b > 0$ :

$$M_b(s) = 8\pi \frac{s}{s+b}, \quad b > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Second, if  $M_0$  satisfies the condition  $\int_0^\infty (8\pi - M(s, t)) ds < \infty$ , the solution  $M(\cdot, t)$  converge pointwise to  $8\pi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , but does not converge to  $8\pi$  in the  $L^1$  sense. Indeed, for those solutions (they correspond to solutions  $u$  of the original chemotaxis system (1.1)–(1.2) possessing the second moment, i.e.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 u(x, t) dx < \infty$ ) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty (8\pi - M(s, t)) ds = 32\pi - \frac{(8\pi)^2}{2\pi} = 0.$$

since  $4sM_s(s, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$  and as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . To prove the above, we begin with  $M_0$  such that  $(8\pi - M_0)$  has compact support in  $[0, \infty)$ . From the construction of  $M$  as the limit of  $\widetilde{M}_R$ 's, it is easy to conclude using comparison principle that  $M(s, t) \rightarrow 8\pi$  for each  $s > 0$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The remaining part follows from the  $L^1$  contraction property  $|M(t) - \bar{M}(t)|_{L^1} \leq |M_0 - \bar{M}_0|_{L^1}$  proved as in Theorem 2.2 with  $\varrho(s) \equiv 1$ . Indeed,  $M_0$  such that  $(8\pi - M_0) \in L^1(0, \infty)$  can be approximated by initial data with  $(8\pi - M_0)$  of compact support. Combining monotonicity properties of  $M$ 's and the  $L^1$  contraction property, the desired pointwise convergence follows.

To prove the stability of steady states (3.4), we will interpret (1.5) as a nonlinear Fokker–Planck type equation considered in [1], and we will employ a family of Lyapunov functionals for the dynamical system associated with (1.5)–(1.6), (1.8) in the  $L^1(0, \infty)$ -metric.

**Theorem 3.1** *The function  $\mathcal{W}_b(M) = \int_0^\infty w_b(M(s, t)) ds$ , where the entropy density  $w_b$  is defined as*

$$w_b(M) = M \log \frac{M}{M_b} + (8\pi - M) \log \frac{8\pi - M}{8\pi - M_b}, \quad (3.5)$$

*is finite for each  $M$  such that  $(M - M_b) \in L^1(0, \infty)$ ,  $M_{b_1} \leq M \leq M_{b_2}$  for some  $b_1 > b > b_2 > 0$ . Moreover, this is nonincreasing along the trajectories  $M(t) = M(., t)$  of the dynamical system (1.5)–(1.6), (1.8)*

$$\frac{d\mathcal{W}_b}{dt} \leq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty s M(8\pi - M) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \log \frac{M}{8\pi - M} \frac{8\pi - M_b}{M_b} \right) \right|^2 ds \leq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

*This implies that if  $M_0$  is such that  $\mathcal{W}_b(M_0) < \infty$  and  $(M_0 - M_b) \in L^1(0, \infty)$  for some  $b > 0$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_b(t) = 0$ , and therefore (by a Csiszár–Kullback type lemma)*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|M(t) - M_b\|_{L^1} = 0.$$

Local attracting property of the stationary solutions  $M_b$  is a rather weak property. In particular, this does not give any information on the asymptotic behavior of solutions starting from data like, e.g.,  $M_0(s) = 8\pi \frac{s}{s+2+\cos s}$  which satisfy the relation  $M_3 \leq M_0 \leq M_1$ , but  $M_0 - M_b \notin L^1(0, \infty)$  for any  $b > 0$ . All this shows that the long time behavior of solutions in the critical case may be extremely complicated and even chaotic.  $\square$

*Remark.* The problem of the chemotaxis (1.1)–(1.4) in the whole plane in the subcritical case  $\widehat{M} < 8\pi$ , without radial symmetry assumptions, has been recently studied in [9]. In particular, the authors proved the global in time existence of solutions using logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.

Using the approach via radially symmetric decreasing rearrangements in [7] we might use the results here to give an alternative construction of global in time solutions for  $\widehat{M} \leq 8\pi$ , and to give a flavor of the diversity of locally attracting solutions for the problem without radial symmetry. Indeed, results from [7] imply that, roughly speaking, the existence of solutions of (1.1)–(1.4)

is controlled by the existence of solutions to the radially symmetric problem given by (1.5)–(1.6), (1.8) with the initial condition  $M_0$  obtained from the radially symmetric decreasing rearrangement of  $u_0$ .

## 4 Supercritical case in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Let us recall some results from the preprint [11] (Theorems 2.7, 3.5, 4.4) related to the supercritical case of equation (1.5) on  $(0, \infty)$ , i.e. for  $\widehat{M} > 8\pi$ .

First, the classical solution of (1.5) (that possesses the second moment — which was not explicitly stated in [11], cf. [3], [4]) blows up in a finite time: there is  $0 < T < \infty$  such that  $\lim_{t \nearrow T} M(s, t) \geq 8\pi$  for each  $s > 0$ . This means that the boundary condition at  $s = 0$  is lost,  $M^*(t)$  jumps to  $8\pi$  instantaneously at  $t = T$ .

Moreover, there exists a continuation of  $M$ ,  $M \in C^\infty(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ , past the blow up time  $T$ , satisfying (1.5), (1.6) for all  $t > 0$ , and the quantity  $M^*(t)$  strictly increases for  $t > T$ . Such a global in time smooth solution — a continuation of the classical solution for  $t < T$  — is unique in  $C^\infty((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty))$ , and satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(s, t) = \widehat{M}$  for each  $s \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M^*(t) = \widehat{M}$ : the whole mass concentrates at the origin in the infinite time, unlike the critical  $\widehat{M} = 8\pi$  (nontrivial steady states exist) and subcritical cases  $M^* < 8\pi$  (mass spreads to infinity).

**Acknowledgements.** The preparation of this paper was partially supported by the KBN (MNI) grant 2/P03A/002/24, and by the EU network HYKE under the contract HPRN-CT-2002-00282.

## References

- [1] A. ARNOLD, P. A. MARKOWICH, G. TOSCANI, A. UNTERREITER,  
*On convex Sobolev inequalities and the rate of convergence to equi-*

- librium for Fokker–Planck type equations*, *Comm. Partial Diff. Eq.* **26** (2001), 43–100.
- [2] P. BILER, *Growth and accretion of mass in an astrophysical model*, *Appl. Math. (Warsaw)* **23** (1995), 179–189.
- [3] P. BILER, *Existence and nonexistence of solutions for a model of gravitational interaction of particles III*, *Colloq. Math.* **68** (1995), 229–239.
- [4] P. BILER, D. HILHORST, T. NADZIEJA, *Existence and nonexistence of solutions for a model of gravitational interaction of particles II*, *Colloq. Math.* **67** (1994), 297–308.
- [5] P. BILER, G. KARCH, PH. LAURENÇOT, T. NADZIEJA, *The  $8\pi$ -problem for radially symmetric solutions of a chemotaxis model in a disc*, 1–20, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear.
- [6] P. BILER, T. NADZIEJA, *A nonlocal singular parabolic problem modelling gravitational interaction of particles*, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **3** (1998), 177–197.
- [7] J. I. DIAZ, T. NAGAI, J.-M. RAKOTOSON, *Symmetrization techniques on unbounded domains: application to a chemotaxis system on  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , *J. Differential Equations* **145** (1998), 156–183.
- [8] J. DOLBEAULT, *personal communication*, September 2005.
- [9] J. DOLBEAULT, B. PERTHAME, *Optimal critical mass in the two dimensional Keller–Segel model in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris* **339** (2004), 611–616.
- [10] Y. NAITO, T. SUZUKI, *Self-similar solutions to a nonlinear parabolic-elliptic system*, *Proceedings of Third East Asia Partial Differential Equation Conference*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **8** (2004), 43–55.

- [11] M. PRIMICERIO, B. ZALTZMAN, *Global in time solution to the Keller-Segel model of chemotaxis*, 1–18, preprint 2003.
- [12] T. SUZUKI, “Free Energy and Self-Interacting Particles”, PNLDE 62, Birkhäuser: Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2005.