

ON CRITICAL VALUES OF ADJOINT L -FUNCTIONS FOR $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$

ATSUSHI ICHINO

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f \in S_k(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}))$ be a normalized Hecke eigenform and $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ determined by f . Then the result of Rankin [12] says that

$$L(1, \pi, \mathrm{Ad}) = C_{\infty} \langle f, f \rangle,$$

where $\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ is the adjoint representation, $C_{\infty} = 2^k$ is a constant which depends only on π_{∞} , and

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \int_{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{H}} |f(\tau)|^2 \mathrm{Im}(\tau)^{k-2} d\tau$$

is the Petersson norm of f . This formula was generalized to the case of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ by Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika [6]. In this note, we give an analogue for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$.

2. DELIGNE'S CONJECTURE [3]

We first give some speculation about the transcendental part of critical values of adjoint L -functions for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$. Let f_{hol} be a Siegel cusp form of degree 2 and of weight k with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbf{Z})$. We assume that f_{hol} is a Hecke eigenform and is not a Saito-Kurokawa lift. Let π_{hol} be the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ determined by f_{hol} . By Arthur's conjecture [1], there would exist an irreducible generic cuspidal automorphic representation π_{gen} of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ such that $\Pi = \{\pi_{\mathrm{hol}}, \pi_{\mathrm{gen}}\}$ is an L -packet. Namely,

$$L(s, \pi_{\mathrm{hol}}, r) = L(s, \pi_{\mathrm{gen}}, r)$$

for any finite dimensional representation r of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{C})$. Let M be the hypothetical motive attached to the spinor L -function of f_{hol} . Then M would be of rank 4 and of pure weight $2k - 3$. Moreover, the Hodge decomposition

$$H_{\mathrm{DR}}(M) \otimes \mathbf{C} \cong H^{2k-3,0} \oplus H^{k-1,k-2} \oplus H^{k-2,k-1} \oplus H^{0,2k-3}$$

would have a basis

$$\{f_{\mathrm{hol}}, f_{\mathrm{gen}}, \overline{f_{\mathrm{gen}}}, \overline{f_{\mathrm{hol}}}\}.$$

Here f_{gen} is an element of π_{gen} . By Yoshida's formula [13, (4.15)], we have

$$c^+(\mathrm{Sym}^2(M)) = (2\pi \sqrt{-1})^{12-6k} c^+(M)c^-(M)\langle f_{\mathrm{hol}}, f_{\mathrm{hol}} \rangle,$$

where $c^+(\mathrm{Sym}^2(M))$ is Deligne's period of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(M)$, etc. Moreover, the relative trace formula of Furusawa and Shalika [4] suggests that the equality

$$\frac{|B_D(1)|^2}{\langle f_{\mathrm{hol}}, f_{\mathrm{hol}} \rangle} = L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \chi_D\right) \frac{|W(1)|^2}{\langle f_{\mathrm{gen}}, f_{\mathrm{gen}} \rangle}$$

should hold up to an elementary constant. Here $D < 0$ is a fundamental discriminant, χ_D is the Dirichlet character associated to $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbf{Q}$, B_D is the D -th Bessel function of f_{hol} , and W is the Whittaker function of f_{gen} . This leads to speculation that

$$c^+(\mathrm{Sym}^2(M)) \stackrel{?}{=} \langle f_{\mathrm{gen}}, f_{\mathrm{gen}} \rangle.$$

3. RESULT

We now give a precise description of our result. Let

$$\mathrm{GSp}(4) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}(4) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_2 \\ -\mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t g = \nu(g) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_2 \\ -\mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nu(g) \in \mathbf{G}_m \right\}$$

be the symplectic similitude group in four variables. Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be an irreducible generic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ with trivial central character. We assume that

- π_p is unramified for all primes p ,
- $\pi_{\infty}|_{\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbf{R})} = D_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \oplus D_{(-\lambda_2, -\lambda_1)}$ with $1 - \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 0$.

Here $D_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$ is the (limit of) discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbf{R})$ with Blattner parameter (λ_1, λ_2) . By [2], π has a functorial lift Π to $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$. We assume that Π is cuspidal.

We consider a non-zero element $f = \otimes_v f_v \in \pi$ satisfying the following conditions:

- f_p is $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ -invariant for all primes p ,
- f_{∞} is the lowest weight vector of the minimal $\mathrm{U}(2)$ -type of $D_{(-\lambda_2, -\lambda_1)}$.

Note that f is unique up to scalars. We may normalize f so that $W(1) = 1$, where W is the Whittaker function of f . Let

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\times} \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})} |f(g)|^2 dg$$

be the Petersson norm of f , where dg is the Tamagawa measure on $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 3.1 ([5]). *There exists a constant $C_{\infty} \in \mathbf{C}^{\times}$ which depends only on π_{∞} such that*

$$L(1, \pi, \mathrm{Ad}) = C_{\infty} \langle f, f \rangle.$$

Here $\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(10, \mathbf{C})$ is the adjoint representation.

4. PROOF

We use the following three ingredients:

- the integral representation of $L(s, \pi, \mathrm{St})$,
- the integral representation of $L(s, \pi \times \pi^\vee) = \zeta(s)L(s, \pi, \mathrm{St})L(s, \pi, \mathrm{Ad})$,
- the Siegel-Weil formula.

Let $H = \mathrm{GSp}(8)$ and

$$G = \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GSp}(4) \mid \nu(g_1) = \nu(g_2)\}.$$

We identify G with its image under the embedding

$$G \longrightarrow H.$$

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & -b_2 \\ c_1 & 0 & d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

For an automorphic form φ on $H(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$, let

$$\langle \varphi|_G, \bar{f} \otimes f \rangle = \int_{Z_H(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})} \varphi((g_1, g_2)) f(g_1) \overline{f(g_2)} dg_1 dg_2.$$

Let

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & \nu^t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in H \mid a \in \mathrm{GL}(4), \nu \in \mathbf{G}_m \right\}$$

be the Siegel parabolic subgroup of H . Let $F = \otimes_v F_v$ be a holomorphic section of $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})}^{H(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})} (\delta_P^{s/5})$, where δ_P is the modulus character of $P(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$. Let $E(s, F)$ be the Siegel Eisenstein series attached to F .

Theorem 4.1 (Piatetski-shapiro and Rallis [11]). *We have*

$$\langle E(s, F)|_G, \bar{f} \otimes f \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle d_P^S(s)^{-1} L^S \left(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi, \mathrm{St} \right) \prod_{v \in S} Z_v(s, \phi_v, F_v).$$

Here $d_P^S(s) = \zeta^S \left(s + \frac{5}{2} \right) \zeta^S(2s+1) \zeta^S(2s+3)$, ϕ_v is the matrix coefficient of π_v associated to f_v such that $\phi_v(1) = 1$, and $Z_v(s, \phi_v, F_v)$ is the local zeta integral.

Let

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \nu^t a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in H \mid a \in \mathrm{GL}(3), \nu \in \mathbf{G}_m \right\}$$

be a maximal parabolic subgroup of H . Let $\mathcal{F} = \otimes_v \mathcal{F}_v$ be a holomorphic section of $\mathrm{Ind}_{Q(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})}^{H(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})} (\delta_Q^{s/6})$, where δ_Q is the modulus character of $Q(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}})$. Let $\mathcal{E}(s, \mathcal{F})$ be the Eisenstein series attached to \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 4.2 (Jiang [7]). *We have*

$$\langle \mathcal{E}(s, \mathcal{F})|_G, \bar{f} \otimes f \rangle = d_Q^S(s)^{-1} L^S \left(\frac{s+1}{2}, \pi \times \pi^\vee \right) \prod_{v \in S} Z_v(s, W_v, \mathcal{F}_v).$$

Here $d_Q^S(s) = \zeta^S(s+1)\zeta^S(s+2)\zeta^S(s+3)\zeta^S(2s+2)$, W_v is the Whittaker function of π_v , associated to f_v , such that $W_v(1) = 1$, and $\mathcal{Z}_v(s, W_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ is the local zeta integral.

To compare these two integral representations, we use the Siegel-Weil formula. Recall the analytic behavior of the Eisenstein series:

- $E(s, F)$ has at most a simple pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ (Kudla and Rallis [10]),
- $\mathcal{E}(s, \mathcal{F})$ has at most a double pole at $s = 1$ (Jiang [7]).

On the other hand, since Π is cuspidal,

- $L^S\left(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi, \text{St}\right)$ is holomorphic and non-zero at $s = \frac{1}{2}$,
- $L^S\left(\frac{s+1}{2}, \pi \times \pi^\vee\right)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$.

Hence the first terms in the Laurent expansions of the Eisenstein series do not contribute to special values. This means that we must compare the second terms.

Proposition 4.3. *There exist F and \mathcal{F} which are $H(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ -invariant and which satisfies the following:*

- For

$$\varphi = \text{Res}_{s=1} \mathcal{E}(s, \mathcal{F}) - \zeta(4)^{-1} \text{CT}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} E(s, F),$$

we have

$$\langle \varphi|_G, \bar{f} \otimes f \rangle = 0.$$

- $Z_\infty(s, \phi_\infty, F_\infty)$ is holomorphic and non-zero at $s = \frac{1}{2}$.
- $\mathcal{Z}_\infty(s, W_\infty, \mathcal{F}_\infty)$ is holomorphic and non-zero at $s = 1$.

The proof of this proposition is based on the regularized Siegel-Weil formula of Kudla and Rallis [10], Kudla [9], and Jiang [8].

Now it is easy to check that

$$\frac{L(1, \pi, \text{Ad})}{\langle f, f \rangle} = C'_\infty \frac{Z_\infty\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_\infty, F_\infty\right)}{\mathcal{Z}_\infty(1, W_\infty, \mathcal{F}_\infty)},$$

where

$$C'_\infty = 2^{-1} \zeta_\infty(4)^{-1} L_\infty(1, \pi_\infty, \text{Ad}) \in \mathbf{C}^\times.$$

Since ϕ_∞ and W_∞ depend only on π_∞ , the right-hand side depends only on π_∞ , F_∞ , and \mathcal{F}_∞ . However, the left-hand side is independent of F_∞ and \mathcal{F}_∞ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arthur, *Unipotent automorphic representations: conjectures*, Astérisque **171-172** (1989), 13–71.
- [2] J. W. Cogdell, H. H. Kim, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and F. Shahidi, *On lifting from classical groups to GL_N* , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **93** (2001), 5–30.
- [3] P. Deligne, *Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales*, Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, pp. 313–346.
- [4] M. Furusawa and J. A. Shalika, *On central critical values of the degree four L -functions for $\text{GSp}(4)$: the fundamental lemma*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **782** (2003).
- [5] A. Ichino, *On critical values of adjoint L -functions for $\text{GSp}(4)$* , preprint.
- [6] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika, *Rankin-Selberg convolutions*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 367–464.

ON CRITICAL VALUES OF ADJOINT L -FUNCTIONS FOR $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$

- [7] D. Jiang, *Degree 16 standard L -function of $\mathrm{GSp}(2) \times \mathrm{GSp}(2)$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **588** (1996).
- [8] ———, *The first term identities for Eisenstein series*, J. Number Theory **70** (1998), 67–98.
- [9] S. S. Kudla, *Some extensions of the Siegel-Weil formula*, preprint.
- [10] S. S. Kudla and S. Rallis, *A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity*, Ann. of Math. **140** (1994), 1–80.
- [11] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis, *L -functions for the classical groups*, Explicit constructions of automorphic L -functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1254**, Springer-Verlag, 1987, pp. 1–52.
- [12] R. A. Rankin, *Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithmetical functions. I. The zeros of the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(n)}{n^s}$ on the line $\Re s = \frac{13}{2}$. II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of integral modular forms*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **35** (1939), 351–372.
- [13] H. Yoshida, *Motives and Siegel modular forms*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), 1171–1197.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY, 3-3-138 SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: ichino@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp