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Finite Groups of Local Characteristic 2
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Abstract
A finite group $X$ has local characteristic 2 if $C_L(O_2(L)) \leq O_2(L)$ for every 2-local subgroup $L$ of $X$. Ulrich Meierfrankenfeld, Bernd Stellmacher and Gernot Stroth have started a project on a revision of the classification of finite simple groups of local characteristic 2. In this paper we report on work on the global analysis part of this project contained in the author’s doctoral thesis.

1 Introduction

Let $p$ be a fixed prime (in this section we do not assume $p = 2$), $X$ a finite group whose order is divisible by $p$, and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(X)$.

A $p$-local subgroup of $X$ is the normalizer in $X$ of a nontrivial $p$-subgroup of $X$. The group $X$ has characteristic $p$ if $C_X(O_p(X)) \leq O_p(X)$. We say that $X$ has local characteristic $p$ if all $p$-local subgroups of $X$ have characteristic $p$. Finally, we say that $X$ has parabolic characteristic $p$ if every $p$-local subgroup $L$ of $X$ with $P \leq L$ has characteristic $p$.

Examples.

1. The generic examples of groups of local characteristic $p$ are finite groups of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic $p$.

2. $M_{12}$, $A_{10}$, $P\Omega_8^+(3)$ are examples of groups which have parabolic characteristic 2 but not local characteristic 2.

3. Finite groups of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic $r \neq p$ usually do not have parabolic characteristic $p$, but there are exceptions such as $L_2(2^n \pm 1)$ or $G_2(3)$ which have local characteristic 2.

*Partially supported by DMV/DFG Japan-Programme.
$X$ is a $\mathcal{K}$-group if every simple group involved in $X$ is a known simple group. If every $p$-local subgroup of $X$ is a $\mathcal{K}$-group, then $X$ is called a $\mathcal{K}_p$-group.

In the original proof of CFSG\footnote{The classification of the finite simple groups.} the finite simple groups of local characteristic 2 caused major difficulties. It is the goal of the project "Finite groups of local characteristic $p$" originated by Ulrich Meierfrankenfeld, Bernd Stellmacher and Gernot Stroth to give a revised proof of that part of CFSG. For an overview and more information on the project see [4] and [5].

2 The Setup

The bulk of the project consists of the investigation of the $p$-local structure of a finite group $G$ of local characteristic $p$. This information is then used to identify $G$ up to isomorphism. In the $H$-structure theorem [6], a large nonlocal subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $F^*(H)$ simple is constructed. If $G$ is a simple group, in most cases we have $G = H$, but there are exceptions like $P\Omega_8^+(2)$ in $P\Omega_8^+(3)$ for $p = 2$, or $P\Omega_7(3)$ in $M(22)$ for $p = 3$.

In his doctoral thesis the author investigates the following Setup.

Let $G$ be a finite $\mathcal{K}_2$-group of parabolic characteristic 2 with $O_2(G) = 1$.

We assume there exists a subgroup $H$ of $G$ of odd index.

Further we assume $K = F^*(H)$ is a simple group of Lie type defined over a field of even characteristic with Lie rank greater than 1.

Fix a Sylow 2-subgroup $S$ of $H$ (so $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$).

Finally, we assume that $R = Z(K \cap S)$ is a (long) root subgroup.

We also need some 2-local subgroups of $G$. Set $C = N_G(Z(S))$ and let $\tilde{C}$ be a fixed maximal 2-local subgroup of $G$ such that $C \leq \tilde{C}$.

We also assume that there is a maximal 2-local subgroup $M$ of $G$ with $S \leq M$, but $M$ is not contained in $\tilde{C}$.

Remarks.

1. The group $H$ is a group constructed in the $H$-structure theorem [6]. Therefore we have some information on the group $H$ and its embedding in $G$.

2. The condition on $R$ can be weakened to $R = \Omega_1(X_\alpha)$ for some root subgroup $X_\alpha$. This would allow $K$ to be a unitary group in odd dimension or a group of type $^2F_4$, too.
For further comments on this setup see [3].

The aim is to show that usually the following holds:

Every 2-local subgroup $L$ of $G$ with $S \leq L$ is contained in $H$. \hfill (*)

This is not true in every case, for instance $H \cong L_4(2) : 2 \cong S_8$, $G \cong A_{10}$ and $\tilde{C} \cong 2^4 : S_5$, or $H \cong PO_8^+(2)$, $G \cong PO_8^+(3)$ and $\tilde{C} \cong 2((A_4 \wr 2) : 2)$; in both cases $\tilde{C} \not\leq H$. So we also want to characterize the pairs $(G, H)$ where (*) fails.

The first and most difficult step to reach this aim is to determine when $\tilde{C}$ is contained in $H$.

In this paper we outline the proof of the following

**Theorem 1.** Assume the Setup. If $K$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups $PO_{2m}^+(q)$ ($\neq PO_8^+(2)$), $U_n(q)$ ($n$ even), $G_2(q)$ ($q > 2$), $E_6(q)$, $E_7(q)$, $E_8(q)$, $2E_6(q)$, $3D_4(q)$, where $q = 2^f$ for some positive integer $f$, then we have $\tilde{C} \leq H$.

**Remarks.**

1. In the exceptional cases of Theorem 1 we try to prove: If $\tilde{C} \not\leq H$ and $G$ is simple, then either $H \cong PO_8^+(2)$ and $G \cong PO_8^+(3)$, or $H \cong G_2(2)$ and $G \cong G_2(3)$.

2. We are working on a corresponding theorem for $K$ isomorphic to $L_n(q)$.

3. In all exceptional cases the main difficulty is the determination of $\tilde{C}$.

4. If we allow $R = \Omega_1(X_{\alpha})$ for some nonabelian root subgroup $X_{\alpha}$, then

   the proof of Theorem 1 shows $\tilde{C} \leq H$ if $K \cong U_{2m+1}(q)$. In case

   $K \cong 2F_4(q)$, we try to prove that $\tilde{C}$ is contained in $H$.

**Conjecture.** Assume the Setup. If $\tilde{C} \leq H$, then (*) holds.

### 3 Preliminary Observations

From now on assume the Setup. We frequently use facts from the theory of finite groups of Lie type without explicit notification. These are often well known, a standard reference for them is [2].

Because $R = Z(K \cap S)$ is a root subgroup, we have
Lemma 1. $K$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups: $L_n(q)$, $P\Omega_{2m}^{\pm}(q)$, $U_4(q)$ $(n$ even), $G_2(q)$, $G_2(2)'$, $E_6(q)$, $E_7(q)$, $E_8(q)$, $2E_6(q)$, $3D_4(q)$, for a suitable $q = 2^f$.

It is well known that $N_K(R)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $K$. We have $N_K(R) = Q_0K_0H_0$, $C_K(R) = Q_0K_0$, where $Q_0 = O_2(N_K(R))$, $K_0$ is a central product of groups of Lie type defined over some extension field of $F_q$, $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ acts regularly on $R^d$, $[K_0, H_0] = 1$.

From [2, 2.6.5e] we get $Z(S) \leq R$. Because all nonidentity elements in the root subgroup $R$ have the same centralizer in $K$, it follows $C_K(Z(S)) = C_K(R)$, in particular $C_K(R) \leq \tilde{C} \cap K$.

We set $Q = O_2(\tilde{C})$.

Lemma 2. Except for $K \cong L_4(q)$ or $G_2(2)'$, we have $Q \leq K$.

Proof. Set $Q_1 = O_2(\tilde{C} \cap H)$ and $Q_2 = O_2(\tilde{C} \cap K)$. Obviously, $Q \leq Q_1$. We show $Q_1 \leq K$.

Suppose $Q_1$ is not contained in $K$. Since $Q_2 = Q_1 \cap K$ and $K = F^*(H)$, the quotient group $Q_1/Q_2$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Out}(K)$. We have $[Q_1/Q_2, K_0] = 1$, so there is an involution in $\text{Out}(K)$ which centralizes $K_0$. Then [1, §19] yields $K \cong L_4(q)$ or $G_2(2)'$, a contradiction.

The following identification of $Q$ is a key ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 3. If $K \not\cong L_n(q)$, $2F_4(q)$, or $G_2(2)'$, then $Q = Q_0$. In particular $\tilde{C} = N_G(R)$.

Proof. Note that $Q_0/R$ is irreducible as $K_0$-module. By Lemma 2, $Q$ is contained in $K$, so it is easy to see that $Q \leq Q_0$. We have $K_0 \leq C_K(R) \leq \tilde{C}$, hence $Q/R$ is a $K_0$-invariant subspace of $Q_0/R$. Now the assertion follows, for $C_G(Q) \leq Q$.

Remark. If $K \cong L_n(q)$, then $K_0$ does not act irreducibly on $Q_0/R$. This is the reason why the linear groups need a separate treatment and are not included in Theorem 1.

A very easy but extremely useful observation is

Lemma 4. $\tilde{C}/Q$ acts faithfully on $Q/R$.

Proof. Observe that $Q = Q_0$ is a nonabelian special group with Frattini subgroup $R$. So $C_{\tilde{C}/Q}(Q/R)$ is a 2-group, for $G$ has parabolic characteristic 2. Now the assertion follows immediately.
Assume $K$ is one of the groups from Theorem 1. From Lemma 3 we have $Q = Q_0$ and thus $\bar{C} = N_G(Q_0) = N_G(R)$. The argument to show $\bar{C} \leq H$ is divided into several steps. First of all, we have to determine $F(\bar{C}/Q)$. After this is done, it will be evident that $\bar{C}/Q$ contains at least one component. We must identify the components of $\bar{C}/Q$ up to isomorphism. Here the following result from the author’s doctoral thesis is of particular importance.

**Lemma 5.** Let $X$ be an almost simple group with $F^*(X)$ of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic 2 and $Y$ be a known quasisimple group such that $X$ is a subgroup of $Y$ of odd index. Then $X = Y$, or one of the following holds:

1. $X \cong L_2(4)$, and $Y \cong L_2(p^a)$ with $p^a \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ and $p^a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ or $L_2(5^a)$ with $a > 1$ odd.
2. $X \cong L_2(4).2$, and $Y \cong A_7$ or $L_2(5^a)$ with $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.
3. $X \cong L_2(8)$, and $Y \cong 2G_2(3^{2a+1})$.
4. $X \cong L_2(8).3$, and $Y \cong 2G_2(3^{2a+1})$.
5. $X \cong L_3(2)$, and $Y \cong L_2(7^a)$ with $a > 1$ odd.
6. $X \cong L_3(2).2$, and $Y \cong L_2(7^a)$ with $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.
7. $X \cong L_3(4).2$, and $Y \cong M_{23}$ or $M_{24}$.
8. $X \cong L_3(4).2^2$, and $Y \cong L_2(9^a)$ or $\text{Aut}(M_{24})$.
9. $X \cong L_4(2)$, and $Y \cong A_9$.
10. $X \cong L_4(2).2$, and $Y \cong A_{10}$ or $A_{11}$.
11. $X \cong U_4(2)$, and $Y \cong PSp_4(q)$ with $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.
12. $X \cong U_4(2).2$, and $Y \cong L_4(q)$ or $U_4(q)$ with $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.
13. $X \cong Sp_4(2)'$, and $Y \cong A_7$ or $L_2(9^a)$ with $a > 1$ odd.
14. $X \cong Sp_4(2)'\cdot 2 \cong PGL_2(9)$, and $Y \cong L_2(9^a)$ with $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.
15. $X \cong Sp_4(2)'\cdot 2_3 \cong M_{12}$, and $Y \cong M_{11}$ or $L_3(q)$ with $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ or $U_3(q)$ with $q \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$.
16. $X \cong Sp_6(2)$, and $Y \cong P\Omega_7(q)$ with $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.
17. $X \cong P\Omega_8^+(2)$, and $Y \cong P\Omega_8^+(q)$ with $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.
18. $X \cong G_2(2)'$, and $Y \cong U_3(q)$ with $q = 3^{2a+1}$.

19. $X \cong G_2(2)$, and $Y \cong G_2(q)$ with $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.

In the last step of the proof of Theorem 1, we use the information on $E(C\langle Z \rangle)$ together with some information on $H$ and $M$ from [6] to show that every element $u \in \overline{C} \setminus H$ acts nontrivially on $K$. By replacing $H$ with $\langle H, u \rangle$, we may therefore assume $H$ maximal with $F^*(H) = K$ from the outset.

We will demonstrate this method by the example $K \cong E_8(q)$.

4 The proof of Theorem 1 in case $K \cong E_8(q)$

In this section we assume that $K \cong E_8(q)$, where $q = 2^f$ for some integer $f > 0$.

Then $N_K(R)$ is the $E_7(q)$-parabolic, i.e., $K_0 \cong E_7(q)$, $Q = Q/R$ is the $V(\lambda_7)$-module for $K_0$. In particular, $V$ is an absolutely irreducible $F_q K_0$-module. As in any case $C_K(R) = Q K_0$, $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ acts regularly on the set of nonidentity elements of $R$.

We first prove an auxiliary result.

Lemma 6. Every element of $\overline{C}/Q$ which is centralized by $K_0 Q/Q$ is contained in $H_0 Q/Q$.

Proof. Let $xQ \in \overline{C}/Q$ with $[K_0 Q/Q, xQ] = 1$. Consider the group $A$ generated by $K_0 Q/Q$ and the element $xQ$. As $V$ is an absolutely irreducible $F_q A$-module, it follows from Schur’s Lemma that $xQ$ acts by scalar multiplication on $V$. But we have got all the $q-1$ possible scalars in $H_0 Q/Q$ already. Now Lemma 4 yields the assertion. \hfill \Box

Lemma 7. The Fitting subgroup $F(\overline{C}/Q)$ is contained in $H_0 Q/Q$.

Proof. Fix a prime $p > 2$. It is enough to show $O_p(\overline{C}/Q) \leq H_0 Q/Q$. As $K_0$ is contained in $\overline{C}$, the group $K_0 Q/Q$ acts on $O_p(K_0 Q/Q)$. By Lemma 6 we can assume that this action is nontrivial, i.e., faithful as $K_0 Q/Q$ is simple.

Suppose first $f > 1$. Consider a root subgroup $\hat{R}$ of $K_0 Q/Q$. By Thompson’s Dihedral Lemma there is a subgroup $P \leq O_p(\overline{C}/Q)$ with $P \hat{R} = P_i(r_1) \times \cdots \times P_f(r_f)$, where $P_i(r_i)$ is a dihedral group of order $2p$ for $i = 1, \ldots, f$. It is well known that $C_V(r)$ is a dihedral group of order $2^l$. This implies $[V, P_2 \times \cdots \times P_f] = 1$. Then Thompson’s $A \times B$ Lemma gives $[V, P_2 \times \cdots \times P_f] = 1$. This is a contradiction to Lemma 4.

Let now $q = 2$. Set $P = \Omega_1(Z(O_p(\overline{C}/Q)))$. The group $K_0 Q/Q$ acts faithfully on $P$ by Lemma 6. Coprime action gives $V = [V, P] \oplus C_V(P)$, both
summands are $\bar{C}/Q$-invariant. Hence Lemma 4 and the irreducible action of $K_0Q/Q$ yield $V = [V, P]$. Therefore $V$ is the direct sum of $C_V(F)$ with $E \in \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is the set of hyperplanes $E$ of $P$ with $C_V(E) \neq 0$. Let $E \in \Gamma$ and $O$ the orbit of $E$ under $K_0Q/Q$. Then we have

$$V = \bigoplus_{F \in O} C_V(F).$$

It follows $\dim V \geq 2|O|$. Therefore $28 \geq |O| > 1$, but certainly $K_0Q/Q \cong E_7(q)$ does not have a nontrivial permutation representation of that degree. So we get again a contradiction and have thereby completed the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 8. $K_0Q/Q$ is the only component of $\bar{C}/Q$.

Proof. Recall $[H_0, K_0] = 1$. Hence $E(\bar{C}/Q) \neq 1$. Let $T \in \text{Syl}_2(E(\bar{C}/Q))$, without loss $T \leq S/Q$. Note $S/Q$, thus also $T$, normalizes $K_0Q/Q$. Let $L$ be a component of $\bar{C}/Q$. As $K_0Q/Q$ is simple, we have $K_0Q/Q \leq L$ or $K_0Q/Q \cap L = 1$.

In the first case, it follows from Lemma 6 that $L$ is the only component of $\bar{C}/Q$. Now $(K_0Q/Q)T$ is an almost simple subgroup of $L$ of odd index. From Lemma 5 we get $K_0Q/Q = L$, hence $K_0Q/Q = E(\bar{C}/Q)$.

So we can assume $K_0Q/Q \cap E(\bar{C}/Q) = 1$. Then $[K_0Q/Q, T] = 1$. But $T \neq 1$; this contradicts Lemma 6.

We need some definitions from the project. Recall that there is a maximal 2-local subgroup $M$ of $G$ with $S \leq M$ but $M \not\leq \bar{C}$. Define $M^0 = \langle Q^{14} \rangle$. Then $M^0$ dominates the structure of $M$, for we have $M = M^0(M \cap \bar{C})$, cf. [5, 2.4.2]. Let $Y_M$ be the unique maximal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $M$ with $O_2(M/C_M(Y_M)) = 1$, cf. [5, 2.0.1].

From the $H$-structure theorem [6, 3.2], we know that we can choose $M$ with $M^0$ the $P\Omega_{14}^+(q)$-parabolic of $K$, i.e., $F^*(M^0/O_2(M^0)) \cong P\Omega_{14}^+(q)$, $Y_M \cong Q^{14}$ is the natural module, and $O_2(M^0)/Y_M \cong q^{64}$ is a halfspin module for $F^*(M^0/O_2(M^0))$. Observe that $R$ is a singular point in $Y_M$ with $R = [Y_M, Q] = Y_M \cap Q$.

We assume that $H \leq G$ with $|G : H|$ odd is chosen maximal with respect to $F^*(H) = K \cong E_8(q)$. This choice does not affect the use of the results from [6].

Lemma 9. $\bar{C}$ is contained in $H$.

Proof. Suppose there is an element $u \in \bar{C} \setminus H$. Since $H$ contains $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, we can assume that $u$ has odd order. Obviously, $u$ acts on $Q$ and on $R$, by Lemma 8 also on $K_0Q$. 

By a Frattini argument we may assume that $u$ normalizes $K \cap S \in \text{Syl}_2(K_0Q)$. Since $|Y_MQ/Q| = q$ and $Y_MQ/Q \leq (K \cap S)Q/Q$, we have $Y_MQ/Q = Z((K \cap S)Q/Q)$. Therefore $u$ acts on $Y_MQ/Q$, thus on $Y_MQ$. Then $(Y_MQ)' = Y_M \cap Q$ and as a result $C_{K \cap S}(Y_M \cap Q)$ are $\langle u \rangle$-invariant, too.

Because $O_2(M/C_M(Y_M))$ is trivial, $O_2(M^0)$ is contained in $C_{K \cap S}(Y_M \cap Q)$. In fact, we have equality, since otherwise $P\Omega^+(4,q) \cong K_1 \leq M^0$ would contain an involution $t$ such that $C_{Y_M}(t) = Y_M \cap Q$ is a hyperplane. But in orthogonal groups there are no transvections with a singular point as center.

As $M$ is a maximal 2-local subgroup of $G$, we have $u \in M = N_G(O_2(M^0))$. That is, $u$ acts on $\langle M^0, K_0Q \rangle = K$. Of course this action is nontrivial, therefore $F^* (\langle H, u \rangle) = K$ contradicting the maximal choice of $H$. \hfill \Box

Remarks.

1. Note that for all $K$ from Theorem 1 with the exceptions $U_4(2)$ and $P\Omega^+_2(q)$ the group $K_0$ always is quasisimple. This allows us to give unified proofs of Lemmas 6 to 8.

2. If $K \cong U_4(2)$, then $Q \cong 2^{1+4}$ and $N_K(R)/Q \cong S_3 \times Z_3$. We get that $\tilde{C}/Q$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Out}(Q) \cong O^+_4(2)$, from which $\tilde{C} \leq H$ is clear.

3. If $K \cong P\Omega^+_2(q)$, then $K_0 \cong L_2(q) \times P\Omega^+_{2(m-2)}(q)$. In case $q > 2$, we can modify the argument given above for $E_6(q)$ to handle these groups, too. If $q = 2$, then Lemma 7 does not hold and we show that $F(\tilde{C}/Q) \leq O_3(K_0Q/Q)$ instead.

4. Note that for the general case of Theorem 1 in the proof of Lemma 8 there are examples where $(K_0Q/Q)T$ is possibly contained in a larger component $L$. But this group $L$ has to act faithfully on $Q/R$, and in each case it is easy to see that this is not possible.

5. The $H$-structure theorem [6] gives us a connection between the maximal 2-local subgroup $M$ of $G$ and some parabolic subgroup of $K$. This enables us to continue our investigations in the well known finite simple group of Lie type and to derive from these all the information needed to finish the proof of Theorem 1.
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