Biorthogonal approach for explicitly correlated calculations using the transcorrelated Hamiltonian

Osamu Hino and Yoshitaka Tanimura
The Graduate University for Advanced Sciences and The Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan
Seiichiro Ten-no
Graduate School of Human Informatics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

(Received 10 April 2001; accepted 14 August 2001)

A biorthogonal formulation is applied to the non-Hermite transcorrelated Hamiltonian, which treats a large amount of the dynamic correlation effects implicitly. We introduce biorthogonal canonical orbitals diagonalizing the non-Hermitian Fock operator. We also formulate many-body perturbation theory for the transcorrelated Hamiltonian. The biorthogonal self-consistent field followed by the second order perturbation theory are applied to some pilot calculations including small atoms and molecules. © 2001 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1408299]

I. INTRODUCTION

The importance of the electron correlation effects has been widely appreciated in \textit{ab initio} quantum chemistry. Despite the successful developments of highly reliable correlated methods like coupled-cluster theory,\textsuperscript{1} most numerical results are obtained with a finite number of one-electronic basis functions. The slow convergence of such traditional correlated methods is a direct consequence of the inability to describe the correlation cusp.\textsuperscript{2–5} The inclusion of explicitly correlated functions turned out to ameliorate this feature dramatically.\textsuperscript{6–10} The uses of explicitly correlated Gaussian-type geminals\textsuperscript{9–16} and the functions with explicitly linear \( r_{12} \) behavior\textsuperscript{17–19} have been plugged into many-electron theories to provide highly accurate approaches. After the improvements of scaling and feasibility, the explicitly correlated methods will play the most important role in contemporary quantum chemistry for reliable energetics with wide variety including the potential energy surfaces of large molecules.

Recently, Ten-no proposed the simple use of a similarity transformed Hamiltonian, which is parameterized with a fixed two-electronic function (geminal).\textsuperscript{20,21} Hereafter the Hamiltonian is referred to as the transcorrelated Hamiltonian after the former terminology of Boys and Handy.\textsuperscript{22} The geminal is determined such that the singular behavior of the Coulomb potential is compensated at short interelectronic distances. The accompanying correlation factor reproduces the correlation cusp in the many-electronic wave function appropriately. The non-Hermitian nature of the transcorrelated Hamiltonian, however, makes the construction of a self-consistent field nontrivial. The problem was resolved by employing a modified Møller–Plesset (MP) partitioning\textsuperscript{20} with the usual hermite component of the Hartree–Fock model Hamiltonian. Approximate ground state energies were obtained at the second order perturbation level based on the pseudo-orbital theory with the partitioning.

In this paper, we propose an attractive alternative to treat the transcorrelated Hamiltonian using biorthogonal formulation, which has been applied to many electron theories with nonorthogonal orbitals. For instance, Mayer, Surján, and co-workers proposed a method called the chemical Hamiltonian approach (CHA) (Refs. 23, 24) to eliminate the basis set superposition error (BSSE). Gouyet discussed the intermolecular interactions\textsuperscript{25,26} using a nonhermite unperturbed Hamiltonian. Biorthogonal perturbation formulas were developed by Gouyet\textsuperscript{25,26} and by Surján and Mayer.\textsuperscript{27} Fuchikami and Block treated the exchange interactions between monomers representing the interaction Hamiltonian with nonorthogonal orbitals.\textsuperscript{28} Cantu and co-workers proposed an application to the valence bond (VB) theory.\textsuperscript{29} They discussed a group theoretical treatment to construct the VB states with definite spin symmetry from biorthogonal orbitals and evaluate matrix elements. Norbeck and McWeeny performed preliminary VB calculations with biorthogonal orbitals.\textsuperscript{30}

We shortly review how operators are represented in the second quantized form using biorthogonal formulation in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we illustrate the transcorrelated Hamiltonian approach and discuss the self-consistent field theory using biorthogonal orbitals. In Sec. IV, we develop MBPT for the transcorrelated Hamiltonian based on the biorthogonal formalism. In Sec. V, we report numerical results. We also explain the approximate calculations of three-electron integrals, which appear in the transcorrelated method. We summarize this work in Sec. VI.

II. BIOORTHOGONAL SECOND QUANTIZATION

The biorthogonal second quantization has been discussed in several literatures.\textsuperscript{23,26,28,29,31} We briefly show the key features of the formulation. Let us suppose the biorthogonal functions,

\[
\lambda = \{ \chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots \},
\]
\[
\pi = \{ \phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots \},
\]
\[
\int \chi_p(1) \phi_q(1) d1 = \delta_{pq},
\]

where \( \lambda \) and \( \pi \) are biorthogonal canonical orbitals. The approximation is based on the similarity transformation. Approximate ground state energies were obtained at the second order perturbation level based on the pseudo-orbital theory with the partitioning.
where the indices, \( p, q, \ldots \), denote spin orbitals. For simplicity, the one-electronic functions are assumed to be real. The field operators are expressed in terms of the biorthogonal functions, which span the complete one-electronic space as different determinantal states as different

\[
\Psi^+(1) = \sum_p b_p^+ \chi_p(1),
\]

\[
\Psi(1) = \sum_q c_q \phi_q(1),
\]

where \( b_p^+ \) and \( c_q \) are new creation and annihilation operators corresponding to the biorthogonal functions, \( \phi_p \) and \( \chi_q \), respectively,

\[
b_p^+ = \int \phi_p(1) \Psi^+(1) d1,
\]

\[
c_q = \int \chi_q(1) \Psi(1) d1.
\]

These definitions of the operators are mathematically equivalent to the ones using the inverse of overlap integrals of the nonorthogonal basis set.\(^{23,26,28,29,31}\) One can express operators in the second quantized form using the biorthogonal basis functions. The one-electron operator,

\[
O^1 = \sum_i \psi^i(i),
\]

is rewritten as

\[
O^1 = \int \Psi^+(1) \omega^1(1) \Psi(1) d1 = \sum_{pq} \omega^1_{pq} b_p^+ c_q,
\]

\[
\omega^1_{pq} = \int \chi_p(1) \omega^1(1) \phi_q(1) d1.
\]

Similarly, the two-electron operator,

\[
O^2 = \sum_{i,j} \omega^2(i,j),
\]

becomes

\[
O^2 = \sum_{pqrs} \omega^2_{pqrs} b_p^+ b_q^+ c_r c_s,
\]

\[
\omega^2_{pqrs} = \int \chi_p(1) \chi_q(2) \omega^2(1,2) \phi_r(1) \phi_s(2) d1d2.
\]

The Hermitian conjugate of \( c_r \) is not \( b_r^+ \) unless the orbital \( \chi_p \) coincides with \( \phi_p \). The usual anticommutation relations hold for the biorthogonal operators,

\[
\{ b_p^+, b_q^+ \} = 0, \quad (2.14a)
\]

\[
\{ c_p, c_q \} = 0, \quad (2.14b)
\]

\[
\{ b_p^+, c_q \} = \delta_{pq}, \quad (2.14c)
\]

The Wick theorem can be used for the calculation of operator products. This fact enables us to use the conventional second quantized formalism, i.e., the normal ordering and diagrammatic techniques, for different determinantal states as different bracket vacuums.\(^{25,26,29}\) In what follows, we formulate a many-body perturbation theory for the transcorrelated method.

### III. TRANSCORRELATED HAMILTONIAN

The transcorrelated Hamiltonian\(^{10,22,32}\) is defined as

\[
\tilde{H} = e^{-F} H e^F,
\]

which deals with the dynamic correlation effects accurately through the correlation factor, \( e^F \). The correlation factor is dependent on the positions of electrons explicitly. The transformation does not change the energy spectrum while the effective Hamiltonian becomes non-Hermitian. This means that the variational calculation does not necessarily give the upper bound of the exact energy. The feature never becomes a major disadvantage in practical applications. In the recent development,\(^{20,21}\) a spherically symmetric geminal

\[
F = \sum_{ij} f(r_{ij}),
\]

is used to describe the Coulomb hole in the vicinity of \( r_{12} = 0 \). Residual correlation effects are dealt with by the standard expansions with one-electronic functions. \( \tilde{H} \) is expanded in a power series of \( F \) as

\[
\tilde{H} = H + [H,F] + \frac{1}{2!} [[H,F],F],
\]

which terminates at the double commutator.\(^{22,32}\) We can rewrite the transcorrelated Hamiltonian as

\[
\tilde{H} = H + K + L,
\]

where the operators, \( K \) and \( L \), are in the biorthogonal form,

\[
K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq|K_{12} |rs\rangle b_p^+ b_q^+ c_r c_s,
\]

\[
L = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq|L_{123} |stu\rangle b_p^+ b_q^+ b_r^+ c_r c_s c_s,
\]

with the operators,

\[
K_{12} = -\nabla^2 f(r_{12}) - \nabla f(r_{12}) \cdot \nabla f(r_{12}) - \nabla f(r_{12}) \cdot (\nabla \nabla f(r_{12})),
\]

\[
L_{123} = -\nabla f(r_{12}) \cdot \nabla f(r_{13}) - \nabla^2 f(r_{23}) - \nabla f(r_{21}) - \nabla f(r_{31}) \cdot \nabla f(r_{32}).
\]

We parameterize the geminal using the least square fitting such that the relation

\[
\nabla^2 f(r_{12}) + \nabla f(r_{12}) \cdot \nabla f(r_{12}) \approx r_{12}^{-1} w(r_{12}),
\]

holds approximately,\(^{20}\) where \( w(r_{12}) \) is a short-range weight function. Under this condition, we localize the correlation factor to keep the additional integrals, Eqs. (3.7) and (3.8), increasing linearly to the system size, maintaining the proper description of the Coulomb cusp. The geminal is represented by a linear combination of Gaussian functions,
We use another weight Gaussian function, \( \exp(-\xi_{m}r_{21}^{2}) \) for closed expressions in the least-square fitting. The present method does not treat the correlation factor as a variational parameter unlike the others \(^{6,15}\) including the original transcorrelated method. \(^{10,22}\) This feature bypasses the complicated nonlinear optimization of the factor, which requires four electron integrals. The antisymmetrized part of the wave function is treated efficiently with one-electronic basis functions since the transcorrelated Hamiltonian is almost free from the Coulomb singularity. The expansion series is expected to converge much faster than the usual wave function one.

We introduce the self-consistent field theory for the transcorrelated Hamiltonian using the biorthogonal formalism. Henceforward, the indices, \( i,j, \ldots \), and \( a,b,\ldots \), denote occupied and virtual orbitals in the biorthogonal basis, respectively. Let us suppose the conjugate states consisting of \( N \) biorthogonal occupied orbitals,

\[
X = \{ \chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_N \},
\]

\[
\Phi = \{ \phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_N \},
\]

with the normalization condition, \( \langle X | \Phi \rangle = 1 \). The variation to the energy functional,

\[
\bar{E}_{\text{SCF}} = \langle X | \bar{H} | \Phi \rangle,
\]

leads to the Brillouin theorem for the transcorrelated Hamiltonian,

\[
\langle X | \bar{H} b_{a}^{+} c_{i} | \Phi \rangle = \bar{f}_{ia},
\]

\[
\langle X | b_{a}^{+} c_{i} \bar{H} | \Phi \rangle = \bar{f}_{ai} = 0.
\]

The non-Hermite Fock operator is given by

\[
\bar{f}_{pq} = h_{pq} + \sum_{i} \langle pi | r_{12}^{-1} + K_{12} | qi \rangle_{A} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \langle pij | L_{123} | qi \rangle_{A},
\]

where \( h_{pq} \) are the one-electron integrals in the biorthogonal basis and the antisymmetrized matrix elements are

\[
\langle pq | r_{12}^{-1} + K_{12} | rs \rangle_{A} = \langle pq | r_{12}^{-1} + K_{12} | rs \rangle - \langle pq | r_{12}^{-1}
\]

\[
+ K_{12} | sr \rangle,
\]

\[
\langle pqr | L_{123} | stu \rangle_{A} = \langle pqr | L_{123} | stu \rangle + \langle pqr | L_{123} | tus \rangle - \langle pqr | L_{123} | tsu \rangle
\]

\[
+ \langle pqr | L_{123} | uts \rangle - \langle pqr | L_{123} | tus \rangle - \langle pqr | L_{123} | tus \rangle.
\]

We can obtain the biorthogonal canonical orbitals by diagonalizing the Fock operator,

\[
\bar{f}_{pq} = e_{p} \delta_{pq}.
\]

The choice of the model Hamiltonian fulfills the Hellman–Feynmann theorem,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \langle X(\alpha) | \bar{H}(\alpha) | \Phi(\alpha) \rangle \bigg|_{\alpha = 0} = \langle X | \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial \phi} | \Phi \rangle.
\]

Therefore, molecular properties like the energy gradients are simply calculated in terms of the transformed molecular integrals and the reduced density matrix for the biorthogonal states, \( X \) and \( \Phi \). One disadvantage is that we have to manipulate the time-consuming three-electron integrals iteratively. Fortunately, our choice of the short-ranged geminal makes the contribution of \( L \) much less important than \( K \) and the approximate form of the model Hamiltonian,

\[
\bar{f}_{pq} = h_{pq} + \sum_{i} \langle pi | r_{12}^{-1} + K_{12} | qi \rangle_{A},
\]

works pretty well as will be discussed in Sec. V.

**IV. MANY-BODY PERTURBATION FOR THE TRANSCORRELATED HAMILTONIAN**

Let us proceed with reviewing the biorthogonal perturbation theory. \(^{25–27,29}\)

We derive perturbation formulas for the transcorrelated Hamiltonian following the previous biorthogonal theories. \(^{25–27,29}\) Suppose the partitioning,

\[
\bar{H} = \bar{H}_{0} + \bar{V}.
\]

The biorthogonal Slater determinants are assumed to be the eigenfunctions of the non-Hermitian model Hamiltonian, \( \bar{H}_{0} \),

\[
\langle \bar{H}_{0} - E_{0} | \Phi \rangle = 0,
\]

\[
\langle X | \bar{H}_{0} - E_{0} \rangle = 0.
\]

The projection of the Schrödinger equation,

\[
(\bar{H} - E) \Omega | \Phi \rangle = 0,
\]

on the bra vector, \( \langle X | \), gives the perturbation energy,

\[
E - E_{0} = \langle X | \bar{V} \Omega | \Phi \rangle,
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the wave operator. Using the projection operators,

\[
P = | \Phi \rangle \langle X |,
\]

\[
Q = 1 - P,
\]

and Eq. (4.4), we have

\[
\Omega = 1 + \frac{1}{z - \bar{H}_{0}} Q(z - E + \bar{V}) \Omega,
\]

for any number, \( z \). Iterating the equation, we obtain the perturbative formulas for the wave operator and energy,

\[
\Omega = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \bar{H}_{0}} Q(z - E + \bar{V}) \right]^{m},
\]

\[
E - E_{0} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle X | \bar{V} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \bar{H}_{0}} Q(z - E + \bar{V}) \right]^{m} | \Phi \rangle.
\]
Setting the parameter, \( z = E_0 \), we obtain the biorthogonal Rayleigh–Schrödinger series,\(^{26,27}\)

\[
E - E_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle \chi | \left[ \frac{1}{E_0 - \hat{H}_0} Q (E_0 - \hat{V}) \right]^m | \Phi \rangle.
\]

(4.11)

Alternatively, we have the Brillouin–Wigner one with \( z = E \),

\[
E - E_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle \chi | \left[ \frac{1}{E_0 - \hat{H}_0} Q \hat{V} \right]^m | \Phi \rangle.
\]

(4.12)

Applying the partitioning,

\[
\tilde{H}_0 = \sum_{pq} \tilde{f}_{pq} b_p^+ c_q = \sum_p \epsilon_p b_p^+ c_p,
\]

(4.13)

\[
\tilde{V} = -\sum_{pq} (\tilde{f}_{pq} - h_{pq}) b_p^+ c_q + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pqr | r_{12}^{-1}
\]

\[
+ K_{12} | rs \rangle b_q^+ c_r
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{6} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pqr | L_{123} | stu \rangle b_p^+ b_q^+ b_r^+ c_a c_b c_c c_d,
\]

(4.14)

to the formula, Eq. (4.11), we obtain the second order perturbation energy,

\[
\bar{E}^{(2)} = \tilde{E}^{(2)} + E^{(2)}_T,
\]

(4.15)

\[
E^{(2)}_D = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} \bar{g}_{ijab} \bar{g}_{abij},
\]

(4.16)

\[
E^{(2)}_r = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} \epsilon_a \epsilon_b \langle \tilde{f}_{pq} | L_{123} | \langle ab \rangle A \rangle,
\]

(4.17)

where we defined the matrix elements

\[
\bar{g}_{pqrs} = \langle pqr | r_{12}^{-1} + K_{12} | rs \rangle_A + \sum_i \langle pqi | L_{123} | rs \rangle_A.
\]

(4.18)

If we use the approximate form of the Fock operator (3.21), the singles correction appears in the energy expression,

\[
\bar{E}^{(2)} = \bar{E}^{(2)}_S + \bar{E}^{(2)}_D + \bar{E}^{(2)}_T,
\]

(4.19)

\[
\bar{E}^{(2)}_S = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} \langle ijk | L_{123} | a j k \rangle \langle a b c | L_{123} | i j k \rangle \epsilon_a \epsilon_b.
\]

(4.20)

Usually, the triples energy, \( \bar{E}^{(2)}_T \), is negligibly small for our localized geminal.

V. NUMERICAL METHOD AND RESULTS

For the application of the present biorthogonal method, the manipulation of the three-electron integrals is crucial because the explicit calculation of the integrals requires a large amount of CPU time and disk space. To avoid this difficulty, the approximate completeness insertion,

\[
\langle pqr | \nabla f_{12} \cdot \nabla f_{123} | stu \rangle \approx \sum_w \langle pw | \nabla f_{12} | wt \rangle \cdot \langle wr | \nabla f_{123} | sw \rangle,
\]

(5.1)

was used in the previous work.\(^{20}\) The formula however requires all of the Cartesian components of the integrals, \( \langle pq | \nabla f_{12} | rs \rangle \). Furthermore, it is difficult to adapt the spatial symmetry. In this work, we employ an alternative formula.\(^{33}\) The operator, \( K_{12} \), is decomposed into the linear and quadratic terms in the geminal as,

\[
K_{12} = \frac{1}{2} (K_{12}^1 + K_{12}^2) + K_{12}^3,
\]

(5.2)

\[
K_{12}^1 = -\nabla f_{12} - 2 \nabla f_{121} \cdot \nabla f_{12},
\]

(5.3)

\[
K_{12}^2 = -\nabla f_{12} \cdot \nabla f_{12}.
\]

(5.4)

Then the three-electron integrals are approximated by

\[
\langle pqr | \nabla f_{12} \cdot \nabla f_{123} | stu \rangle \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_w \langle pr | K_{12}^1 | wu \rangle \langle wq | f_{12} | st \rangle
\]

\[
- \langle pq | f_{12} | wt \rangle \langle wr | K_{12}^2 | su \rangle.
\]

(5.5)

The operators, \( K_{12}^1 \) and \( f_{12} \), are antisymmetric and symmetric to reduce the disk storage and to enable us to use the molecular symmetries. In addition to these features, the expression increases the accuracy of the completeness insertion. This is because the operators do not include extra momentum unlike the one, \( \nabla f_{12} \), and do not increase the necessary angular momentum of the expansion functions, \( w \). We consistently use the spherical harmonic basis functions for all the calculations.

A. Beryllium atom

We first apply the present biorthogonal MBPT(2) to the beryllium atom. The frozen geminal determined for \( \zeta_w = 5 \) with 6-component Gaussian functions\(^{20}\) is employed throughout this work for all molecules consist of light atomic elements. We use the primitive functions in the atomic natural orbitals (ANO) triple zeta set,\(^{35}\) 14s9p4d3f, and check the convergence by removing the angular components outer than the \( s \)-shell. The approximate expression of the Fock operator (3.21) is used for the self-consistent scheme.

We compare the biorthogonal energies with the conventional MBPT (2) results in Table I. The component, \( \Delta \bar{E}_{\text{SCF}} + \bar{E}^{(2)}_S \), can be attributed to the single determinant contribution of the reference to the correlation energy, where \( \Delta \bar{E}_{\text{SCF}} = \langle \chi | H - \hat{E}_{\text{HF}} | \Phi \rangle \). The singles energy, \( \bar{E}^{(2)}_S \), is less than 0.01 mEh. This rationalizes the use of the approximate Fock operator. The triples contribution, \( \bar{E}^{(2)}_T \), is always less than 1 \( \mu \)Eh and can be neglected practically. The reference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis set</th>
<th>( \Delta \bar{E}_{\text{SCF}} + \bar{E}^{(2)}_S )</th>
<th>( \bar{E}^{(2)}_D )</th>
<th>( \bar{E}^{(2)}_T )</th>
<th>( \Delta \bar{E}_{\text{SCF}} + \bar{E}^{(2)} )</th>
<th>MBPT(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14s</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>0.11 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>64.84</td>
<td>15.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14s9p</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>1.22 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>77.60</td>
<td>64.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14s9p4d</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>22.34</td>
<td>1.9 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>80.72</td>
<td>68.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14s9p4d3f</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>1.9 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>81.71</td>
<td>69.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)The Hartree–Fock energy is \(-14.57299 \)Eh. Almost exact MBPT(2) energy is \(-76.36 \)mEh (Ref. 39). The best R12-MBPT(2) and R12-CCSD(T) energies are \(-76.25 \)and \(-94.29 \)mEh, respectively (Ref. 19).
energy, \( \Delta \tilde{E}_{SCF} + E^{(2)} \), covers \( \approx 70\% \) of the total correlation energy and the residual is dealt with mainly by the doubles, \( E^{(2)}_D \). The best biorthogonal MBPT(2) energy lies in between the exact MBPT(2) and R12-CCSD(T) ones. This is because the transcorrelated results implicitly include the quadruples and higher excitations, which are similar to the disconnected products in the coupled-cluster theory, as a result of the exponential form of the correlation factor. We expect a clear improvement by inclusion of higher order contributions because the nondynamic correlation effects are important for the atom.

### B. Methane and acetylene

The methane molecule was calculated using the modified MP partitioning in the previous work. We apply the biorthogonal theory to the system to compare with the conventional results including those of the R12 theory. Additionally, we also calculate the acetylene molecule whose extrapolated MBPT(2), CCSD, and CCSD(T) energies are available. The primitive basis functions are derived from the parent basis set, cc-pVTZ of Dunning. To improve the description around core, we augment the basis set for carbon with \( s\), \( p\), and \( d\)-primitives with the exponents, 41615.0, 70.588, and 3.784305, respectively, which are even-tempered sequences of the original basis set. Other conditions follow the previous calculation.

In Table II, we show the result of methane. The calculations are performed with the geometrical parameters, \( R_{CH} = 1.0848 \text{ Å} \) and \( \angle \text{HCH} = 109.47^\circ \). The conventional MBPT(2) energy is about 25 m\( E_h \) above the R12-MBPT(2)-A one, whereas the present \( \Delta \tilde{E}_{SCF} + E^{(2)} \) is \( -282.56 \text{ m}\( E_h \)\), which is even-tempered MBPT(2), CCSD, and CCSD(T) energies are available.

### C. Water and neon

The application of the transcorrelated Hamiltonian has been even straightforward for systems consisting of light atomic elements. As the mass of a constituent atom increases, however, we have to pay attention to the radius of the correlation factor. This is because the linear behavior of the correlation factor, \( f(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \), is adequate only in the slowly varying limit of the wave function. If the momentum difference of electrons is large, the term, \( -\nabla_1 f(r_{12}) \cdot (\nabla_1 - \nabla_2) \), in \( K_{12} \) can become a primary perturbation over the Coulomb repulsion at somewhat large interelectronic distances. This makes the second-order treatment inappropriate especially for pair correlations including core electrons. Furthermore, the uncertainty in the completeness insertion increases according to the dominance of \( L \) as described in the previous work.

### Table II. Perturbation energies (m\( E_h \)) of the methane molecule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta E_{SCF} )</th>
<th>( E_2^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( E_D^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta \tilde{E}_{SCF} + E^{(2)} )</th>
<th>MBPT(2)</th>
<th>CCSD</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>159.28</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>121.21</td>
<td>282.56</td>
<td>249.75</td>
<td>270.71</td>
<td>277.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The numbers in the parentheses denote the contribution of \( L \). The HF energy is \(-40.21469\text{ m}\( E_h \). The R12-MBPT(2)-A, R12-CCSD, and R12-CCSD(T) correlation energies are \(-273.58\), \(-288.56\), and \(-295.95\text{ m}\( E_h \), respectively (Ref. 19).

### Table III. Perturbation energies (m\( E_h \)) of the acetylene molecule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta E_{SCF} )</th>
<th>( E_2^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( E_D^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( \Delta \tilde{E}_{SCF} + E^{(2)} )</th>
<th>MBPT(2)</th>
<th>CCSD</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>296.28</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>173.02</td>
<td>472.24</td>
<td>411.58</td>
<td>425.50</td>
<td>443.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The numbers in the parentheses denote the contribution of \( L \). The HF energy is \(-76.85194\text{ m}\( E_h \). The extrapolated correlation energies are \(-455.0\), \(-460.6\), and \(-480.2\text{ m}\( E_h \), at MBPT(2), CCSD, and CCSD(T), respectively (Ref. 36).

### Table IV. Perturbation energies (m\( E_h \)) of water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis set</th>
<th>HF (\text{m}( E_h ))</th>
<th>( \Delta \tilde{E}_{SCF} + E^{(2)} )</th>
<th>MBPT(2)</th>
<th>CCSD</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9s4p1d</td>
<td>76 030.43</td>
<td>103.16</td>
<td>317.27</td>
<td>257.74</td>
<td>266.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10s5p3d1f</td>
<td>76 057.32</td>
<td>101.40</td>
<td>347.04</td>
<td>318.46</td>
<td>325.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12s6p5d3f1g</td>
<td>76 064.89</td>
<td>101.12</td>
<td>354.88</td>
<td>341.90</td>
<td>345.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14s8p7d5f3g</td>
<td>76 066.87</td>
<td>101.05</td>
<td>356.23</td>
<td>348.89</td>
<td>351.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Almost exact MBPT(2) energy is \(-361.4\text{ m}\( E_h \) (Ref. 41). The R12-MBPT(2)-A, R12-CCSD, and R12-CCSD(T) energies are \(-361.691\), \(-359.312\), and \(-369.228\text{ m}\( E_h \), respectively (Ref. 19). The Gaussian-type geminals MBPT(2) is \(-356.43\text{ m}\( E_h \) (Ref. 40).
parameterize a tight geminal for systems including heavy elements using the weight exponent, $\xi = 20$. The three-body contribution does not exceed $-140 \text{ m}E_h$ for the present systems under this condition. We use an even-tempered sequence of 6 Gaussian-type functions with the range of Gaussian exponents between 9004.0 and 0.52. We also investigate the basis set convergence for water and neon using primitive functions for the heavy atoms derived from the parent basis sets, cc-pVXZ (Ref. 37) ($X = D, T, Q$, and 5). The primitive sets are augmented with the $d$, $f$, and $g$-core polarization functions in the corresponding cc-pCXZ (Ref. 38) sets. This gives rise to the $9s4p1d$, $10s5p3d1f$, $12s6p5d3f1g$, and $14s8p7d5f3g$ sets, respectively. The corresponding primitive sets from cc-pVXZ are used for hydrogen with the exception that cc-pVQZ is used for the largest oxygen set, $14s8p7d5f3g$. The contributions of $E_T^{(2)}$ are estimated for $10s5p3d1f$. We do not discuss the effect in more detail since the absolute values of $E_T^{(2)}$ are less than 0.01 m$E_h$ under the above conditions.

The correlation energies of the water molecule are shown in Table IV and Fig. 1. We use the bond length and bond angle, $R_{OH} = 0.9573$ Å and $\angle \text{HOH} = 104.52^\circ$. It is shown that the biorthogonal MBPT(2) energies yield considerable improvements over the conventional MBPT(2). One notes the single determinant contribution, $\Delta E_{\text{SCE}} + E_S^{(2)}$, is much less sensitive to the basis set in comparison with the change of the HF energy. The biorthogonal MBPT(2) energies is always superior to the conventional MBPT(2) and CCSD for the present basis sets. The CCSD(T) however gains more correlation energy at $12s6p5d3f1g$ due to the importance of connected triples which are absent in the transcorrelated MBPT(2). The energy difference between the $10s5p3d1f$ and $14s8p7d5f3g$ sets is only 9 m$E_h$ in our approach, which is less than one-third of the conventional MBPT(2). This manifest improvements in the convergence behavior is due to the absence of Coulomb singularity in the transcorrelated Hamiltonian.

We also show the results for the neon atom in Table V and Fig. 2. The correlation energies of the biorthogonal MBPT(2) are closer to the R12-CCSD(T) one than those of the conventional MBPT(2), CCSD, and CCSD(T). The best biorthogonal MBPT(2) energies of water and neon are very close to those of Gaussian-type geminals MBPT(2). The accuracy of the present fit for the correlation factor is 95.7%, which is less than the previous one, 98.7%, in which both the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis set</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{SCE}} + E_S^{(2)}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{SCE}} + E_T^{(2)}$</th>
<th>MBPT(2)</th>
<th>CCSD</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$9s4p1d$</td>
<td>128.488.78</td>
<td>190.99</td>
<td>366.31</td>
<td>255.48</td>
<td>257.48</td>
<td>259.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10s5p3d1f$</td>
<td>128.531.86</td>
<td>187.69</td>
<td>381.17</td>
<td>330.53</td>
<td>332.81</td>
<td>337.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$12s6p5d3f1g$</td>
<td>128.543.47</td>
<td>183.66</td>
<td>385.31</td>
<td>360.63</td>
<td>361.84</td>
<td>368.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$14s8p7d5f3g$</td>
<td>128.567.77</td>
<td>183.47</td>
<td>385.60</td>
<td>370.69</td>
<td>370.78</td>
<td>377.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Almost exact MBPT(2) energy is $-387.99 \text{ m}E_h$ (Ref. 42). The R12-MBPT(2)-A, R12-CCSD, and R12-CCSD(T) energies are $-388.311$, $-383.823$, and $-390.508 \text{ m}E_h$, respectively (Ref. 19). The Gaussian-type geminals MBPT(2) is $-385.3 \text{ m}E_h$ (Ref. 43).
Gaussian exponents and coefficients are optimized. The quality of the fit may lead to a difference less than 10 mEh in the $\Delta E_{\text{SCF}}$ energy. Our preliminary calculations however showed that the total energy is much less sensitive to the accuracy of the fit. It is expected that the combinations with more sophisticated correlated methods like CCSD(T) will enable us to attain a calculation with a few mEh accuracy easily.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the biorthogonal formalism in our transcorrelated method. The best feature of the method is that the usual second quantization formalism over the biorthogonal basis sets can be used with the definition of canonical orbitals for the transcorrelated Hamiltonian. This makes the construction of single reference MBPT straightforward. The applications to the atoms and molecules showed that the present method leads to markedly better convergence improving the feasibility of the explicitly correlated calculations. All of the effective interactions in the transcorrelated Hamiltonian are parameterized to be short-ranged. This feature will make the application to even large molecules possible, with high accuracy in combination with the linear-scaling methods.\(^4\)–\(^7\)

The contribution of the three-electron integrals becomes more important as the masses of the constituent atoms increase. In such a situation, we will have to evaluate the three-electron integrals more precisely. The explicit evaluation of the three-electron integrals is feasible\(^21\) when the system size is sufficiently small. Our choice of the short-range geminal, however, implies that the integrals must be calculated explicitly only in the vicinity of the core electrons. The partial use of the explicit calculation along with the completeness insertion will be promising in the calculations with heavy atomic elements.

Finally, we mention the computational requirements of the present transcorrelated method. $N$, $V$, and $O$ are the numbers of basis functions, virtual orbitals, and occupied orbitals, respectively. The small contributions of the three-electron integrals allow us to exclude the treatment of $L$ in the SCF cycle. The biorthogonal MBPT(2) calculation is dominated by generating the connected doubles which requires $N^2 V^2 O^{1.5}$ floating point operations. In this way, the original scaling of the correlated method is hardly altered by the introduction of the transcorrelated Hamiltonian.

We are implementing other correlated methods using the transcorrelated Hamiltonian, like MRCI and higher order perturbation schemes including the coupled cluster method. We will be able to report further investigations in these lines.\(^3\)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present work is partly supported by the Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (A2) (No. 12042233) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, and the Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research B (No. 13440177) from JSPS in Japan.

34. S. Ten-no and O. Hino (unpublished).
35. O. Hino, Y. Tanimura, and S. Ten-no (to be published).