Noise-Induced Synchronization and Clustering in Ensembles of Uncoupled Limit-Cycle Oscillators
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We study synchronization properties of general uncoupled limit-cycle oscillators driven by common and independent Gaussian white noises. Using phase reduction and averaging methods, we analytically derive the stationary distribution of the phase difference between oscillators for weak noise intensity. We demonstrate that in addition to synchronization, clustering, or more generally coherence, always results from arbitrary initial conditions, irrespective of the details of the oscillators.
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Noise-induced synchronization is widely observed in various experimental systems ranging from neurons to lasers [1]. From the theoretical standpoint, after several pioneering studies [2], significant progress has been made by utilizing the phase reduction method for limit cycles [3–5]. These works generally proved that when the limit-cycle oscillators are driven by a sufficiently weak common additive noise, the Lyapunov exponent of the synchronized state averaged over the noise distribution always becomes negative, namely, the synchronized state is statistically stabilized. However, these works are still incomplete as the Lyapunov exponent only characterizes local stability of the synchronized state averaged over the noise distribution always becomes negative, namely, the synchronized state is statistically stabilized.

We consider the following Langevin equations describing an ensemble of $N$ uncoupled identical oscillators driven by common and independent white noises:

\[ \dot{X}^{(a)}(t) = F(X^{(a)}) + \sqrt{D}G(X^{(a)})\xi(t) + \sqrt{\epsilon}H(X^{(a)})\eta^{(a)}(t), \]

for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$, where $X^{(a)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ represents the state of the $\alpha$th oscillator at time $t$, $F(X^{(a)}) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ its individual dynamics, and $\eta^{(a)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ the external noise added independently to each oscillator.

We analyze these phenomena in more detail from an alternative perspective by adopting phase reduction and averaging methods to many-body stochastic dynamical equations describing a general class of limit-cycle oscillators driven by common and independent noises, which yields global characterization of their synchronization properties.

Noise-induced synchronization is widely observed in various experimental systems ranging from neurons to lasers [1]. From the theoretical standpoint, after several pioneering studies [2], significant progress has been made by utilizing the phase reduction method for limit cycles [3–5]. These works generally proved that when the limit-cycle oscillators are driven by a sufficiently weak common additive noise, the Lyapunov exponent of the synchronized state averaged over the noise distribution always becomes negative, namely, the synchronized state is statistically stabilized. However, these works are still incomplete as the Lyapunov exponent only characterizes local stability of the synchronized state averaged over the noise distribution always becomes negative, namely, the synchronized state is statistically stabilized.

We consider the following Langevin equations describing an ensemble of $N$ uncoupled identical oscillators driven by common and independent white noises:

\[ \dot{X}^{(a)}(t) = F(X^{(a)}) + \sqrt{D}G(X^{(a)})\xi(t) + \sqrt{\epsilon}H(X^{(a)})\eta^{(a)}(t), \]

for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$, where $X^{(a)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ represents the state of the $\alpha$th oscillator at time $t$, $F(X^{(a)}) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ its individual dynamics, and $\eta^{(a)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ the external noise added independently to each oscillator. The parameters $D$ and $\epsilon$ control their intensities. The $\mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$ matrices $G(X^{(a)})$ and $H(X^{(a)})$ represent the coupling of the oscillator to both types of the noises, which are assumed to be smooth functions of $X^{(a)}$. We interpret these Langevin equations in the Stratonovich sense, namely, we consider the white noise as the limit of colored noise with vanishingly small correlation time.

We assume that (i) each oscillator obeys the same dynamics, with a single stable limit cycle $X_0(t)$ in its phase space [6] and that (ii) noises of both types are sufficiently weak, so that phase reduction [7–9] of the above Langevin equations is possible [10]. Specifically, we describe the dynamics of each oscillator using only a constantly-increasing phase variable $\phi(t) = \phi(X(t)) \in [-\pi, \pi]$, defined along its limit cycle and also on its phase space except at phaseless sets. Applying the standard phase reduction method to Eq. (1) [7], we obtain (by virtue of the Stratonovich interpretation) the following approximate Langevin equations for the phase variables $\phi = (\phi^{(1)}, \ldots, \phi^{(N)})$:

\[ \dot{\phi}^{(a)}(t) = \omega + \sqrt{D}Z(\phi^{(a)}) \cdot G(\phi^{(a)})\xi(t) + \sqrt{\epsilon}Z(\phi^{(a)}) \cdot H(\phi^{(a)})\eta^{(a)}(t). \]

Here, $\omega$ is the natural frequency of the oscillators, $Z(\phi^{(a)}) = \nabla_X \phi^{(a)}|_{X=X_0(\phi^{(a)})} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is the phase sensitivity function of the individual oscillator that quantifies the phase response of each oscillator to weak perturbations [7], $G(\phi^{(a)}) = G[X_0(\phi^{(a)})]$, and $H(\phi^{(a)}) = H[X_0(\phi^{(a)})]$. We normalize $Z(\phi)$ such that $Z(\phi) \cdot F[X_0(\phi)] = \omega$ holds constantly. $Z(\phi)$, $G(\phi)$, and $H(\phi)$ are smooth periodic functions of $\phi$.

The Stratonovich Langevin Eqs. (2) are converted to equivalent Itô stochastic differential equations [11] of the form $d\phi^{(a)}(t) = A^{(a)}(\phi)dt + d\zeta^{(a)}(\phi, t)$, where $\{\zeta^{(a)}(\phi, t)\}$ are correlated Wiener processes. Their increments are expressed as $d\zeta^{(a)}(\phi, t) = \sqrt{D} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} G_{ij}(\phi^{(a)}) dV_i(t) + \sqrt{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} H_{ik}(\phi^{(a)}) dW_k^{(a)}(t)$, where $\{V_i(t)\}$ and $\{W_k^{(a)}(t)\}$ are independent Wiener processes. The statistics of $\{d\zeta^{(a)}(\phi, t)\}$ are speci-
fied by \( \langle d_\xi^{(\alpha)}(\phi, t) \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle d_\xi^{(\alpha)}(\phi, t)d_\xi^{(\beta)}(\phi, t) \rangle = C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \, dt \), where \( C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \) is a \( R^{N \times N} \) correlation matrix defined as

\[
C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) = D \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_i(\phi^{(\alpha)}) G_{ik}(\phi^{(\alpha)}) \right] \\
\times \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} Z_j(\phi^{(\beta)}) G_{jk}(\phi^{(\beta)}) \right] \\
+ \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_i(\phi^{(\alpha)}) H_{ik}(\phi^{(\alpha)}) \right] \\
\times \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} Z_j(\phi^{(\beta)}) H_{jk}(\phi^{(\beta)}) \right] \delta_{\alpha, \beta}. \tag{3} \]

Note that \( C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \) is periodic in \( \phi^{(\alpha)} \) for all \( \alpha \), and its \((\alpha, \beta)\) component depends only on \( \phi^{(\alpha)} \) and \( \phi^{(\beta)} \). Since \( C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \) is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix, we can also express \( d_\xi^{(\alpha)}(\phi, t) \) using \( N \) independent Wiener processes \( \{W^{(\alpha)}(t)\} \) as

\[
d_\xi^{(\alpha)}(\phi, t) = \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} B^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) dW^{(\beta)}(t), \]

where \( B^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \) is a real symmetric matrix satisfying \( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} B^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\phi) = C^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\phi) \). The transformed drift coefficients \( A^{(\alpha)}(\phi) \) can be calculated as

\[
A^{(\alpha)}(\phi) = \omega + (1/4)(\partial / \partial \phi^{(\alpha)}) C^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\phi), \]

where we utilized the fact that the right-hand side of Eq. (2) depends only on \( \phi^{(\alpha)} \) in calculating the Wong-Zakai correction term [11]. The original \( N \) vector Stratonovich Langevin equations (1) with \( N \) independent vector noises \( \xi^{(i)}(t) \) and \( \{\eta^{(a)}(i\rangle \}) \) are now reduced to \( N \) scalar Itô stochastic differential equations with \( N \) correlated scalar noises \( \{d_\xi^{(\alpha)}(\phi, t)\} \). The corresponding Fokker-Planck equation (FPE) describing the evolution of the probability density function (PDF) \( P(\phi, t) \) of the phase variables is given by

\[
\frac{\partial P(\phi, t)}{\partial t} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(\alpha)}} \left( A^{(\alpha)}(\phi) P(\phi, t) \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^{(\alpha)} \partial \phi^{(\beta)}} C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) P(\phi, t) \right]. \tag{4} \]

We now invoke the averaging approximation [7] to this FPE. We introduce new slow phase variables \( \psi = (\psi^{(1)}, \ldots, \psi^{(N)}) \) as \( \phi^{(\alpha)} = \omega t + \psi^{(\alpha)} \) \( (\alpha = 1, \ldots, N) \), and their PDF \( Q(\psi, t) \) as \( P(\phi, t) \). With sufficiently weak external noises, \( Q \) varies slowly compared with the oscillator natural period, \( T = 2\pi / \omega \). We can thus average the drift coefficients \( A^{(\alpha)}(\phi) \) and the diffusion coefficients \( C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \) of the FPE over the period \( T \) keeping \( Q \) constant. The resulting averaged FPE for \( Q \) is given by

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q(\psi, t) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^{(\alpha)} \partial \psi^{(\beta)}} [D^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\psi) Q]. \tag{5} \]

The drift coefficient \( A^{(\alpha)}(\phi) \) simply yields \( \omega \) after averaging due to the periodicity of \( C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \) in \( \phi^{(\alpha)} \), which vanishes in the new variables. The averaged diffusion coefficients \( D^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\psi) \) are given by

\[
D^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\psi) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\psi) \, dt' \\
= D g(\psi^{(\alpha)} - \psi^{(\beta)}) + \epsilon h(0) \delta_{\alpha, \beta}, \tag{6} \]

where we utilized the fact that \( C^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\phi) \) depends only on \( \phi^{(\alpha)} \) and \( \phi^{(\beta)} \), and introduced the correlation function \( g(\theta) \) of \( Z_i(\phi) \) and \( G_{ik}(\phi) \) as

\[
g(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} Z_i(\phi') G_{ik}(\phi') Z_j(\phi' + \theta) \\
\times G_{jk}(\phi' + \theta) d\phi', \tag{7} \]

and similarly the correlation function \( h(\theta) \) of \( Z_i(\phi) \) and \( H_{ik}(\phi) \).

Clearly, \( g(0) > 0 \) and \( h(0) > 0 \) [we exclude the nonphysical case \( Z(\phi) = \text{const.} \)] . Using the periodicity of \( Z(\phi) \) and \( G(\phi) \) in \( \phi \), it can also be proven that \( g(\theta) = g(-\theta) \) and \( g(0) = g(\theta) \). Since \( Z(\phi) \) and \( G(\phi) \) are smooth functions of \( \phi \), \( g(\theta) \) has a quadratic peak at \( \theta = 0 \). It can also have other quadratic peaks at \( \theta \neq 0 \), e.g., \( \theta = \pm 2\pi / 3 \), when \( Z(\phi) \) contains non-negligible high-order harmonics or when the common noise is introduced multiplicatively.

To analyze the phase relationship between the oscillators, we focus on the PDF of the phase difference. Without loss of generality, we first introduce the two-body PDF of \( \psi^{(1)} \) and \( \psi^{(2)} \) as \( R(\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)}, t) = \int d\psi^{(3)} \cdots d\psi^{(N)} Q(\psi, t) \). The evolution equation for \( R(\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)}, t) \) can be derived from Eq. (4) by integrating over all other phase variables as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R(\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)}, t) = \frac{1}{2} [D g(0) + \epsilon h(0)] \\
\times \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^{(1)}} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^{(2)}} \right]^2 \right] \tag{8} \]

Furthermore, by transforming the two phase variables to the mean phase and the phase difference, \( \psi = (\psi^{(1)} + \psi^{(2)}) / 2, \theta = \psi^{(1)} - \psi^{(2)} \), the above equation can be further decoupled as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(\psi, t) = \frac{1}{4} [D g(0) + \epsilon h(0)] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} \tag{9} \]

where \( S(\psi, t) \) is given by

\[
U_0(\theta) = \frac{u_0}{D g(0) - g(\theta) + \epsilon h(0)}, \tag{10} \]

where \( u_0 \) is a normalization constant.
We now examine the consequences of the above results. Our argument holds generally for arbitrary \( g(\theta) \) that satisfies our assumptions, namely, for a general class of limit-cycle oscillators. When only the independent noises are given, \( D = 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( U_0(\theta) \) is simply uniform, so that the oscillators are completely desynchronized. When only the common noise is given, \( D > 0 \) and \( \epsilon = 0 \), \( U_0(\theta) \) diverges at \( \theta = 0 \) while remaining positive because \( g(0) \geq g(\theta) \), so that the phase difference between any pair of oscillators accumulates at zero, resulting in noise-induced complete synchronization. As \( \epsilon \) is increased from zero, \( U_0(\theta) \) becomes broader, but its peak at \( \theta = 0 \) remains as long as \( D > 0 \); i.e., the oscillators still concentrate coherently around \( \theta = 0 \). As we mentioned previously, \( g(\theta) \) may have multiple peaks in addition to \( \theta = 0 \). Then, the above discussion also holds for such values of \( \theta \). Multiple peaks of \( g(\theta) \) lead to the clustering behavior of the oscillators, a well-known phenomenon in coupled oscillators [12], but in the present case, it is caused by the combined effect of the phase sensitivity and the common noise alone. More generally, \( U_0(\theta) \) can exhibit a wide variety of nonuniform “coherent” distributions depending on the functional form of \( g(\theta) \).

We can also examine the statistical stability of the synchronized state \( \theta = 0 \) and the dynamics of \( \theta \) around it. From Eq. (8), we obtain the corresponding Ito stochastic differential equation for \( \theta \) as

\[
d\theta(t) = \sqrt{2(D[g''(0)] - g(\theta)) + \epsilon h(0)]^{1/2} dw(t),
\]

where \( w(t) \) is a Wiener process. Focusing on the region around \( \theta = 0 \), we approximate \( g(\theta) \) around its \( \theta = 0 \) peak as \( g(\theta) \approx g(0) - (1/2)[g''(0)]\theta^2 \), utilizing the facts that \( g''(0) = 0 \) and \( g''(0) < 0 \), where \( \theta \) denotes \( d/d\theta \). We then obtain

\[
d\theta(t) \approx \sqrt{2D[g''(0)]\theta(t)dw_1(t) + 2\epsilon h(0)dw_2(t)},
\]

where the noise is decomposed into multiplicative and additive parts using two independent Wiener processes \( w_{1,2}(t) \). This is simply a linear random multiplicative process with an additive noise [13]. Let us ignore the additive noise \( dw_2(t) \) for the moment. Using the Ito formula [11], the equation for the logarithm of the absolute phase difference is obtained as

\[
d\ln|\theta(t)| = -\frac{1}{2}D\left[g''(0)|d\theta| + \sqrt{D}[g''(0)]dw_1(t),ight.
\]

so that the average Lyapunov exponent of the completely synchronized state \( \theta = 0 \) is given by \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2}D[g''(0)] < 0 \), which is always negative; i.e., \( \theta = 0 \) is always statistically stable. When the common noise is additive, \( G(\phi) \) is a constant matrix and we recover the previous results [3,4]. When weak independent noises exist, \( |\theta| \) mostly remains small but occasionally exhibits large bursts, a typical behavior known as noisy on-off intermittency [13]. We then expect a power-law PDF of the interburst intervals of \( \theta(t) \) with an exponent \(-1.5 \) and also a power-law PDF of the phase differences around \( \theta = 0 \), whose exponent is always \(-2 \) in the present case [13] (results not shown; see Ref. [3]). When \( g(\theta) \) has multiple peaks, we can estimate the stability and fluctuations around the other peaks in a similar fashion and we expect intermittent transitions between the clustered states [14].

We now demonstrate the noise-induced synchronization and clustering numerically. As the first example, we consider uncoupled Stuart-Landau (SL) oscillators, \( X = (x, y) \), \( F(X) = [x - c_0y - (x^2 + y^2)(x - c_2y), y + c_0x - (x^2 + y^2)(y + c_2x)] \), subject to independent additive noises, \( H(X) = \text{diag}(1, 1) \), and to the following four types of additive or multiplicative common noises: \( G_1(X) = \text{diag}(1, 1) \), \( G_2(X) = \text{diag}(x, y) \), \( G_3(X) = \text{diag}(1 + 4xy, 0) \), and \( G_4(X) = \text{diag}(x, xy) \). The SL oscillator is the simplest limit-cycle oscillator derived as a normal form of the supercritical Hopf bifurcation [7]. We fix the parameters at \( c_0 = 2 \) and \( c_2 = -1 \), with which the natural frequency becomes \( \omega = c_0 - c_2 = 3 \). The phase sensitivity function is analytically given as \( Z(\phi) = \sqrt{2}[\sin(\phi + 3/4\pi), \sin(\phi + \pi/4)] \) [7]. From Eq. (6), we obtain the corresponding correlation functions as \( g_1(\theta) = 2\cos \theta \), \( g_2(\theta) = \cos^2 \theta \), \( g_3(\theta) = \cos 3\theta \), \( g_4(\theta) = (\cos \theta + 8\cos^2 \theta + \cos 3\theta)/16 \), and \( h(0) = 2 \), from which we can calculate \( U_0(\theta) \). We thus expect noisy synchronization (1-cluster), 2-cluster, 3-cluster, and intermixed coherent distributions of \( \theta \) to be observed. Figure 1 compares the results of direct numerical simulations using \( N = 200 \) oscillators with the analytical results, where the noise intensities are fixed at \( D = 0.002 \) and \( \epsilon = 0.0001 \). To realize the Stratonovich situation, the numerical simulations are performed using colored Gaussian white noises generated by the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process \( \tau(t) = -\tau(t) + \xi(t) \) with a small correlation time \( \tau = 0.05 \), where \( \xi(t) \) is a Gaussian white noise of unit intensity [11]. As expected, various synchronized or clustered states are realized and their PDFs are fitted nicely by the theoretical curves.

As the second example, we consider uncoupled FitzHugh-Nagumo (FN) oscillators [9], \( X = (u, v) \), \( F(X) = [e(u + c - du), v - v^3/3 - u + I] \), subject to either an additive or multiplicative common noise, \( G_1(X) = \text{diag}(0, 1) \) or \( G_2(X) = \text{diag}(0, v) \), and also to

![FIG 1 (color online). Stuart-Landau oscillators. (a) Synchronized, (b) 2-cluster, (c) 3-cluster, and (d) intermixed states. The insets display instantaneous distributions of the oscillators on the limit cycle.](184101-3)
an additive independent noise, $H(X) = \text{diag}(0, 1)$. The
noises are applied only to the variable $v$ corresponding to
the membrane potential. Fixing the parameter values at $\varepsilon = 0.08$, $\gamma = 0.7$, $d = 0.8$, and $I = 0.875$, the limit cycle
becomes symmetric with a natural frequency of $\omega = 0.1725$. The phase sensitivity function $Z_v(\phi)$ can be calculated numerically using the method devised in \cite{8, 9}. Figure 2 compares the results of direct numerical simula-
tions with the analytical results at $D = 0.005$ and $\varepsilon = 0.0005$ using $N = 200$ oscillators. Either synchronized or
2-cluster states are realized for the additive or multiplica-
tive common noises, and their PDFs are well fitted by the
theoretical curves calculated using the numerical $Z_v(\phi)$.

Summarizing, we developed a general formulation of
synchronization and clustering phenomena in ensembles
of uncoupled limit-cycle oscillators induced by a common
noise. The common noise acts as a state-dependent noise
on the phase difference, which yields the $\theta$-dependent
effective diffusion constant for $U(\theta, t)$ in Eq. (8), and
results in the nonuniform coherent stationary distribution
$U_0(\theta)$ in Eq. (9). In our formulation, the combination of the
common and independent noises is a special case of more
general correlated noises, and the synchronized or clus-
tered state is a special case of nonuniform coherent distribu-
tions. Thus, we can generalize the notion of common-
noise-induced synchronization to correlated-noise-induced
cohereance. This insight will be helpful in understanding
various noise-induced synchronization phenomena.
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\caption{(color online). FitzHugh-Nagumo oscillators. (a) Variable $v(\phi)$ and phase sensitivity function $Z_v(\phi)$, (b) correlation functions $g_{1,2}(\theta)$ calculated from $G_{1,2}(X)$ and $Z_v(\phi)$, (c) synchronized state, and (d) 2-cluster state. The insets display snapshots of the oscillators.}
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