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Calculating fifth-order Raman signals for various molecular liquids by equilibrium and nonequilibrium hybrid molecular dynamics simulation algorithms

Taisuke Hasegawa\textsuperscript{a)} and Yoshitaka Tanimura\textsuperscript{b)}

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Received 27 March 2006; accepted 2 June 2006; published online 21 August 2006)

The fifth-order two-dimensional (2D) Raman signals have been calculated from the equilibrium and nonequilibrium (finite field) molecular dynamics simulations. The equilibrium method evaluates response functions with equilibrium trajectories, while the nonequilibrium method calculates a molecular polarizability from nonequilibrium trajectories for different pulse configurations and sequences. In this paper, we introduce an efficient algorithm which hybridizes the existing two methods to avoid the time-consuming calculations of the stability matrices which are inherent in the equilibrium method. Using nonequilibrium trajectories for a single laser excitation, we are able to dramatically simplify the sampling process. With this approach, the 2D Raman signals for liquid xenon, carbon disulfide, water, acetonitrile, and formamide are calculated and discussed. Intensities of 2D Raman signals are also estimated and the peak strength of formamide is found to be only five times smaller than that of carbon disulfide. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2217947]

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the dynamics of molecules in condensed phases has been the central issues in chemistry, physics, and biology. Since intra- and intermolecular vibrational motions are extremely fast, femtosecond laser spectroscopy is suitable to investigate roles of the vibrational motions. Much physical insight can be gained by formulating nonlinear spectroscopy in terms of nonlinear response functions which are defined by the correlation functions of molecular dipole moment or polarizability.\textsuperscript{1} In complex media such as molecular liquids and biological systems, it is shown that multidimensional vibrational spectroscopy is a valuable tool because of its sensitivity of multitime response functions of optical observables. The fifth-order two-dimensional (2D) Raman\textsuperscript{2–5} and third-order 2D IR spectroscopies\textsuperscript{6–11} are such examples. In linear spectroscopy which is defined by a two-body response function, the main contributions of a signal arises from harmonic vibrational motions and anharmonic effects are merely the small correction. On the contrary, in higher-order vibrational spectroscopy, the anharmonicity of potential\textsuperscript{12–16} and the anharmonic mode coupling\textsuperscript{17–21} as well as the dephasing process\textsuperscript{22–27} are essential to characterize a signal. Therefore, one may consider that linear vibrational spectroscopies probe the character of harmonic vibrational motions, whereas higher-order vibrational spectroscopies detect anharmonic dynamics.\textsuperscript{27}

To investigate the nature of intermolecular interactions, 2D Raman spectroscopy which was originally proposed to distinguish between homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening of liquid dynamics is advantageous, because Raman pulses can create instantaneous vibrational excitations on a molecular system and their coherence can be detected by spectroscopic means. This experiment uses two pairs of Raman excitation pulses separated by period \( t_1 \), followed by a probe pulse to generate the polarizability after another period \( t_2 \), and therefore has two time variables.\textsuperscript{25} The signals corresponding to various fifth-order Raman polarizability tensor elements have been measured for the intermolecular vibrational modes of liquids CS\(_2\) by utilizing phase matching conditions and pulse geometry.\textsuperscript{3–5} Although molecular dynamics (MD) simulation techniques have also been developed and their results have been compared with the experimental results, the position of the nodal plane predicted by the equilibrium MD simulations has not been resolved.\textsuperscript{29–32}

The fifth-order Raman experiment is probably one of the most difficult experiments in the spectroscopy and the signals obtained are only for CS\(_2\) liquids that exhibit a strong Raman polarizability. While experimentalists have made efforts to obtain signals, theorists have been intrigued by this subject in spite of those difficulties, since 2D Raman signals can reveal an interesting nonlinear dynamics of the system which arises from the stability matrix involved in the nonlinear response function. Thus, it has been shown that an appropriate representation of the 2D Raman measurements provides an interpretable depiction of the structural and dynamical properties in relation to the inhomogeneous distribution,\textsuperscript{2} the anharmonicity,\textsuperscript{12–16} mode coupling mechanisms,\textsuperscript{17–21} inter- and intramolecular vibrational motions,\textsuperscript{32–36} relaxation mechanisms\textsuperscript{22–27,37,38} and wave packet dynamics.\textsuperscript{16,27} The nature of the stability matrix\textsuperscript{39,40} and the phenomenological simulation method to calculate the fifth-order signals such as the Langevin treatment have been investigated.\textsuperscript{41–44} Since the experimental results are limited
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to the case of CS$_2$, the theoretical models and the phenomenological simulation methods mentioned above have to be justified by comparing the signal accurately calculated from the MD simulations.

Nevertheless the signals calculated from full MD simulations are limited to the case of Xe, CS$_2$, H$_2$O, and atomic liquids and solids with soft core potential. This is because calculating 2D Raman response function is time consuming due to the sensitivity of the simulation conditions and numerical errors.

There are two methods to simulate the two-dimensional Raman signals from the full MD simulations; the equilibrium and nonequilibrium (finite field) approaches. The equilibrium MD approach computes a nonlinear optical response function expressed in the multiple Poisson brackets of the equilibrium molecular trajectories. The difficulty of this method is on the evaluation of the stability matrix involved in the response function. Since the stability matrix is very sensitive to the difference of trajectories, one has to take a large ensemble of the stability matrix elements. Therefore large storage space and powerful CPUs are required to calculate the stability matrix. The nonequilibrium MD (NEMD) approach performs the fifth-order Raman experiment on the computer. Raman polarizability is directly calculated from nonequilibrium MD trajectories under a pair of external laser pulses. In order to illustrate our method, we divide the total Hamiltonian $H(t)$ as

$$H(t) = H(t) + V(t),$$

where

$$H(t) = H_{cd}(t) + V(t),$$

$$V(t) = -\frac{1}{2}E_{a}(t)\Pi_{ab}(q)E_{b}(t),$$

in which $\Pi_{ab}$ and $\Pi_{cd}$ are the $ab$ and $cd$ tensor elements of the polarizability, respectively. The distribution function of molecules $W(p, q; t)$ then follows the Liouville equation,

$$\frac{d}{dt}W(p, q; t) = -[\hat{L}_{cd}(t) + \hat{L}_{v}(t)]W(p, q; t).$$

The Liouvillian for $\hat{H}_{cd}(t)$ and $V(t)$ are expressed as

$$\hat{L}_{cd}(t)W(p, q; t) = \{H_{cd}(t), W(p, q; t)\}_{PB},$$

and

$$\hat{L}_{v}(t)W(p, q; t) = \{V(t), W(p, q; t)\}_{PB},$$

respectively, where $\{ \}$$_{PB}$ is the Poisson bracket defined by

$$\{A, B\} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial q}\frac{\partial B}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p}\frac{\partial B}{\partial q},$$

for any functions $A$ and $B$. We introduce the time evolution operator of the Liouvillian $\hat{L}_{cd}(t)$ given by

$$\hat{U}_{cd}(t) = \exp\left[-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}}d\tau\hat{L}_{cd}(\tau)\right],$$

where $\exp[x]$ is positive time ordered exponential. The observable of Raman measurement is a Raman polarizability tensor given by

$$\Pi_{cd}(t) = \text{Tr}[\Pi_{cd}(q)W(p, q; t)],$$

where $W(p, q; t)$ follows Eq. (4). Expanding the polarizability in Eq. (9) in powers of only $L_{v}(t)$, we have

$$\Pi_{cd}(t) = S_{cd}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{\infty}d\tau E_{a}(t - \tau)E_{b}(t - \tau)R_{ef,ab}(\tau) + \cdots,$$

where

$$S_{cd}(t) = \langle \Pi_{cd}(q)\hat{U}_{cd}(t)W^{eq}(p, q)\rangle,$$

and
Suppose if the second Raman excitation in we call this method, the equilibrium and nonequilibrium external force, the requirement of CPU power is much lower. Thus, we apply the inverse force method developed for the present fifth-order signal may also be contaminated by a.

Here, \( W^{eq}(p,q) \) is the equilibrium distribution function and \( \theta(\tau) \) is the Heaviside step function. Equation (12) is the expression that we are going to use. The time correlation function in Eq. (12) is defined by the nonequilibrium trajectories. Suppose if the second Raman excitation in \( \hat{U}_{(t)}^{(s)}(t) \) is treated perturbatively, \( R_{efab}(\tau) \) is further expanded as

\[
R_{efab}(\tau) = R_{efab}^{(3)}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau' E_a(t-\tau')E_d(t-\tau')
\]

\[
\times R_{efc\bar{a}d}(\tau,\tau'),
\]

where

\[
R_{efab}^{(3)}(\tau) = \theta(\tau)(\Pi_{cf}(q)\hat{U}_0(\tau)\Pi_{ab}(q),W^{eq}(p,q))_{PB},
\]

and

\[
R_{efc\bar{a}d}^{(5)}(\tau,\tau') = \theta(\tau)\theta(\tau')(\Pi_{cf}(q)\hat{U}_0(\tau)\Pi_{cd}(q),\hat{U}_0(\tau')
\times(\Pi_{ab}(q),W^{eq}(p,q))_{PB})_{PB}.
\]

\( \hat{U}_0(t) \) is the time evolution operator without external fields, i.e., \( \hat{U}_0^{(s)}(t) \) in Eq. (8) with \( E_a(t) = E_d(t) = 0 \). The expressions in Eqs. (13)–(15) indicate that we can calculate the fifth-order Raman signal from Eq. (12) by evaluating the Poisson bracket and by subtracting the third-order contribution \( R_{efab}^{(3)}(\tau) \) if we obtain the nonequilibrium trajectories under the external fields \( E_a(t-\tau')E_d(t-\tau') = E_aE_d\delta(t-\tau') \). Since Eq. (12) does not contain the stability matrix and the nonequilibrium trajectories used in this method contain only one external force, the requirement of CPU power is much lower than in the equilibrium and NEMD approaches. Hereafter, we call this method, the equilibrium and nonequilibrium hybrid approach.

Since our method partially relies on the NEMD method, the present fifth-order signal may also be contaminated by a seventh-order signal in a case of the strong electric fields. Thus, we apply the inverse force method developed for the NEMD approach. This method utilizes the expressions of the fifth-order polarizability defined by

\[
\Pi_{efc\bar{a}d}(t) = \Pi_{efc\bar{a}d}(t) - \Pi_{efc\bar{a}d}(t) - \Pi_{efc\bar{a}d}(t)
+ \Pi_{ef\bar{c}d\bar{a}}(t),
\]

where \( \bar{a} \) and \( \bar{c} \) denote the inverted polarization directions of the radiation fields. To use the inverted force method, we first define

\[
\Delta R_{efab}(\tau) = R_{efab}(\tau) - \bar{R}_{efab}(\tau),
\]

where

\[
\bar{R}_{efab}(\tau) = \theta(\tau)(\Pi_{cf}(q)\hat{U}_0^{(s)}(\tau)\Pi_{ab}(q),W^{eq}(p,q))_{PB}.
\]

Using the relation, \( \{\Pi(q),W^{eq}(p,q)\}_{PB} = W^{eq}(p,q)\Pi(q)/k_B T \), we have

\[
\Pi_{eff}(q)\hat{U}_{(t)}^{(s)}(t_1+t_2)
\]

\[
\Pi_{eff}(q)\hat{U}_{(t)}^{(s)}(t_1+t_2)
\]

\[
\Delta R_{efab}(\tau) = \frac{1}{k_B T} \theta(\tau)(\Pi_{cf}(q)\hat{U}_0^{(s)}(\tau) - \Pi_{cf}(q)\hat{U}_0^{(s)}(\tau))
\times \hat{U}_0(q)W^{eq}(p,q).
\]

The merit of the inverse force method is discussed in Appendix.

If we assume \( E_a(t)E_b(t) = E_aE_b\delta(t-t_1) \) and \( E_a(t)E_d(t) = E_aE_d\delta(t-t_1) \), we have the \( ef\bar{c}d\bar{a}b \) tensor element of the fifth-order response function as

\[
\Delta R_{ef\bar{c}d\bar{a}b}(t_2,t_1) = \frac{1}{k_B T E_a E_d}
\times (\Pi_{cf}(q)\hat{U}_0^{(s)}(t_2+t_1)
- \Pi_{cf}(q)\hat{U}_0^{(s)}(t_2+t_1))\hat{U}_0(q)W^{eq}(p,q),
\]

where \( t_2 = t_1 \). This expression allows us to calculate the fifth-order response function from one equilibrium MD trajectory and two NEMD trajectories perturbed by a Raman excitation pulse.

III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS AND RESULTS

To illustrate our method, we have calculated the fifth-order Raman signals for several molecular liquids. We first evaluate the time derivative of the polarizability \( \hat{U}_0(q) \) using the equilibrium MD simulation trajectories. To calculate the polarizability \( \Pi_{ef\bar{c}d\bar{a}b}(q(t_1+t_2)) \), we perform NEMD simulations with laser pulses, \( E_aE_d\delta(t-t_1) \), started from the initial configurations sampled from equilibrium MD trajectories. In the same way, we calculate \( \Pi_{ef\bar{c}d\bar{a}b}(q(t_1+t_2)) \) for the opposite direction of laser polarization \( c \) to apply the inverse force method. Finally, the fifth-order signal is calculated from Eq. (20). This procedure is shown schematically in Fig. 1.

We have used the constant volume and constant energy calculation for equilibrium MD simulations. In the NEMD calculations, we have used the Hamiltonian represented by Eq. (2); therefore, the total energies of the system were not conserved. The MD simulations were carried out with the periodic boundary condition in a cubic box. The total polarizability was calculated for \( CS_2 \) and the other molecules by the full-order dipole-induced dipole and first-order dipole-induced dipole models, respectively. The polarizability
from intramolecular motions were neglected, so that only the intermolecular motions contribute to the response functions. Interactions of potential energy and polarizability were cut off smoothly at the half length of the simulation boxes with a switching function. The long range Coulomb interactions were calculated by the Ewald sum. Note that by changing the number of molecules we checked the size dependence of the simulations without the Ewald sum. Since the convergence of the fifth-order response function depends on the strength of applied laser fields, we have repeated the NEMD calculations for appropriate laser strength until the signals converged (see Appendix). In the following examples, except for the Xe case, the molecules have been treated as rigid bodies and the equation of motion has been solved by the symplectic integrator. We summarize the computational details and results for each of the molecular liquids as follows.

**A. Liquid Xe**

To carry out MD simulation, we employed the same potential and polarizability for liquid Xe that were used by Ma and Stratt. We changed the number of molecules and found that there was no significant difference between the signal with 64 molecules and that with 216 molecules; we used 108 molecules. The equations of motion were solved by the velocity-Verlet integrator with the time step of 5 fs. The box lengths were determined for reduced density to be 0.74. The MD simulations were performed at the average temperature of 220 K. The NEMD calculation was carried out with laser fields of 5.0 V/Å in the NEMD calculation. We checked the convergence of the signal and found that the averaging over 1.5 × 10⁶ initial configurations were enough to obtain the reliable signal.

The tensor element of the fifth-order response function of liquid Xe is shown in Fig. 2(a). It has a large signal along t₂ axis and the peak position is located around (t₁, t₂) ≈ (50, 330) fs. Small noises in the region t₂ > 500 fs may be attributed to the poor convergence of the response function. The slice of the signals along the t₂ axis is shown in Fig. 2(b). The signal is peaked at t₂ = 350 fs and then decays slowly. Here, we plot the response function R_22222(5)(t₁, t₂), but if we calculate the intensity I_22222(5)(t₁, t₂) = |R_22222(5)(t₁, t₂)|², the present result agrees with Ma and Stratt’s results.

**B. CS₂**

We used the polarizability introduced by Bogaard et al. for CS₂ (Ref. 53) and intermolecular potential by Moore and Keys. We changed the number of molecules and found that there was no significant difference between the signal with 32 molecules and that with 216 molecules; we used 108 molecules in this calculation. The box lengths were determined for density to be 1.27 g/cm³. The calculation was carried out with the symplectic integrator with the time step of 2 fs. The MD simulations were performed at the average temperature of 270 K. The intensity of the applied laser fields was 5.0 V/Å in the NEMD calculation. We checked the convergence of the signal and found that the averaging over 1.5 × 10⁶ initial configurations were enough to obtain the reliable signal.

The tensor element of the fifth-order signal of CS₂ is shown in Fig. 3(a). The signal is peaked around (t₁, t₂) ≈ (90, 90) fs. There is a node around t₂ axis and a negative signal at t₂ > 200 fs, which shows very good agreement with the result of the other MD calculations, which use the same potential. This comparison helps confirm the accuracy of the new hybrid methods.

**C. Water**

To simulate water, the TIP4P potential and Huiszoon’s polarizability were used. We calculated the signals with 216, 108, and 64 waters and found that 108 waters were large enough to have reliable 2D Raman signals; we used 108 waters. Equations of motion were solved with the time step of 1 fs. The box lengths were determined for density to be 1.0 g/cm³. The MD simulations were performed at the average temperature of 300 K.
The intensity of the applied laser fields was 15 V/Å in the NEMD calculation. The fifth-order response function was obtained by averaging over $4 \times 10^6$ initial configurations.

The $zzzzz$ tensor element of the fifth-order response function of water is given in Fig. 4(a). We can see a positive peak around $(t_1, t_2) \approx (35, 15)$ fs and a strong ridge which consists of three negative peaks. Clear peaks around $t_2 \approx 40$ and 90 fs, and a shoulder around $t_2 \approx 20$ fs are observed in Fig. 4(b). This result is in good agreement with the result by Saito and Ohmine, where the fifth-order response function is calculated by equilibrium MD simulation.\(^{31}\)

**D. Formamide**

The modified $T$-model potential\(^{57,58}\) was used in the calculation of formamide. We used the polarizability in Ref. 59 and assumed the molecular polarizability of formamide resides in the center of mass of the molecule. We calculated the signals with 216, 108, and 64 formamide molecules and found that 108 molecules were large enough to have reliable 2D Raman signals; we used 108 molecules. To solve the equation of motion, the time step was chosen to be 2 fs. The box lengths were determined for density to be 1.12 g/cm$^3$ and the intensity of the applied laser fields was 5 V/Å in the NEMD calculation. The MD simulations were performed at the average temperature of 300 K. The fifth-order response function was obtained by averaging over $2 \times 10^6$ initial configurations.

The $zzzzz$ tensor element of the fifth-order response function of formamide is given in Fig. 5(a). We have observed a positive peak around $(t_1, t_2) \approx (120, 140)$ fs and a negative peak around $(t_1, t_2) \approx (20, 70)$ fs.

**E. Acetonitrile**

We used the intermolecular potential function by Ref. 60 and molecular polarizability by Ref. 59. We calculated the signals with 216, 108, and 64 acetonitrile molecules and found that 64 molecules were large enough to have reliable 2D Raman signals; we calculated the signal with 108 molecules to compare with the signals from the other molecules.

Equation of motion was solved with the time step of 2 fs. The box lengths were determined for density to be 0.777 g/cm$^3$ and the intensity of the applied laser fields was 5 V/Å in the NEMD calculation. The MD simulations were performed at the average temperature of 300 K. The fifth-order response function was obtained by averaging over $2 \times 10^6$ initial configurations.

The $zzzzz$ tensor element of the fifth-order response function of acetonitrile is given in Fig. 6(a). While acetonitrile molecule has a dipole moment unlike CS$_2$, the profile of the fifth-order signal of this molecule is similar to that of CS$_2$. In Fig. 6(a), we observe a peak around $(t_1, t_2) \approx (80, 80)$ fs. In Fig. 6(b), a negative signal at $t_2 > 150$ fs is observed.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

To explore the possibility of detecting signals experimentally, we have evaluated the relative intensities of the fifth and third-order Raman signals of liquids. The third-order response functions were calculated by the equilibrium MD simulation and the fifth-order response functions were calculated by the hybrid method. The results are listed in Table I. It is shown that the fifth-order signal of formamide is much stronger than that of water, liquid Xe, or acetonitrile and is only five times smaller than that of CS$_2$. This is because CS$_2$ and formamide have the strong anisotropy of the polarizability. Water has much smaller anisotropy and shows much smaller signals than CS$_2$ and formamide. Moreover, Xe which has only the isotropic polarizability shows the weakest fifth-order signal.
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analyzed the signals along the $t_1$= $t_2$ (echo) direction and found that the signals for water and formamide have negative area, while those for the other liquids are always positive. This means that the contribution of AN from the stability matrix of water and formamide is stronger than that of other liquids, in other words, water and formamide have stronger collective motions. This is probably due to the hydrogen bonds formed in water and formamide liquids. Further studies of 2D signals for different temperatures and pressures in different phases including the analysis of the stability matrix elements$^{18,19}$ are necessary to have more detailed information of liquid dynamics.
V. CONCLUSIONS
We have developed the equilibrium and nonequilibrium hybrid MD simulation methods for the fifth-order response functions of 2D Raman spectroscopy. Since the response function in this method is expressed as the single Poisson bracket of the nonequilibrium trajectories with a single external perturbation, one can calculate the signal without evaluating the stability matrix elements. In addition, since we do not have to perform another NEMD calculation when changing $t_2$, this method enables us to calculate the fifth-order response function much faster than the conventional nonequilibrium method.

The convergence of the response function by using this method depends on the strength of the laser pulses; the stronger the laser pulses, the better convergence it is observed. The contaminations by higher-order signals, however, are observed when strong laser pulses are used. The hybrid method with the inverted force method which removes contaminations is more suitable for calculating the response functions even though it requires more calculations than the method without the inverted forces does. The fifth-order response functions of Xe and CS$_2$ are in good agreement with those calculated previously by the equilibrium time correlation function or NEMD method,$^{30,31}$ which means that the hybrid method is reliable. The hybrid method is very attractive to calculate the fifth-order response functions because this method requires much less computational cost than the others do, particularly for the large size systems. The relative intensities of the peak signals have been calculated and the

Both acetonitrile and CS$_2$ have axial symmetric polarizabilities. In addition, acetonitrile has a large dipole moment parallel to the symmetry axis of the polarizabilities in contrast to CS$_2$ which does not exhibit any dipole moment. The profiles of the fifth-order response functions of acetonitrile and CS$_2$ are very similar, which indicate that either the effects of dipole-dipole interactions of acetonitrile molecules are not so important for the liquid dynamics at least at this temperature or 2D Raman is insensitive to the molecular motions caused by the dipole-dipole interactions.

The relative importance between the nonlinear polarizability (NL) and anharmonicity (AN) of vibrational motions can be detected from the 2D signals along the $t_2$ axis. In the case of CS$_2$, it is shown that the AN exhibits as the negative part of the signal, while NL appears as the positive part.$^{32}$ The 2D profile along the $t_2$ axis is depicted in Figs. 2(b), 3(b), 4(b), 5(b), and 6(b). The signals for CS$_2$ [Fig. 3(b)] and acetonitrile [Fig. 6(b)] have positive area for small $t_2$, while those of water [Fig. 4(b)] and formamide [Fig. 5(b)] always exhibit negative signals. This indicates that the contribution of AN is larger than that of NL for the case of CS$_2$ and
acetonitrile. The analysis along the $t_2$ axis is simple, but is not suitable to see a role of the stability matrix which reflects the strength of dynamical coupling between the different modes, since the stability matrix element disappears along
the $t_2$ axis due to the definition of its form. We also have

| Molecule  | $|R^{(0)}/V|$ | $|R^{(3)}/V|$ |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| CS$_2$    | 1            | 1            |
| Xe        | $2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Water     | $9.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Acetonitrile | $1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.5 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Formamide | $1.9 \times 10^{-1}$ | $7.4 \times 10^{-1}$ |

The intensity of the signal is normalized by the peak intensity of CS$_2$.
obtained intensities of the fifth-order response function are related to the anisotropy of the polarizability. The relative contribution between the nonlinear polarizability and anharmonicity of vibrational modes is investigated for various molecules liquids. The results for formamide and water indicate that 2D Raman spectroscopy is a good probe to study the roles of the hydrogen bonds.
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APPENDIX: CONVERGENCE OF THE FIFTH-ORDER RAMAN SIGNAL FOR DIFFERENT LASER STRENGTHS

In this Appendix, we illustrate the convergence of the fifth-order response function upon our simulations as the function of the pulse strength for a case of 32 CS₂ molecules. Figure 7 depicts the 2D-Raman signal of CS₂ for a various function of the pulse strength for a case of 32 CS₂ molecules. Figure 7(c) shows the 2D-Raman signals calculated without the inverted force method. In this case, the signal (c) shows strong deviations from the true fifth-order signals shown as (a) and (b). This is due to the contaminations of signal by the higher-order optical processes involved in our hybrid formalism. When strong laser pulses are used, the high order contributions play a dominant role if we do not employ the inverted forces method. Though the inverted force method requires one more NEMD calculation, we can save the CPU time, since the calculations with strong laser pulses by inverted force method require fewer number of initial configuration samplings.