Title:
Time evolution of the electron diffusion region and the reconnection rate in fully kinetic and large system

Author(s):
Fujimoto, K

Citation:
PHYSICS OF PLASMAS (2006), 13(7)

Issue Date:
2006-07

URL:
http://hdl.handle.net/2433/50016

Copyright 2006 American Institute of Physics. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Institute of Physics.

Type:
Journal Article

Textversion:
publisher

Kyoto University
Time evolution of the electron diffusion region and the reconnection rate in fully kinetic and large system

Keizo Fujimotoa)

Department of Geophysics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwaketyo, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Received 6 February 2006; accepted 30 May 2006; published online 21 July 2006)

Time evolutions of the electron diffusion region embedded in the ion-scale diffusion region and the reconnection rate associated with magnetic reconnection are investigated using 2-1/2 dimensional full kinetic simulations in a large system, so that any effects of the downstream boundary conditions are negligible. The simulation code employs the adaptive mesh refinement technique and the particle splitting algorithm to the conventional particle-in-cell code, which enable us to perform large-scale full particle simulations. It is shown that the reconnection rate increases associated with magnetic reconnection and reaches a peak value large enough for fast reconnection, but then it decreases as time goes on, even though the effects of the system boundary are negligible. The key process responsible for slowing the reconnection processes is the extension of the electron diffusion region in association with the enhancement of the polarization electric field directing toward the neutral sheet in the electron inflow region. The polarization electric field is caused by the inertia difference between ions and electrons, and enhanced by the meandering motions of the background ions. In order to confirm the role of the polarization electric field, we compare the simulation results with $m_i/m_e = 1$ and 100. It is found that (1) a quasi-steady reconnection is achieved in the system where the polarization electric field does not arise, (2) a large reconnection rate is obtained, even in the system without the Hall effects. It is suggested that the anomalous resistivity due to the Buneman-type instability might be required to support a steady-state fast reconnection.

© 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2220534]

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic reconnection is widely believed to play an important role in the magnetostreric substorm and solar flares as a fast conversion process of the magnetic energy to plasma kinetic and thermal energies. In reconnection processes, the ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) condition breaks down in the diffusion region arising around a magnetic X line, where dissipation processes of the magnetic field are significant.1 In a sufficiently collisional plasma, the resistive MHD theory2–4 is valid for describing the diffusion region by parametrizing effects of the classical Coulomb collisions.5 However, in the collisionless plasma as seen in the Earth’s magnetosphere, the magnetic diffusion due to the classical resistivity is too small to drive fast reconnection. In such a system, we need to replace the standard Ohm’s law in the resistive MHD by the generalized Ohm’s law, written as

$$E + V \times B = -\frac{m_e}{e} \frac{dV_e}{dt} - \frac{1}{n e} \nabla \cdot P_e + \frac{1}{ne} J \times B,$$

(1)

where $E$ is the electric field, $B$ the magnetic field, $J$ the current density, $n$ the plasma number density, $V_e$ the electron flow velocity, $P_e$ the electron pressure tensor, and $m_e$ the electron mass. The first and second terms on the right-hand side arise from electron inertial effects, and the scale sizes are evaluated as the electron inertial length $\lambda_e$ and the electron gyroradius $\lambda_{ge}$ respectively. The last term represents the decoupling between ions and electrons, so-called Hall effects, and has a scale size of the ion inertial length $\lambda_i$. When the scale length of gradient is much larger than any of these characteristic lengths, the frozen-in condition holds.

In a two-fluid system consisting of ions and electrons, the inflowing ions can be decoupled from the ambient magnetic field when they approach the neutral sheet as close as $\lambda_i$. Thus the region within $\lambda_i$ is the diffusion region where the frozen-in condition breaks down. Electrons, however, still remain magnetized at this distance, since their inertia is much smaller than those of ions. Instead, their frozen-in constraint can break down at the distance comparable with the local gyroradius $\lambda_{ge}$, within which electrons are expected to perform the meandering motions (e.g., Ref. 6). This nonmagnetized region for electrons is called the electron diffusion region. Therefore the diffusion region in the two-fluid system develops a two-scale structure, embedding the electron diffusion region within the ion-scale diffusion region.

Inside the diffusion region but outside the electron diffusion region, ions are decoupled from the ambient magnetic field, but electrons are still frozen in to the field and continue to move with the $E \times B$ drift motion toward the current sheet. This relative motion between ions and electrons produces currents in the vicinity of the magnetic X line, forming the Hall current system.7,8 On the other hand, the kinetic behavior of electrons becomes important in the electron diffusion region. It has been revealed by using two-dimensional kinetic simulations that the dissipation process in the electron diffusion region is supported by the electron inertial term and
the gradient term of the electron pressure tensor in the
generalized Ohm’s law (1).\textsuperscript{9,13} Though reconnecting of the mag-
netic field actually proceeds in this region, it has been sug-
gested that the electron dynamics should have little or no
effect on the reconnection rate.\textsuperscript{14,15} Instead, ion dynamics
can control the reconnection processes, that is, the electron-
scale structure. They conclude that a high reconnection rate
results from the Hall term in the generalized Ohm’s law (1),
so that the inclusion of the Hall effects is a sufficient condi-
tion to achieve fast reconnection. This indicates that three-
dimensional effects arising along the current sheet would not
be essential for obtaining the high reconnection rate.\textsuperscript{16} Though recent simulation studies comparing MHD, Hall
MHD, hybrid, and full particle simulations confirmed the
importance of the Hall effects, the system did not reach
steady state and the reconnection rate decreased after it
reached a peak value.\textsuperscript{17–20} They attributed the depression to
the periodic system in the direction of the initial magnetic
field, in which compressional effects in the magnetic islands
could affect the reconnection processes. One of the solutions
in order to suppress the boundary effects is to perform the
simulations in a large system so that the periodicity is negli-
gible therein.

Such large-scale simulations including the Hall effects
were examined using a two-dimensional hybrid code\textsuperscript{21} and a
two-dimensional two-fluid code.\textsuperscript{22} Both results also indicate
the importance of the Hall effects for fast reconnection and
show a quasi-steady reconnection with the reconnection rate
of the order of 0.1\(V_{\text{A0}}B_0\), where \(V_{\text{A0}}\) and \(B_0\) are, respectively,
the Alfvén velocity and the magnetic field defined in the
asymptotic lobe region, and the reconnection rate is esti-
mated by the electric field strength along the X line. How-
ever, Karimabadi et al.\textsuperscript{23} recently compared two different
hybrid simulations with and without the Hall term under an
open boundary condition, and demonstrated that ion kinetic
behavior alone could give rise to a fast reconnection, even in
the absence of the Hall effects. Thus, the Hall effects may
not be necessarily required for fast reconnection.

In the present work we shows result from 2-1/2 dimen-
sional full particle simulations in a large system, in which
both ions and electrons are treated as superparticles. Our
purpose in this study is to see a time evolution of the diffu-
sion region in a fully kinetic system without the complicated
effects due to the periodic boundaries. The main concern is
how the ion dynamics affects the electron-scale structure,
that is, the reconnection processes. It is still difficult to con-
duct large-scale simulations using conventional particle-in-
cell (PIC) codes because of limited computer resources. Thus,
we employed the adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) tech-
nique and the particle splitting algorithm to the conventional
PIC code.\textsuperscript{24} The adoption of these techniques enables us to
perform effectively high-resolution simulations including ki-
netic processes of magnetic reconnection.

**II. SIMULATION MODEL**

In the present study we employ a 2-1/2 dimensional
electromagnetic PIC code with the AMR technique and the
particle splitting algorithm, which has been described by
Fujimoto and Machida.\textsuperscript{24} The AMR technique subdivides
and removes computing cells dynamically in accordance
with a refinement criterion and quite effective to achieve
high-resolution simulations of phenomena that locally in-
clude microscale processes. In the present code, the spatial
resolution is increased by introducing finer cells hierarchi-
cally onto the uniform base cells that cover the entire simu-
lation area. If a base cell is refined, four child cells that have
half the size of the base cell are generated. These child cells
can also refined in turn and finer cells are produced, and
so on. One of the main problems in developing the electro-
magnetic PIC codes using the AMR technique is the decrease
in the number of particles per cell in the refined region. In
order to solve this problem, we subdivide particles residing
in the subdivided cells and control the number of particles
per cell. We employ the particle splitting algorithm devel-
oped by Lapenta,\textsuperscript{25} in which the moments on each grid (the
charge and current densities), the total charge, mass, momen-
tum, and energy of particles, and the distribution function
of particles are retained between before and after the particle
splitting. Each cell is needed to have information of the par-
ent, child, and neighboring cells, and the particles residing in
the cell. The communication between cells or between a cell
and the particles in the cell is supported by a set of pointers,
constructing the fully threaded tree structure.\textsuperscript{26} A refinement
level \(L\) in the hierarchical cell structure is defined by using
the cell size of the level \((\Delta_L)\) as \(L = \log_2(l_i/\Delta_L)\), where \(l_i\) is
the vertical size of the two-dimensional simulation area.

We use only cells with integer level. A more detailed description
on our code is shown in Fujimoto and Machida.\textsuperscript{24}

The refinement condition in the current study is defined by
three physical values. The first is the local electron Debye
length, \(\lambda_{\text{De}} = v_{\text{th},e} / \sqrt{2} \rho_e\), which is required to avoid a nu-
merical heating of local plasma, where \(v_{\text{th},e} = \sqrt{2 T_e/m_e}\) is the
electron thermal velocity (\(T_e\) and \(m_e\) is the temperature and
mass of electrons, respectively), and \(\rho_e\) is the electron pla-
sma frequency. We use the initial value of \(v_{\text{th},e}\) for calcu-
lation of \(\lambda_{\text{De}}\), because electrons are expected to be heated in
the region where the electron dynamics is important, that is,
we use the minimum value of \(\lambda_{\text{De}}\). The second is the out-of-
plane electron flow velocity \((V_{ex})\), because the inertial term
(the first term of the right-hand side) in the generalized
Ohm’s law (1) becomes dominant in the region where the
electron flow is strong, so that the dissipipation of the magne-
tic field becomes strong and higher resolution is required. The
third is the in-plane electron current density \((J_{ex} = J_{ex}^f + J_{ex}^c)\),
which is required because intense currents can excite microinstabilities so that electron-scale waves are ex-
pected to arise. In each time step, if \(\lambda_{\text{De}} V_{ex} > 2.0\lambda_{\text{De}}\) or
\(V_{ex} > 2.0 V_A\) or \(J_{ex} > 0.5 e n_{ps} V_A\), the cell is sub-
divided and four child cells are produced, otherwise, the
child cells, if any, are removed. Here, \(V_A\) is the Alfvén ve-
docity defined by the initial lobe field \((B_0)\) and plasma sheet
density \((n_{ps})\), and \(e\) is the electron charge. The particle split-
ing is also performed in each time step, but this is done only
for the background particles whose initial distribution will be
described later. We carry out not only particle splitting but
also particle coalescence in order to reduce the number of particles in the unrefined region. The particle coalescence algorithm is implemented once in a few hundred time steps for the background particles. In both the particle splitting and coalescence algorithms, we never choose particles in the most refined (finest) cells to avoid numerical errors in physically important regions. In the present study, the hierarchical cell structure consists of four cell layers.

The initial magnetic field configuration is given as

$$B_i(z) = -B_0 \tanh(z/\lambda)$$

and the corresponding density is

$$n(z) = n_{p0} \text{sech}^2(z/\lambda),$$

where $\lambda$ is the half width of the initial plasma sheet and set as $\lambda = 0.5\lambda_{i0}$ ($\lambda_{i0}$ is the ion inertial length defined by $n_{i0}$). In addition to the equilibrium, a small perturbation is superposed in the form

$$B_{ip}(x,z) = 2a_0\lambda \text{sech}^2[(x-l_x/2)/L'] \text{sech}^2(z/\lambda) \tanh(z/\lambda),$$

$$B_{ip}(x,z) = -2a_0/L' \text{sech}^2[(x-l_x/2)/L'] \text{sech}^2(z/\lambda) \tanh[(x-l_x/2)/L'],$$

(2)

where $l_x$ is the horizontal length of the simulation area, and $a_0$ and $L'$ provide the amplitude and horizontal size of the perturbation, respectively. In the present study, $a_0 = 0.15B_0\lambda_{i0}$, $L' = 3.8\lambda_{i0}$, and $l_x = 123\lambda_{i0} \times 30.7\lambda_{i0}$ are chosen, unless otherwise mentioned. Furthermore, the background plasma is loaded as $n_1(z) = n_{i0} \text{tanh}(z/\lambda)$ and $n_{i0} = 0.044n_{e0}$ in order to describe the lobe plasma in the magnetospheric tail. Although there appears a weak pressure imbalance due to this background profile, it is quickly justified without any significant modification of the current sheet structure. Once the simulation starts, the initial plasma sheet density and current profiles are quickly modified to adjust the field perturbation. As a result, a thinner current sheet is formed near the center of the simulation area ($x = l_x/2$, $z = 0$), so that the tearing instability selectively develops therein. The cell size is $\Delta x = 0.12\lambda_{i0}$ for the coarsest cells and $\Delta x = 0.015\lambda_{i0}$ for the finest cells, and the time step is $\Delta t = 8.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for all particles and refined regions in order to satisfy the Courant condition on the finest cells, where $\omega_{ci}$ is the ion cyclotron frequency defined by the lobe field ($B_0$). The initial plasma condition is $m_i/m_e = 100$, $T_{ip}/T_{e,ps} = 8.0$, $T_{i,lobe}/T_{e,lobe} = 1.0$, $T_{e,lobe}/T_{e,ps} = 1.0$, unless otherwise mentioned, and $c/V_A = 16.7$, where $T_{e,ps}$ and $T_{e,lobe}$ are the temperatures of the species $s$ at the central plasma sheet and the magnetic lobe, respectively, and $c$ is the velocity of light. We assume the periodic boundary in the $x$ direction and the conducting wall in the $z$ direction.

III. RESULTS

A. Time evolution of the reconnection rate

The evolution of the reconnected magnetic flux, $\psi = \int B_z dx$, is plotted in Fig. 1(a). Here, it is assumed that the $X$ line is formed at the center of the simulation area ($x = l_x/2$, $z = 0$). It increases very slowly in the first half of the simulation time, but develops at a drastically high rate after $t\omega_{ci} = 12.5$, so that fast reconnection is switched on. The reconnection rate should be given by the instantaneous growth rate of $\psi$, which is rigorously derived from Faraday’s law as

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -E_s(x = l_x/2, z = 0),$$

which expresses the electric field imposed along the $X$ line. Figure 1(b) shows the time evolution of the out-of-plane electric field at the center of the simulation area. The reconnection rate develops associated with the evolution of magnetic reconnection until it reaches a peak at $t\omega_{ci} = 15$, and a fast reconnection with $|E_s| \sim 0.7V_AB_0 = 0.15V_{A0}B_0$ is achieved, where $V_{A0}$ is the Alfvén velocity in the asymptotic lobe region (defined by $B_0$ and $n_{i0}$). However, after then it starts to decrease and fall to nearly the half of the peak value at the end of the simulation. This time history is similar to those obtained in other particle simulations having smaller simulation areas, in which the periodicity of the system has tended to affect the reconnection rate and slow down the reconnection process.17-20 In the present run, however, the simulation area is much larger than in the previous runs, so that it is expected that the effects of the periodic boundaries should be significantly reduced. In order to check the effects of the periodicity, we compare the runs having a different size of the simulation area in the $x$ direction. Figure 2 shows the time profiles of the reconnection rate obtained in five different system sizes in the $x$ direction. In the smaller systems ($l_x = 15.4\lambda_{i0}$ and $30.7\lambda_{i0}$), as employed in previous particle simulations, the onsets of the fast reconnection are delayed compared with those in the larger systems. This is because the magnetic tension force accelerating plasma away from the $X$ line is opposed by a total pressure (the plasma pressure + the magnetic pressure) gradient in the downstream region of the $X$ line.22 Thus, the profiles for the smaller systems demonstrate that the periodic boundaries already affect the reconnection.

FIG. 1. Time evolutions of (a) the reconnected magnetic flux, (b) the out-of-plane electric field at the center of the simulation area ($x = l_x/2$, $z = 0$).
processes in the early phase. On the other hand, the results of the larger systems ($l_x=61.4\lambda_d$, $123\lambda_d$, and $246\lambda_d$) are in good agreement with each other, which indicates that the periodicity of the system is mostly negligible and the evolution of the reconnection rate is independent of the system size in these runs. Therefore the depression of the reconnection rate after $t\omega_{ci}=15$ in the present run ($l_x\times l_z=123\lambda_d \times 30.7\lambda_d$) is not due to the periodic system but rather due to spontaneous effects essentially inherent in the reconnection processes.

B. Structure change around the electron diffusion region

The density profiles along the $z$ direction at $x=l_x/2$ are shown in Fig. 3(a) for ions (red solid lines) and electrons (blue solid lines) at two different times: $t\omega_{ci}=12.8$ (left) and 16.7 (right). At $t\omega_{ci}=12.8$, both the ion and electron density profiles have peaks at $z=0$ that are reminiscent of the initial equilibrium profiles. On the other hand, at $t\omega_{ci}=16.7$, electrons have still a peak at $z=0$ while ion peaks appear at the flanks of the electron peak and a density hole is formed at $z=0$. The double-peaked structure in the ion density profile, as seen at $t\omega_{ci}=16.7$, indicates that most ions carry out meandering motions near the X line, staying long around the turning points and passing fast around $z=0$. The red dashed lines in Fig. 3(a) denote the density profiles of the background cold ions, which are initially loaded only in the lobe region. Its profile at $t\omega_{ci}=12.8$ is not yet changed from the initial profile, in essence. However, as magnetic reconnection proceeds, the background ions approach the X line and start the meandering motions. It is found that the double-peaked structure at $t\omega_{ci}=16.7$ is mainly produced by the background ions meandering around the X line. Because the hot ions initially loaded in the current sheet have large velocity in the out-of-plane direction in order to produce the current supporting the initial magnetic field profile, they can easily escape from the diffusion region due to the Lorentz force before their meandering motions have dominant. Thus, as the density of the background cold ions dominates that of the plasma sheet ions in the vicinity of the X line, the double-peaked structure becomes dominant. This structure change occurs around $t\omega_{ci}=15$ in the present run.

The difference in the density peak position between ions and electrons after $t\omega_{ci}=15$ are mainly caused by their inertia difference, and give rise to a strong polarization electric field $E_z$ directing toward the neutral sheet in the electron inflow region. Its profiles along the $z$ direction are shown in Fig. 3(b), and the two-dimensional snapshots are also given in Fig. 4. Note that the polarization electric field $E_z$ already appears at $t\omega_{ci}=12.8$ near the X line, though the ion meandering motions are not yet significant. By this time, electrons have been confined within a much thinner current sheet than the initial one, while ions still maintain the broad current sheet with the initial width. Thus the electron density slightly exceeds the ion density near $z=0$ [Fig. 3(a)], which gives rise to the polarization electric field (e.g., Refs. 27–31). The polarization electric field is enhanced and its imposed region is broadened not only in the $z$ direction but also in the $x$ direction, associated with the meandering motions of the background ions [Figs. 3(b) and 4]. The time evolution of the electric field directing toward the neutral sheet $E_z=-z/|E_z|$ averaged over the electron inflow region ($l_x/2-0.2\lambda_d \leq x \leq l_x/2+0.2\lambda_d$, $0.25\lambda_d \leq |z| \leq 0.5\lambda_d$), is plotted in Fig. 5. $E_z$ develops after $t\omega_{ci}=13$ as magnetic reconnection proceeds. Especially, its growth rate is enhanced after $t\omega_{ci}=15$, indi-
cating that the background ions carrying out the meandering motions become dominant around the X line. Figure 3(c) shows the comparison of the out-of-plane current density profiles (black lines), which are calculated by summing up the ion and electron current densities (denoted by red and blue lines, respectively). It is clearly shown that the polarization electric field $E_z$ forces the inflow electrons to do the strong $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift toward the out-of-plane direction and enhances the out-of-plane electron current density in the electron inflow region. Such an electron current caused by $E_z$ also arises associated with the formation of the thin current sheet in the process of the convection driven in the near-Earth magnetotail,\textsuperscript{27} though the magnitude is much smaller than that associated with magnetic reconnection.

In order to see the role of the enhanced electron current in the electron inflow region, we plot in Fig. 6 the time evolution of each term on the right-hand side of Ampère’s law \( \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t = -\mathbf{J}/\epsilon_0 + \mathbf{c}^2 (\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t - \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial x) \) averaged over the electron inflow region. The black solid line denotes the contribution of the current density, which is calculated by summing up the ion and electron current densities (red and blue solid lines, respectively). The dashed and dashed-dotted lines represent the contribution from the rotation of the magnetic field.

Thus, this region is mostly interpreted as the electron diffusion region. As the curvature of the magnetic field lines decreases as the out-of-plane electron current density in the electron inflow region is enhanced. This means that the convection of the initial current carriers away from the X line. After then, however, this term increases again due to the enhancement of the out-of-plane electron drift caused by the polarization electric field. The important point in this figure is that the contribution from the $x$ gradient of the magnetic field $B_x$ also has a peak at $t = 13.5$. The absolute value of $\partial B_x/\partial x$ in the electron inflow region reflects a curvature of the magnetic field lines, which is expressed as $\kappa = |B_x/B^2 \partial B_x/\partial x|$ when $|B_x/B| \ll 1$, where $B_x = \sqrt{B_z^2 + B_x^2}$. Since $B_z$ (not shown) is almost constant after $t = 13.5$, $\kappa$ is mostly proportional to $|\partial B_x/\partial x|$ at its declining phase. Therefore it is found that the curvature of the magnetic field lines decreases as the out-of-plane electron current in the electron inflow region is enhanced. This means that the magnetic field lines in the upstream region tends to be parallel to the $x$ direction, leading to the extension of the electron meandering region along the $x$ direction. Note that the left-hand side of Ampère’s law ($\partial E_z/\partial t$, not shown) is mostly zero within the present simulation time in comparison with each term on the right-hand side.

Figure 7 shows the snapshots of the out-of-plane electron velocity $V_y$, with the magnetic field lines (white solid lines) around the X line. The strong electron velocity seen near the center of the simulation area indicates that electrons are accelerated by $E_x$ and perform the meandering motions. Thus, this region is mostly interpreted as the electron diffusion region. As the curvature of the magnetic field lines de-

**FIG. 4.** (Color) Snapshots of the electric field along the $z$ direction at $t_0 = 12.8, 14.8$, and 16.7. Magnetic field lines (white solid lines) are superposed on each panel.

**FIG. 5.** Time evolution of the electric field directing toward the neutral sheet $E_z = -c/|E_x|$ averaged over the electron inflow region ($l_x/2 - 0.24x \leq y \leq l_x/2 + 0.24x, 0.25x \leq z \leq 0.5x$).

**FIG. 6.** (Color) Time history of each term on the right-hand side of Ampère’s law $\partial E_z/\partial t = -J_y/\epsilon_0 + c^2 (\partial B_z/\partial t - \partial E_z/\partial x)$, averaged over the electron inflow region ($l_x/2 - 0.24x \leq y \leq l_x/2 + 0.24x, 0.25x \leq z \leq 0.5x$). The term contributed by the electron current (blue solid line) decreases until $t = 13.5$, which results from the convection of the initial current carriers away from the X line. After then, however, this term increases again due to the enhancement of the out-of-plane electron drift caused by the polarization electric field. The important point in this figure is that the contribution from the $x$ gradient of the magnetic field $B_x$ also has a peak at $t = 13.5$. The absolute value of $\partial B_x/\partial x$ in the electron inflow region reflects a curvature of the magnetic field lines, which is expressed as $\kappa = |B_x/B^2 \partial B_x/\partial x|$ when $|B_x/B| \ll 1$, where $B_x = \sqrt{B_z^2 + B_x^2}$. Since $B_z$ (not shown) is almost constant after $t = 13.5$, $\kappa$ is mostly proportional to $|\partial B_x/\partial x|$ at its declining phase. Therefore it is found that the curvature of the magnetic field lines decreases as the out-of-plane electron current in the electron inflow region is enhanced. This means that the magnetic field lines in the upstream region tends to be parallel to the $x$ direction, leading to the extension of the electron meandering region along the $x$ direction. Note that the left-hand side of Ampère’s law ($\partial E_z/\partial t$, not shown) is mostly zero within the present simulation time in comparison with each term on the right-hand side.

Figure 7 shows the snapshots of the out-of-plane electron velocity $V_y$, with the magnetic field lines (white solid lines) around the X line. The strong electron velocity seen near the center of the simulation area indicates that electrons are accelerated by $E_x$ and perform the meandering motions. Thus, this region is mostly interpreted as the electron diffusion region. As the curvature of the magnetic field lines de-
creases in the electron inflow region, the electron meandering region, that is, the electron diffusion region tends to extend along the $x$ direction. This is also evident in Fig. 8, which describes time evolutions of (a) the length $l$, (b) the width $\delta$, and (c) the aspect ratio $\delta/l$ of the electron diffusion region. The length $l$ and the width $\delta$ of the electron diffusion region are properly determined from the simulation results at a given time. The electron diffusion region should be the region where the dissipation processes are mainly supported by the electron dynamics, that is, the region where electrons are accelerated by the inductive electric field $E_y$ and experience the meandering/Speiser motions.$^{32}$ Thus, the downstream edge of the electron diffusion region is determined by the location where the electron outflow velocity along the $x$ axis reaches a peak value. Outside the edge, electrons are decelerated and the electron flow energy is partly converted to its thermal energy. On the other hand, the upstream edge of the electron diffusion region is determined by the turning point of the electron meandering motions. The amplitude of the electron meandering orbit is given by $\delta_m = \lambda_e \delta_m$, where $\lambda_e = v_{th} / \omega_c$ is the local Larmor radius of electrons, $v_{th} = \sqrt{2T_e/m_e}$ is the electron thermal velocity, and $\omega_c = -eB_i/m_e$ is the electron cyclotron frequency. The width $\delta$ is defined by $\delta = \delta^+ + (-\delta^-)$, where $\delta^+$ denotes the location of the upstream edge in the $xz$ region. The length $l$ of the electron diffusion region increases as time goes on after $t \omega_{ci} \approx 13.5$ [Fig. 8(a)] when the curvature of the magnetic field lines reaches the peak. Since the width $\delta$ is mostly constant in time [Fig. 8(b)], the aspect ratio $\delta/l$ decreases after $t \omega_{ci} \approx 13.5$, especially after $t \omega_{ci} = 15$, so that a long and narrow diffusion region is formed [Fig. 8(c)].

In Fig. 9, a schematic field structure around the electron diffusion region is described with the polarization electric field $E_z$ and the out-of-plane current density $J_y$. The electric field directing toward the neutral sheet is significant in the shadowed regions. The out-of-plane current density $J_y$ in the shadowed region is enhanced in the manner that the polarization electric field $E_z$ forces the inflow electrons to perform the $E \times B$ drift to the out-of-plane direction and enhances the out-of-plane electron current density. Since the out-of-plane current density is almost uniform along the upstream edge of

FIG. 7. (Color) Snapshots of the out-of-plane electron flow velocity at $t \omega_{ci} = 12.8, 14.8,$ and 16.7. Magnetic field lines (white solid lines) are superposed on each panel.

FIG. 8. Time evolutions of (a) the length, (b) the width, and (c) the aspect ratio of the electron diffusion region, respectively.
the electron diffusion region, the magnetic field \( B_z \) does not appear near the X line. Thus the magnetic field lines in the electron inflow region should be parallel to the upstream edge, so that the curvature of the magnetic field lines is reduced in association with the enhancement of \( J_x \) in the electron inflow region. On the other hand, near the downstream edge of the shadowed region, \( J_x \) has a gradient along the x direction, which gives rise to the magnetic field fluctuation \( \delta B_z \) (dashed arrows in Fig. 9) and also reduce the curvature of the magnetic field lines. As a result, the magnetic field lines in the electron inflow region tend to be parallel to the x axis, leading to the extension of the electron meandering region, that is, the electron diffusion region along the x direction.

**C. Impact on the reconnection rate**

We consider here how the structure change of the electron diffusion region affects the reconnection rate, that is, the electric field along the X line. Figure 10 shows the flow velocity of electrons along the z direction at \( x=\lambda_d/2 \). This profile is useful to understand the electron behavior around the X line, when the intense polarization electric field is imposed. The dashed line expresses the \( E \times B \) drift velocity in the z direction, that is, \(-E_y/B_x\). The \( E \times B \) drift velocity diverges from the electron flow velocity at \( z=\pm 0.7\lambda_d \), so that the frozen-in condition for electrons breaks down in the region between \( z=\pm 0.7\lambda_d \). However, the upstream edges of the electron diffusion region where meandering electrons turn back to the neutral sheet is located at \( z=\pm 0.15\lambda_d \) in our definition. Actually, the strong polarization electric field imposed inside the ion-scale diffusion region makes the inertial term in the generalized Ohm’s law (1) considerably large and suppress the electron inflow velocity. In this case, the generalized Ohm’s law (1) can be expressed in the form

\[
E_y + V_{e1}B_x = -\frac{m_e}{e}V_{e1}\frac{\partial V_{e1}}{\partial z},
\]

where \( V_{e1}\) is a good approximation [the \((m_e/e)\partial V_{e1}/\partial t\) term is negligible compared to the \( E_y \) term (e.g., Ref. 19)]. Thus, we can obtain the electron inflow velocity,

\[
V_{e1} = -\frac{1}{1 + \omega_e^2} \frac{E_y}{B_x},
\]

where \( \omega_e \) is the local electron cyclotron frequency. We call this velocity the “modified \( E \times B \) drift velocity.” This profile is plotted by the dotted line in Fig. 10. The modified \( E \times B \) drift velocity diverges from the electron inflow velocity at \( z=\pm 0.15\lambda_d \), which are consistent with the upstream edges of the electron diffusion region.

Assuming that the inflow electrons along the z direction are mostly accelerated toward the x direction by the magnetic tension in the electron diffusion region, we can obtain the approximation

\[
-m_en_{ei}V_{e1}V_{e2} \approx \frac{B_1B_2}{\mu_0},
\]

where \( n_{ei}, V_{e1}, \) and \( B_j \) are, respectively, the electron density, velocity, and magnetic field in the location denoted by \( j (j=1 \text{ and } 2 \text{ represent the upstream and downstream edges of the electron diffusion region, respectively}), \) that is, \( V_{e1} = V_{e1}(x=\lambda_d/2, z=\delta_m), \) \( V_{e2} = V_{e2}(x=\lambda_l/2, z=0), \) \( B_1 = B_1(x=\lambda_l/2, z=\delta_m), \) \( B_2 = B_2(x=\lambda_l/2, z=0), \) and \( \lambda_l \) is the location of the right-hand edge of the electron diffusion region. The out-of-plane electric field \( E_z \) is assumed to be spatially uniform around the electron diffusion region, so that

\[
E_z = -V'_{e1}B'_1 = -V_{e1}B_1 - \frac{m_e}{e}V_{e1}\left(\frac{\partial V_{e1}}{\partial z}\right)_1 = V_{e2}B_2,
\]

where the prime denotes the location at which the electron inflow velocity diverges from the \( E \times B \) drift velocity \(-E_y/B_x\), that is, \( V'_{e1} = V_{e1}(x=\lambda_l/2, z=0.7\lambda_d) \), and \( B'_1 = B_1(x=\lambda_l/2, z=0.7\lambda_d) \) at \( t_{oe1} = 16.7 \), for example. We further assume \( V'_{e1} = V_{e1} \), which implies for the incompressible fluid that all the electrons entering the region where the frozen-in condition breaks down reach the electron diffusion region.
defined in the present study. From (5) and (6) using \( l | \varepsilon_{e1} | = \delta | V_{e2} | \) for continuity, one can derive

\[
V_{e2} = -\sqrt{\frac{B_1'}{B_1}} V_{\text{Ae}},
\]

(7)

\[
E_y = -\delta \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{e,1}} \left( \frac{\partial V_{e2}}{\partial z} \right) \right]^{3/2} B_1 V_{\text{Ae}},
\]

(8)

where \( V_{\text{Ae}} = B_1 / \sqrt{\mu_0 \mu_i e_i m_e} \) is called the electron Alfvén velocity. Since \( B_1' / B_1 \approx 1 \), it is found that the electron outflow velocity can exceed the electron Alfvén velocity defined at the upstream edge of the electron diffusion region. The theoretical prediction of the out-of-plane electric field using (8) is plotted as a function of time in Fig. 11 (dashed line), superposed on the direct measurement from the simulation results (solid line). This theoretical prediction is calculated from the upstream parameters \([ n_{e,1}, B_1, \text{ and } (\partial V_{e2} / \partial z)] \) and the size of the electron diffusion region \((\delta \text{ and } l)\) determined by the simulation results at each given time. It increases as time goes on until it reaches a peak at \( t \alpha_{e,1} = 15 \) and then starts to drop associated with the reduction of the aspect ratio \((\delta / l)\), that is, with the extension of the electron diffusion region. This profile is consistent with the simulation results (solid line). The damping rate of \( E_y \) after \( t \alpha_{e,1} = 15 \) is slightly different between the simulation results and the theoretical prediction. We think that this is caused by the underestimation of \( \delta \). In our model, we assume that all the inflow electrons are accelerated toward the \( x \)-direction only in the electron diffusion region defined in the present study. However, the polarization electric field \( E_y \), directing to the neutral sheet forces the inflow electrons toward the out-of-plane direction, even when they reside outside the electron diffusion region. Thus, the acceleration region should be wider along the \( z \)-direction than the electron meandering region, though it is difficult to correctly determine the effective value of \( \delta \).

**D. Comparison with the case of \( m_i / m_e = 1 \)**

In order to emphasize the role of the polarization electric field caused by the ion meandering motions around the X line, we compare the results discussed in the previous sections with those obtained from the simulations under \( m_i / m_e = 1 \), in which the decoupling between ions and electrons does not arise, so that the polarization electric field is eliminated. At the same time, we check the effects of the conducting wall located at the boundary of the simulation area in the \( z \)-direction by performing the same simulations in a larger system in the \( z \)-direction. In the runs with \( m_i / m_e = 1 \), the temperature ratio in the initial plasma sheet \((T_i / T_e)\) is also set as unity in order to avoid the electrostatic field arising from the difference in the Larmor radius between ions and electrons. The system parameters in the case of \( m_i / m_e = 1 \) are \( \Delta_{L_{t,0}} = 0.96 \lambda_{ci}, \Delta \omega_{ci} = 0.12 \omega_{ci}, \Delta \omega_{ce} = 0.01, \) and \( c / V_{\text{Ae}} = 6.0 \). The other conditions are the same as the case of \( m_i / m_e = 100 \). We examine several system sizes: \( l_x \times l_z = 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 30.7 \lambda_{ci} \) and \( 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 61.4 \lambda_{ci} \) for the \( m_i / m_e = 100 \) runs, and \( l_x \times l_z = 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 30.7 \lambda_{ci} \), \( 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 61.4 \lambda_{ci} \), and \( 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 123 \lambda_{ci} \) for the \( m_i / m_e = 1 \) runs.

The time evolution of the out-of-plane electric field at the center of the simulation area (the reconnection rate) is shown in Fig. 12 for each run. In the case of \( m_i / m_e = 1 \), we find that a quasi-steady reconnection is achieved in a larger system, although the reconnection rate in the smaller system \((l_x \times l_z = 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 30.7 \lambda_{ci})\) tends to drop due to the effects of the conducting wall boundary. On the other hand, in the case of \( m_i / m_e = 100 \), the time evolution of the reconnection rate is independent of the system size, rising until \( t \alpha_{e,1} = 15 \) and then falling down associated with the extension of the electron diffusion region. These results demonstrate the importance of the polarization electric field \( E_y \) in suppressing the reconnection processes. Another important point is that the asymptotic reconnection rate in the \( m_i / m_e = 1 \) runs \((|E_y| = 0.45 V_{\text{Ae}} B_0 = 0.09 V_{\text{Ae}} B_0)\) is large enough to explain fast dissipative events, even though the Hall effects are not included. Therefore it is suggested that the Hall effects should not be necessarily required for fast reconnection. This is well consistent with the results of Karimabadi et al.\(^2\)

![FIG. 11. A comparison of the time evolutions of the out-of-plane electric field. The solid line denotes the direct measurement of the simulation results at the center of the simulation area. The dashed line is the theoretical prediction calculated from the upstream parameters \([ n_{e,1}, B_1, \text{ and } (\partial V_{e2} / \partial z)] \) and the size of the electron diffusion region \((\delta \text{ and } l)\) determined by the simulation results.](image1)

![FIG. 12. (Color) A comparison of the time evolutions of the out-of-plane electric field at the center of the simulation area (the reconnection rate). Red lines denote the runs for \( m_i / m_e = 100 \), and black lines the runs for \( m_i / m_e = 1 \). Two system sizes are examined under each mass ratio: \( l_x \times l_z = 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 30.7 \lambda_{ci} \) (solid lines), and \( 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 61.4 \lambda_{ci} \) (dashed lines). A larger system size with \( l_x \times l_z = 123 \lambda_{ci} \times 123 \lambda_{ci} \) is also employed under \( m_i / m_e = 1 \) (shown by a black dotted line).](image2)
IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have investigated time evolutions of the electron diffusion region embedded in the ion-scale diffusion region and the resulting reconnection rate associated with magnetic reconnection in a fully kinetic and large system. We performed 2-1/2 dimensional full particle simulations with the adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) technique and the particle splitting algorithm.24 The adoption of these techniques to the conventional particle-in-cell (PIC) code enables us to perform effectively high-resolution simulations, including kinetic processes of magnetic reconnection. Previous reconnection studies using full particle simulations in the periodic system17–20 achieved fast reconnection, but the system did not reach steady state and the reconnection rate decreased after it reached a peak value. The authors of the previous studies suggest that this is because the compressional effects in the magnetic islands affect the reconnection processes in small periodic systems. The full particle code with the AMR and particle splitting employed in the present study allows us to take a large system, in which the effects of the downstream periodic boundaries hardly affect the reconnection processes within the present simulation time.

It is found that the reconnection rate increases associated with magnetic reconnection and reaches a peak value large enough for fast reconnection, but then it decreases as time goes on, even though the periodicity of the system is negligible. The key process responsible for slowing magnetic reconnection is the extension of the electron diffusion region associated with the evolution of the strong polarization electric field $\mathbf{E}_p$ imposed in the electron inflow region. The polarization electric field directing toward the neutral sheet is caused by the inertia difference between ions and electrons, and enhanced by the meandering motions of the background ions. Because the hot ions initially loaded in the Harris-type current sheet have a large velocity in the out-of-plane direction, they can easily escape from the diffusion region due to the Lorentz force before their meandering motions become dominant. Thus their impact on the strong $\mathbf{E}_p$ is small. The polarization electric field $\mathbf{E}_p$ forces the inflow electrons to move toward the out-of-plane direction by the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift and enhances the out-of-plane current density $J_y$ in the electron inflow region. The role of $J_y$ is to reduce the curvature of the magnetic field lines so that they are mostly parallel to the $x$ direction near the X line, in such a way that $J_y$ becomes uniform along the upstream edge of the electron diffusion region. As a result, the electron meandering region, that is, the electron diffusion region, extends along the $x$ direction.

We performed a Sweet-Parker-like analysis around the electron diffusion region, taking into account the breakdown of the electron frozen-in condition in the electron inflow region, and demonstrate that the extension of the electron diffusion region can suppress the reconnection rate. In order to emphasize the role of the polarization electric field and the Hall effects, we compare the simulation runs with $m_i/m_e=1$ and $m_i/m_e=100$. It is found that (1) a quasi-steady reconnection is achieved in the $m_i/m_e=1$ cases where the polarization electric field does not appear, different from the $m_i/m_e=100$ cases; (2) a large reconnection rate with $|E_y|$ ~ $0.09V_{A0}B_0$ is obtained in the $m_i/m_e=1$ runs, even though they do not include the Hall effects, so that the Hall effects are not necessarily required for fast reconnection.

The polarization electric field $\mathbf{E}_p$ caused by the ion meandering motions has been seen in previous studies within large systems using hybrid simulations.21,29 However, their systems achieved a quasi-steady reconnection, different from the present results. This inconsistency is possibly attributed to the absence of the electron meandering effects in the previous simulations. The damping of the reconnection rate results from the extension of the electron diffusion region in which electrons carry out the meandering motions. The electron meandering effects should be incorporated into the term arising from the nongyrotropic electron pressure of the generalized Ohm’s law [the second term on the right-hand side of (1)].9–13 However, both of the previous studies did not include this term.

Full particle simulations of magnetic reconnection without the effects of the periodic boundaries have been performed by Pei et al.6 under an open system. They also investigated a time evolution of the diffusion region and demonstrated that the system reached a steady-state reconnection and the structure was mostly unchanged during the simulation time. Magnetic reconnection in their system is driven by an external electric field, supplying an external plasma into the system. The reconnection rate in such a driven system is mainly controlled by the external driving electric field.6,33 Thus, the size of the diffusion region should be determined in the manner that the output of the plasma and magnetic field flux from the system becomes consistent with the input from the external region. Thus, the reconnection processes in the driven system are essentially different from those in the spontaneous system, as employed in the present study, in which there is no energy input from the external region.

The question that remains is what can support fast reconnection in actual space plasma after the reconnection rate reaches the peak. In fact, the peak value of the reconnection rate obtained in the present runs is ~ $0.7V_{A0}B_0$. If we take $B_0 \sim 10$ nT and $n_p \sim 0.5$ cm$^{-3}$, we can calculate $|E_y|$ ~ $2.2$ mV/m, which is not unrealistic compared with observational results.34 However, in the present model, the reconnection rate is expected to continue decreasing after the meandering motions due to the background cold ions have been dominant around the X line. Thus, it is supposed that other mechanisms that are not included in the model might play an important role in enhancing the reconnection rate. In the two-dimensional system employed in the present study, plasma instabilities excited along the $y$ direction are suppressed, in principle. Actually, since electrons in the electron diffusion region can be strongly accelerated toward the $y$ direction beyond the electron Alfvén velocity defined in the upstream region, a large velocity difference between ions and electrons is expected to arise. Figure 13 shows out-of-plane velocity distribution functions for ions (dashed line) and electrons (solid line) around the center of the simulation area. Both distributions are not simply Maxwellian. Ions have a weak beam component at $v_y \approx -1.0V_A$, while electrons provide a long-tail structure in a high-energy part.
However, apart from these small components, the difference in the bulk velocity between ions and electrons is about 10\(V_A\), which is far beyond the electron thermal spread (\(\approx 3.5V_A\)). Thus, the Buneman-type instability could quickly develop along the \(y\) direction in three-dimensional systems. Indeed, such an instability and its nonlinear structure have been demonstrated in a three-dimensional system with a strong guide field, and can give rise to the anomalous resistivity, so that the reconnection rate (|\(E_y\)|) is enhanced. It is suggested that the Buneman-type instability can be suppressed due to an electron self-heating within the electron diffusion region in a system without the guide field. The heating mechanism is simple. The total flow energy into the electron diffusion region is converted to the total thermal energy via the meandering motions near the \(X\) line. In the present simulation, however, the initial thermal velocity of electrons (\(v_{th,e}=3.3V_A\)) is beyond the inflow velocity (\(V_{ei}=1.2V_A\), see Fig. 10), so that the electron self-heating does not occur in the present setup. In order to investigate the role of the Buneman-type instability in the electron diffusion region, it is necessary to perform a large-scale kinetic simulation in a three-dimensional system.
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