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Solvation shell dynamics studied by molecular dynamics simulation in relation to the translational and rotational dynamics of supercritical water and benzene

Ken Yoshida, Nobuyuki Matubayasi, a,b and Masaru Nakahara a,c
Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan
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The solvation shell dynamics of supercritical water is analyzed by molecular dynamics simulation with emphasis on its relationship to the translational and rotational dynamics. The relaxation times of the solvation number \( \tau_s \), the velocity autocorrelation function \( \tau_D \), the angular momentum correlation function \( \tau_R \), and the second-order reorientational correlation function \( \tau_{R^2} \) are studied at a supercritical temperature of 400 °C over a wide density region of 0.01–1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\). The relaxation times are decomposed into those conditioned by the solvation number \( n \), and the effect of the short-ranged structure is examined in terms of its probability \( P_n \) of occurrence. In the low to medium-density range of 0.01–0.4 g cm\(^{-3}\), the time scales of water dynamics are in the following sequence: \( \tau_D > \tau_s \geq \tau_R \geq \tau_{R^2} \). This means that the rotation in supercritical water is of the “in-shell” type while the translational diffusion is not. The comparison to supercritical benzene is also performed and the effect of hydrogen bonding is examined. The water diffusion is not of the in-shell type up to the ambient density of 1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\), which corresponds to the absence of the transition from the collision to the Brownian picture, whereas such transition is present in the case of benzene.

The absence of the transition in water comes from the fast reorganization of the hydrogen bonds and the enhanced mobility of the solvation shell in supercritical conditions. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2780871]

I. INTRODUCTION

Supercritical water has received much attention as a clean and novel alternative to organic solvents.1–7 The dynamics of hydration often plays an important role in determining the reaction rate constant, how long it takes for reactive species to encounter each other, how long molecules reside in a solvation shell, and how long reactive orientation persists. The dynamics of supercritical aqueous solution is inseparably related to the dynamical structure of pure solvent water at the supercritical state. Thus, in order to establish the molecular picture of the supercritical hydration dynamics, it is essential to elucidate the dynamics of supercritical water as a pure solvent.

In previous papers, we have investigated the self-diffusion and the rotational relaxation of sub- and supercritical water over a wide range of temperature (30–400 °C) and density (0.004–1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\)) using the high-temperature nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) method.8–10 The effect of the hydrogen bonding on the self-diffusion has been elucidated in terms of the simple gas kinetic model11–13 and the simple hydrodynamic model.14,15 The experimental results alone cannot give a full account of the self-diffusion mechanism on the molecular level, however, since the microscopic details are averaged out in the integral of the relevant time correlation function. The molecular dynamics (MD) method is a complementary, powerful tool to analyze the dynamics at the atomic spatial resolution and femto- to picosecond time resolution.8–10,16–25 Its numerical results can be combined with an analytical formulation to provide a realistic, physical picture, which is often missed in widely used models such as the binary collision and Brownian dynamics. The purpose of the present work is to pursue the solvation shell picture in connection to the dynamics of supercritical water. We aim at obtaining a deeper understanding of the molecular mechanism of the translational and rotational dynamics in terms of the time correlation functions obtained by computer simulation.

Since the time profiles of the velocity and the orientation in fluids are controlled by the forces exerted by solvating molecules, it is of great interest to establish the relationship between the dynamics and the solvating shell structures over a wide range of density. One of our purposes is to see whether or not the supercritical water dynamics is of the “in-shell” type in the sense that a water molecule diffuses or rotates within the “shell” or “cage” of solvating molecules clustering around it.26 For this purpose, it is necessary to explore the dynamic aspect of the shell structure as well as the static one. In supercritical water, the hydrogen bonding is still present,18,21 and thus the attractive intermolecular interaction is important in the translational and rotational dynamics. Previously, by characterizing the attractive effect by the number \( n_{HB} \) of hydrogen bonds, we have analyzed the rotational correlation time \( \tau_{R^2} \) for supercritical water in relation to \( n_{HB} \) and have shown that \( \tau_{R^2} \) is dominantly controlled by the local hydrogen-bonding structure.8 \( \tau_{R^2} \) has also been
found to be smaller than the angular momentum relaxation time $\tau^r$ in supercritical states, showing that the inertial effect is operative. There have also been studies on the dynamics of each individual hydrogen bond in water and aqueous solutions in ambient and supercritical conditions.\textsuperscript{27–31} In this study, we extend our focus to the observable translational and rotational dynamics and establish the relationship among the relaxations of collective shell structure, velocity, orientation, and angular momentum over a wide range of density.

To clarify the effect of the hydrogen-bonding interaction, it is beneficial to compare the simulation results for water and those for a solvent without hydrogen bonding, such as benzene. A comparison to benzene will provide a better understanding of dynamical issues in supercritical fluids that have generated considerable interest during the past decades.\textsuperscript{32–43} Although the structure and dynamics in benzene are slightly anisotropic at room temperature,\textsuperscript{44,45} the anisotropy is much weaker than in water, especially at high temperatures.\textsuperscript{46} The studies on static structures have shown that the preference for parallel and perpendicular configurations in benzene almost disappears in supercritical conditions,\textsuperscript{36} whereas the orientational preference in hydrogen bonding clearly exists in supercritical water.\textsuperscript{21} Thus the comparison between water and benzene enables us to highlight the effect of the strongly anisotropic pair potential of water. In particular, the rotational dynamics is a powerful probe to examine the effect of short-ranged, anisotropic interactions and is to be treated in detail.\textsuperscript{46–48} It is furthermore interesting to see to what extent benzene can be considered to be freely rotating in supercritical conditions. Thus we compare the results for benzene with those for the Lennard-Jones (LJ) fluid.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the procedures for the MD simulation and the summary of the time correlation functions and relaxation times examined. Section III is devoted to the analysis of the shell structure and shell relaxation dynamics in connection to the translational and the rotational dynamics. Conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

II. METHODS

A. Molecular dynamics simulation

The MD simulation was performed for pure water and benzene. The supercritical states simulated in the present work are specified by a temperature of 400 °C and for water by densities of 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.60, 1.0, 1.2, and 1.5 g cm\textsuperscript{3} and for benzene by densities of 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.60, and 0.87 g cm\textsuperscript{3}. These densities correspond to the reduced densities, $\rho_r = \rho / \rho_c$, of 0.031, 0.062, 0.16, 0.31, 0.62, 1.24, 1.86, 3.11, 3.73, and 4.66 for water and 0.033, 0.066, 0.16, 0.33, 0.66, 1.31, 1.97, and 2.86 for benzene, respectively, where $\rho_c$ is the critical density. The experimental $\rho_c$ values are equal to 0.322 and 0.305 g cm\textsuperscript{3} for water\textsuperscript{49} and benzene,\textsuperscript{50} respectively, and they were used in the above-mentioned conversions. To examine the temperature effect, we also studied an ambient state: 30 °C and 1.0 g cm\textsuperscript{3} for water and 30 °C and 0.87 g cm\textsuperscript{3} for benzene. The water density is covered up to 1.5 g cm\textsuperscript{3} in order to compare water and benzene at high molecular packing fractions; the packing fraction, $(4\pi/3)\rho_c(\sigma/2)^3$, is 0.48 at 1.5 g cm\textsuperscript{3} for water and is 0.51 at 0.87 g cm\textsuperscript{3} for benzene, where $\rho_c$ is the number density and $\sigma$ is the molecular diameter. The $\sigma$ values of 2.64 and 5.27 Å are used for water and benzene, respectively. These $\sigma$ values are taken from the LJ parameters in Refs. 11 and 51 for benzene and water, respectively. Note that the packing fraction for water is only 0.32 at 1.0 g cm\textsuperscript{3} due to the open structure.\textsuperscript{1}

The water and benzene molecules were treated as rigid and nonpolarizable. The TIP4P (Ref. 52) and OPLS-AA (Ref. 53) models were adopted as the potential functions for water and benzene, respectively. As a reference solvent that has a completely isotropic potential, the LJ fluid was also simulated. The potential parameters for the LJ fluid were set to $\sigma=5.27$ Å and $\varepsilon/k_B=440$ K.\textsuperscript{11} These are optimized ones for benzene using the viscosity data.\textsuperscript{11} The pairwise additivity was assumed, and the Lennard-Jones and Coulombic interactions were operative between a pair of sites belonging to different molecules. The standard Lorentz-Berthelot combining rule was used to construct the Lennard-Jones part of the interaction between different types of sites.\textsuperscript{54} The number of molecules in the unit cell was 1000, 256, and 1000 for water, benzene, and LJ fluid, respectively. The periodic boundary condition was employed with the minimum image convention, and the electrostatic potential was handled by the Ewald method with the surrounding medium of infinite dielectric constant.\textsuperscript{55} The equations of motion were integrated at a time step of 1.0 fs. The simulation was performed for 1.0 ns at low densities to determine the relatively large relaxation time ($\leq$10 ps) associated: in the case of water, for the translational dynamics at the densities of 0.1 g cm\textsuperscript{3} and lower and for the angular momentum dynamics at 0.05 g cm\textsuperscript{3} and lower. In the case of benzene, a 1.0 ns simulation was performed for the translational dynamics at 0.2 g cm\textsuperscript{3} and lower and for the angular momentum dynamics at 0.05 g cm\textsuperscript{3} and lower. At the higher densities, the MD was conducted for 100 ps to see the relatively fast relaxation ($\leq$1 ps) for the translational, the shell, and the rotational dynamics. The errors of the calculated relaxation times are within ±2%. Those of the decomposed (solvation-number-conditioned) relaxation times are within ±5% for the solvation numbers with which the $P_n$ is larger than 0.01, where $P_n$ is the probability of finding a molecule with the solvation number equal to $n$; the shell decomposition scheme is described in Sec. II B. Such small errors do not affect the physical contents below. The MD calculations were performed by using MATERIALS EXPLORER 4.0 (Fujitsu Ltd.).

B. Shell decomposition of the time correlation function

In order to characterize the local states of the solvating molecules, we employ the solvation number $n$ as a variable. The solvation number is well suited for the comparison of dynamics between water and benzene. The solvation number is defined for water and benzene in terms of the distance of the center of mass of a pair of molecules. For a given molecule, its solvation number $n$ is equal to the number of mol-
molecules whose distances are less than a cutoff distance $r_c$. The cutoff distance $r_c$ was taken to be 5.0 and 9.0 Å for water and benzene, respectively, and corresponds approximately to the first minimum of the radial distribution function of the center of mass. Since the position of the first minimum is less clear in supercritical conditions, we also used $r_c = 4.0$ Å for water and 8.0 and 10.0 Å for benzene to confirm that the discussions are not altered by the choice of $r_c$. For brevity the results are shown only for the $r_c$ values of 5.0 and 9.0 Å, respectively, for water and benzene.

The dynamics of the solvation shell structure is investigated through a characteristic function, $\Theta_n(t)$, of the solvation number. At time $t$, this function is unity when the solvation number is equal to $n$ and is zero otherwise. $\Theta_n(t)$ satisfies

$$\Theta_n(t) \Theta_n(t) = \Theta_n(t) \quad (m = n)$$

$$= 0 \quad (m \neq n),$$

$$\sum_n \Theta_n(t) = 1$$

(1)

The second equality comes from the definition that $\Theta_n$ is either zero or unity. $C_n(t)$ is unity at time 0 and decays to the ensemble average $\langle \Theta_n \rangle = \langle \Theta_n(0) \rangle$. The average $\langle \Theta_n \rangle$ is the probability $P_n$ of finding a molecule with the solvation number equal to $n$. In the present study, the relaxation time $\tau_n^2$ was calculated by an exponential fit of the initial decay of $C_n(t)$; the initial part here refers to the $t$ region in which $C_n(t) \approx 0.7$ (no $n$ with $\langle \Theta_n \rangle \approx 0.7$ was found), except for $n = 0.9$ at 0.01 g cm$^{-3}$ where the $t$ region in which $C_n(t) \approx 0.9$ is used. The average of $\tau_n^2$ over $n$ is the relaxation time $\tau^2$ at a specific thermodynamic state expressed as

$$\tau^2 = \sum_n \langle \theta_n \rangle \tau_n^2 = \sum_n P_n \tau_n^2.$$

The self-diffusion coefficient $D$ was calculated by integrating the velocity autocorrelation function of the center of mass through

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dt \langle \mathbf{v}(0) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t) \rangle.$$

The relaxation time $\tau^D$ of the velocity autocorrelation function is calculated by integrating the normalized velocity autocorrelation function as

$$\tau^D = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}(0) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t) \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}(0)^2 \rangle}.$$

(6)

$\tau^D$ is directly related to $D$ by

$$\tau^D = \frac{M}{RT} D,$$

(7)

where $M$ is the molecular weight, $R$ is the gas constant, and $T$ is the temperature.

The rotational dynamics is investigated in terms of the angular momentum correlation time $\tau^\prime$ and the second-order reorientational correlation time $\tau^{2R}$, described, respectively, as

$$\tau^\prime = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\langle \mathbf{J}(0) \cdot \mathbf{J}(t) \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{J}(0)^2 \rangle},$$

$$\tau^{2R} = \int_0^\infty dt \langle \frac{3}{2} \cos^2 \theta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \rangle,$$

(8)

(9)

where $\mathbf{J}(t)$ is the angular momentum vector of a molecule at time $t$ and $\theta(t)$ is the angle between the O–H and C–H bond vectors for water and benzene, respectively, at time 0 and $t$.

The dependence of $\tau^D$, $\tau^\prime$, and $\tau^{2R}$ on the solvation number is examined through the corresponding correlation functions conditioned by $n$ at time 0. $\tau^D$, $\tau^\prime$, and $\tau^{2R}$ conditioned by $\Theta_n$ are expressed, respectively, as

$$\tau^D_n = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}(0) \mathbf{v}(t) \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}(0)^2 \rangle \langle \Theta_n(0) \rangle},$$

$$\tau^\prime_n = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\langle \mathbf{J}(0) \mathbf{J}(t) \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{J}(0)^2 \rangle \langle \Theta_n(0) \rangle},$$

$$\tau^{2R}_n = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\langle (3/2) \cos^2 \theta(t) - 1/2 \rangle}{\langle \Theta_n(0) \rangle}.$$

(10)

(11)

(12)

The averages of $\tau^D_n$, $\tau^\prime_n$, and $\tau^{2R}$ over $n$ give $\tau^D$, $\tau^\prime$, and $\tau^{2R}$, respectively, by

$$\tau^D = \sum_n P_n \tau^D_n,$$

$$\tau^\prime = \sum_n P_n \tau^\prime_n,$$

$$\tau^{2R} = \sum_n P_n \tau^{2R}_n.$$

(13)

(14)

(15)

Equations (13)–(15) are “sum rules” in our description by the solvation number. The observable quantities $\tau^D$, $\tau^\prime$, and $\tau^{2R}$ are expressed as weighted sums of their components conditioned by the solvation number $n$. The weight is the probability $P_n$ of finding a specific value of $n$ at time 0, and the time evolution with the specific $n$ determines the components. This decomposition scheme is exact over the entire density region and does not rely on any specific dynamic model.

C. Physical concepts based on conditional correlation time

In the present formalism, we can obtain a dynamic picture in supercritical fluids on the basis of the relaxation time $\tau^X_n$ of the dynamics of interest ($X=D$, $J$, or $2R$) divided by the
We can discuss to what extent the fluid dynamics deviates than unity within the time scale of \( n/H_9270 \). The dynamics is relatively confined within the shell and classifies into the in-shell type. The opposite condition \( r_X^n/r_n^X > 1 \) shows that the autocorrelation persists after the initial shell state is relaxed. Expressed in another way, the shell relaxation occurs when a solvent molecule escapes out or enters into the shell, and therefore the faster shell relaxation indicates that the shell is more “mobile.” The dynamics of the mobile-shell type relaxes through repeated reorganization of temporary shells. The former in-shell type is more local in time and the latter mobile-shell type is more nonlocal.

Our approach is valid over a wide range of density from dilute gas to liquid. The two extremes of time scale separation, \( r_X^n/r_n^X \gg 1 \) and \( r_X^n/r_n^X \ll 1 \), correspond to dilute gas and dense liquid, respectively, for velocity and angular momentum relaxations (X= D and J). As for the self-diffusion in dilute gas, the velocity correlation will be lost after repeated binary collisions (interactions) and thus \( r^n_D/r^n \) can be large. At liquidlike high densities, the solute molecule is subject to fluctuating forces and torques from various directions within a somewhat packed shell and hence \( r^n_D/r^n \) can be small. A larger and a smaller value of \( r^n_D/r^n \) are in favor of the binary collision picture and the Brownian picture, respectively. The present formalism is useful for assessing the validity and limitations of these popular pictures. By examining \( r_X^n/r_n^X \), we can discuss to what extent the fluid dynamics deviates from the binary collision or the Brownian depending on the density region.

How much a certain dynamic mode relaxes within the shell or not determines how strongly the dynamic mode is controlled by the shell structure. To see this point, in Sec. III we will discuss \( r_X^n \) in terms of its dependencies on the solvation number \( n \) and the bulk density \( \rho \). At each fixed \( \rho \), \( r_X^n \) is a function of \( n \) and at each \( n \), the \( \rho \) dependence can be assessed since \( \rho \) is to be varied to study supercritical fluid. Here we summarize the general correlation between the ratio \( r_X^n/r_n^X \) and the relative strength of the dependencies of \( r_n^X \) on \( n \) and \( \rho \). When \( r_X^n/r_n^X \) is smaller than unity (in-shell type), \( r_X^n \) is dominantly determined by the integral from \( t=0 \) to \( \sim r_n^X \).

Within the time scale of \( r_n^X \), the majority of molecules keep the \( n \) value at time 0. Thus \( r_n^X \) is strongly controlled by the solvation number \( n \). In the opposite case that \( r_X^n/r_n^X \) is larger than unity (mobile-shell type), the integral \( r_X^n \) is the sum of the integral from \( t=0 \) to \( \sim r_n^X \) (in-shell relaxation) and the integral beyond \( \sim r_n^X \). In general, the initial period between \( t=0 \) and \( \sim r_n^X \) is strongly controlled by \( n \). After \( \sim r_n^X \), however, the initial memory of the solvation number \( n \) is lost and thus the \( n \) dependence becomes weaker. When the shell is more mobile and the dynamics is less local in time, the \( n \) dependence is relatively weaker and the \( \rho \) dependence appears relatively more strongly. We shall see in the following sections that the dynamics of the in-shell type is more strongly controlled by \( n \) and less by \( \rho \). On the contrary, the dynamics of the mobile-shell type will be controlled both by \( n \) and \( \rho \).

Our dynamic analysis based on Eqs. (13)–(15) is parallel in spirit to the solvation shell analysis of partial molar thermodynamic quantities developed in Refs. 57–59. In both of the analysis schemes, the observable quantity is exactly formulated to be a weighted sum of its components conditioned by a static and spatial distribution of solvent molecule. The components then carry information specific to the observable of interest, and the exact sum rule is used to analyze the validity and limitations of a corresponding, phenomenological model on the molecular level.
of the center of mass over the region defined by the cutoff distance $r_c$. It is seen that $(n)/\rho$ for water increases with density reduction, in agreement with previous NMR chemical shift measurements. This shows that a specific attractive interaction between a pair of water molecules is stronger at a lower density, being less perturbed by directional collective interactions. In contrast, an increase in $(n)/\rho$ for benzene with density reduction is weaker than that for water, corresponding to the weaker density dependence of the radial distribution function for benzene.

Now we discuss the dynamics of the solvation shell. In the case of water, the solvation shell relaxes faster due to the more frequent exchange of the solvating molecules. In order to scrutinize the effect of hydrogen bonding, we compare $\tau^S_c$ for water with that for benzene that has no hydrogen bonding. As shown in Fig. 2(a), we show the shell relaxation time $\tau^S_c$ for water conditioned by the solvation number $n$ as in Eq. (4). $\tau^S_c$ at fixed $n$ increases with decreasing $\rho$ and $\tau^S_c$ at fixed $\rho$ increases with decreasing $n$. The shell state for a more crowded solvation number divided by the bulk density $P_n$ is as large as $n = 1$ for water, the data at supercritical states. The conditional shell relaxation time $\tau^S_c$ at supercritical states of $0.01$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$ and $400$ °C and at an ambient state of $0.87$ g cm$^{-3}$ and $30$ °C. (c) $\tau^S_c$ of benzene at supercritical states of $0.01$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$ and $400$ °C and at an ambient state, respectively. For each $n$ and $n_{HB}$, the data at supercritical states correspond to the bulk densities of $0.01$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$, and $0.05$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$ from top to bottom. Fig. 2(a), we show the shell relaxation time $\tau^S_c$ for water conditioned by the solvation number $n$ as in Eq. (4). $\tau^S_c$ at fixed $n$ increases with decreasing $\rho$ and $\tau^S_c$ at fixed $\rho$ increases with decreasing $n$. The shell state for a more crowded solvation number divided by the bulk density $P_n$ is as large as $n = 1$. For each $n$ and $n_{HB}$, the data at supercritical states correspond to the bulk densities of $0.01$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$, and $0.05$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$ from top to bottom. The filled and open symbols represent $\tau^S_c$ at a supercritical temperature of $400$ °C and at an ambient state, respectively. For each $n$ and $n_{HB}$, the data at supercritical states correspond to the bulk densities of $0.01$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$, and $0.05$–$1.0$ g cm$^{-3}$ from top to bottom.

The conditional shell relaxation time $\tau^S_c$ is a measure to assess the extent of the gaslike character of a particular supercritical state. In gaslike conditions, the time spent on free translation $(\tau^T)$ becomes relatively longer than that for collision or interaction $[\tau^C(n \gg 1)]$. Thus a larger value of the ratio $\tau^T/\tau^C$ $(n \gg 1)$ is characteristic of the gaslike behavior. Especially, when the density is low enough, the interaction is essentially “binary”, and $\tau^S_c$ can represent the interaction period. At $0.01$ g cm$^{-3}$, the ratio $\tau^T/\tau^C$ is as large as $\sim 5$. As the bulk density is increased, $\tau^T/\tau^C$ becomes $\sim 3$ and $\sim 2$ at $0.02$ and $0.05$ g cm$^{-3}$, respectively. This shows that the dilute-gas approximation in the simple gas kinetic theory requires stricter time scale separation that the time spent on free translation is much longer than that spent on binary collision. At a relatively low density of $0.01$ g cm$^{-3}$, the free-translation period is only five times longer than the binary-interaction period.
ing density is exceptionally small in the case of supercritical water. For water, \( \rho D/\sqrt{T} \) decline is observed only above 1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\). Actually, the packing fraction of water at the highest density of 1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\) (0.48) is comparable to that for benzene at 0.87 g cm\(^{-3}\) (0.51). The ambient density of 1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\) is not high enough for water since liquid water is known to have an open structure at 1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\) due to the hydrogen-bond network. The temperature dependence of \( D \) is much larger for water than for benzene when compared at their ambient densities: 1.0 and 0.87 g cm\(^{-3}\) for water and benzene, respectively. The difference comes from the difference in relaxation time \( \tau_n^D \), as shown below.

Now we examine the \( n \) and \( \rho \) dependencies of the conditional velocity relaxation time \( \tau_n^D \) [Eq. (13)]; as described in Sec. II C, the locality in time of the relaxation process is measured by the relative strength of the \( n \) and \( \rho \) dependencies. As seen in Fig. 4(a), \( \tau_n^D \) at fixed \( n \) increases with decreasing \( \rho \) and \( \tau_n^D \) at fixed \( \rho \) increases with decreasing \( n \). The presence of the \( \rho \) dependence at fixed \( n \) results from the commitment of the distant molecules to slow down the diffusion. The relaxation processes of velocity and solvation shell are correlated to a high degree in supercritical water, since the overall \( n \) dependencies of \( \tau_n^D \) and \( \tau_n^S \) are similar. The \( n \) dependence of \( \tau_n^D \) is consistent with the dependence of the self-diffusion coefficient on the number of hydrogen bonds found by Marti et al. in their MD simulation along the coexistence curve using the flexible SPC model.

In order to highlight the effect of hydrogen bonding, we compare \( \tau_n^D \) for water with that for benzene. As shown in Fig. 4(b), \( \tau_n^D \) for benzene is controlled more strongly by \( \rho \) and less by \( n \) than that for water. The self-diffusion in benzene is more nonlocal in time. Now we can explain the difference in the \( \rho \) dependence of \( D \) between supercritical water and benzene shown in Fig. 3 on the basis of the shell decomposition scheme. The general \( n \) and \( \rho \) dependencies of \( \tau_n^D \) [Eq. (13)] and \( P_n \) [Eq. (4)] are summarized as follows: (1) \( \tau_n^D \) at fixed \( n \) increases with decreasing \( \rho \), (2) \( \tau_n^D \) at fixed \( \rho \) increases with decreasing \( n \), and (3) \( P_n \) distribution shifts to smaller \( n \) values with decreasing \( \rho \). The exceptionally weak \( \rho \) dependence of \( D \) for water comes from the two factors; the \( \rho \) dependencies of \( \tau_n^D \) and \( P_n \) are smaller for water than for benzene. Although the larger \( n \) dependence of \( \tau_n^D \) for water acts to increase the \( \rho \) dependence of \( D \), this effect is overwhelmed by the former two factors. The \( \rho \) dependence of \( \tau_n^D \) is useful for differentiating the diffusion of water and benzene, since diffusion sweeps in space and is determined not only by the in-shell relaxation but also by the relaxation outside the initial shell.

The temperature dependence of \( \tau_n^D \) at the ambient density is much stronger for water than that for benzene due to the attractive interaction. The effect of attractive interaction is more strongly temperature dependent than the effect of repulsive interactions because of the difference in the potential steepness between the attractive and repulsive parts; recall that the quantity \( \rho D/\sqrt{T} \) plotted in Fig. 3 is independent of temperature in the case of hard sphere model. The difference in \( \tau_n^P \) dominates the difference in the observable diffusion coefficients \( D \) between water and benzene. The distribution mode of \( P_n \) shows smaller temperature dependence.
than does $\tau^D$, although the radial distribution function of water loses the three-dimensional network feature at elevated temperatures.$^{73,74}$

Now we compare the translational velocity relaxation time $\tau^D$ with the solvation shell relaxation time $\tau^S$ in order to discuss whether the diffusion is of the in-shell type or of the mobile-shell type; for the physical meanings of in shell and mobile shell, see Sec. II C. The two extremes, $\tau^D/\tau^S \gg 1$ and $\tau^D/\tau^S \ll 1$, correspond to the dilute-gas-like picture and the dense liquidlike, Brownian picture, respectively. The ratio $\tau^D/\tau^S$ for water in Fig. 5 shows that the water diffusion is of the mobile-shell type over the density range of 0.01–1.0 g cm$^{-3}$ at 400 °C.$^{75}$ At the ambient density of 1.0 g cm$^{-3}$, the packing fraction of water is still rather small (0.32) and the shell is mobile due to weakened hydrogen bonding at 400 °C. A large value of $\tau^D/\tau^S$ at 1.0 g cm$^{-3}$ and 400 °C means the invalidity of the liquidlike, Brownian picture and in favor of the gaslike picture. The collision (interaction) is, however, not binary. According to the $P_n$ distribution in Fig. 1 and $\tau^D/\tau^S$ in Fig. 5, the diffusing molecule is rather well solvated at densities of 0.05 g cm$^{-3}$ and higher, but the solvation shell is too soft to allow the complete relaxation of the velocity within a shell even at 1.0 g cm$^{-3}$. The transition to the Brownian picture is seen only toward extremely compressed densities of 1.2–1.5 g cm$^{-3}$. At ambient temperature, $\tau^D/\tau^S$ is much smaller than that at the same density at 400 °C and is comparable to unity. The shell is less mobile in ambient condition and the self-diffusion is of the in-shell type, in accordance with the Brownian picture.$^{78}$

A remarkable contrast is seen between water and benzene in the density dependence of $\tau^D/\tau^S$ at medium to high densities. As shown in Fig. 5, the ratio $\tau^D/\tau^S$ for benzene is $\sim 6$ at 0.05 g cm$^{-3}$ and decreases with density down to $\sim 1$ at the ambient density of 0.87 g cm$^{-3}$. In contrast to water, the benzene diffusion at 400 °C is of the mobile-shell type only in the low-density region and is of the in-shell type at the ambient density of 0.87 g cm$^{-3}$. This is in harmony with the common view of the transition from the collision to the Brownian picture with increasing density. Benzene is more highly packed at the ambient density and has smaller attractive interactions as well, and then the effect of repulsive interaction should be relatively stronger than that of water.

It is of interest to see if the difference in the ratio $\tau^D/\tau^S$ between water and benzene can be attributed to the anisotropy of the potentials. For this purpose, we compare the LJ result with those for water and benzene. As seen from Fig. 5, the LJ results are similar to the OPLS-AA benzene results. The similarity indicates that benzene molecules can be considered to be freely rotating at a high temperature of 400 °C, as far as the translational dynamics is concerned.

We have seen that the velocity autocorrelation decays not only within the shell but also after the shell relaxation. In
order to understand the velocity relaxation process within a shell and the effect of the cage-out on the velocity relaxation, we examine how the initial behavior of the velocity relaxation depends on the bulk density $\rho$ and the solvation number $n$. First, we focus on the $\rho$ dependence of the velocity autocorrelation functions with a fixed $n$ value. Representative profiles for supercritical water are given in Fig. 6. It is seen that the initial decay for water up to 0.1 ps with a fixed $n$ is independent of $\rho$ in the low- to medium-density region of 0.01–0.6 g cm$^{-3}$. This clearly shows that the effect of the local structure on the self-diffusion up to $\sim$0.1 ps is virtually independent of the bulk density and dominated by the initial solvation structure. Next, we focus on the $n$ dependence of the velocity autocorrelation functions with a fixed $\rho$ value. The initial decay of the velocity autocorrelation is faster for a larger $n$ value. The initial decay is determined by the so-called Einstein frequency, which corresponds, on average, to the total force exerted on the diffusing molecule by the solvating molecules.\(^{54}\) The present water results show that the total force exerted on the diffusing molecule is virtually determined by the solvating molecules within the first coordination shell, in agreement with the notion that the short-range, hydrogen-bonding interaction between neighboring molecules controls the diffusion process in supercritical water. Beyond 0.1 ps, the initial solvation structure is not the only factor determining the decay of the velocity autocorrelation function. The dependence on the bulk density $\rho$ emerges. As a result, the time integral $\tau_n^D$ is dependent on $\rho$. The reorganization of the hydrogen bonding and the relaxation of the shell structure occur due to the exchange of the solvation shell states beyond 0.1 ps, which corresponds to the shell relaxation time.

We can interpret the velocity relaxation profile on the basis of the time scale for the shell relaxation. For example, let us look at the relaxation profile for the solvation number $n=5$ at 0.05 g cm$^{-3}$ in Fig. 6(b). At the bulk density of 0.05 g cm$^{-3}$, the monomers, dimers, and trimers are the majority [see Fig. 1(a)] and $n=5$ is a rare case. Up to 0.1 ps, the initial cage structure remains at $n=5$ and the forces from various directions reduce the velocity autocorrelation rapidly. Beyond 0.1 ps, the cage structure is relaxed, the water clusters are mainly disintegrated into monomers, dimers, and trimers, and the velocity relaxation becomes slower. Another example is the profile at $n=1$ at 0.4 g cm$^{-3}$ in Fig. 6(a). Such an isolated condition of $n=1$ is rarely found at 0.4 g cm$^{-3}$. Beyond 0.1 ps, more water molecules cluster around and the velocity relaxation becomes faster.

The velocity relaxation profile for benzene is given in Fig. 7 in order to see its dependence on $\rho$ and $n$. The short-time in-shell relaxation for benzene is more directly related to $\rho$ than that for water; let us compare water in Fig. 6(a) and benzene in Fig. 7(c) at a typical value of $n=10$ in the density range of 0.2–0.6 g cm$^{-3}$. This difference between water and benzene corroborates the notion that the strong control by the shell states of the in-shell relaxation of water is attributed to the presence of the short-range, hydrogen-bonding interaction.

### C. Rotational dynamics

To investigate the effect of hydrogen bonding on the dynamics in supercritical water, here we focus on the rotational dynamics. The rotational dynamics is a more powerful probe for the anisotropy in intermolecular potential.\(^{46–48}\) We investigate the rotational dynamics in terms of the angular
The momentum correlation time \( \tau^J \) and the second-rank reorientational correlation time \( \tau^R \). \( \tau^J \) is obtained from the trajectories in the (angular) momentum space and thus can be directly compared to \( \tau^D \). On the other hand, \( \tau^R \) has an advantage in comparison to the experiment since it can be directly compared to the NMR spin-lattice relaxation results. It is also possible to compare \( \tau^J \) with NMR spin-lattice relaxation results; however, the analysis procedure for \( \tau^J \) is more complicated and ambiguous than that for \( \tau^R \).

First we show in Fig. 8(a) the angular momentum correlation time \( \tau^J \) for water conditioned by the solvation number \( n \). In comparison to \( \tau^D \), \( \tau^J \) is much more strongly affected by \( n \) and less by \( \rho \). This is because the rotational dynamics is of the in-shell type as shown later. The rotational motion is more rapidly randomized by the directional hydrogen-bonding interaction. As seen in Fig. 8(b), benzene shows smaller dependence on the solvation number \( n \) and larger dependence on \( \rho \) than water. The difference in \( \tau^J \) between water and benzene is more obvious than the difference in \( \tau^D \).

Now we inspect \( \tau^R \). Figure 9(a) shows \( \tau^R \) for water. \( \tau^R \) is essentially independent of \( \rho \) when \( n \geq 2 \) and is controlled by the initial solvation structure, in agreement with Ref. 8. Similarly to the angular momentum relaxation, the orientational relaxation is of the in-shell type at \( 0.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), as shown below. \( \tau^R \) increases with \( n \) at 0.05–0.6 g cm\(^{-3} \), showing that a specific orientation of a water molecule is kept for a longer time when it is surrounded by a larger number of water molecules. In contrast to water, \( \tau^R \) for benzene in Fig. 9(b) is almost independent of \( n \). The kinetic energy of rotation for the benzene molecule is large enough...
to surmount its anisotropic potential at a high temperature of 400 °C, whereas the anisotropic attractive potential is strongly operative for water even at 400 °C. The temperature dependence of \( \tau^R \) is one order of magnitude larger for water than for benzene since the strongly anisotropic attractive interaction in water is more sensitive to the temperature variation. The reorganization of hydrogen bonds in water becomes much faster at a high temperature of 400 °C than in ambient condition, which makes the solvation shell rather mobile, as described in Sec. III B. Actually, a stronger response to the temperature variation has also been found for attractive interactions than for repulsive ones in thermodynamic analysis; the solvation free energy scaled by the thermal energy is a stronger function of temperature when the solute-solvent interaction is more polar.

A few comments are made here on the reorientational relaxation. Since \( \tau^R \) probes the dynamics in the configuration space, the sign of the solvation-number and temperature dependencies of \( \tau^R \) is opposite to those of \( \tau^I \) and \( \tau^J \). An increase in \( \tau^R \) for water at \( n=0 \) at 0.01–0.05 g cm\(^{-3}\) and \( n=1 \) at 0.01 g cm\(^{-3}\) is due to the onset of the free-rotor-like character; \( \tau^R \) diverges in the zero-density limit because the angular momentum is conserved in the absence of the intermolecular interaction.\(^{8,19,64}\) For benzene, the divergence behavior and the high-density behavior emerge at 0.05 and 0.87 g cm\(^{-3}\), respectively.

Next we shall see if the rotational dynamics is of the in-shell type or not by using the ratios \( \tau^I/\tau^S \) and \( \tau^{2R}/\tau^S \). The ratios \( \tau^I/\tau^S \) and \( \tau^{2R}/\tau^S \) are plotted against density \( \rho \) in Figs. 10(a) and 10(b), respectively. Both \( \tau^I/\tau^S \) and \( \tau^{2R}/\tau^S \) for water are comparable to and smaller than unity at 0.6 g cm\(^{-3}\) and lower, showing that the rotational dynamics is of the in-shell type in low- to medium-density supercritical water. In other words, the solvating molecules are exchanged by hydrogen-bonding reorganization, in correspondence to the rotational relaxation. The ratio \( \tau^I/\tau^S \) as well as \( \tau^{2R}/\tau^S \) for water is relatively constant over the wide range of density of 0.01–1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\). On the other hand, the ratio \( \tau^{2R}/\tau^S \) increases with density, indicating that a water molecule can exchange the hydrogen-bonding partner more easily at a higher density. A similar property has been seen in the energetic analysis that the change in the total internal energy upon formation or breakage in a specific hydrogen bonding is smaller at a higher density.\(^{18}\)

The effect of the anisotropic hydrogen bonding is clearly seen in comparison to benzene. The ratio \( \tau^I/\tau^S \) for benzene shown in Fig. 10(a) is 3–5 at 0.05–0.6 g cm\(^{-3}\), which is much larger than that for water. The rotation of benzene is of the mobile-shell type, in contrast to the in-shell-type rotation of water. \( \tau^{2R}/\tau^S \) for benzene is also larger than that for water at 400 °C at 0.01–1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\). Due to the absence of the hydrogen bonding, it is easier for a benzene molecule than for a water molecule to exchange the solvating molecules without changing its orientation. This is consistent with the recent trajectory analysis of supercritical fluid by Sato and Okazaki.\(^{82}\) They have shown that a polar solvent continuously interacts with polar solute while the interaction between nonpolar solute and nonpolar solvent is pulselike. The orientational relaxation for water is much more sensitive to the temperature variation than the shell relaxation due to the anisotropy of the hydrogen bonding.\(^{83}\) In the case of benzene, the difference in the temperature dependence between orientational relaxation and shell relaxation is much smaller.\(^{84}\)

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The relationship among the solvation shell dynamics, translational dynamics, and rotational dynamics in supercritical water has been examined over the wide density range of 0.01–1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\) and in the ambient condition. The time scales of dynamics in supercritical water in the low- to medium-density range of 0.05–0.4 g cm\(^{-3}\) are in the following sequence:
\begin{equation}
\tau^D_n > \tau^S_n \geq \tau^{Dji}_n \equiv \tau^R_n, \tag{16}
\end{equation}

where \(\tau^{Dji}_n\) is the initial period of the velocity relaxation during which the velocity autocorrelation function with fixed \(n\) does not depend on the bulk density. The self-diffusion is of the mobile-shell type, while the rotation is of the in-shell type.

This sequence is different from that in the ambient conditions (\(\tau^R > \tau^S \geq \tau^{Dji}_n\)) and is in disagreement with the Brownian picture. Moreover, the distribution mode of the probability \(P_n\) shows that the binary collision picture is not applicable at \(\approx 0.05\) g cm\(^{-3}\). Thus, the present shell decomposition scheme negates the simplified, collision and Brownian pictures and provides the following realistic picture.

First, a water molecule changes the orientation to break hydrogen bonding. After the breakage of one or two hydrogen bonds, the shell structure is relaxed. Until then, the diffusion is controlled by the initial shell structure. After the shell relaxation, the solvating molecules are reconstructed and the diffusion is controlled by the averaged shell state rather than the conditional shell state at time 0. This shell relaxation is repeated several times so that the water molecule may completely lose the velocity time correlation. The present physical picture will be valuable for understanding the mechanism of reaction dynamics in supercritical water.

Let us summarize the density dependencies of \(\tau^D, \tau^R, \\tau^2R\), and \(\tau^2\). It has been found that \(\tau^D, \tau^R\), and \(\tau^2\) increase and \(\tau^2R\) decreases with density reduction. The density dependence of \(\tau^2R\) is much smaller than those of \(\tau^D, \tau^R\), and \(\tau^2\); the changes in \(\tau^2R\) are only \(\sim 40\% \) and \(\sim 10\%\) for water and benzene, respectively, in response to the density reduction from 0.6 to 0.1 g cm\(^{-3}\) at a fixed temperature of 400 \(^\circ\)C, whereas \(\tau^D, \tau^R\), and \(\tau^2\) at 0.1 g cm\(^{-3}\) are 5–11 times larger than those at 0.6 g cm\(^{-3}\). The density dependencies of \(\tau^D, \tau^R\), and \(\tau^2\) come both from the density dependence of relaxation times at a fixed \(n\) and the shift in the probability \(P_n\) of the occurrence of \(n\). The density dependencies of \(\tau^R\) and \(\tau^2R\) are primarily due to the shift in \(P_n\) and, further, the \(n\) dependence of \(\tau^2R\) is smaller than those of \(\tau^D, \tau^R\), and \(\tau^2\). As a result, the density dependence of \(\tau^2R/\tau^2\) is one order of magnitude larger than those of \(\tau^D/\tau^2\) and \(\tau^R/\tau^2\).

The water results are further compared to the benzene results at 400 \(^\circ\)C and at 0.01–0.87 g cm\(^{-3}\) and in the ambient condition to see the effect of hydrogen bonding. The effects of hydrogen bonding are summarized as follows:

1. The density dependence of the self-diffusion coefficient is smaller for water.
2. The temperature dependence of the self-diffusion coefficient at a density fixed at the ambient value, 1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\) for water and 0.87 g cm\(^{-3}\) for benzene, is much larger for water.
3. The transition from collision picture to Brownian picture with increasing density is not seen for supercritical water up to the ambient density, whereas such transition is seen for benzene.
4. The dependence of rotational dynamics on the shell structure is larger for water.
5. The rotational relaxation for water is of the in-shell type, whereas that for benzene is of the mobile-shell type.

These differences are dynamical manifestations of the anisotropy of the intermolecular interactions.
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The shell relaxation time \(t_H\) is a collective property of solvating molecules, whereas the residence time reflects the relaxation of the intermolecular distance between a solute and a certain solvent molecule. Thus the shell relaxation time is a collective property of solvating molecules, whereas the residence time is a property of a pair of molecules. In this work, we examine the former one in order to focus on the relationship of solvation shell relaxation to single-molecular processes of translational and rotational relaxations. The previous MD study on supercritical water (Ref 64) has shown that the residence time is relatively constant at 0.2–0.7 g cm\(^{-3}\), in contrast to the decrease in \(\rho\) with increasing density in the corresponding density region. These findings show that at higher densities, where the solvation number is larger, the states of the solvation shell relax with exchanging only the small portion of the solvating molecules and the good portion of the solvating molecules are resident during the shell-state relaxation.

The shell relaxation time \(t_H\) is extremely low-density region as mentioned in Sec. III A, is included in the decrease without orders of magnitude variation and the profile of radial distribution function shows disordered, liquidlike behavior up to 1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\) (data not shown). The experimental pressure values for water at 400 °C are 2.0 \times 10^3 and 6.2 \times 10^3 MPa at 1.2 and 1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\), respectively (Ref 49).

The discussion above is not changed when \(\rho(HB)\), which is the shell relaxation time conditioned by the number of hydrogen bonding, is used instead of \(\rho\).

Water is in the liquid phase at 1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\) and below (Ref 77). Indeed, \(\Delta\rho\) changes without orders of magnitude variation and the profile of radial distribution function shows disordered, liquidlike behavior up to 1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\) (data not shown). The experimental pressure values for water at 400 °C are 2.0 \times 10^3 and 6.2 \times 10^3 MPa at 1.2 and 1.5 g cm\(^{-3}\), respectively (Ref 49).
reorientational relaxation time $\tau_2^R$ for the sake of comparison to the previous NMR results (Ref. 8). We also examined the first-rank reorientational relaxation time $\tau_1^R$, which is defined as $\tau_1^R = \int_0^\infty dt \cos(\theta(t))$. Similarly to $\tau_2^R$, $\tau_1^R$ for water conditioned by the solvation number $n$ is larger at a larger value of $n$ and weakly dependent on the bulk density $\rho$. The $\tau_1^R$ values are twice as large as the $\tau_2^R$ values at corresponding $n$ and $\rho$, in agreement with the existence of the preference of the hydrogen-bonding angle (Ref. 21). The $\tau_1^R$ values for benzene is almost equal to the $\tau_2^R$ values at corresponding $n$ and $\rho$, showing that benzene molecules rotate more freely.