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1 Introduction

Let $A$ be a fixed set with $k$ elements where $k > 1$. For a positive integer $n$ let $\mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$ be the set of all $n$-ary operations on $A$, that is, maps from $A^n$ into $A$, or $n$-variable functions on $A$, and let

$$\mathcal{O}_A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{J}_A$ the set of all projections $e_i^n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, over $A$ where $e_i^n$ is defined by

$$e_i^n(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n) = x_i$$

for every $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in A^n$.

In this paper we consider only the case where $A$ is a finite set. For simplicity, and without losing generality, let

$$A = \{0, 1, \ldots, k - 1\}$$

for $k > 1$. An important factor about the set $A$ is the number of the elements in $A$ and we often write $E_k$ instead of $A$ when $|A| = k$. Also, write $\mathcal{O}_k^{(n)}$, $\mathcal{O}_k$ and $\mathcal{J}_k$ instead of $\mathcal{O}_A^{(n)}$, $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{J}_A$, respectively.

Definition 1.1 A subset $C$ of $\mathcal{O}_k$ is a clone on $E_k$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $C$ contains $\mathcal{J}_k$.

(ii) $C$ is closed under (functional) composition.

The set of all clones on $E_k$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_k$. The set $\mathcal{L}_k$ ordered by inclusion is called the lattice of clones on $E_k$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_k$.

The structure of $\mathcal{L}_2$ is completely known by E. Post ([Po41]). However, the structure of $\mathcal{L}_k$ for every $k \geq 3$ is extremely complex and at present mostly unknown. The cardinality of the lattice of clones is known for each $k \geq 2$: $|\mathcal{L}_2| = \aleph_0$ ([Po41]) and $|\mathcal{L}_k| = 2^{n_k}$ for $3 \leq k \leq \aleph_0$ ([IM59]).

Maximal clones and minimal clones are defined as follows:

Definition 1.2 A clone $C$ is a maximal clone if it is a co-atom of $\mathcal{L}_k$. In other words, $C$ is a maximal clone if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $C \subset \mathcal{O}_k$.

(ii) For any $C' \in \mathcal{L}_k$, $C \subset C' \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$ implies $C' = \mathcal{O}_k$.

Definition 1.3 A clone $C$ is a minimal clone if it is an atom of $\mathcal{L}_k$. In other words, $C$ is a minimal clone if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mathcal{J}_k \subset C$.

(ii) For any $C' \in \mathcal{L}_k$, $\mathcal{J}_k \subseteq C' \subset C$ implies $C' = \mathcal{J}_k$.

Maximal clones are completely known by I. G. Rosenberg [Ro70]. They are characterized in terms of relations. In contrast to maximal clones, the problem of determining all minimal clones is still open, except for $k = 2$ and $3$.

The problem of determining all minimal clones for every $k > 3$ is now generally recognized as one of the most challenging problems in clone theory. Quite a few papers have been published in connection to this problem and many of them contain nice
and interesting results. However, one may see these results only to show the difficulty of this problem.

In this paper, we present a proposal to look at this problem from a new point of view. (See also [MP06].) We consider only the cases where \( k \) is a power of some prime number, i.e., \( k = p^e \) for some prime \( p \) and \( e \geq 1 \). For such \( k \), we may incorporate the algebraic structure of a field in the base set \( E_k \). This can be done without loss of generality for our purpose. Now the set \( E_k \) is viewed as a Galois field.

\[
E_k = GF(k) = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}
\]

Then, consider an operation \( f \in \mathcal{O}^{(n)}(3 \leq n \leq k) \) as a polynomial (in a usual sense) on a finite field \( E_k \). Our task is to extract some nice properties which a polynomial must satisfy in order to be a generator of a minimal clone.

As an initial stage of this study, we discuss in this paper the relatively easily handled cases: The case where polynomials are linear and the case where polynomials are monomials. We show that, for every prime \( k \), (i) linear function \( ax + (k-a+1)y \) is minimal for any \( 1 < a < k \) and (ii) monomial \( xy^{k-1} \) is a unique monomial which is minimal.

2 Minimal Clones

For \( F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k \), \((F)\) denotes the clone generated by \( F \), that is, \((F)\) is the least clone containing \( F \). When \( F \) is a singleton, i.e., \( F = \{f\} \), \((F)\) is simply denoted by \( f \).

**Lemma 2.1** A minimal clone is generated by a single function. That is, for any minimal clone \( C \in \mathcal{L}_k \) there exists \( f \in \mathcal{O}_k \) such that \( C = \langle f \rangle \).

Complete list of minimal clones is known only for \( k = 2 \) and \( 3 \). However, we have the type theorem of minimal clones due to I. G. Rosenberg.

**Definition 2.1** An function \( f \) on \( E_k \) is minimal if (i) it generates a minimal clone and (ii) every function from \( f \) whose arity is smaller than the arity of \( f \) is a projection.

**Theorem 2.2** ([Ro86]) Every minimal function belongs to one of the following five types:

1. **Unary functions** \( f \) on \( E_k \) such that either
   (i) \( f^2 = f \circ f = f \) or (ii) \( f \) is a permutation of prime order \( p \) (i.e., \( f^p = \text{id} \)).

2. Idempotent binary functions; i.e., \( f \in \mathcal{O}^{(2)} \) such that \( f(x, x) = x \) for every \( x \in E_k \).

3. Majority functions; i.e., \( f \in \mathcal{O}^{(3)} \) such that \( f(x, y, z) = f(x, y, z) = f(y, x, z) = x \) for every \( x, y, z \in E_k \).

4. Semiprojections; i.e., \( f \in \mathcal{O}^{(n)} \) (\( 3 \leq n \leq k \)) such that \( f(x, x, y, z) = f(x, y, z) = f(y, x, z) = y \) for every \( x, y, z \in E_k \).

5. If \( k = 2^m \), the ternary functions \( f(x, y, z) \) is a function of \( E_k; + \) which is either \( x + y + z \) where \( (E_k, +) \) is an elementary 2-group (i.e., the additive group of an \( m \)-dimensional vector space over \( GF(2) \)).

**Corollary 2.3** The number of minimal clones is finite for every \( k \geq 1 \).

For \( k = 3 \), B. Csákány determined all minimal clones by listing minimal functions generating them ([Cs83]).

3 Minimal Clones on a Finite Field

In this section, let \( k \) be a prime and \((E_k; +, \cdot)\) be a finite field (Galois field). We consider only idempotent binary functions (Item (2) in Theorem 2.2). Over a field \( E_k \), a function \( f \in \mathcal{O}_k^{(2)} \) can be expressed as

\[
f(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq i, j < k} a_{ij} x^i y^j
\]

where \( a_{ij} \in E_k \) for \( 0 \leq i, j < k \). Note that the operations + and \( \cdot \) are the operations performed over \( \mathbb{Z} \) mod \( k \). Also, note that \( x^k = x \) for every \( x \in E_k \).
3.1 Conjecture on Linear Functions

First, consider linear functions generating minimal clones.

**Lemma 3.1** Let \( f(x, y) = ax + by + c \) for some \( a, b, c \in E_k \). If \( f \) is idempotent then \( a + b \equiv 1 \) (mod \( k \)) and \( c = 0 \).

**Observation 1:**

(i) For \( k = 3 \), \( 2x + 2y \) is minimal.

(ii) For \( k = 5 \), \( 2x + 4y \) and \( 3x + 3y \) are minimal, which generate the same minimal clone.

(iii) For \( k = 7 \), \( 2x + 6y, 3x + 5y \) and \( 4x + 4y \) are minimal, which generate the same minimal clone.

(iv) For \( k = 11 \), \( ax + by \) is minimal for all \( 1 < a, b < 11 \) such that \( a + b = 12 \). All generate the same minimal clone.

This observation leads us to establish the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1:** For any prime \( k \), linear function \( ax + (k - a + 1)y \) is minimal for any \( 1 < a < k \).

3.2 Conjecture on Monomials

Secondly, we shall consider monomials, i.e., polynomials consisting of a single term. We assume without loss of generality that a monomial is of the form \( ax^s y^t \) where \( 1 \leq s \leq t < k \).

**Lemma 3.2** Let \( f(x, y) = cx^s y^t \) for some \( s, t \in \mathbb{N} \) and some \( c \in E_k \). If \( f \) is idempotent then \( c = 1 \).

**Lemma 3.3** Let \( f(x, y) = ax^s y^t \) for some \( s, t \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( f \) is idempotent then \( s + t = k \).

**Proof** This follows from the equation \( x^s = x \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.4** For any prime \( k \), \( f(x, y) = xy^{k-1} \) is minimal.

**Proof** We can readily verify, e.g., \( f(f(x, y), y) = f(x, y), f(f(y, x), y) = f(x, y), f(x, f(x, y)) = f(x, y) \), etc., which justify the assertion of Proposition. \( \square \)

**Observation 2:**

(i) For \( k = 3 \), \( xy^2 \) is the only monomial which is minimal.

(ii) For \( k = 5 \), \( xy^4 \) is the only monomial which is minimal.

(iii) For \( k = 7 \), \( xy^6 \) is the only monomial which is minimal.

(iv) For \( k = 11 \), \( xy^{10} \) is the only monomial which is minimal.

From this observation we are lead to conjecture the following.

**Conjecture 2:** Let \( k \) be a prime. Among monomials \( x^s y^t, 1 \leq s \leq t < k \), the monomial \( xy^{k-1} \) is the only monomial which is minimal.

3.3 Results on Linear Functions

Due to Á. Szendrei, Conjecture 1 is known to hold (Personal communication).

**Theorem 3.5** For any prime \( k \), linear function \( ax + (k - a + 1)y \) is minimal for any \( 1 < a < k \). Moreover, all such linear functions generate the same minimal clone.

3.4 Results on Monomials

Next, we shall consider Conjecture 2.

**Lemma 3.6** For any \( 1 < s < k \) we have \( xy^{k-1} \in (x^s y^{k-s}) \).

**Proof.** There are two cases to be considered.

**Case 1:** \( \gcd(s, k-1) = 1 \)
Fermat's theorem asserts that
\[ s^\varphi(k-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{k-1} \]
where \( \varphi \) is the Euler's function, which implies
\[ x^{s^\varphi(k-1)} y^{k-s^\varphi(k-1)} = xy^{k-1}. \]

It is easy to see that \( x^{s^\varphi(k-1)} y^{k-s^\varphi(k-1)} \) can be obtained from \( x^s y^{k-s} \) by repeated application of functional composition. So the assertion of the lemma follows.

Now put \( t = k - s \) for \( 1 < s < k \).

The case \( \gcd(t, k - 1) = 1 \) is handled similarly.

**Case 2:** \( \gcd(s, k - 1) \neq 1 \) and \( \gcd(t, k - 1) \neq 1 \)

In this case we prove the following claim.

**Claim.** For some \( c > 1 \), \( s^c + t^c - (st)^c \equiv 1 \pmod{k-1} \)

**Proof of Claim.** Since \( k \) is a prime, \( \gcd(s, t) = 1 \). Let \( k - 1 = \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma \) such that \( \gcd(s, \beta \gamma) = 1 \) and \( \gcd(t, \alpha \gamma) = 1 \). Then, again, by Fermat's theorem we have
\[ s^{\varphi(\beta \gamma)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\beta \gamma} \] and \( t^{\varphi(\alpha \gamma)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha \gamma} \).

Let \( c = \varphi(\alpha \gamma) \cdot \varphi(\beta \gamma) \) then \( c > 1 \) and \( c \) satisfies
\[ s^c \equiv 1 \pmod{\beta \gamma} \] and \( t^c \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha \gamma} \).

This means that there exists \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[ s^c = 1 + m(\beta \gamma) \] and \( t^c = 1 + n(\alpha \gamma) \),
from which it follows that
\[ (s^c - 1)(t^c - 1) = (\alpha \beta \gamma)(mn \gamma). \]

Hence we have
\[ s^c + t^c - (st)^c \equiv 1 \pmod{k-1} \]
for some \( c > 1 \). This completes the proof of Claim.

As in Case 1, it is not difficult to see that \( x^u y^{k-u} \) for \( u = s^c + t^c - (st)^c \) can be obtained from \( x^s y^{k-s} \) by repeated application of functional composition. Therefore the assertion of the lemma holds. \( \square \)

On the other hand, it is readily verified that \( x^s y^{k-s} \) where \( 1 < s < k \) cannot be obtained from \( xy^{k-1} \). Hence we have:

**Corollary 3.7** Let \( k \) be a prime and \( 1 < s < k \). Then \( x^s y^{k-s} \) is not minimal.

To conclude, Conjecture 2 is settled affirmatively by Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.7.

**Theorem 3.8** Let \( k \) be a prime and \( 1 < s < k \). Then \( xy^{k-1} \) is a unique monomial which is minimal (up to the interchange of variables).
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