

## Various Gauss fibers

広島大学大学院・理学研究科 数学専攻 深澤 知 (Satoru Fukasawa)  
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science,  
Hiroshima University

**ABSTRACT.** We find examples and constructions of nontrivial fiber structures of Gauss maps in positive characteristic. 3 types of constructions of projective varieties were announced in this talk: (A) Gauss fibers are the given projective variety, (B) Gauss fibers are hyperplane sections of the given projective variety, and (C) Gauss map is the given rational map  $g : \mathbf{A}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n+1}$  with  $dg \equiv 0$ . Additional matters to the talk are included in this paper, for example, discussion of the differences of the constructions (A)-(C), and a generalization of Kaji and Rathmann's construction of Gauss map, related to (C), which is the given inseparable morphism  $\mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the base field  $K$  is an algebraically closed field and varieties are integral algebraic schemes over  $K$ .

Precisely, "Gauss fibers" mean (general) fibers of the Gauss maps. Definition of the Gauss map is as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$  be a projective variety. The Gauss map  $\gamma$  on  $X$  is the rational map from  $X$  to the Grassmannian  $\mathbf{G}(\dim X, N)$  such that  $\gamma(p) = \mathbf{T}_p X$  for any smooth point  $p \in X$ , where  $\mathbf{T}_p X$  is the projective embedded tangent space.*

**Example 1.2.** *If  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$  is the hypersurface given by  $F$ , then*

$$\gamma = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_0} : \cdots : \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_N} \right) : X \dashrightarrow \mathbf{G}(N-1, N) \cong \mathbf{P}^{N*}.$$

**Remark 1.3.** *The following facts are known.*

- (1) *If  $\text{char}K = 0$  then general fibers of  $\gamma$  are linear spaces ([1],[5],[14]).  
(They are one-points when  $\dim = 0$ .)*
- (2) *If  $\text{char}K > 0$  then there is a variety whose general fibers of  $\gamma$  are two or more distinct points.*

The fact (1), when  $X$  is a curve, implies that multiple tangent lines (which have two or more distinct tangential points at  $X$ ) are only finitely many. If  $X$  is a surface with  $\dim \gamma(X) = 1$  then we can classify  $X$  to the two kinds of ruled surfaces, a cone or a tangent surface. (A cone surface is the join of a curve and one point, and a tangent surface is covered by tangent lines of some curve.) These surfaces are called developable surfaces.

A. H. Wallace gave examples of the kind of (2) ([13]). Kleiman-Laksov also found interesting examples ([10]). It seems to be difficult to construct smooth varieties of this kind, but H. Kaji ([7],[8]), J. Rathmann ([12]) and A. Noma ([11]) constructed such varieties.

The author found the following example.

**Example 1.4.**  $XZ^6 - (Y^6 + W^6)W = 0 \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ . *If  $\text{char}K = 2$  (resp.  $\text{char}K = 3$ ) then general Gauss fibers are plane elliptic curves (resp. plane smooth conics) ([2]).*

In the author's best knowledge, this is the first example whose general Gauss fibers are not finite unions of linear spaces. Furthermore, the author found constructions of varieties with non-linear smooth Gauss fibers in positive characteristic.

- (A) Construction of a projective variety whose general fibers of the Gauss map are the given projective variety ([3]).
- (B) Construction of a projective variety whose general fibers of the Gauss map are hyperplane sections of the given (general) projective variety ([4]).
- (C) Construction of a projective variety whose Gauss map is the given rational map  $g : \mathbf{A}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n+1}$  with  $dg \equiv 0$ .

The main purpose of this paper is an introduction of these constructions and explanation of differences of each constructions.

## 2. CONSTRUCTION (C)

Let  $g = (g_0, \dots, g_n) : \mathbf{A}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n+1}$  be the given rational map such that  $\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i} \equiv 0$  for all  $i, j$ , and let  $X$  be the closure of image of the rational map  $i : \mathbf{A}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+1}; (x_1, \dots, x_n) \dashrightarrow (1 : x_1 : \dots : x_n : -g_0 - x_1g_1 - \dots - x_n g_n)$ . Then,  $\mathbf{T}_{i(x)}X$  is spanned by the row vectors of the following matrices;

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_n & -g_0 - x_1g_1 - \dots - x_n g_n \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -g_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -g_n \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} & -g_0 \\ & -g_1 \\ I_{n+1} & \vdots \\ & -g_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I_{n+1}$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  unit matrix. Hence  $\gamma : X \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+1*}$  is given by  $(g_0 : \dots : g_n : 1)$  and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}^n & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbf{A}^{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathbf{P}^{n+1*} \end{array}$$

**Remark 2.1.** *Example 1.4 is given by this construction:  $n = 2$ ,  $g_0 = g_2 = g_3 = 0$  and  $g_1 = x_1^6 + x_2^6$  (with suitable coordinates).*

If we consider the rational map  $g : \mathbf{A}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n+1}$  as the rational map  $g'$  from  $\mathbf{P}^n$  to  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ , then the above varieties can be got as the image of a suitable linear projection of the graph  $\Gamma_{g'} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n^2+3n+1}$  of  $g'$  which is embedded by Segre embedding.

Now we study the graph  $\Gamma_g$  of a rational map  $g : \mathbf{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^m$  with  $dg \equiv 0$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{nm+n+m}$  be the image of the graph  $\Gamma_g$  by Segre embedding  $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m \subset \mathbf{P}^{nm+n+m}$ . Then we have the commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Gamma_g & \cong \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Gamma_g & \\
 p_1 \swarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\
 \mathbf{P}^n & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbf{P}^m
 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{h} & \begin{array}{ccc}
 X & & \\
 | & & \\
 \gamma & & \\
 Y & & \\
 \mathbf{G}(n, nm + n + m) & & 
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

where  $h$  is an embedding given by  $h(t) = \mathbf{P}^n \times t$ . This implies that the Gauss map  $\gamma$  can be identified with the projection  $p_2$ , hence generically identified with  $g$ . Precisely,  $X$  is the closure of the image of the rational map  $\mathbf{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{nm+n+m}$ :

$$(1 : x_1 : \dots : x_n) \mapsto (1 : x_1 : \dots : x_n : g_1 : \dots : g_m : \dots : x_i g_j : \dots).$$

We can check easily that varieties given by (C) can be got as the image of a suitable linear projection of the graph of  $\mathbf{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ .

The latter construction is a generalization of Kaji and Rathmann's for inseparable morphisms  $\mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  ([7],[12]).

In the latter construction, it is very interesting that the Gauss map can be defined at any point of  $X$ , hence it is a morphism, and the tangent variety of  $X$  is  $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$  if  $g$  is dominant. The second fact implies that any Segre variety of two projective spaces is the tangent variety of some variety, and that the classical fact  $X \subset \text{SingTan}X$  in characteristic 0 ([1]) does not hold in positive characteristic.

### 3. CONSTRUCTION (A)

3.1. Concept of (A) or (B). Let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^k$  be a given projective variety of codimension  $r$ . We move  $\mathbf{P}^k$  "inseparably" in  $\mathbf{P}^N$  ( $N \gg k$ ). Then,  $Y$  moves in conformity to the projective space  $\mathbf{P}^k$ , and constructs  $X$ . We will have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{A}^r \times \mathbf{P}^k & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathbf{P}^N \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 \mathbf{A}^r \times Y & \xrightarrow{\eta|_{\mathbf{A}^r \times Y}} & X
 \end{array}$$

such that  $\eta$  is inseparable (onto its image) and  $\eta|_{\mathbf{A}^r \times Y}$  is birational.

The idea for our construction (A) or (B) is based on “circular surfaces” ([6]) studied in differential geometry or real singularity theory. Conceptually, our variety with (A) could be called a “developable” circular surface.

**3.2. Construction (A) (plane curve’s case).** Let  $p > 0$  be the characteristic, and let  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 : \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$  be morphisms (which form a frame) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0 &= (1 \ 0 \ u \ u^p) \\ \rho_1 &= (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0) \\ \rho_2 &= (0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0)\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\eta : \mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$  be

$$(u) \times (1 : y_1 : y_2) \mapsto [\rho_0 + y_1\rho_1 + y_2\rho_2] = (1 : y_1 : u + y_2 : u^p).$$

We may assume that  $y_1 - a$  is a local parameter at a smooth point  $(1 : a : b) \in Y$ . (We can always take this coordinates by linear transforms of  $\mathbf{P}^2$ .) Let  $X$  be the closure of  $\eta(\mathbf{A}^1 \times Y)$ , and let  $\tau := \eta|_{\mathbf{A}^1 \times Y} : \mathbf{A}^1 \times Y \rightarrow X$ . Then the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The morphism  $\tau$  is birational, and  $\mathbf{T}_{\tau(u,y)}X = \eta(u \times \mathbf{P}^2)$  for a general point  $(u, y)$ .*

*Proof.* The differentials of  $\tau$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & dy_2/dy_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(upper row is a list of the differentials by  $u$ , lower is the differentials by  $y_1$ ). We find the separability of  $\tau$  by this matrix and, because  $\tau$  is generically one-to-one, birationality of  $\tau$ .

$\mathbf{T}_{\tau(u,y)}X$  is spanned by the row vectors of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & u + y_2 & u^p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & dy_2/dy_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & u^p \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This coincides with  $\eta(u \times \mathbf{P}^2)$ . □

**3.3. Generalized form.** Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $r < k$  be positive integers, and let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^k$  be a closed subvariety of codimension  $r$ . We take the morphisms  $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_k : \mathbf{A}^r \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{k+r}$  as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= (1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ u_1 \ \dots \ u_r \ u_1^p \ \dots \ u_r^p) \\ \rho_1 &= (0 \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0) \\ &\vdots \\ \rho_k &= (0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0) \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.2.** *The form can be more generalized (see [3]). The base space  $\mathbf{A}^r$  which moves projective planes and the how of the moving  $\{\rho_i\}$  are more free and formulated to some extent. Furthermore, we can also construct varieties whose general fibers are two or more  $Y$ s for the suitable moving  $\{\rho_i\}$ .*

#### 4. CONSTRUCTION (B)

**4.1. Construction (B) (surface case).** Let  $p > 0$  be the characteristic, and let  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 : \mathbf{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^6$  be morphisms (which form a frame) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ u \ u^p \ v \ 0) \\ \rho_1 &= (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ v) \\ \rho_2 &= (0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0) \\ \rho_3 &= (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\eta : \mathbf{A}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^6$  be

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v) \times (1 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3) &\mapsto [\rho_0 + y_1\rho_1 + y_2\rho_2 + y_3\rho_3] \\ &= (1 : y_1 : y_2 : u + y_3 : u^p : v : vy_1). \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that  $y_1 - a, y_2 - b$  are a local parameter at a smooth point  $(1 : a : b : c) \in Y$ . Let  $X$  be the closure of  $\eta(\mathbf{A}^2 \times Y)$ , and let  $\tau := \eta|_{\mathbf{A}^2 \times Y} : \mathbf{A}^2 \times Y \rightarrow X$ . Then the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The morphism  $\tau$  is birational, and  $\gamma$  is generically identified with the morphism  $\mathbf{A}^2 \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^3 : (u, v) \times (y_1, y_2, y_3) \mapsto (u^p, v, y_1)$ .*

*Proof.* The differentials of  $\tau$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & y_1 \\ 1 & 0 & dy_3/dy_1 & 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 1 & dy_3/dy_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(the first row is a list of the differentials by  $u$ , the second is by  $v$ , the third, fourth are the differentials by  $y_1, y_2$  respectively). We find the separability of  $\tau$  by this matrix and, because  $\tau$  is generically one-to-one, birationality of  $\tau$ .

$T_{\tau(u,y)}X$  is spanned by the row vectors of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & y_2 & u + y_3 & u^p & v & vy_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & dy_3/dy_1 & 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & dy_3/dy_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u^p & 0 & -vy_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This implies our 2nd assertion.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *The set  $\{\gamma^{-1}(p)\}_{p \in X_{sm}}$  almost coincides with  $\{Y_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in K}$ , where  $Y_\lambda$  is the hyperplane section  $Y \cap \{Y_1 - \lambda Y_0 = 0\}$  (except the line  $Y_0 = Y_1 = 0$ ).*

**Example 4.3.** *Let  $\text{char}K > 2$ . Let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  be the surface given by  $Y_2^2 Y_0 - Y_3(Y_3 - Y_0)(Y_3 - Y_1)$ , and let  $Y_\lambda$  be the hyperplane section  $Y \cap \{Y_1 - \lambda Y_0 = 0\}$ . Then, the set of all Gauss fibers of  $X$  almost coincides with  $\{Y_\lambda\}$ .*

**4.2. Generalized form.** Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $r < k$  be positive integers, and let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^k$  be a closed subvariety of codimension  $r$ . We take the morphisms  $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_k : \mathbf{A}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{k+r+2}$  as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= (1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ u_1 \ \dots \ u_r \ u_1^p \ \dots \ u_r^p \ u_{r+1} \ 0) \\ \rho_1 &= (0 \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ u_{r+1}) \\ &\vdots \\ \rho_k &= (0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ 0) \end{aligned}$$

## 5. ELLIPTIC CURVES AS GAUSS FIBERS

In this section, we discuss the differences of our constructions. We recall some properties of our constructions.

**Remark 5.1.** *A variety  $X$  given by each constructions has the following properties:*

- (A)  *$X$  is birational to the product of two some varieties and one of which varieties  $Y$  is the general fiber of the Gauss map.*
- (B)  *$X$  is birational to the product of two some varieties and the differential  $d\gamma$  of the Gauss map is not identically zero.*
- (C)  *$X$  is rational and the differential  $d\gamma$  of the Gauss map is identically zero.*

Let  $\text{char}K = p > 3$ . Then we get projective varieties whose Gauss fibers are elliptic curves if we take  $Y$  or  $g$  as follows.

- (A) Let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^2$  be given by  $Y_0^3 + Y_1^3 + Y_2^3 = 0$ .
- (B) Let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  be given by  $Y_2^2 Y_0 - Y_3(Y_3 - Y_0)(Y_3 - Y_1) = 0$  (Example 4.3).
- (C) Let  $g : \mathbf{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^3$  be  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1^{3p} + x_2^{3p}, 0, 0)$ .

We call  $X_a$  (resp.  $X_b, X_c$ ) constructed by (A) (resp. (B), (C)) with the above  $Y$  (resp.  $Y, g$ ).

$X_a$  can not be constructed by (B) nor (C), because  $d\gamma \equiv 0$  and this is not rational.

$X_b$  can not be constructed by (A) nor (C), because isomorphic classes of Gauss fibers vary and  $d\gamma$  is not identically 0.

$X_c$  can not be constructed by (A) nor (B), because Gauss fibers are elliptic curves and this is rational, and  $d\gamma \equiv 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Fischer and J. Piontowski, Ruled varieties. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 2001.
- [2] S. Fukasawa, Developable varieties in positive characteristic, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **35**(2005), 167–182.

- [3] S. Fukasawa, Varieties with non-linear Gauss fibers, *Math. Ann.*, **334**(2006), 235–239.
- [4] S. Fukasawa, Varieties with nonconstant Gauss fibers, to appear in *Hiroshima Math. J.*
- [5] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **12**(1979), 355–452.
- [6] S. Izumiya, K. Saji and N. Takeuchi, Circular surfaces, preprint, EPrint Series of Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University.
- [7] H. Kaji, On the tangentially degenerate curves, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **33**(1986), 430–440.
- [8] H. Kaji, On the Gauss maps of space curves in characteristic  $p$ , *Compositio Math.*, **70**(1989), 177–197.
- [9] S. L. Kleiman, Tangency and duality. CMS Conf. Proc. 6 (Proc. 1984 Vancouver Conf. in Alg. Geom.), 163–226, Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.
- [10] S. L. Kleiman, Multiple tangents of smooth plane curves (after Kaji), *Algebraic geometry: Sundance 1988*, 71–84, *Contemp. Math.*, **116**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [11] A. Noma, Gauss maps with nontrivial separable degree in positive characteristic, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **156**(2001), 81–93.
- [12] J. Rathmann, The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic  $p$ , *Math. Ann.*, **276**(1987), 565–579.
- [13] A. H. Wallace, Tangency and duality over arbitrary fields, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, **6**(1956), 321–342.
- [14] F. L. Zak, Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties. *Transl. Math. Monographs*, **127**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, KAGAMIYAMA 1-3-1, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA, 739-8526, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* sfuka@hiroshima-u.ac.jp