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Kyoto University
Abstract

Let $A, \epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We prove that the number of integers $n \in (x, x+H]$, satisfying some natural conditions, which cannot be represented as the sum of five cubes of primes is $\ll H(\log x)^{-A}$, provided that $x^{2/s+\epsilon} \leq H \leq x$.

1. Introduction

It has been conjectured that every sufficiently large integer, satisfying some natural congruence conditions, can be written as the sum of four cubes of primes. While such a result appears to lie beyond the reach of present methods, Hua [3] has been able to show that every sufficiently large odd integer is the sum of nine cubes of primes. He also established that almost all integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $n \not\equiv 0, \pm 2 \pmod{9}$, $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, can be expressed as the sum of five cubes of primes. Here the term 'almost all' means that if $E(x)$ denotes the number of possible exceptions up to $x$, then $E(x) \ll x(\log x)^{-A}$ for a certain constant $A > 0$. In 1961, Schwarz [8] refined Hua's method to demonstrate the last estimate for any $A > 0$. In 2000, Ren [7] made a substantial improvement upon the latter result by showing that $E(x) \ll x^{152/153+\epsilon}$ for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$. Shortly afterward, the constant in the exponent was sharpened to $35/36$ by Wooley [9], and to $79/84$ by Kumchev [5].

In the present paper we gain further insight into the problem of representing integers as the sum of five cubes of primes by averaging over short intervals only. Let $\Lambda(n)$ and $\varphi(n)$ denote von Mangoldt's function and Euler's function, respectively, and write $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ for real $\alpha$. Following the notation introduced in [7], for a sufficiently large positive number $x$ we define $U = (x/12)^{1/3}$,

$$R(n) = \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \cdots + k_5 = n \leq 2U \leq \sum_{k_1} \ldots \Lambda(k_5),}} \sum_{U < k_i \leq 2U} \Lambda(k_i),$$

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(a,q)=1}^{\varphi(q)^{-1}} \left( e(ah^3/q) \right)^5 e(-an/q),$$

and

$$J(n) = 3^{-5} \int_{D} (u_1 \ldots u_5)^{-2/3} du_1 \ldots du_4,$$

where

$$D = \{(u_1, \ldots, u_5) : U^3 < u_1, \ldots, u_5 \leq 8U^3\}$$

with $u_5 = n - u_1 - \cdots - u_4$. Our first result states as follows.
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THEOREM 1. Suppose that $A, \varepsilon > 0$ and $x^{2/3+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq x$. Then

$$\sum_{x<n\leq x+H} |R(n) - \sigma(n)J(n)|^2 \ll_{A, \varepsilon} Hx^{4/3} (\log x)^{-A}.$$ 

We recall that the singular series $\sigma(n)$ is absolutely convergent, and there exists a constant $C$ such that $\sigma(n) \geq C > 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{R}$ (the reader may refer to Lemmas 8.10 and 8.12 of Hua’s book [2]). We also note that the singular integral $J(n)$ trivially satisfies the inequality

$$U^2 \ll J(n) \ll U^2.$$ 

Employing a standard argument, we deduce from Theorem 1 the following

THEOREM 2. Suppose that $A, \varepsilon > 0$ and $x^{2/3+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq x$. Then

$$E(x+H) - E(x) \ll_{A, C} H(\log x)^{-A}.$$ 

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the Hardy–Littlewood circle method. The integral over the major arcs is evaluated by classical arguments, while the contribution of the minor arcs is bounded by adapting the technique of [6], applied to deal with sums of three squares of primes in short intervals. We also borrow an idea of Kawada [4, §6], which enables us to conveniently transform the short interval average over the minor arcs. It appears that the constant $2/3$ is the best that our argument could yield.

2. Auxiliary lemmas

Much of our analysis is concerned with the exponential sum

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{k \sim U} \Lambda(k)e(\alpha k^3),$$

where $k \sim U$ denotes $U < k \leq 2U$. Our first lemma states the famous Vinogradov’s estimate in a form due to Fujii [1, Lemma 2].

LEMMA 1. Suppose that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with $(a, q) = 1$. Then

$$S(\alpha) \ll U(q^{-1} + qU^{-3} + U^{-1/2})^{1/32} (\log qU)^{C_1},$$

where $C_1 > 0$ and the implied constant are absolute.

In the next lemma we recall the well-known Hua’s estimate [2, Theorem 4].

LEMMA 2. We have

$$\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^8 \, d\alpha \ll U^5 (\log U)^{C_2},$$

where $C_2 > 0$ and the implied constant are absolute.
We introduce the Fejér kernel
\[ K(\alpha) = K(\alpha, H) = \sum_{|m| \leq 2H} M(m) e(\alpha m), \]
where
\[ M(m) = M(m, H) = \max \left( 1 - \frac{|m|}{2H}, 0 \right). \]
Then \( K(\alpha) \geq 0 \) for all real \( \alpha \), see for example [4, §6]. We define
\[ \Phi(\alpha) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |S(\alpha + \beta)|^2 K(\beta) \, d\beta = \sum_{k, l \sim U} \Lambda(k) \Lambda(l) M(k^3 - l^3) e(\alpha(k^3 - l^3)), \]
and
\[ \Psi(\alpha) = \sum_{k, l \sim U} M(k^3 - l^3) e(\alpha(k^3 - l^3)). \]
In the next statement we collect some properties of the above quantities. Let \( \tau_3(k) \) denote, as usual, the divisor function.

**Lemma 3.** For every real \( \alpha \):

(i) \( 0 \leq \Phi(\alpha) \leq \Phi(0) \ll U(1 + HU^{-2})(\log U)^2 \);

(ii) \( 0 \leq \Psi(\alpha) \leq \Psi(0) \ll U(1 + HU^{-2}) \);

(iii) There exists a function \( \Xi(\alpha) \), such that \( \Psi(\alpha)^2 \ll \Xi(\alpha) \) and
\[ \Xi(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(U^2 + H^2 U^{-3}) + H U^{-2} \sum_{0 < |h| \leq 2H} c(h) e(\alpha h), \]
with \( c(h) \ll \tau_3(|h|) \).

**Proof.** First we consider (iii). Supposing that \( 0 < k^3 - l^3 \), we put \( k = l + d \) and change the summation variable. Subsequently, \( l, l + d \sim U \) and \( k^3 - l^3 = (l + d)^3 - l^3 = 3l^2 d + 3ld^2 + d^3 \). Since \( M(k^3 - l^3) = 0 \) unless \( k^3 - l^3 < 2H \), we see that \( 2H > k^3 - l^3 = (k - l)(k^2 + kl + l^2) > (k - l)3U^2 \), or \( d < HU^{-2} \). On writing
\[ M(k^3 - l^3) = M'(l, d), \]
we find that
\[ \Psi(\alpha) \ll U + \sum_{d < HU^{-2}} \left| \sum_l M'(l, d) e(\alpha(3l^2 d + 3ld^2)) \right|, \]
where ' in \( \sum' \) indicates the condition \( l, l + d \sim U \). An appeal to Cauchy's inequality reveals that
\[ \Psi(\alpha)^2 \ll U^2 + HU^{-2} \sum_{d < HU^{-2}} \left| \sum_l M'(l, d) e(\alpha(3l^2 d + 3ld^2)) \right|^2 = \Xi(\alpha), \]
say. The sum above is

\[ \mathcal{O} \left( \sum_{d<HU^{-2}} \sum_{l} M'(l, d) M'(m, d) e(\alpha(3d(l^2 - m^2) + 3d^2(l - m))) \right) \]

\[ = \mathcal{O}(HU^{-2}U) + \sum_{0<|h|\leq 2H} c(h) e(\alpha h), \]

where

\[ c(h) = \sum_{d<HU^{-2}} \sum_{l, m} M'(l, d) M'(m, d) \ll \tau_3(|h|), \]

which completes the proof of (iii).

We now turn to (ii). By (1), we trivially have

\[ \Psi(0) \ll U + HU^{-2}U, \]

which delivers the last inequality in (ii), and the other two are obvious. The proof of (i) is analogous.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Hereafter we assume that \( \epsilon > 0 \) is sufficiently small, and \( U^{2+\epsilon} \ll H \ll U^3 \) so that \( 1 + HU^{-2} \ll HU^{-2} \) in Lemma 3. We have

\[ R(n) = \int_{0}^{1} S(\alpha)^5 e(-\alpha n) \, d\alpha. \]

Put

\[ L = \log x, \quad P = L^B, \quad Q = xP^{-2}, \]

where the constant \( B > 0 \) will be specified later. Define the set of major arcs \( \mathfrak{M} \) as the union of all intervals \( \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : |q\alpha - a| \leq Q^{-1} \} \) with \( 1 \leq a \leq q \leq P \) and \( (a, q) = 1 \). Denote the corresponding set of minor arcs by \( m = [1/Q, 1+1/Q]\backslash \mathfrak{M} \). Then,

\[ R(n) = \left( \int_{\mathfrak{M}} + \int_{m} \right) S(\alpha)^5 e(-\alpha n) \, d\alpha = R_{\mathfrak{M}}(n) + R_m(n), \]

say. By classical arguments based on the Siegel-Walfisz theorem (see [2], for example), we derive that for all \( n \in \mathfrak{M} \cap (x, x+H] \), in the notation introduced above,

\[ |R_{\mathfrak{M}}(n) - \sigma(n) J(n)| \ll U^2 L^{-A/2}, \]

provided that \( B \geq A + 1 \). Our choice of the constant \( B \) at the end of Section 4.2 satisfies this inequality, thus yielding the desired bound for the contribution of the major arcs. It remains to prove that

\[ \sum_{x<n\leq x+H} |R_m(n)|^2 \ll HU^4 L^{-A}, \quad (2) \]
which is the objective of the next section.

4. The minor arcs

Employing an argument of Kawada [4, §6], we find that

\[
\sum_{x<n\leq x+H} |R_{m}(n)|^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{|m|\leq 2H} M(m) \left| \int_{m} S(\alpha)^{5} e(-\alpha(x+m)) \, d\alpha \right|^{2} \leq \int_{m} \int_{m} |S(\alpha)|^{5} |S(\beta)|^{5} |K(\beta - \alpha)| \, d\alpha \, d\beta \ll W_{5},
\]

where

\[
W_{1} = W_{1}(H) = \int_{m} \int_{m} |S(\alpha)|^{14} |S(\beta)|^{2} K(\beta - \alpha, H) \, d\alpha \, d\beta.
\]

Hence our principal task is to bound \(W_{5}\). However, our argument in Section 4.2 reduces the estimate of \(W_{5}\) to that of \(W_{8}\) and therefore it is convenient to start with the latter quantity.

4.1. The estimate of \(W_{5}\)

First we observe that for any \(\xi \in \mathfrak{m}\) there exists a rational number \(a/q\) such that \(|\xi - a/q| \leq q^{-2}\), \((a, q) = 1\) and \(P \leq q \leq Q\), by Dirichlet's approximation theorem. Since

\[
|S(\alpha)|^{8} |S(\beta)|^{8} \ll |S(\alpha)|^{14} |S(\beta)|^{2} + |S(\alpha)|^{2} |S(\beta)|^{14},
\]

we have by symmetry,

\[
W_{5} \ll \int_{m} \int_{m} |S(\alpha)|^{14} |S(\beta)|^{14} K(\beta - \alpha) \, d\alpha \, d\beta \\
\ll \int_{m} |S(\alpha)|^{14} \left( \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |S(\alpha + \beta)|^{2} K(\beta) \, d\beta \right) \, d\alpha \\
= \int_{m} |S(\alpha)|^{14} \Phi(\alpha) \, d\alpha \\
\ll \Phi(0) \left( \sup_{\alpha' \in \mathfrak{m}} |S(\alpha')|^{6} \right) \int_{0}^{1} |S(\alpha)|^{8} \, d\alpha,
\]

by Lemma 3. Combining Lemmas 1, 2 and 3, we obtain

\[
W_{5} = W_{5}(H) \ll HU^{10} P^{-3/16} L^{6C_{1} + C_{2} + 2}.
\]

4.2. The estimate of \(W_{8}\)

Following the argument from the previous section, we find that

\[
W_{8} \ll \int_{m} |S(\alpha)|^{8} \Phi(\alpha) \, d\alpha \\
\ll L^{2} \sum_{k,l \sim U} M(k^{3} - l^{3}) \left| \int_{m} |S(\alpha)|^{8} e(\alpha(k^{3} - l^{3})) \, d\alpha \right|.
\]
An application of Cauchy's inequality yields
\[
(W_5)^2 \ll L^4 \Psi(0) \sum_{k, l \sim U} M(k^3 - l^3) \left| \int_m |S(\alpha)|^8 e(\alpha(k^3 - l^3)) \, d\alpha \right|^2 \\
\ll L^4 \Psi(0) \int_m \int_m |S(\alpha)|^8 |S(\beta)|^8 \Psi(\beta - \alpha) \, d\alpha \, d\beta.
\]

Another application of Cauchy's inequality, Lemmas 2 and 3 show that
\[
(W_5)^4 \ll L^8 \Psi(0)^2 \left( \int_m |S(\alpha')|^8 \, d\alpha' \right)^2 \\
\times \int_m \int_m |S(\alpha)|^8 |S(\beta)|^8 \Psi(\beta - \alpha)^2 \, d\alpha \, d\beta \\
\ll L^8 (HU^{-1})^2 \left( \int_0^1 |S(\alpha')|^8 \, d\alpha' \right)^2 \\
\times \left( (U^2 + H^2 U^{-3}) \left( \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^8 \, d\alpha \right)^2 + HU^{-2} J \right) \\
\ll H^4 U^{16} (H^{-2} U^4 + U^{-1}) L^4 C_2 + 8 + H^3 U^6 L^2 C_2 + 8 J, \tag{5}
\]
where
\[
J = \sum_{h \leq 2H} \tau_3(h) \left| \int_m |S(\alpha)|^8 e(ah) \, d\alpha \right|^2.
\]
The estimate of $J$ is reduced to that of $W_8$. Indeed, by Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 2, we find that
\[
J^2 \ll \sum_{h' \leq 2H} \tau_3(h')^2 \left( \int_m |S(\alpha')|^8 \, d\alpha' \right)^2 \sum_{h \leq 2H} \left| \int_m |S(\alpha)|^8 e(ah) \, d\alpha \right|^2 \\
\ll H L^8 (U^5 L C_2)^2 \sum_{|h| \leq 4H} M(h, 2H) \left| \int_m |S(\alpha)|^8 e(ah) \, d\alpha \right|^2 \\
= H U^{10} L^2 C_2 + 8 W_8(2H), \tag{6}
\]

since $M(h, 2H) = \max \left( 1 - \left| \frac{|h|}{4H} \right|, 0 \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $0 < h \leq 2H$.

Substituting (6) into (5), and recalling (4), we conclude that
\[
(W_5)^4 \ll H^4 U^{16 - \epsilon} + H^3 U^6 L^2 C_2 + 8 (H U^{10} L^2 C_2 + 8 W_8(2H))^{1/2} \\
\ll H^4 U^{16 - \epsilon} + H^4 U^{16} P^{-3/32} L^{3 C_1 + 4 C_2 + 13}. \tag{7}
\]

On choosing $B = 44(A + C_1 + C_2 + 4)$, the inequality (2) follows from (3) and (7). The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
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