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Abstract

We are concerned with the initial value problem for a damped wave equation with a nonlinear convection term which is derived from a semilinear hyperbolic system with relaxation. We show the global existence and asymptotic decay of solutions in $W^{1,p}$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) under smallness condition on the initial data. Moreover, we show that the solution approaches in $W^{1,p}$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the nonlinear diffusion wave expressed in terms of the self-similar solution of the Burgers equation as time tends to infinity. Our results are based on the detailed pointwise estimates for the fundamental solutions to the linearized equation.

1 Introduction

We consider a nonlinear relaxation system of the form:

$$ u_t + v_x = 0, \quad v_t + u_x = f(u) - v, \quad (1.1) $$

where $u$ and $v$ are unknown functions of $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f(u)$ is a smooth function of $u$ under consideration. If we eliminate $v$ from (1.1), we obtain the following damped wave equation with a nonlinear convection term:

$$ u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_t + f(u)_x = 0. \quad (1.2) $$

We consider the initial value problem for (1.2) with the initial conditions

$$ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1(x). \quad (1.3) $$

This initial value problem was studied by R. Orive and E. Zuazua [5] when $f(u) = |u|^\gamma u$ with $\gamma \geq 2$, so that $f'(0) = 0$. They proved the global existence and asymptotic
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decay of solutions under smallness condition on the initial data $u_0$ in $H^1 \cap L^1$ and $u_1$ in $L^2 \cap L^1$. Moreover, under the additional condition $u_0$, $u_1 \in L^2_1$, they observed that when $\gamma = 2$, the solution obtained approaches the self-similar solution $z(t, x)$ of the Burgers equation $z_t + (|z|z)_x = z_{xx}$ which verifies the integral condition $\int z(t, x) dx = M$, where $M := \int (u_0 + u_1)(x) dx$. When $\gamma > 2$, it was also observed in [5] that the asymptotic profile $z(t, x)$ is given by the heat kernel, i.e., the self-similar solution of the heat equation $z_t = z_{xx}$ which verifies $\int z(t, x) dx = M$ with the same $M$.

The main purpose in this paper is to generalize the results in [5] to the case where $f(u)$ satisfies the so called sub-characteristic condition $|f'(0)| < 1$. In addition, we develop $L^p$ theory for $p$ including $p = 1$. In fact, under smallness condition on the initial data $u_0$ in $W^{1,p} \cap L^1$ and $u_1$ in $L^p \cap L^1$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we prove that the solution exists globally in time and satisfies the decay estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|u(t)\|_{L^q} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ for any } 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \\
&\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{align*}
(1.4)
$$

To discuss more detailed large-time behavior of the solution for $|f'(0)| < 1$, we need additional consideration. To see this, as in [3,1], we apply the Chapman-Enskog expansion to (1.1) and derive a viscous conservation law

$$
w_t + f(w)_x = (\mu(w)w)_x
$$
(1.5)

as the second order approximation of the expansion, where $\mu(w) = 1 - (f'(w))^2$. Note that the sub-characteristic condition $|f'(w)| < 1$ implies the parabolicity of (1.5). It is expected that the solution of (1.2) can be approximated by the solution of (1.5) or its simpler version

$$
w_t + (\alpha w + \frac{\beta}{2}w^2)_x = \mu w_{xx},
$$
(1.6)

where $\alpha = f'(0)$, $\beta = f''(0)$ and $\mu = 1 - (f'(0))^2$. When $\beta = f''(0) > 0$, by the change of independent and dependent variables $x = y + \alpha t$ and $w = \beta z$, (1.6) is reduced to the Burgers equation $z_t + (z^2/2)_y = \mu z_{yy}$ whose asymptotic profile is given by its self-similar solution (see (2.3) below). Consequently, it is expected that the solution $u(t, x)$ of (1.2) can be approximated by the nonlinear diffusion wave $w(t, x)$ which is a modification of the self-similar solution $z(t, y)$ of the Burgers equation and is defined as $w(t, x) = \beta^{-1}z(t, x - \alpha t)$. In fact, under the additional condition $u_0, u_1 \in L^2_1$, we show that the solution to the problem (1.2), (1.3) approaches the nonlinear diffusion wave $w(t, x)$ which verifies the integral condition $\int w(t, x) dx = M$, where $M := \int (u_0 + u_1)(x) dx$. More specifically, we show that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|(u - w)(t)\|_{L^q} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ for any } 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \\
&\|\partial_x (u - w)(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{align*}
(1.7)
$$
as $t \to \infty$, where $\epsilon$ is any fixed positive number.

Before closing this section, we give some notations used in this paper. Let $\mathcal{F}[f]$ denote the Fourier transform and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f]$ denote the Fourier inverse transform of $f$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx, \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}[f](x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi.
$$
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For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote by $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R})$ the usual Lebesgue space with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{L^p}$. Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer. Then $W^{k,p} = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Sobolev space of $L^p$ functions, equipped with the norm $\| f \|_{W^{k,p}}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $L^p_\alpha = L^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ denote the weighted $L^p$ space with the norm $\| f \|_{L^p_\alpha} := \| (1 + |x|)^\alpha f \|_{L^p}$. Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $I$ be an interval on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $C(I;X)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on the interval $I$ with values in the Banach space $X$. Also, $L^\infty(I;X)$ denotes the space of $L^\infty$ functions on $I$ with values in $X$.

2 Main results

In this section we give statements of our main results in this paper. The first result is concerning the global existence and optimal decay of solutions to the initial value problem (1.2), (1.3), which can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose that $|f'(0)| < 1$. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and assume that $u_0 \in W^{1,p} \cap L^1$ and $u_1 \in L^p \cap L^1$. Put

$$E_0 := \| u_0 \|_{W^{1,p}} + \| u_0 \|_{L^1} + \| u_1 \|_{L^p} + \| u_1 \|_{L^1}.$$  

Then there is a positive constant $\delta_0$ such that if $E_0 \leq \delta_0$, then the initial value problem (1.2), (1.3) has a unique global solution $u(t, x)$ with

$$u \in C([0, \infty); W^{1,p} \cap L^1).$$

Moreover, the solution satisfies

$$\| u(t) \|_{L^q} \leq CE_0 (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{q})},$$

$$\| \partial_x u(t) \|_{L^p} \leq CE_0 (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}},$$

for any $q$ with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $C$ is a constant.

**Remark 2.2** When $p = \infty$, we should replace the solution space by $C([0, \infty); L^1) \cap L^\infty((0, \infty); W^{1,\infty})$.

In order to state our second main result concerning the large-time behavior of the solution obtained in Theorem 2.1, we define the nonlinear diffusion wave for (1.2). Consider the self-similar solution to the Burgers equation

$$z_t + (z^2 / 2)_x = \mu z_{xx},$$

where $\mu = 1 - (f'(0))^2$, which is a solution of the form $z(t, x) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\mu}})$. We denote by $z(t, x) = Z(t, x; \mu, M)$ the self-similar solution which satisfies the integral condition $\int z(t, x) dx = M$, where $M$ is a parameter. This self-similar solution is given explicitly as

$$Z(t, x; \mu, M) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{t}} \frac{(e^{M_\mu} - 1) e^{-y^2}}{\sqrt{\pi} + \int_y^{\infty} e^{-\xi^2} d\xi}, \quad y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{4 \mu t}}.$$
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We then define $W(t, x)$ by

$$W(t, x) = \beta^{-1}Z(t, x - \alpha t; \mu, \beta M),$$

(2.4)

where $\alpha = f'(0)$, $\beta = f''(0)$ and $\mu = 1 - (f'(0))^2$. Here we assumed that $\beta = f''(0) > 0$. We see that this $W(t, x)$ has the conserved quantity $\int W(t, x)dx = M$ and satisfies (1.6), i.e.,

$$w_t + (\alpha w + \frac{\beta}{2}w^2)_x = \mu w_{xx},$$

(2.5)

which is an approximation to the viscous conservation law (1.5) derived form (1.1) by applying the Chapman-Enskog expansion. We call $W(t, x)$ defined by (2.4) the nonlinear diffusion wave for (1.2) if the parameter $M$ is chosen as $M = \int(u_0 + u_1)(x)dx$.

The nonlinear diffusion wave defined above gives the large-time description of the solution obtained in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3 Suppose that $|f'(0)| < 1$ and $f''(0) > 0$. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and assume that $u_0 \in W^{1, p} \cap L^1$ and $u_1 \in L^p \cap L^1$. Let $u(t, x)$ be the global solution of the problem (1.2), (1.3) constructed in Theorem 2.1, and let $W(t, x)$ be the nonlinear diffusion wave defined by (2.4) with $M = \int (u_0 + u_1)(x)dx$. Put $w(t, x) = W(t+1, x)$ and

$$E_1 := ||u_0||_{W^{1, p}} + ||u_0||_{L^1} + ||u_1||_{L^p} + ||u_1||_{L^1}.$$  

Then, for any $\epsilon$ with $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, there is a positive constant $\delta_1$ such that if $E_0 \leq \delta_1$ (where $E_0$ is given in Theorem 2.1), then we have the following asymptotic relations:

$$||w(t)||_{L^q} \leq C_{E_1}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon},$$

(2.6)

$$||\partial_x w(t)||_{L^p} \leq C_{E_1}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-1+\epsilon},$$

for any $q$ with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $C$ is a constant.

Remark 2.4 A straightforward computation using (2.4) and (2.3) yields

$$||\partial_x^l w(t)||_{L^q} \leq C|M|(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{1}{2}}$$

(2.7)

for any $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $l = 0, 1, \ldots$, where $M = \int (u_0 + u_1)(x)dx$. More precisely, when $M \neq 0$, $\partial_x^l w(t, x)$ behaves exactly like $t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{1}{2}}$ in $L^q$ as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, the estimate (2.6) gives meaningful asymptotic relations for $t \to \infty$, provided that $M \neq 0$.

3 Fundamental solutions

The aim of this section is to study the fundamental solutions to the linearized equation of (1.2):

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_t + \alpha u_x = 0,$$

(3.1)

where $\alpha = f'(0)$. To this end, we consider (3.1) with the initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1(x).$$

(3.2)
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We take the Fourier transform, obtaining

\[ \hat{u}_{tt} + \hat{u}_t + (\xi^2 + \alpha i\xi)\hat{u} = 0, \quad \hat{u}(0, \xi) = \hat{u}_0(\xi), \quad \hat{u}_t(0, \xi) = \hat{u}_1(\xi). \]  

(3.3)

The characteristic equation of (3.3) is \( \lambda^2 + \lambda + (\xi^2 + \alpha i\xi) = 0 \) and the eigenvalues are

\[ \lambda_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{1 - 4(\xi^2 + \alpha i\xi)}), \quad \lambda_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{1 - 4(\xi^2 + \alpha i\xi)}). \]  

(3.4)

The problem (3.3) is then solved as

\[ \hat{u}(t, \xi) = \hat{G}(t, \xi)(\hat{u}_0(\xi) + \hat{u}_1(\xi)) + \hat{H}(t, \xi)\hat{u}_0(\xi), \]  

(3.5)

where

\[ \hat{G}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\xi) - \lambda_2(\xi)}(e^{\lambda_1(\xi)t} - e^{\lambda_2(\xi)t}), \]

\[ \hat{H}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\xi) - \lambda_2(\xi)}((1 + \lambda_1(\xi))e^{\lambda_2(\xi)t} - (1 + \lambda_2(\xi))e^{\lambda_1(\xi)t}). \]  

(3.6)

We take the Fourier inverse transform of (3.5). This yields the solution formula of the linearized problem (3.1), (3.2):

\[ u(t) = G(t)* (u_0 + u_1) + H(t) * u_0, \]  

(3.7)

where \( G(t, x) \) and \( H(t, x) \) denote the Fourier inverse transforms of \( \hat{G}(t, \xi) \) and \( \hat{H}(t, \xi) \) in (3.6), respectively:

\[ G(t, x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{G}(t, \cdot)](x), \quad H(t, x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{H}(t, \cdot)](x), \]  

(3.8)

and \( * \) denotes the convolution with respect to \( x \). We call \( G(t, x) \) and \( H(t, x) \) the fundamental solutions of linearized damped wave equation (3.1).

We are interested in the asymptotic expressions of the fundamental solutions together with their detailed pointwise estimates. To state the results, we introduce the modified heat kernel:

\[ G_0(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\mu t}}e^{-(x-at)^2/4\mu t}, \]  

(3.9)

where \( \alpha = f'(0) \) and \( \mu = 1 - (f'(0))^2 \), which is the fundamental solution to the linear heat equation \( w_t + \alpha w_x = \mu w_{xx} \). Then the result for \( G(t, x) \) can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( \alpha = f'(0) \) and \( \mu = 1 - (f'(0))^2 \), and assume that \( |\alpha| < 1 \). For each nonnegative integer \( l \), the fundamental solution \( G(t, x) \) can be expressed as

\[ G(t, x) = G_0(t, x) + G_\infty^{(l)}(t, x) + R^{(l)}(t, x) = G_\infty^{(l)}(t, x) + R_\infty^{(l)}(t, x). \]

Here \( G_0(t, x) \) is the modified heat kernel in (3.9), and \( G_\infty^{(l)}(t, x) \) is the singular part given as follows: We have \( G_\infty^{(0)}(t, x) \equiv 0 \) and

\[ \partial_x G_\infty^{(l)}(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \left\{ e^{-\nu t}P_k(t)\partial_x^{l-k-1}\delta(x+t) + e^{-\kappa t}Q_k(t)\partial_x^{l-k-1}\delta(x-t) \right\} \]  

(3.10)
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for \( l \geq 1 \), where \( \kappa = (1 + \alpha)/2 \), \( \nu = (1 - \alpha)/2 \), \( P_k(t) \) and \( Q_k(t) \) are some polynomials of \( t \) of degree \( k \), and \( \delta \) denotes the Dirac delta function. The remainder terms \( R^{(l)}(t, x) \) and \( R_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x) \) verify the following pointwise estimates:

\[
|\partial_x^l R^{(l)}(t, x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1+1}{2}(1+n)} + Ce^{-c(t+|x|)}
\]

\[
|\partial_x^l R_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x)| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{l+1}{2}} e^{-c(t+|x|)} + Ce^{-c(t+|x|)}
\]

for \( l \geq 0 \), where \( C \) and \( c \) are positive constants.

This theorem shows that the fundamental solution \( G(t, x) \) can be well approximated by the modified heat kernel \( G_0(t, x) \) as \( t \to \infty \).

We have a similar expression also for \( H(t, x) \).

**Theorem 3.2** Assume the same condition as in Theorem 3.1. For each \( l \geq 0 \), we can express \( H(t, x) \) as

\[
H(t, x) = H_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x) + S_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x).
\]

Here the singular part \( H_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x) \) is given as

\[
\partial_x^l H_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \left\{ e^{-\kappa t} \tilde{P}_k(t) \partial_x^{l-k} \delta(x+i) + e^{-\mu t} \tilde{Q}_k(t) \partial_x^{l-k} \delta(x-t) \right\}
\]

for \( l \geq 0 \), where \( \kappa \) and \( \mu \) are the same as in Theorem 3.1, \( \tilde{P}_k(t) \) and \( \tilde{Q}_k(t) \) are some polynomials of \( t \) of degree \( k \), and \( \delta \) denotes the Dirac delta function. The remainder term satisfies the following pointwise estimate:

\[
|\partial_x^l S_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x)| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{l+1}{2}} e^{-c(t+|x|)} + Ce^{-c(t+|x|)}
\]

for \( l \geq 0 \), where \( C \) and \( c \) are positive constants.

As a corollary of the above pointwise estimates of the fundamental solutions, we have the following \( L^p-L^q \) estimates for solutions to the linearized equation (3.1).

**Corollary 3.3** Assume the same condition as in Theorem 3.1 and let \( 1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty \). Then we have the following \( L^p-L^q \) estimates:

\[
\|G(t) \ast \phi\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\phi\|_{L^q},
\]

\[
\|\partial_x^l G(t) \ast \phi\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{l}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^q} + Ce^{-c t} \|\phi\|_{W^{l-1,p}}, \quad l \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
\|\partial_x^l H(t) \ast \phi\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{l}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^q} + Ce^{-c t} \|\phi\|_{W^{l-1,p}}, \quad l \geq 0.
\]

Moreover, the solution operator \( G(t) \ast \) is approximated by \( G_0(t) \ast \) in the following sense:

\[
\| (G - G_0)(t) \ast \phi \|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{l}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^q},
\]

\[
\|\partial_x^l (G - G_0)(t) \ast \phi\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{l}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^q} + Ce^{-c t} \|\phi\|_{W^{l-1,p}}, \quad l \geq 1.
\]

Here \( C \) and \( c \) are some positive constants.

We can prove Corollary 3.3 by using Theorem 3.1, 3.2, and omit here.
4 Fundamental solution in Fourier space

In this section, under the condition $|f'(0)| < 1$, we consider $\hat{G}(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{H}(t, \xi)$ in (3.6) and derive their pointwise estimates, which are crucial in the proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Here $\xi$ is regarded as a complex variable, i.e., $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. We divide our computations into three parts corresponding to the low frequency region $|\xi| \leq r_0$, the middle frequency region $r_0 \leq |\xi| \leq K_0$ and the high frequency region $|\xi| \geq K_0$, respectively. We omit the proof in this section.

In the low frequency region we have:

**Lemma 4.1** There is a positive constant $r_0$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\xi| \leq r_0$, we have the following expressions:

$$\hat{G}(t, \xi) = \hat{G}_0(t, \xi) + \hat{R}_0(t, \xi),$$

$$\hat{G}_0(t, \xi) = e^{-(\alpha \xi + \mu \xi^2)t}, \quad \hat{R}_0(t, \xi) = e^{-(\alpha \xi + \mu \xi^2)t}\hat{R}_{0,1}(t, \xi) + e^{-t}\hat{R}_{0,2}(t, \xi)$$

and

$$\hat{H}(t, \xi) = e^{-(\alpha \xi + \mu \xi^2)t}\hat{H}_1(t, \xi) + e^{-t}\hat{H}_2(t, \xi).$$

Here $\alpha = f'(0)$, $\mu = 1 - (f'(0))^2$, and

$$|\hat{R}_{0,1}(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|(1 + |\xi|^2t)e^{C|\xi|^3t}, \quad |\hat{R}_{0,2}(t, \xi)| \leq Ce^{C|\xi|t}$$

$$|\hat{H}_1(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|e^{C|\xi|^3t}, \quad |\hat{H}_2(t, \xi)| \leq Ce^{C|\xi|t}$$

for $|\xi| \leq r_0$, where $C$ is a positive constant.

**Remark 4.2** For $\hat{G}(t, \xi)$, we have another expression:

$$\hat{G}(t, \xi) = e^{-(\alpha \xi + \mu \xi^2)t}\hat{G}_1(t, \xi) + e^{-t}\hat{G}_2(t, \xi)$$

with $\hat{G}_1(t, \xi) = 1 + \hat{R}_{0,1}(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{G}_2(t, \xi) = \hat{R}_{0,2}(t, \xi)$ satisfying

$$|\hat{G}_1(t, \xi)| \leq Ce^{C|\xi|^3t}, \quad |\hat{G}_2(t, \xi)| \leq Ce^{C|\xi|^t}.$$  

Next we consider in the high frequency region.

**Lemma 4.3** For each nonnegative integer $l$, there is a positive constant $K_0$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\xi| \geq K_0$, we have the following expressions:

$$\hat{G}(t, \xi) = \hat{G}_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, \xi) + \hat{R}_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, \xi), \quad \hat{H}(t, \xi) = \hat{H}_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, \xi) + \hat{S}_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, \xi).$$

Here $\hat{G}_{\infty}^{(0)}(t, \xi) \equiv 0$,

$$\hat{G}_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \{e^{-(\kappa-\xi)t}P_k(t) + e^{-(\nu+\xi)t}Q_k(t)\}(i\xi)^{-k-1}, \quad l \geq 1,$$

$$\hat{R}_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, \xi) = \{e^{-(\kappa-\xi)t}P_l(t) + e^{-(\nu+\xi)t}Q_l(t)\}(i\xi)^{-l-1} + e^{-(\kappa-\xi)t}\hat{R}_{\infty,1}^{(l)}(t, \xi) + e^{-(\nu+\xi)t}\hat{R}_{\infty,2}^{(l)}(t, \xi), \quad l \geq 0,$$
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and

\[
\hat{H}^{(l)}(t, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \left\{ e^{-(\kappa-i\xi)t} \tilde{P}_k(t) + e^{-(\nu+i\xi)t} \overline{Q}_k(t) \right\} (i\xi)^{-k}, \quad l \geq 0,
\]

\[
\hat{S}^{(l)}(t, \xi) = \left\{ e^{-(\kappa-i\xi)t} \tilde{P}_l(t) + e^{-(\nu+i\xi)t} \tilde{Q}_l(t) \right\} (i\xi)^{-l-1}
+ e^{-(\kappa-t)t} \hat{S}_{\infty,1}^{(l)}(t, \xi) + e^{-(\nu+t\xi)t} \hat{S}_{\infty,2}^{(l)}(t, \xi), \quad l \geq 0,
\]

where \(\kappa = (1+\alpha)/2, \nu = (1-\alpha)/2\) with \(\alpha = f'(0)\), and \(P_k(t), Q_k(t), \tilde{P}_k(t)\) and \(\tilde{Q}_k(t)\) are polynomials of \(t\) of degree \(k\). Moreover, we have

\[
|\hat{R}_{\infty,1}^{(l)}(t, \xi)| + |\hat{R}_{\infty,2}^{(l)}(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-l-2}(1+t)^{l+1}e^{C|\xi|^{-1}t},
\]

(4.9)

\[
|\hat{S}_{\infty,1}^{(l)}(t, \xi)| + |\hat{S}_{\infty,2}^{(l)}(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{-l-2}(1+t)^{l+2}e^{C|\xi|^{-1}t}
\]

for \(|\xi| \geq K_0\), where \(C\) is a positive constant.

In the middle frequency region, as in [2], we derive the corresponding estimates by employing the energy method in the Fourier space.

**Lemma 4.4** We write \(\xi = \eta + i\zeta\), where \(\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}\). Then, for any \(r > 0\), there exists a positive constant \(\sigma(r)\) depending on \(r\) such that if \(|\eta| \geq r\) and \(|\zeta| \leq \sigma(r)\), then we have the following estimates:

\[
|\hat{G}(t, \xi)| \leq C(1+|\eta|)^{-1}e^{-\rho(\eta)t}, \quad |\hat{H}(t, \xi)| \leq Ce^{-\rho(\eta)t},
\]

(4.10)

where \(\rho(\eta) = \frac{\eta^2}{1+\eta^2}\), and \(C\) and \(c\) are positive constants independent of \(r\).

## 5 Proof of pointwise estimates

In this section, following [4,2], we give the proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 concerning the pointwise estimates of the fundamental solutions.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** For each nonnegative integer \(l\), we express \(\hat{G}\) in (3.6) as

\[
\hat{G}(t, \xi) = \hat{G}_0(t, \xi) + \hat{G}_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, \xi) + \hat{R}^{(l)}(t, \xi),
\]

(5.1)

where \(\hat{G}_0\) and \(\hat{G}_{\infty}^{(l)}\) are given explicitly in (4.1) and (4.7), respectively, and \(\hat{R}^{(l)}\) is defined by (5.1). We write the Fourier inverse transform of (5.1) as

\[
G(t, x) = G_0(t, x) + G_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x) + R^{(l)}(t, x).
\]

(5.2)

Here the first two terms on the right hand side of (5.2) can be given explicitly. In this proof, we consider the derivative \(\partial_x^n R^{(l)}(t, x)\) and \(\partial_x^n R_{\infty}^{(l)}(t, x)\) of the remainder terms.

**Lemma 5.1** For each \(l \geq 0\), we have the following estimate:

\[
|\partial_x^n R^{(l)}(t, x)| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n^2}{2}t}e^{-c(x-\alpha t)^2/t} + Ce^{-c(t+x)}
\]

(5.3)

for \(t \geq 1\), where \(C\) and \(c\) are positive constants.
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Proof. We have
\[
\partial_{x}^{2}R^{(l)}(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(i\xi)^{l}\hat{R}^{(l)}(t, \cdot)](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i\xi)^{l}\hat{R}^{(l)}(t, \xi)e^{i\xi x}d\xi
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i\xi)^{l}\hat{R}^{(l)}(t, \xi)e^{i\xi x}d\eta \quad (\xi = \eta + i\zeta),
\]
where, thanks to the Cauchy integral theorem, we have changed the path of integration from the real axis to the straight line \(\xi = \eta + i\zeta\) (with a small fixed \(\zeta\) specified later) which is parallel to the real axis. We divide the above integral into three parts corresponding to the regions \(|\eta| \leq r, r \leq |\eta| \leq K\) and \(|\eta| \geq K\), respectively, where \(r > 0\) and \(K > 0\) are constants which will be specified later. Now we recall the relations
\[
\hat{R}^{(l)} = \hat{R}_{0} - \hat{G}_{\infty}^{(l)}, \quad \hat{R}^{(l)} = \hat{G} - \hat{G}_{0} - \hat{G}_{\infty}^{(l)}, \quad \hat{R}^{(l)} = \hat{R}_{\infty}^{(l)} - \hat{G}_{0},
\]
which follow from (4.1), (4.6) and (5.1). We then substitute these three relations into the above integral over the regions \(|\eta| \leq r, r \leq |\eta| \leq K\), and \(|\eta| \geq K\), respectively. Consequently, we obtain
\[
2\pi \partial_{x}^{2}R^{(l)}(t, x)
\]
\[
= \int_{|\eta| \leq r} (i\xi)^{l}\hat{R}_{0}e^{i\xi x}d\eta + \int_{r \leq |\eta| \leq K} (i\xi)^{l}\hat{G}_{0}e^{i\xi x}d\eta
\]
\[
+ \int_{|\eta| \geq K} (i\xi)^{l}\hat{G}_{\infty}^{(l)}e^{i\xi x}d\eta - \int_{|\eta| \leq r} (i\xi)^{l}\hat{G}_{0}e^{i\xi x}d\eta
\]
\[
=: I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} - I_{4} - I_{5}.
\]
where \(\xi = \eta + i\zeta\). We choose \(\zeta\) according to the point \((t, x)\) as follows:
\[
\zeta = \delta(x - \alpha t)/t \quad \text{if} \quad |x - \alpha t|/t \leq 1,
\]
\[
\zeta = \delta \quad \text{if} \quad |x - \alpha t|/t \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x - \alpha t > 0,
\]
(5.5)
\[
\zeta = -\delta \quad \text{if} \quad |x - \alpha t|/t \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x - \alpha t < 0,
\]
where \(\delta > 0\) is a small constant which will be specified later. Note that in any case we have \(|\zeta|^{2} \leq |\eta|^{2} + \delta^{2}\). For the moment, we assume that \(r\) and \(\delta\) are so small that \(r^{2} + \delta^{2} \leq r_{0}^{2}\) and \(\delta \leq \sigma(r)\), while \(K\) is so large that \(K \geq K_{0}\), where \(r_{0}, K_{0}\) and \(\sigma(r)\) are the constants in Lemmas 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4, respectively.

Case 1. Consider the case where \(|x - \alpha t|/t \leq 1\). In this case we take \(\zeta = \delta(x - \alpha t)/t\) by (5.5) so that \(\xi = \eta + i\delta(x - \alpha t)/t\).

First, we rewrite the term \(I_{1}\) by using (4.1) as
\[
I_{1} = \int_{|\eta| \leq r} e^{-\mu t^{2}t}(i\xi)^{l}\hat{R}_{0,1}e^{i\xi(x-a\alpha t)}d\eta + e^{-t}\int_{|\eta| \leq r} (i\xi)^{l}\hat{R}_{0,2}e^{i\xi x}d\eta =: I_{1,1} + I_{1,2}.
\]
We substitute the first pointwise estimate in (4.3) into \(I_{1,1}\) and then use the simple relations \(-\text{Re}(\mu \xi^{2}t) = -\mu \eta^{2}t + \mu \delta^{2}(x - \alpha t)^{2}/t\) and \(\text{Re}(i\xi(x - \alpha t)) = -\delta(x - \alpha t)^{2}/t\). This gives
\[
|I_{1,1}| \leq C\int_{|\eta| \leq r} |e^{-\mu t^{2}t}| |\xi|^{l+1}(1 + |\xi|^{2}t)e^{C|\eta|^{2}|e^{i\xi(x-a\alpha t)}|d\eta \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\gamma(x-a\alpha t)^{2}/t},
\]
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provided that $\delta$ and $r$ are suitably small, where $\gamma$ is a positive constant such that $\gamma < \delta$. Similarly, using the second pointwise estimate in (4.3) and the relation $\text{Re}(i\xi x) \leq -\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t + |\alpha|\delta t$, we have

$$|I_{1,2}| \leq Ce^{-t} \int_{|\eta| \leq r} |\xi|^2 e^{C|\xi| t} |e^{i\xi x}| d\eta \leq Ce^{-at} e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t},$$

provided that $\delta$ and $r$ are suitably small, where $c$ is a positive constant with $c < 1$. Here we have used the inequality $|\xi|^2 \leq |\eta|^2 + \delta^2$. Thus we have

$$|I_1| \leq C(1+t)^{-l} e^{-\gamma(x-\alpha t)^2/t}. \tag{5.6}$$

Next we estimate $I_2$. When $r \leq |\eta| \leq K$, we have from (4.10) that $|\hat{G}| \leq Ce^{-c_0r^2t}$, provided that $r$ is suitably small and $K$ is suitably large, where $c_0$ is a positive constant independent of $r$ and $K$. Therefore, noting that $\text{Re}(i\xi x) \leq -\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t + |\alpha|\delta t$, we obtain

$$|I_2| \leq \int_{r \leq |\eta| \leq K} |\xi|^l |\hat{G}| |e^{i\xi x}| d\eta \leq Ce^{-at} e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t}, \tag{5.7}$$

provided that $\delta$ is suitably small depending on $r$, where $c$ is a positive constant with $c < c_0r^2$.

For $I_3$, we use the expression of $\hat{R}_{\infty}^{(l)}$ in (4.7) and write $I_3$ as

$$I_3 = e^{-\kappa t} \int_{|\eta| \geq K} \{P_l(t)(i\eta)^{-1} + (i\eta)^l \hat{R}_{\infty,1}^{(l)}\} e^{i\eta(x+t)} d\eta + e^{-\kappa t} \int_{|\eta| \geq K} \{Q_l(t)(i\eta)^{-1} + (i\eta)^l \hat{R}_{\infty,2}^{(l)}\} e^{i\eta(x-t)} d\eta =: I_3^+ + I_3^-.$$

Moreover, we rewrite $I_3^+$ as

$$I_3^+ = e^{-\kappa t} P_l(t) \int_{|\eta| \geq K} (i\eta)^{-1} e^{i\eta(x+t)} d\eta + e^{-\kappa t} P_l(t) \int_{|\eta| \geq K} ((i\eta)^{-1} - (i\eta)^{-1}) e^{i\eta(x+t)} d\eta + e^{-\kappa t} \int_{|\eta| \geq K} (i\eta)^l \hat{R}_{\infty,1}^{(l)} e^{i\eta(x+t)} d\eta =: I_{3,1}^+ + I_{3,2}^+ + I_{3,3}^+.$$

We estimate each term as follows. For $I_{3,1}^+$, we see that

$$I_{3,1}^+ = e^{-\kappa t} P_l(t) e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)(x+t)/t} \int_{|\eta| \geq K} (i\eta)^{-1} e^{i\eta(x+t)} d\eta$$

because $i\xi(x+t) = -\delta(x-\alpha t)(x+t)/t + i\eta(x+t)$. Here we observe that $e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)(x+t)/t} \leq e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t} e^{c_1\delta t}$ with $c_1 = 1 + \alpha$, and that

$$\int_{|\eta| \geq K} (i\eta)^{-1} e^{i\eta(x+t)} d\eta = 2 \int_{K}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \eta(x+t)}{\eta} d\eta = 2 \text{sign}(x+t) \int_{|x+t|K}^{\infty} \frac{\sin y}{y} dy,$$

which is uniformly bounded. Consequently, we obtain

$$|I_{3,1}^+| \leq C(1+t)^{-l} e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t} e^{c_1\delta t} \leq Ce^{-at} e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t},$$
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provided that \( \delta \) is suitably small, where \( c \) is a positive constant with \( c < \kappa \). Also, for \( I_{3,2}^{+} \), we have

\[
(i\xi)^{-1} - (i\eta)^{-1} = \frac{1}{i\eta - \delta(x - \alpha t)/t} - \frac{1}{i\eta} = \frac{\delta(x - \alpha t)/t}{i\eta(i\eta - \delta(x - \alpha t)/t)} = O(|\eta|^{-2}),
\]

and \( |e^{i\xi(x-t)}| \leq e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t}e^{c_1\delta t} \) with \( c_1 = 1 + \alpha \). Hence we obtain

\[
|I_{3,2}^{+}| \leq C(1 + t)^{l}e^{-\kappa t}\int_{|\eta| \geq K} |(i\xi)^{-1} - (i\eta)^{-1}||e^{i\xi(x-t)}|d\eta \leq Ce^{-ct}e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t}
\]

for suitably small \( \delta \), where \( 0 < c < \kappa \). Similarly, making use of the pointwise estimate of \( \hat{R}_{\infty,1}^{(l)} \) in (4.9), we have

\[
|I_{3,3}^{+}| \leq C(1 + t)^{l+1}e^{-\kappa t}\int_{|\eta| \geq K} |\xi|^{-2}e^{C|\xi|^{-1}t}|e^{i\xi(x-t)}|d\eta \leq Ce^{-ct}e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t}
\]

provided that \( \delta \) is suitably small and \( K \) is suitably large, where \( 0 < c < \kappa \). Summarizing all these computations, we have \( |I_{3}^{+}| \leq Ce^{-ct}e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t} \). Another term \( I_5 \) can be estimated just in the same way. Thus we arrive at the estimate

\[
|I_{3}| \leq Ce^{-ct}e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t}.
\]

The fourth term \( I_4 \) can be treated more easily. We have from (4.7) that

\[
I_4 = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \{ e^{-\kappa t}P_k(t) \int_{|\eta| \leq K} (i\xi)^{l-k-1}e^{i\xi(x-t)}d\eta + e^{-\nu t}Q_k(t) \int_{|\eta| \leq K} (i\xi)^{l-k-1}e^{i\xi(x-t)}d\eta \}.
\]

Here we note that \( |e^{i\xi(x-t)}| \leq e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t}e^{c_1\delta t} \) with \( c_1 = \max\{1 + \alpha, 1 - \alpha \} \). Therefore, letting \( \kappa_1 = \min\{\kappa, \nu\} \), we have

\[
|I_4| \leq C(1 + t)^{l-1}e^{-\kappa_1 t}\int_{|\eta| \leq K} (1 + |\xi|)(|e^{i\xi(x-t)}| + |e^{i\xi(x-t)}|)d\eta \leq Ce^{-ct}e^{-\delta(x-\alpha t)^2/t}.
\]

for suitably small \( \delta \), where \( 0 < c < \kappa_1 \).

Finally, we estimate the term \( I_5 \) which is rewritten by using the expression of \( \hat{G}_0 \) in (4.1) as

\[
I_5 = \int_{|\eta| \geq r} (i\xi)^{l}e^{-\mu_2 t}e^{i\xi(x-\alpha t)}d\eta.
\]

We have

\[
|I_5| \leq \int_{|\eta| \geq r} |(i\xi)^{l}e^{-\mu_2 t}e^{i\xi(x-\alpha t)}|d\eta \leq Ct^{-\frac{l+1}{2}}e^{-ct}e^{-\gamma(x-\alpha t)^2/t},
\]

provided that \( \delta \) is suitably small, where \( 0 < \gamma < \delta \).

All these computations from (5.6) to (5.10) prove the desired estimate (5.3) for \( |x - \alpha t|/t \leq 1 \).

**Case 2.** Next we consider the case where \( |x - \alpha t|/t \geq 1 \) and \( x - \alpha t > 0 \). (The case where \( |x - \alpha t|/t \geq 1 \) and \( x - \alpha t < 0 \) can be treated just in the same way and we omit this final case.) In this case we take \( \zeta = \delta \) by (5.5) so that \( \xi = \eta + i\delta \).
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For the term $I_{1,1}$, we see that $\text{Re}(\xi^2) = \gamma^2 - \delta^2$ and $\text{Re}(i\xi(x-\alpha t)) = -\delta|x-\alpha t|$. Also, we find that $e^{-\delta|x-\alpha t|/2} \leq e^{-\delta t/2} e^{-\delta|x-\alpha t|/2}$ because of $|x-\alpha t| \geq t$. Therefore we have

$$|I_{1,1}| \leq C \int_{|\eta| \leq \tau} e^{-\mu \xi^2 t} |\xi|^{|l+1|} e^{\xi^2 t} |e^{\xi(x-\alpha t)}| \, d\eta \leq Ce^{-\eta t} e^{-\delta|x-\alpha t|/2} \leq Ce^{-\gamma(t+|x|)},$$

provided that $\delta$ and $r$ are suitably small, where $0 < \gamma < \gamma_1 < \delta/2$. For the term $I_{1,2}$, noting that $\text{Re}(i\xi x) = -\delta x \leq -\delta|x-\alpha t| + |\alpha|\delta t$, we have

$$|I_{1,2}| \leq C e^{-t} \int_{|\eta| \leq \tau} |\xi|^{|l+1|} e^{\xi^2 t} |e^{i\xi(x-\alpha t)}| \, d\eta \leq Ce^{-\gamma(t+|x|)}$$

provided that $\delta$ and $r$ are suitably small, where $0 < c < 1$ and $0 < \gamma < \min\{c, \delta\}$. Thus we have

$$|I_1| \leq Ce^{-\gamma(t+|x|)}.$$  (5.11)

Similarly, for the term $I_2$, $I_3$ and $I_4$, we can replace the factor $\delta|x-\alpha t|^2/t$ in (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9) by $\delta|x-\alpha t|$ and obtain

$$|I_2|, |I_3|, |I_4| \leq Ce^{-c t} e^{-\delta|x-\alpha t|} \leq Ce^{-\gamma(t+|x|)},$$  (5.12)

provided that $\delta$ and $r$ are suitably small and $K$ is suitably large, where $c$ is a certain positive constant and $0 < \gamma < \min\{c, \delta\}$. Also, for the term $I_5$, we have

$$|I_5| \leq \int_{|\eta| \geq \eta_0} |\xi|^{|l+1|} e^{-\mu \xi^2 t} |e^{i\xi(x-\alpha t)}| \, d\eta \leq C t^{-\frac{l+1}{2}} e^{(-c)(x^2/\eta_0^2)} e^{-\delta t} \leq C t^{-\frac{l+1}{2}} e^{-\gamma(t+|x|)},$$  (5.13)

provided that $\delta$ is suitably small, where $0 < \gamma < \gamma_1 < \delta/2$. All these observations show the desired estimate (5.3) for $|x-\alpha t|/t \geq 1$ and hence the proof of Lemma 5.1 is complete.

\[\square\]

The pointwise estimate of $\partial_x R^{(l)}(t, x)$ given in Lemma 5.1 contains the additional singularity at $t = 0$ (see the term $I_5$ in (5.13)). For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we must remove this singularity. To this end, we recall (4.6) and write

$$\hat{G}(t, \xi) = \hat{G}^{(l)}(t, \xi) + \hat{R}^{(l)}(t, \xi)$$  (5.14)

for each $l \geq 0$, where $\hat{G}^{(l)}(t, \xi)$ is given explicitly in (4.7). We write the Fourier inverse transform of (5.14) as

$$G(t, x) = G^{(l)}(t, x) + R^{(l)}(t, x),$$  (5.15)

where $\partial_x G^{(l)}(t, x)$ was given explicitly. We show that the remainder term $R^{(l)}(t, x)$ satisfies the pointwise estimate given in (3.11):

**Lemma 5.2** For each $l \geq 0$, we have the following pointwise estimate:

$$|\partial_x R^{(l)}(t, x)| \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{l+1}{2}} e^{-c(x-\alpha t)^2/t} + Ce^{-c(t+|x|)}$$  (5.16)

for any $t > 0$, where $C$ and $c$ are positive constants.
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Proof. We have as the counterpart of (5.4) that

\[
2\pi \partial_x^l R^{(l)}(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i\xi)^l \hat{R}^{(l)}(t, \xi) d\eta \\
= \int_{|\eta| \leq r} (i\xi)^l \hat{G} e^{i\xi x} d\eta + \int_{r \leq |\eta| \leq K} (i\xi)^l \hat{G} e^{i\xi x} d\eta + \int_{|\eta| \geq K} (i\xi)^l \hat{R}^{(l)} e^{i\xi x} d\eta \\
=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,
\]

(5.17)

where \( \xi = \eta + i\zeta \). Here we have used the relation \( \hat{R}^{(l)} = \hat{G} - \hat{G}_0^{(l)} \) in the regions \( |\eta| \leq r \) and \( r \leq |\eta| \leq K \). To estimate the term \( J_1 \), we compare it with \( I_1 \) in (5.4). In the present case, it suffices to use the expression (4.4) of \( \hat{G} \) instead of the expression (4.1) of \( \hat{R}_0 \). This suggests that all the estimates for \( I_1 \) in the proof of Lemma 5.1 are valid also for \( J_1 \) if we replace the exponent \( l + 1 \) appearing in the estimates for \( I_1 \) by \( l \). In particular, as the counterpart of (5.6), we have

\[
|I_1| \leq C(1 + t)^{\frac{l+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\gamma(x-\alpha t)^2}{t}}
\]

for \( |x-\alpha t|/t \leq 1 \). The other terms in (5.17) are just the same as those in (5.4), namely, we have \( J_2 = I_2 \), \( J_3 = I_3 \) and \( J_4 = I_4 \). (Here we do not have any term like \( I_5 \) having the additional singularity at \( t = 0 \).) These observations give the desired estimate (5.16). This complete the proof of Lemma 5.2.

Now, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, we show the estimate (3.11) for \( \partial_x^l R^{(l)}(t, x) \). Namely, for each \( l \geq 0 \), we show that

\[
|\partial_x^l R^{(l)}(t, x)| \leq C t^{-\frac{l+1}{4}} e^{-\frac{(x-\alpha t)^2}{t}} + C e^{-\frac{c(t+|x|)}{t}}
\]

(5.18)

for any \( t > 0 \). To see this, we recall the relation \( R^{(l)} = \hat{R}_0^{(l)} - \hat{G}_0 \) and estimate the right hand side of this equality. For the first term, we apply the estimate (5.16). For the second term, by a straightforward computation, we have \( |\partial_x^l G_0(t, x)| \leq C t^{-\frac{l+1}{4}} e^{-\frac{(x-\alpha t)^2}{t}} \). Thus we obtain

\[
|\partial_x^l R^{(l)}(t, x)| \leq C t^{-\frac{l+1}{4}} e^{-\frac{(x-\alpha t)^2}{t}} + C e^{-\frac{c(t+|x|)}{t}}.
\]

(5.19)

A combination of the estimates (5.3) for \( t \geq 1 \) and (5.19) for \( 0 < t \leq 1 \) yields the desired estimate (5.18). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to that of Lemma 5.2 and omitted here.

6 Global existence and decay

In this section we study the initial value problem (1.2), (1.3) and prove the global existence result stated in Theorem 2.1. First, we rewrite the equation (1.2) as

\[
\partial_t u - u_{xx} + u_t + \alpha u_x = -g(u)_x,
\]

(6.1)
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where $\alpha = f'(0)$ and $g(u) := f(u) - f(0) - f'(0)u = O(u^2)$. Then, applying the Duhamel principle, we transform the problem (1.2) (or (6.1)), (1.3) into the integral equation

$$u(t) = G(t) * (u_0 + u_1) + H(t) * u_0 - \int_0^t G(t-s) * g(u)_x(s)ds,$$

(6.2)

where $G(t, x)$ and $H(t, x)$ are the fundamental solutions to the linearized equation (3.1) and are defined in (3.8).

We want to solve the above integral equation by applying the contraction mapping principle. For this purpose, we define the mapping $\Phi[u]$ by

$$\Phi[u](t) := G(t) * (u_0 + u_1) + H(t) * u_0 - \int_0^t G(t-s) * g(u)_x(s)ds$$

(6.3)

and put

$$\Phi_0(t) := G(t) * (u_0 + u_1) + H(t) * u_0.$$

(6.4)

Let us consider in the Banach space $X$ defined as follows: For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$X := \{u \in C([0, \infty); W^{1,p} \cap L^1); \|u\|_X < \infty\},$$

$$\|u\|_X := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_{L^1} + \sup_{t \geq 0} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^p}.$$  

(6.5)

and for $p = \infty$,

$$X := \{u \in C([0, \infty); L^1) \cap L^\infty((0, \infty); W^{1,\infty}); \|u\|_X \leq \infty\},$$

$$\|u\|_X := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_{L^1} + \sup_{t \geq 0} (1+t) \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty}.$$  

(6.6)

It is also useful to introduce

$$\|u\|_Y := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_{L^1} + \sup_{t \geq 0} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}.$$  

(6.7)

Notice that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^q} \leq \|u\|_Y (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})}$$

(6.8)

for each $q$ with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, which follows from the inequality $\|u\|_{L^q} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and the definition of $\|u\|_Y$. Also, we see that $\|u\|_Y \leq C_* \|u\|_X$, where $C_* \geq 1$ is the constant appearing in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_* \|\partial_x u\|_{L^p}^{1-\theta} \|u\|_{L^1}^{\theta}$ with $\theta = 1/(2 - 1/p)$.

Let us introduce a closed convex subset $S_R$ of $X$ by

$$S_R := \{u \in X; \|u\|_X \leq R\},$$

(6.9)

where $R > 0$ is a parameter which will be determined later. We wish to show that for a suitably chosen $R$, $\Phi$ becomes a contraction mapping of $S_R$. To this end, we prepare the following:
Lemma 6.1 (i) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and assume that $u_0 \in W^{1,p} \cap L^1$ and $u_1 \in L^p \cap L^1$. Then we have
\[
\|\Phi_0\|_X \leq C_0 E_0 \tag{6.10}
\]
for some positive constant $C_0$, where $E_0$ is given in Theorem 2.1.

(ii) Let $u, v \in X$. For any given positive number $M$, we suppose that $\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}, \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M$ for $t \geq 0$. Then we have
\[
\|\Phi[u] - \Phi[v]\|_X \leq C_1 (\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X, \tag{6.11}
\]
where $C_1 = C_1(M)$ is a positive constant depending on $M$.

Proof. We obtain the proof of (i) by using Corollary 3.3, and omit here. Let us show (ii).

It follows from (6.3) that
\[
\Phi[u](t) - \Phi[v](t) = -\int_0^t \partial_x G(t-s) * (g(u) - g(v))(s) \, ds. \tag{6.12}
\]
Here we claim that
\[
\|g(u) - g(v)\|_{L^p} \leq C (\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{L^\infty}) \|u - v\|_{L^1},
\]
\[
\|\partial_x (g(u) - g(v))\|_{L^p} \leq C \left( (\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{L^\infty}) \|\partial_x (u - v)\|_{L^p}
+ (\|\partial_x u\|_{L^p} + \|\partial_x v\|_{L^p}) \|u - v\|_{L^\infty} \right),
\tag{6.13}
\]
provided that $\|u\|_{L^\infty}, \|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq M$, where $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, and $C = C(M)$ denotes a constant depending on $M$. This follows from the fact that $g(u) = O(u^2)$ and hence $g(u) - g(v) = a(u, v)(u-v)$ with a function $a(u, v) = O(|u| + |v|)$. Consequently, we have in terms of $\| \cdot \|_X$ and $\| \cdot \|_Y$ that
\[
\|(g(u) - g(v))(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C (\|u\|_Y + \|v\|_Y) \|u - v\|_Y (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}},
\]
\[
\|\partial_x (g(u) - g(v))(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C (\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X) \|u - v\|_X (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}},
\tag{6.14}
\]
where $C = C(M)$. Now, we take the $L^1$ norm of (6.12) and apply (3.14) with $l = 1, p = q = 1$. Then, using the first estimate in (6.14), we have
\[
\|(\Phi[u] - \Phi[v])(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x G(t-s) * (g(u) - g(v))(s)\|_{L^1} \, ds
\]
\[
\leq C(M) \|u, v\|_Y \int_0^t (1 + t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \, ds \leq C(M) \|u, v\|_Y,
\tag{6.15}
\]
where we wrote $\|u, v\|_Y := (\|u\|_Y + \|v\|_Y) \|u - v\|_Y$. Next, we want to estimate the derivative of (6.12). To this end, we decompose the integral on the right hand side of (6.12) into two pars and write $\Phi[u] - \Phi[v] = \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$, where $\Psi_1$ and $\Psi_2$ are corresponding to the integrations over $[0, t/2]$ and $[t/2, t]$, respectively. For the term $\partial_x \Psi_1$, we apply (3.14) with
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$l = 2, q = 1$ and then make use of (6.14). Then, writing $|[u, v]|_X := (\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X)\|u - v\|_X$, we obtain

$$
\|\partial_x \Psi_1(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \int_0^{t/2} \|\partial_x^2 G(t - s) \ast (g(u) - g(v))(s)\|_{L^p} ds
\leq C(M)|[u, v]|_X(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}},
$$

Similarly, for the term $\partial_x I_2$, we apply (3.14) with $l = 1, q = p$ and then use (6.14). This yields

$$
\|\partial_x \Psi_2(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \int_{t/2}^t \|\partial_x G(t - s) \ast \partial_x (g(u) - g(v))(s)\|_{L^p} ds
\leq C(M)|[u, v]|_X(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Thus we have shown that

$$
\|\partial_x (\Phi[u] - \Phi[v])(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(M)|[u, v]|_X(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.16}
$$

The desired estimate (6.11) follows from (6.15) and (6.16), and hence the proof of Lemma 6.1 is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We determine the parameter $R$ by $R := 2C_0 E_0$, where $C_0$ is the positive constant in (6.10). For this choice of $R$, we suppose that $u, v \in S_R$. Then, we have $\|u\|_X \leq R$ and hence $\|u\|_Y \leq C_* \|u\|_X \leq C_* R$ (the same for $v$), where $C_* \geq 1$ is the constant appeared in the previous Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Therefore, we have from (6.11) that

$$
\|\Phi[u] - \Phi[v]\|_X \leq C_1(\|u\|_X + \|v\|_X)\|u - v\|_X \leq 2C_1 R\|u - v\|_X = 4C_0 C_2(E_0)E_0\|u - v\|_X,
$$

where the constant $C_1 = C_1(M)$ in (6.11) is evaluated at $M = C_* R = 2C_* C_0 E_0$ and is denoted by $C_2(E_0)$. Consequently, we have

$$
\|\Phi[u] - \Phi[v]\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\|_X, \tag{6.17}
$$

provided that $E_0$ is so small that $4C_0 C_2(E_0)E_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, letting $v = 0$ in (6.17), we have

$$
\|\Phi[u] - \Phi[0]\|_X \leq R/2.
$$

Therefore, noting that $\Phi[0] = \Phi_0$, and using (6.10), we obtain

$$
\|\Phi[u]\|_X \leq \|\Phi_0\|_X + \|\Phi[u] - \Phi[0]\|_X \leq C_0 E_0 + R/2 = R \tag{6.18}
$$

Thus we have shown by (6.17) and (6.18) that $\Phi$ is a contraction mapping of $S_R$, provided that $4C_0 C_2(E_0)E_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence we can conclude that the mapping $\Phi$ admits a unique fixed point $u$ in $S_R$, namely, we have $u = \Phi[u]$. This fixed point $u$ verifies the estimate (2.1) and is the desired global solution to the problem (1.2), (1.3). Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. \qed
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7 Asymptotic behavior

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2.3 concerning the asymptotic profile of the solution to the problem (1.2), (1.3).

We denote by $W(t, x)$ be the nonlinear diffusion wave defined by (2.4) with $M = \int (u_0 + u_1)(x)dx$ and put $w(t, x) = W(t + 1, x)$. Then this $w(t, x)$ solves (2.5) and hence the integral equation

$$w(t) = G_0(t) * w_0 - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^t G_0(t-s) * (w^2)_x(s)ds. \tag{7.1}$$

Here $G_0(t, x)$ is the fundamental solution to the linearized equation of (2.5) and is given by (3.9), and $w_0(x) := W(1, x)$ is a rapidly decreasing function satisfying $\int w_0(x)dx = M = \int (u_0 + u_1)(x)dx$ and

$$||w_0||_{W^{1, r}} + ||w_0||_{L_1^{1}} \leq C|M| \leq C||u_0 + u_1||_{L^1} \leq CE_0. \tag{7.2}$$

Let $u(t, x)$ be the global solution to the problem (1.2), (1.3) which was constructed in Theorem 2.1 as a solution to the integral equation (6.2). In order to study the difference $u(t, x) - w(t, x)$, we subtract (7.1) from (6.2), obtaining

$$(u-w)(t) = (G-G_0)(t)*(u_0 + u_1) + G_0(t)*(u_0 + u_1 - w_0)$$

$$+ H(t) * u_0 - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^t (G_0(t-s) * (u^2)_x(s)ds$$

$$- \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^t (G-G_0)(t-s) * (u^2)_x(s)ds$$

$$=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6. \tag{7.3}$$

We want to estimate the right hand side of (7.2). To do that, we need the following $L^p-L^q$ estimate for the solution operator $G_0(t)*$.

Lemma 7.1 ([8]) Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, and let $l \geq 0$ be an integer. Then we have

$$||\partial_x^l G_0(t)*\phi||_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{l}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{l}{2}}||\phi||_{L^q}. \tag{7.4}$$

Also, if $\int \phi(x)dx = 0$, then we have

$$||\partial_x^l G_0(t)*\phi||_{L^1} \leq Ct^{-\frac{l}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{l}{2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{l}{2}}||\phi||_{L^1}. \tag{7.5}$$

Here $C$ and $c$ are positive constants.

The proof is given in Iguchi, Kawashima [2], and is omitted here.

Now we estimate (7.3) by introducing the following quantities:

$$M(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1+s)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}||(u-w)(s)||_{L^1}, \quad N(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1+s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})+1-\varepsilon}||\partial_x(u-w)(s)||_{L^p}, \tag{7.6}$$

where $\varepsilon$ is any fixed constant such that $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. The proof consists of three claims below. First, we show the following $L^1$ estimate:
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Claim 7.2 There is a positive constant $\delta_1(\varepsilon)$ depending on $\varepsilon$ such that if $E_0 \leq \delta_1(\varepsilon)$, then we have

$$
\|(u - v)(t)\|_{L^1} \leq CE_1(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}.
$$

(7.7)

It suffices to estimate each term on the right hand side of (7.3). For the term $I_1$, we have from (3.16) that

$$
\|I_1\|_{L^1} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0 + u_1\|_{L^1} \leq CE_0(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Also, since $\int (u_0 + u_1 - w_0)(x)dx = 0$, we have from (7.5) that

$$
\|I_2\|_{L^1} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0 + u_1 - w_0\|_{L^1} \leq CE_1(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where we used (7.2). For $I_3$, we apply (3.15) to obtain

$$
\|I_3\|_{L^1} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L^1} \leq CE_0(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Next, we estimate $I_4$ by applying (3.14) with $l = 1, p = q = 1$ as

$$
\|I_4\|_{L^1} \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x G(t - s) * (g(u) - \beta u^2/2)(s)\|_{L^1} ds \leq CE_0^3(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \log(2 + t),
$$

where we have used the fact that $g(u) - \beta u^2/2 = O(|u|^3)$ and the estimate (2.1). The term $I_5$ can be estimated similarly. In fact, we have from (3.16) with $l = 1, p = q = 1$ that

$$
\|I_5\|_{L^1} \leq C \int_0^t \|\partial_x (G - G_0)(t - s) * (u^2)(s)\|_{L^1} ds \leq CE_0^2(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(2 + t),
$$

where we used (2.1). (A more delicate computation can give the present estimate without the factor $\log(2 + t)$ but we omit it.) Finally, we estimate $I_6$ by applying (7.4) with $l = 1, p = q = 1$ as

$$
\|I_6\|_{L^1} \leq C \int_0^t \|\partial_x G_0(t - s) * (u^2 - w^2)(s)\|_{L^1} ds \leq C(\varepsilon)E_0 M(t)(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}
$$

for some constant $C(\varepsilon)$ depending on $\varepsilon$. Here we have used the inequality $\|u^2 - w^2\|_{L^1} \leq \|u + w\|_{L^\infty}\|u - w\|_{L^1}$ together with the estimates (2.1) and (2.7) and the definition of $M(t)$ in (7.6). Summarizing all these estimates, we arrive at

$$
\|(u - w)(t)\|_{L^1} \leq CE_1(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} + CE_0^3(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(2 + t) + C(\varepsilon)E_0 M(t)(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}.
$$

(7.8)

Since $\log(2 + t) \leq C(\varepsilon)(1 + t)^\varepsilon$, this yields the inequality $M(t) \leq CE_1 + C(\varepsilon)E_0^3 + C(\varepsilon)E_0 M(t)$, from which follows the desired estimate $M(t) \leq CE_1$ if $E_0$ is so small that $C(\varepsilon)E_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus we have shown the $L^1$ estimate (7.7).

Second, we derive the following $L^\infty$ estimate:

Claim 7.3 We have

$$
\|(u - v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq CE_1(1 + t)^{-1 + \varepsilon},
$$

(7.9)

provided that $E_0 \leq \delta_2(\varepsilon)$ with a suitably small $\delta_2(\varepsilon)$. 
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For the term $I_1$, we apply (3.16) with $p = \infty$, $q = 1$ and obtain

$$
\|I_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0 + u_1\|_{L^1} \leq CE_0 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Also, for $I_2$, we apply (7.5) to obtain

$$
\|I_2\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0 + u_1 - w_0\|_{L^1} \leq CE_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Similarly, applying (3.15) with $p = \infty$, $q = 1$, we have

$$
\|I_3\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + t)^{-1}\|u_0\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-ct}\|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq CE_0(1 + t)^{-1}.
$$

Next, we estimate $I_4$ by applying (3.14) with $l = 1$, $p = \infty$, $q = 1$ as

$$
\|I_4\|_{L^\infty} \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x G(t - s) \ast (g(u) - \beta u^2/2)(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \leq C E_0^2 (1 + t)^{-1} \log(2 + t),
$$

where we used (2.1). Similarly, we estimate $I_5$ by applying (3.16) with $l = 0$, $p = \infty$, $q = 1$. We obtain

$$
\|I_5\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \int_0^t \|\partial_x (u^2 - w^2)(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \leq CE_0^2 (1 + t)^{-1} \log(2 + t),
$$

where we have used the inequality $\|\partial_x (u^2)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|u\|_{L^r}\|\partial_x u\|_{L^p}$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ and the estimate (2.1). Finally, we estimate $I_6$. We apply (7.4) with $l = 1$, $p = \infty$, $q = 1$ and then with $l = 1$, $p = q = \infty$. A combination of the resulting two estimates gives

$$
\|I_6\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \int_0^t \|\partial_x G_0(t - s) \ast (u^2 - w^2)(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \leq C(\varepsilon) E_0 E_1 (1 + t)^{-1 + \varepsilon}
$$

for some constant $C(\varepsilon)$ depending on $\varepsilon$. Here we have used the inequalities $\|u^2 - w^2\|_{L^1} \leq \|u + w\|_{L^\infty}\|u - w\|_{L^1}$ and $\|u^2 - w^2\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|w\|_{L^\infty}^2$ and the estimates (2.1), (2.7) and (7.7). Since $\log(2 + t) \leq C(\varepsilon)(1 + t)^{\varepsilon}$, these observations show that

$$
\|(u - w)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq CE_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C(\varepsilon) E_0 E_1 (1 + t)^{-1 + \varepsilon}. \tag{7.10}
$$

Therefore, assuming that $C(\varepsilon) E_0 \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\|(u - w)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq CE_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C(\varepsilon) E_0 E_1 (1 + t)^{-1 + \varepsilon}
$$

This combined with (2.1) and (2.7) gives the desired estimate (7.9).

It remains to prove the following estimate for the derivative:

Claim 7.4 We have

$$
\|\partial_x (u - v)(t)\|_{L^p} \leq CE_1 (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{p}) - 1 + \varepsilon}, \tag{7.11}
$$

provided that $E_0 \leq \delta_3(\varepsilon)$ with a suitably small $\delta_3(\varepsilon)$.
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In the following we put \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \). Notice that \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} \) for \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). For the term \( \partial_{x}I_{1} \), we apply (3.16) with \( l = 1 \), \( q = 1 \) and then with \( l = 1 \), \( q = p \), and combine them to obtain

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{1}||_{L^{p}} \leq Ct^{-1/2} (1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1/2} (||u_{0} + u_{1}||_{L^{1}} + ||u_{0} + u_{1}||_{L^{p}}) \leq CE_{0} t^{-1/2} (1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1/2}.
\]

Also, for \( \partial_{x}I_{2} \), we apply (7.5) with \( l = 1 \) and then (7.4) with \( l = 1 \), \( q = p \). A combination of the resulting two estimates gives

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{2}||_{L^{p}} \leq Ct^{-1/2} (1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1/2} (||u_{0} + u_{1} - w_{0}||_{L^{1}} + ||u_{0} + u_{1} - w_{0}||_{L^{p}}) \leq CE_{1} t^{-1/2} (1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1/2}.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{3}||_{L^{p}} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1} ||u_{0}||_{L^{1}} + Ce^{-c\ell} ||u_{0}||_{W^{1,p}} \leq CE_{0}(1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1}.
\]

Next, we want to estimate the derivatives \( \partial_{x}I_{j}, j = 4, 5, 6 \). To this end, we decompose each integral \( I_{j} \) into two parts and write \( I_{j} = I_{j,1} + I_{j,2} \), where \( I_{j,1} \) and \( I_{j,2} \) are corresponding to the integrations over \([0, t/2]\) and \([t/2, t]\), respectively. Now, for the term \( \partial_{x}I_{4,1} \), we apply (3.14) with \( l = 2 \), \( q = 1 \), obtaining

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{4,1}||_{L^{p}} \leq \int_{0}^{t/2} ||\partial_{x}^{2}G(t-s) \ast (g(u) - \beta u^{2}/2)(s)||_{L^{p}} ds \leq CE_{0}^{3}(1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1} \log(2 + t),
\]

where we have used the estimates \( ||(g(u) - \beta u^{2}/2)(s)||_{L^{1}} \leq CE_{0}^{3}(1 + s)^{-1} \) and \( ||\partial_{x}^{2}(g(u) - \beta u^{2}/2)(s)||_{L^{p}} \leq CE_{0}^{3}(1 + s)^{-\gamma - 1/2} \) \((l = 0, 1)\) which follow from (2.1). Also, applying (3.14) with \( l = 1 \), \( q = p \), we have

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{4,2}||_{L^{p}} \leq \int_{t/2}^{t} ||\partial_{x}G(t-s) \ast \partial_{x}(g(u) - \beta u^{2}/2)(s)||_{L^{p}} ds \leq CE_{0}^{3}(1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1}.
\]

On the other hand, for the term \( \partial_{x}I_{5,1} \), we apply (3.16) with \( l = 2 \), \( q = 1 \) and then with \( l = 1 \), \( q = 1 \), and combine them to obtain

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{5,1}||_{L^{p}} \leq C \int_{0}^{t/2} ||\partial_{x}^{2}(G - G_{0})(t-s) \ast (u^{2})(s)||_{L^{p}} ds \leq CE_{0}^{3}(1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1},
\]

where we have used the estimates \( ||(u^{2})(s)||_{L^{1}} \leq CE_{0}^{2}(1 + t)^{-1/2} \) and \( ||\partial_{x}^{2}(u^{2})(s)||_{L^{p}} \leq CE_{0}^{2}(1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1/2} \) \((l = 0, 1)\). Also, applying (3.16) with \( l = 1 \), \( q = p \), we have

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{5,2}||_{L^{p}} \leq C \int_{t/2}^{t} ||\partial_{x}(G - G_{0})(t-s) \ast \partial_{x}(u^{2})(s)||_{L^{p}} ds \leq CE_{0}^{3}(1 + t)^{-\gamma - 1} \log(2 + t).
\]

Finally, we consider \( \partial_{x}I_{6,1} \) and \( \partial_{x}I_{6,2} \). For the term \( \partial_{x}I_{6,1} \), we apply (7.4) with \( l = 2 \), \( q = 1 \) and then with \( l = 1 \), \( q = p \), and combine them to obtain

\[
||\partial_{x}I_{6,1}||_{L^{p}} \leq C \int_{0}^{t/2} ||\partial_{x}^{2}G_{0}(t-s) \ast (u^{2} - w^{2})(s)||_{L^{p}} ds
\]

\[
\leq C \int_{0}^{t/2} (t-s)^{-1/2} (1 + t-s)^{-\gamma - 1/2} \(||(u^{2} - w^{2})(s)||_{L^{1}} + ||\partial_{x}(u^{2} - w^{2})(s)||_{L^{p}}\) ds.
\]
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Here we observe that \( ||u^2 - w^2||_{L^1} \leq ||u + w||_{L^\infty} ||u - w||_{L^1} \) and \( ||\partial_x(u^2 - w^2)||_{L^p} \leq ||\partial_x(u^2)||_{L^p} + ||\partial_x(w^2)||_{L^p} \). Therefore, making use of (2.1), (2.7) and (7.7), we obtain

\[
||\partial_x I_{6,1}||_{L^p} \leq C E_0 E_1 \int_0^{t/2} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-1+\epsilon} ds \leq C(\epsilon) E_0 E_1 (1+t)^{-\gamma-1+\epsilon}
\]

for a constant \( C(\epsilon) \) depending \( \epsilon \).

Also, applying (7.4) with \( l = 1, q = p \), we have

\[
||\partial_x I_{6,2}||_{L^p} \leq C \int_{t/2}^{t} ||\partial_x G_0(t-s) * \partial_x(u^2-w^2)(s)||_{L^p} ds \leq C \int_{t/2}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||\partial_x(u^2-w^2)(s)||_{L^p} ds.
\]

We know from (2.1) and the definition of \( N(t) \) in (7.6) that the first term here is bounded by \( CE_0 N(t)s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-\gamma-1+\epsilon} \). Also, using (2.1) and (7.9), we can majorize the second term by \( CE_0 E_1 (1+s)^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \). Consequently, we obtain

\[
||\partial_x I_{6,2}||_{L^p} \leq C(E_0 N(t) + E_0 E_1) \int_{t/2}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-\gamma-1+\epsilon} ds \leq C(E_0 N(t) + E_0 E_1) (1+t)^{-\gamma-1+\epsilon}
\]

We can summarize all the above computations as

\[
||\partial_x(u - w)(t)||_{L^p} \leq CE_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t)^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} + C(\epsilon) E_0 E_1 (1+t)^{-\gamma-1+\epsilon} + CE_0 N(t)(1+t)^{-\gamma+\epsilon}.
\]

This yields \( N(t) \leq CE_1 + C(\epsilon) E_0 E_1 + CE_0 N(t) \), from which we can deduce the desired estimate \( N(t) \leq CE_1 \) for suitably small \( E_0 \), say, \( E_0 \leq \delta(\epsilon) \). Thus we obtain

\[
||\partial_x(u - w)(t)||_{L^p} \leq CE_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t)^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.
\]

which together with (2.1) and (2.7) yields the desired estimate (7.11). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. \( \square \)
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