

Moduli space of polynomial maps

Toshi Sugiyama

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

In the study of the dynamics of a polynomial map f , the eigenvalues of the fixed points of f play a very important role to characterize the original map f . In this paper, we shall study how many affine conjugacy classes of polynomial maps are there when the eigenvalues of their fixed points are specified.

For a natural number d with $d \geq 2$, we denote the moduli space of polynomial maps of degree d by

$$\tilde{P}_d := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z] \mid \deg f = d\} / \sim,$$

where \sim denotes the affine conjugacy of polynomial maps, i.e., for $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, $f \sim g$ holds if and only if there exists an affine transformation $\gamma(z) = az + b$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$) such that $f = \gamma \circ g \circ \gamma^{-1}$. We put

$$\text{Fix}(f) := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid f(\zeta) = \zeta\}$$

for $f \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, where $\text{Fix}(f)$ is considered counted with multiplicity. Hence we always have $\#(\text{Fix}(f)) = \deg f$.

Proposition 1 (Fixed point theorem). *Let d be a natural number with $d \geq 2$ and suppose that a polynomial map $f \in P_d$ has no multiple fixed point. Then we have the equality*

$$\sum_{\zeta \in \text{Fix}(f)} \frac{1}{1 - f'(\zeta)} = 0.$$

We define the parameter spaces

$$\Lambda_d := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \sum_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \neq i} (1 - \lambda_j) = 0 \right\}$$

and $\tilde{\Lambda}_d := \Lambda_d / \mathfrak{S}_d$, and denote by pr the projection map $pr : \Lambda_d \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_d$. We can define the map $\Phi_d : \tilde{P}_d \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_d$ by

$$f \mapsto (f'(\zeta))_{\zeta \in \text{Fix}(f)}.$$

The aim of this paper is to analyze the structure of the map Φ_d .

Theorem 2. *In the case $d = 2$ or 3 , the map Φ_d is bijective.*

This theorem is well-known and easy to prove. By this theorem, polynomial maps $f \in \tilde{P}_d$ are completely parameterized by their fixed-point eigenvalues in the case $d = 2$ or 3 . Historically, making use of this parameterization, John Milnor [2] started to study complex dynamics in the case of cubic polynomials.

In the main theorems of this paper, we investigate the map Φ_d for $d \geq 4$ in detail on the domain where polynomial maps have no multiple fixed points. We prepare two more symbols:

$$V_d := \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \Lambda_d \mid \lambda_i \neq 1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq d\},$$

$$\tilde{V}_d := V_d / \mathfrak{S}_d.$$

We denote by $\bar{\lambda}$ the equivalent class of $\lambda \in \Lambda_d$ in $\tilde{\Lambda}_d$.

Main Theorem 1. *Let d be a natural number with $d \geq 4$, and suppose that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is an element of V_d . Then*

1. *we always have the inequalities $0 \leq \#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})) \leq (d-2)!$.*
2. *The cardinality $\#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}))$ is computed in finite steps from the two combinatorial data*

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) := \left\{ I \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \mid \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i} = 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda) := \{K \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \mid i, j \in K \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \lambda_j\}.$$

3. *If $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\lambda')$ and $\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\lambda')$ for $\lambda, \lambda' \in V_d$, then we have $\#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})) \geq \#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}'))$.*
4. *The equality $\#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})) = (d-2)!$ holds if and only if $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \emptyset$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are mutually distinct.*
5. *If there exist $c_1, \dots, c_d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^d |c_i| \leq 2(d-2)$ and $\frac{1}{1-\lambda_1} : \dots : \frac{1}{1-\lambda_d} = c_1 : \dots : c_d$, then we have $\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}) = \emptyset$.*
6. *In the case $d \leq 7$, the converse of the assertion 5 holds.*

We are recently informed that Masayo Fujimura [1] also has studied the similar theme as Main Theorem 1 independently. She completely studied the map Φ_d for $d = 4$, and showed that Φ_d is not surjective for $d \geq 4$.

The local fiber structure of the map Φ_d is also determined by the combinatorial data $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$.

Main Theorem 2.

1. For any $\lambda, \lambda' \in V_d$ with $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \mathcal{I}(\lambda')$ and $\mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda')$, there exist open neighborhoods $\tilde{U} \ni \bar{\lambda}$, $\tilde{U}' \ni \bar{\lambda}'$ in \tilde{V}_d and biholomorphic maps $\mathfrak{L} : \Phi_d^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \rightarrow \Phi_d^{-1}(\tilde{U}')$, $\tilde{L} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U}'$ and $L : U \rightarrow U'$ such that the following conditions (1a) and (1b) are satisfied, where U, U' are the connected components of $pr^{-1}(U)$, $pr^{-1}(U')$ containing λ, λ' respectively.

(a) The equalities $\Phi_d \circ \mathfrak{L} = \tilde{L} \circ \Phi_d$ and $pr \circ L = \tilde{L} \circ pr$ hold.

(b) For any $\lambda'' \in U$, the equalities $\mathcal{I}(\lambda'') = \mathcal{I}(L(\lambda''))$ and $\mathcal{K}(\lambda'') = \mathcal{K}(L(\lambda''))$ hold.

2. For each combinatorial data $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K} \subseteq \{I \mid I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}\}$, we define the parameter subspaces

$$V(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K}) := \left\{ \bar{\lambda} \in \tilde{V}_d \mid \lambda \in V_d, \mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \mathcal{I} \text{ and } \mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K} \right\},$$

$$V(\mathcal{I}, *) := \left\{ \bar{\lambda} \in \tilde{V}_d \mid \lambda \in V_d, \mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \mathcal{I} \right\}$$

and

$$V(*, \mathcal{K}) := \left\{ \bar{\lambda} \in \tilde{V}_d \mid \lambda \in V_d, \mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K} \right\}.$$

Then for any $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K} \subseteq \{I \mid I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}\}$ we have the following:

(a) the map $\Phi_d|_{\Phi_d^{-1}(V(\mathcal{I},*))} : \Phi_d^{-1}(V(\mathcal{I},*)) \rightarrow V(\mathcal{I},*)$ is proper.

(b) The map $\Phi_d|_{\Phi_d^{-1}(V(*, \mathcal{K}))} : \Phi_d^{-1}(V(*, \mathcal{K})) \rightarrow V(*, \mathcal{K})$ is locally homeomorphic.

(c) For each connected component X of $\Phi_d^{-1}(V(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K}))$, the map $\Phi_d|_X : X \rightarrow V(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{K})$ is an unbranched covering.

To state the computation of $\#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}))$ explicitly, we prepare the definition.

Definition 3. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ be an element of V_d . Then

• we define the set

$$\mathfrak{J}(\lambda) := \left\{ \{I_1, \dots, I_l\} \left| \begin{array}{l} I_1 \amalg \dots \amalg I_l = \{1, \dots, d\} \\ \sum_{i \in I_u} \frac{1}{1-\lambda_i} = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq u \leq l \\ I_u \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } 1 \leq u \leq l \\ l \geq 2 \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $I_1 \amalg \dots \amalg I_l$ denotes the disjoint union of I_1, \dots, I_l . Note that $\mathfrak{J}(\lambda)$ is completely determined by $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$. The partial order \prec in $\mathfrak{J}(\lambda)$ is defined by the refinement of sets.

- We denote by K_1, \dots, K_q the collection of maximal elements of $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$. Note that the equality $K_1 \amalg \dots \amalg K_q = \{1, \dots, d\}$ holds. We put $\kappa_w := \#(K_w)$ for $1 \leq w \leq q$ and denote by g_w the greatest common divisor of $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{w-1}, \kappa_w - 1, \kappa_{w+1}, \dots, \kappa_q$ for $1 \leq w \leq q$.
- We put $\beta(\lambda_i) := \frac{1}{1-\lambda_i}$ for $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.
- We may assume λ to be in the form

$$\lambda = (\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{\kappa_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_q, \dots, \lambda_q}_{\kappa_q}),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q$ are mutually distinct. For each $1 \leq w \leq q$ and for each divisor t of g_w with $t \geq 2$, we put $d(t) := \frac{d-1}{t} + 1$ and denote by $\lambda(t)$ the element of $V_{d(t)}$ such that

$$\lambda(t) := (\underbrace{\beta^{-1}(t\beta(\lambda_1)), \dots, \beta^{-1}(t\beta(\lambda_1))}_{\frac{\kappa_1}{t}}, \dots, \underbrace{\beta^{-1}(t\beta(\lambda_w)), \dots, \beta^{-1}(t\beta(\lambda_w))}_{\frac{(\kappa_w)-1}{t}}, \dots, \underbrace{\beta^{-1}(t\beta(\lambda_q)), \dots, \beta^{-1}(t\beta(\lambda_q))}_{\frac{\kappa_q}{t}}, \lambda_w).$$

Note that $\mathcal{I}(\lambda(t))$ is completely determined by $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ and t .

Main Theorem 3. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ be an element of V_d . Then the cardinality $\#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}))$ is computed in the following steps.*

- For each $\mathbb{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_l\} \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$, we define the number $e_{\mathbb{I}}(\lambda)$ satisfying the equality

$$e_{\mathbb{I}}(\lambda) := \left(\prod_{u=1}^l (\#(I_u) - 1)! \right) - \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{I}' \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda) \\ \mathbb{I}' \succ \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}' \neq \mathbb{I}}} \left(e_{\mathbb{I}'}(\lambda) \cdot \prod_{u=1}^l \left(\prod_{k=\#(I_u)-\chi_u(\mathbb{I}')+1}^{\#(I_u)-1} k \right) \right),$$

where we put $\chi_u(\mathbb{I}') := \#(\{I' \in \mathbb{I}' \mid I' \subseteq I_u\})$ for $\mathbb{I}' \succ \mathbb{I}$.

- We define the number $s_d(\lambda)$ to be

$$s_d(\lambda) := (d-2)! - \sum_{\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)} \left(e_{\mathbb{I}}(\lambda) \cdot \prod_{k=d-\#(\mathbb{I})+1}^{d-2} k \right).$$

- For each $1 \leq w \leq q$ and for each divisor t of g_w with $t \geq 2$, we define the number $c_t(\lambda)$ satisfying the equality

$$\sum_{t|b, b|g_w} \frac{t}{b} c_b(\lambda) = \frac{s_{d(t)}(\lambda(t))}{\left(\frac{\kappa_1}{t}\right)! \cdots \left(\frac{\kappa_{(w-1)}}{t}\right)! \left(\frac{\kappa_w-1}{t}\right)! \left(\frac{\kappa_{(w+1)}}{t}\right)! \cdots \left(\frac{\kappa_q}{t}\right)!},$$

where $t|b$ denotes that t divides b . Moreover we define the number $c_1(\lambda)$ satisfying the equality

$$c_1(\lambda) + \sum_{w=1}^q \left(\sum_{t|g_w, t \geq 2} \frac{1}{t} c_t(\lambda) \right) = \frac{s_d(\lambda)}{\kappa_1! \cdots \kappa_q!}.$$

- Then the numbers $e_1(\lambda)$, $s_d(\lambda)$ and $c_t(\lambda)$ are non-negative integers. Moreover we have

$$\#(\Phi_d^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})) = \sum_t c_t(\lambda) = c_1(\lambda) + \sum_{w=1}^q \left(\sum_{t|g_w, t \geq 2} c_t(\lambda) \right).$$

References

- [1] Fujimura, Masayo. Projective moduli space for the polynomials. To appear in *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems*
- [2] Milnor, John. Remarks on iterated cubic maps. *Experiment. Math.* 1 (1992), no. 1, 5–24.