## Generic quotient varieties

## Акініко Gyoja

**Introduction.** The purpose of this note is to review [R1, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 0.1.** Let k be an algebraically closed field, X an irreducible algebraic variety over k, and G an algebraic group acting on X. Then there exists an open dense  $X_0 \subset X$ , a variety  $W_0$ , and a morphism  $\phi: X_0 \to W_0$  such that

- (1)  $GX_0 = X_0$ ,
- (2) every fibre of  $\phi$  is precisely a single G-orbit,
- (3)  $\phi: X_0 \to W_0$  is smooth,
- (4)  $X_0$  and  $W_0$  are non-singular,

(5) 
$$\phi^*: k(W_0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} k(X_0)^G (= k(X)^G)$$
, and

$$(6) \ \phi^* : k[W_0] \xrightarrow{\simeq} k[X_0]^G.$$

(Cf. (0.4) for notation.) In particular,  $\phi: X_0 \to W_0$  is a geometric quotient in the sense of D.Mumford [Mu, p.4].

This theorem can be used in the theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces as follows. Put

$$m := \max \{ \dim Gx \mid x \in X \}.$$

Then this maximum is attained by x's belonging to a dense subset of X. From the above theorem, we get the following results concerning m.

Corollary 0.2. Let notation be as in (0.1). Then  $\dim X - m = \dim W_0 = \operatorname{tr.deg}_k k(X)^G$ .

Corollary 0.3. Let notation be as in (0.1). The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) X has an open dense G-orbit.
- (2) tr.  $\deg_k k(X)^G = 0$ .
- $(3) k(X)^G = k.$
- **0.4. Convention and Notation.** We fix an algebraically closed field k, and we always assume that an algebraic variety is defined over k unless otherwise stated. We identify a (k-)variety, say X, with the set of its rational points X(k). We denote by k[X] (resp. k(X)) the ring of regular functions (resp. the filed of rational functions if X is irreducible) on X. For a group  $\Gamma$  acting on a set A,  $A^{\Gamma} := \{a \in A \mid \gamma a = a \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ .

§1.

- 1.1. Flatness. The concept of 'flatness' plays an important role in the algebraic geometry [EGA]. A concise account can be found in [Mi, Chapter 1]. We recall two lemmas from [EGA].
- **Lemma 1.2.** [EGA, (IV, 6.9.1)]. Let Y be a locally noetherian (I, 2.7.1), integral scheme (I, 2.1.8), and  $u: X \to Y$  a morphism of finite type (I, 6.3.2). Then there exists an open dense  $U \subset Y$  such that  $u: u^{-1}(U) \to U$  is flat.
- **Lemma 1.3.** [EGA, (IV, 2.4.6)]. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a flat morphism of locally of finite presentation (I, 6.2.1). Then f is universally open (IV, 2.4.2).
  - 1.4. Hilbert scheme. [Mu, pp.21 22]. It is known that there exist
- (1) a locally noetherian  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme  $Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}}$  whose connected components are projective over  $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and
  - (2) a closed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subscheme  $W_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \times Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}}$  flat over  $Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ .

such that for

- (3) any locally noetherian  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme  $S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and
- (4) any closed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme  $Z_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \times S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , flat over  $S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , there is a unique morphism  $f_{\mathbb{Z}} : S_{\mathbb{Z}} \to Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}}$  such that  $Z_{\mathbb{Z}} = (1_{\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}} \times f_{\mathbb{Z}})^*(W_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .
- **1.5.** If we put  $Hilb_{\mathbb{P}_k^n} := Hilb_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \operatorname{Spec}(k)$  and  $W_k := W_{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ , we may replace  $\mathbb{Z}$  with k everywhere in (1.3).

§**2**.

We start this section with the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a morphism between algebraic varieties, and  $Z \subset X$  a constructible subset (i.e., a finite disjoint union of locally closed subsets with repsect to the Zariski topology.) We further assume that Z is irreducible and  $\overline{f(Z)} = Y$ . Then

(1) 
$$Y_0 := \{ y \in Y \mid \overline{f^{-1}(y) \cap Z} = f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{Z} \}$$

contains an open dense subset of Y. (Let  $Y^{\sharp}$  denote the largest open subset of Y contained in  $Y_0$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $Z_0$  be the largest subset of Z which is open in  $\overline{Z}$ . Since Z is constructible,  $Z_0$  is open dense in  $\overline{Z}$ . Let  $\{Z_i\}_{i\in I}$  (resp.  $\{Z'_j\}_{j\in J}$ ) be all the irreducible components of  $\overline{Z}\setminus Z_0$  such that  $\overline{f(Z_i)}=Y$  (resp.  $\overline{f(Z_i)}\nsubseteq Y$ ). Let  $Y_1$  be the totality of  $y\in Y$  such that

(3) 
$$f^{-1}(y) \cap Z'_j = \phi \quad \text{for all } j \in J.$$

Then  $Y_1$  is a constructible dense subset of Y. (The condition (2) gives an open subset, while (3) gives a constructible one in general.) For  $y \in Y_1$ , we have

$$(4) f^{-1}(y) \cap Z \subset \overline{f^{-1}(y) \cap Z} \subset f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{Z} = \bigcup_{i \in I \sqcup \{0\}} (f^{-1}(y) \cap Z_i).$$

by (3). Especially,

$$\dim(f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{Z}) = \max_{i \in I \sqcup \{0\}} \dim(f^{-1}(y) \cap Z_i)$$
$$= \max_{i \in I \sqcup \{0\}} (\dim Z_i - \dim Y) \text{ by } (2)$$
$$= \dim Z_0 - \dim Y = \dim \overline{Z} - \dim Y.$$

(Indeed, dim  $Z_i < \dim Z_0$  for all  $i \in I$ .) In other words, the fibres of  $f : \overline{Z} \to Y$  attain the minimum dimension at  $y \in Y_1$ . Hence all the irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{Z}$  are of the same dimension dim  $\overline{Z} - \dim Y$ . (See [**EGA**, (IV, 13.2)] for the related generality.) Since

$$\dim(f^{-1}(y) \cap Z_i) = \dim Z_i - \dim Y < \dim \overline{Z} - \dim Y$$

for  $i \in I$ ,  $f^{-1}(y) \cap Z_i$   $(i \in I)$  are nowhere dense in  $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{Z}$ , and consequently (4) yields that  $f^{-1}(y) \cap Z_0$   $(\subset f^{-1}(y) \cap Z)$  is dense in  $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{Z}$ . Hence  $\overline{f^{-1}(y) \cap Z} = f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{Z}$ , i.e.,  $Y_1 \subset Y_0$ . Since  $Y_1$  is constructible and dense in Y, we get the desired result.  $\blacksquare$ 

**2.2.** Let k, G, X be as in the introduction, and  $\overline{X}$  an irreducible projective variety containing X as an open dense subset. (Such  $\overline{X}$  exists  $[\mathbf{N}]$ , but possibly the G-action on X can not be extended to  $\overline{X}$ . See  $[\mathbf{S}]$  for equivariant completions.) Let Z be the Zariski closure of  $\{(gx,x) \mid x \in X, g \in G\}$  in  $\overline{X} \times X$ , and  $\pi: Z \to X$  the second projection. Intuitively,  $\pi: \pi^{-1}(X^{\sharp}) \to X^{\sharp}$  is the family of orbit closures  $\overline{Gx}$  in  $\overline{X}$ 

parametrized by  $x \in X^{\sharp}$ , where  $X^{\sharp}$  is defined as in (2.1) using Z and  $\pi : \overline{X} \times X \to X$  in place of Z and  $f : X \to Y$ . Let  $X_0$  be the largest open (dense) subset of  $X^{\sharp}$  such that  $\pi : \pi^{-1}(X_0) \to X_0$  is flat (cf. (1.2)). Then applying (1.5) to  $S = X_0$  and  $Z = \pi^{-1}(X_0)$  ( $\subset \overline{X} \times X_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k \times X_0$  for some n), we get a morphism  $f : X_0 \to Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}$  which makes the following diagram cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi^{-1}(X_0) & \longrightarrow & W \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}
\end{array}$$

Let  $Y_1$  be the largest open subset of  $\overline{f(X_0)}$  such that  $f: f^{-1}(Y_1) \to Y_1$  is flat (cf. (1.2)) and surjective. Put  $X_1 = f^{-1}(Y_1)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** (1) The open dense subset  $X_1 \subset X$  is preserved by G.

- (2) The fibres of  $f: X_1 \to Y_1$  are precisely the G-orbit in  $X_1$ .
- (3)  $f: X_1 \to Y_1$  is universally open.

*Proof.* (1) is obvious. (3) follows from (1.3): For  $x \in X_1$ , let  $i_x : \operatorname{Spec}(k) \to X_1$  be the corresponding geometric point. Then we get cartesian squares

$$\overline{Gx} \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}(X_1) \longrightarrow W$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Spec}(k) \stackrel{i_x}{\longrightarrow} X_1 \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} Hilb_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}$$

Hence for  $x, x' \in X_1$ ,

$$Gx = Gx' \Leftrightarrow \overline{Gx} = \overline{Gx'} \Leftrightarrow f \circ i_x = f \circ i_{x'} \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(x').$$

(To see the first equivalence, note that Gx is the unique G-orbit which is open in  $\overline{Gx} \cap X$ . The second equivalence follows from the uniqueness part in (1.4).)

We need some preliminary from the field theory.

**Lemma 3.1.** If L/K is a finitely generated field extension, and M a field such that  $K \subset M \subset L$ , then M/K is also finitely generated.

*Proof.* Let K' be a purely transcendental extension of K, contained in M, and such that the transcendental degree  $\operatorname{tr.deg}_K K'$  ( $<\operatorname{tr.deg}_K L<+\infty$ ) is maximal among such extensions. Replacing K' with K, we may assume that M/K is an algebraic extension.

Let N be a purely transcendental extension of K, contained in L, and such that  $\operatorname{tr.deg}_K N$  is maximal among such extensions. Then L/N is an algebraic, finitely generated extension, i.e.,  $[L:N]<+\infty$ . On the other hand, M/K is algebraic, N/K is purely transcendental, and hence they are lineary disjoint. Therefore  $[M:K]=[MN:N]\leq [L:N]<+\infty$ .

3.2. Separably generated extension. ([W, p.14]) A finitely generated extension is called a separably generated extension if it is a separably algebraic extension of a purely transcendental extension.

Concerning this concept, we need the following easier half of [W, Chap.1, Prop.19].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let L/K be a finitely generated field extension contained in a fixed algebraically closed field. If  $K^{p^{-1}}$  and L are linearly disjoint over K, then L/K is a separably generated extension.

*Proof.* (An extract from [**W**].) Let  $L = K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , and let us prove the lemma by induction on n. Let  $I := \{ f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \}$ , where  $x_1, \dots x_n$  are indeterminates. If I = 0, then our conclusion holds. If not, let

 $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I \setminus \{0\}$  be a polynomial of minimal degree. Put  $P_i := \partial P/\partial x_i$ . If  $P_1 = \dots = P_n = 0$ , then  $P = Q^p$  with some  $Q \in K^{p^{-1}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $Q \in \{g \in K^{p^{-1}}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\}$ , and, by the sublemma below (with  $K' = K^{p^{-1}}$ ), we can see that the right hand side is  $\{\sum_i \lambda_i g_i \mid \lambda_i \in K^{p^{-1}}, g_i \in I\}$ . This is impossible since  $\deg Q < \deg P$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $P_n \neq 0$ . Since  $\deg P_n < \deg P$ ,  $P_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ . This means that  $a_n$  is separable over  $L' := K(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . Since  $K^{p^{-1}}$  and L' are linearly disjoint, L'/K is separably generated extension by the induction hypothesis. Hence  $L = L'(a_n)$  is separably generated over K.

**Sublemma.** Let L/K and K'/K be field extensions in a fixed algebraically closed field, and assume that L and K' are linearly disjoint over K. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$  and  $g \in K'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and assume  $g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ . Then there exists  $\kappa_i \in K'$  and  $g_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$   $(1 \le i \le N)$  such that  $g_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$  and  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_i \kappa_i g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\kappa_{\ell}\}_{\ell}$  be a K-linear basis of K'. Then g can be uniquely expressed as  $g = \sum_{\ell} \kappa_{\ell} g_{\ell}$  (finite sum) with  $g_{\ell} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Since

- (1)  $0 = g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i} \kappa_i g_i(a_1, \dots, a_n),$
- (2)  $\kappa_i \in K'$  are linearly independent over K,
- (3)  $g_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L$ , and
- (4) L and K' are linearly disjoint over K,

it follows that  $g_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a dominant morphism between irreducible varieties. Then there exists an open dense  $U \subset X$  such that f|U is étale (resp. smooth) [**EGA**, (IV, §17)] if and only if k(X)/k(Y) is a separably algebraic extension (resp. a separably generated extension).

What is necessary for our present purpose is the 'if part' whose proof is an easy exercise. For the 'only if part', see [Mi, Chap.1, §3] and [SGA, exposé II].

We also need the following lemma of M.Rosenlicht [**R2**, p.4,  $\uparrow \ell.8 - p.5, \downarrow \ell.9$ ].

**Lemma 3.5.** Let L be a field, G a group of field automorphisms of L, and  $K = L^G$  the subfield of L consisting of all elements of L left fixed by each automorphism of G. Then L/K is separably generated.

Proof. (An extract from [**R2**].) By (3.3), it suffices to show that  $K^{p^{-1}}$  and L are linearly disjoint over K, i.e., that if we have a relation  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_i \lambda_i^p = 0$ , where  $\kappa_i \in K$ ,  $\lambda_i \in L$  and where not all  $\kappa_i$ 's are 0, then  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are linearly dependent over K. Clearly we may take n > 1. If  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in G$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_i \sigma_j(\lambda_i^p) = 0$   $(j = 1, \dots, n)$ , so  $\det(\sigma_j(\lambda_i^p))_{1 \le i,j \le n} = 0$  and hence  $\det(\sigma_j(\lambda_i))_{1 \le i,j \le n} = 0$ . Let r be the maximal rank that  $(\sigma_j(\lambda_i))_{1 \le i,j \le n}$  can assume for  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in G$ ; then  $1 \le r < n$ . Reorder  $\lambda_i$ 's and choose  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r \in G$  so that  $\det(\sigma_j(\lambda_i))_{1 \le i,j \le r} \ne 0$ . Hold  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  fixed, and let  $\sigma_{r+1} \in G$  be arbitrary. Then  $\det(\sigma_j(\lambda_i))_{1 \le i,j \le r+1} = 0$ , so there exist  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in L$  such that

(1)<sub>j</sub> 
$$\sigma_j(\lambda_{r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i \sigma_j(\lambda_i)$$

for all  $j=1,\dots,r+1$ . Here  $(1)_{r+1}$  is redundant, and  $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_r$  are uniquely determined only by  $(1)_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$ . Therefore these  $\mu_i$ 's are independent of the choice of  $\sigma_{r+1}$ , and hence we have  $\sigma(\lambda_{r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i \sigma(\lambda_i)$  for any  $\sigma \in G$ . If  $\tau \in G$ , we have

$$\sigma(\lambda_{r+1}) = \tau \left(\tau^{-1}\sigma(\lambda_{r+1})\right) = \tau \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i \cdot \tau^{-1}\sigma(\lambda_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^r \tau(\mu_i) \cdot \sigma(\lambda_i).$$

By the uniqueness of  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r$ , we have  $\tau(\mu_i) = \mu_i$ , so each  $\mu_i \in K = L^G$ . Hence any one of  $(1)_j$  yields  $\lambda_{r+1} = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i \lambda_i$  with  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in K$ . Hence  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are linearly dependent over K.

**3.6.** Proof of Theorem 0.1. Now let us return to (2.3). Put  $L = k(X_1)$  and  $K = L^G$ . By (3.1), K/k is finitely generated. Hence there is an irreducible k-variety  $W_1$  such that  $K = k(W_1)$ , and we get a G-equivariant dominant rational morphism  $\phi: X_1 \to W_1$ . (The G-action on  $W_1$  is trivial.) Let  $X_2$  be the locus where  $\phi$  is defined and smooth. Put  $\phi(X_2) =: W_2$ . Then  $W_2 \subset W_1$  is open dense (cf. (3.1) and (3.5)),  $\phi: X_2 \to W_2$  is an open mapping (cf. (1.3)), and  $GX_2 = X_2$ .

By (2.3, (2)),  $k(Y_1) \subset k(X_1)^G = k(W_1) = k(W_2)$ . Hence we get a dominant rational morphism  $\psi: W_2 \to Y_1$ . Take open dense  $W_3 \subset W_2$  and  $Y_3 \subset Y_1$  so that  $\psi: W_3 \to Y_3$  is surjective regular morphism. Put  $X_3 := \phi^{-1}(W_3)$ .

Since  $\phi: X_3 \to W_3$  is G-equivariant, each fibre of  $\phi$  is a union of G-orbits. But each fibre of  $f = \psi \circ \phi$  is precisely a G-orbit. Hence each fibre of  $\phi$  is also precisely a G-orbit.

Hence all the assertions of (2.3) remain valid when  $f: X_1 \to Y_1$  is replaced with  $\phi: X_3 \to W_3$ . Moreover  $\phi: X_3 \to W_3$  is smooth, and  $k(W_3) = k(X_3)^G$ .

Let  $W_4$  be the non-singular locus of  $W_3$ , and put  $X_4 := \phi^{-1}(W_4)$ . Then all the conditions (0.1, (1), (5)) are satisfied, and

$$\phi^* k[W_4] \subset k[X_4]^G \subset k(X_4)^G = \phi^* k(W_4).$$

In order to prove (0.1, (6)), let us assume the contrary, i.e., that there exists  $\alpha \in k[X_4]^G \setminus \phi^* k[W_4]$ . Then  $\alpha = \phi^* \beta$  with som  $\beta \in k(W_4) \setminus k[W_4]$ . Let  $W_4'$  be the locus where  $1/\beta$  is regular. Since  $W_4$  is a normal variety,  $Z := \{w \in W_4' \mid \beta(w)^{-1} = 0\}$  is a non-empty subvariety of a pure codimention one. Take  $x_0 \in X_4$  so that  $\phi(x_0) \in Z$ . Then both  $\phi^* \beta$  and  $1/\phi^* \beta$  are regular on  $\phi^{-1}(W_4)$ , and

$$1 = (\phi^*\beta)(x_0) \cdot (\phi^*\beta)(x_0)^{-1} = (\phi^*\beta)(x_0) \times 0.$$

Thus we get a contradition, and get (0.1, (6)).

**3.7. Remark.** In order to simplify the exposition, we assumed in (0.1) that k is algebraically closed and that X is irreducible, but these assumptions are not essential, and indeed are not assumed in  $[\mathbf{R1}]$ .

## References

- [EGA] A.Grothendieck, Eléments de géométric algébrique, Chapter I., (1970), Springer; Chapters 2-4, Publ. IHES (1961-1967).
- [SGA] A.Grothendieck et al., Séminaire de géométrie algébrique, SGA I., Lecture Notes in Math. **224** (1971), Springer.
  - [Mi] J.S.Milne, Etale cohomology, (1980), Princeton University Press.
  - [Mu] D.Mumford et al., Geometric invariant theory, 3rd ed., (1994), Springer.
    - [N] M.Nagata, Imbedding of an abstract variety in a complete variety, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 2-1 (1961), 1-10.
  - [R1] M.Rosenlicht, Some basic theorems on alchraic groups, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 401–443.
  - [R2] M.Rosenlicht, Automorphisms of function fields, Trans AMS 79 (1955), 1-11.
    - [S] H.Sumihiro, Equivariant completion, I. J.Math. Kyoto Univ. 14 (1974), 1–28;
       II, ibid. 15 (1975), 573–605.
  - [W] A.Weil, Foundations of algebraic geometry, 2nd ed., (1962), Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.