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1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a σ-finite measure space \((X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)\) on which \(G\) acts as a measurable transformation group. We assume that \(\mu\) is \(G\)-quasi-invariant. That is, \(\mu_g\) is equivalent to \(\mu\) \((\mu_g \simeq \mu)\), for all \(g \in G\), where \(\mu_g\) is the image measure of \(\mu\) by the map \(x \mapsto gx\). It follows that a unitary representation \((R_\theta, L^2(\mu \times X))\) of \(G\) is defined as follows,

\[
R_\theta(g) : f(x) \in L^2_\mu(X) \mapsto \theta(x, g)\sqrt{\frac{d\mu_g}{d\mu}}(x)f(g^{-1}x) \in L^2_\mu(X),
\]

where \(\theta\), so called 1-cocycle\(^*\), is a \(S^1\)-valued function on \(G \times X\) such that

(1) for each fixed \(g \in G\), \(\theta(x, g)\) is a measurable function of \(x\), and

(2) for all \(g_1, g_2 \in G\), \(\theta(x, g_1)\theta(g_1^{-1}x, g_2) = \theta(x, g_1g_2)\) for \(\mu\)-a.e.\(x\).

Moreover if a group topology is induced to \(G\) and the following condition (3) is satisfied, we say that \(\theta\) is continuous.

(3) \(\theta(x, g) \rightarrow 1\) in \(\mu\), if \(g \rightarrow e\) in \(\tau\).

A simple example of 1-cocycles is the one described below which is so called 1-coboundary,

\[
\theta(x, g) = \frac{\phi(g^{-1}x)}{\phi(x)},
\]

where \(\phi\) is a \(S^1\)-valued measurable function. In this report we will pick up infinite dimensional one linear space and two groups as \(G\) and will discuss on the 1-cocycles, especially its characterization, connecting with canonical representations defined by (1.1).

\(^*\) The names, 1-cocycle and 1-coboundary, come from group cohomological theory (cf.[10]), which is privately communicated by Y.Yamasaki. Let us explain it briefly. Let \(G\) be a group, \(A\) be an Abelian group and assume that \(G\) acts on \(A\) from the left. Further let \(F_m\) be a set of all maps from \(\prod_{i=1}^m G_i\) to \(A\), where \(G_i\) is the same copy of \(G\) for all \(1 \leq i \leq m\). Put \(\partial_m\) be a map from \(F_m\) to \(F_{m+1}\) such that

\[
(\partial_m \varphi)(g_1, \cdots, g_{m+1}) := \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} (-1)^i \varphi_i(g_1, \cdots, g_{m+1}),
\]

where

\[
\varphi_0(g_1, \cdots, g_{m+1}) := g_1 \varphi(g_2, g_3, \cdots, g_{m+1}) \\
\varphi_1(g_1, \cdots, g_{m+1}) := \varphi(g_1g_2, g_3, \cdots, g_{m+1}) \\
\cdots \\
\varphi_i(g_1, \cdots, g_{m+1}) := \varphi(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_i g_{i+1}, \cdots, g_{m+1}) \\
\cdots \\
\varphi_{m+1}(g_1, \cdots, g_{m+1}) := \varphi(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_m).
\]
Then we have $\partial_{m+1} \circ \partial_{m} = 0$, and $m$th cohomology group $H^m(G, A) := \ker \partial_{m} / \text{Im} \partial_{m-1}$ is defined for $m \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{F}_0 := A$ and $(\partial_{0}a)(g) := ga - a$ for all $a \in A$. An element in $\ker \partial_{m}$, (in $\text{Im} \partial_{m-1}$) is called $m$-cocycle, $(m$-coboundary), respectively. Now let us apply the above general theory to our situation. That is, we take $A$ as the equivalence class of all measurable $S^1$-valued function to modulo $\mu$, and define the action of $G$ on $A$ such that $(gf)(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$ for all $g \in G$ and for all $f \in A$. Then it is easily checked that 1-cocycle (1-coboundary) is just the same with 1-cocycle (1-coboundary) in the cohomological sense.

Acknowledgement. I wish my thanks to Prof. T.Hirai at Kyoto University for introducing me the subject in section 4. I also thank to Prof. H.Omori at Science University of Tokyo for giving me many valuable informations on the topics in section 4. In particular the proof of Theorem 4.1 owe to him so much.

2. 1-CYCLES DERIVED FROM COMMUTATION RELATION IN QUANTUM MECHANICS

First we shall consider 1-cocycles on the algebraic dual space $X^a$ of an infinite dimensional real linear space $X$, which come from the representation of commutation relation in quantum mechanics. So we consider $X^a$ as the basic space and take a linear subspace $X'$ of $X^a$ as a transformation group $G$ such that for any $x \in X$ there exists $x' \in X'$ such that $\langle x, x' \rangle \neq 0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a natural duality bracket for $X$ and $X^a$. The action of $X'$ on $X^a$ is defined by $x^a \mapsto x' + x^a$. Now let us consider unitary representations $(U, \mathcal{H}), (V, \mathcal{H})$ of $X$ and $X'$ respectively which satisfy,

1. $(U(x))$ is continuous on any finite dimensional subspace of $X$,
2. $(U(x))$ is cyclic, and
3. $(U(x)V(x')) = \exp(\sqrt{-1} \langle x, x' \rangle)V(x')U(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $x' \in X'$.

Then the following theorems hold which are already well known.

Theorem 2.1. There exist some probability measure $\mu$ on the cylindrical $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ on $X^a$ and 1-cocycle $\theta$ on $X^a \times X'$ such that the representations $(U, \mathcal{H})$ and $(V, \mathcal{H})$ are realized as follows,

\[ (1) \quad U(x) : f(x^a) \in L^2_\mu(X^a) \mapsto \exp(\sqrt{-1} \langle x, x^a \rangle)f(x^a) \in L^2_{\mu}(X^a), \]

\[ (2) \quad V(x') : f(x^a) \in L^2_\mu(X^a) \mapsto \theta(x^a, x') \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{x'}}{d\mu}}(x^a)f(x^a - x') \in L^2_{\mu}(X^a). \]

Theorem 2.2. (1) For the pair of representations $(U_i, V_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ which are defined by (2.1) and (2.2), $(U_1, V_1)$ are equivalent to $(U_2, V_2)$ if and only if the corresponding $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are equivalent as measures and the corresponding $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are 1-cohomologus. i.e., there exists some 1-coboundary $\phi$ such that $\theta_1 = \phi \cdot \theta_2$.

(2) In order that the representation $(U, V)$ is irreducible, it is necessary and sufficient that $\mu$ is $X'$-ergodic. i.e., $\mu(A) = 0$ or 1, provided that $\mu(A \ominus (A - x')) = 0$ for all $x' \in X'$.

From the above theorems, we see that the pair of representations $(U, V)$ is characterized by two factors, that is, measure and 1-cocycle. So we shall look them quickly.

In the finite dimensional case, the problem is so simple. Namely, every translationally quasi-invariant measure is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure and every 1-cocycle is a 1-coboundary. While in the infinite dimensional case the situation is quite complicated. First of all there exist quasi-invariant measures much enough to nonclassify them. Secondly, even if a quasi-invariant measure is specified, there exist also many 1-cocycles.
which are essentially different from each other. Therefore it seems to be meaningless to try to classify 1-cocycles for a general \( \mu \). However it seems to be meaningful and important to consider 1-cocycles \( \theta \) for Gaussian measures \( g \) picked up among many quasi-invariant measures. Here the Gaussian measure \( g \) on the algebraic dual \( H^{*} \) of a Hilbert space \( H \) is defined by,

\[
(2.3) \quad \int_{H^{a}} \exp(\sqrt{-1} < x, x^{a} >) g(dx^{a}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}||x||_{H}^{2}\right).
\]

It is well known that \( g \) is \( H^{*} \)-quasi-invariant, where \( H^{*} \) is the topological dual space of \( H \). So the problem becomes as follows.

(P) What kinds of 1-cocycles \( \theta \) on \( H^{a} \times H^{*} \) for the Gaussian measure \( g \) do there exist? Especially, it is a matter worthy to be considered when \( \theta \) is continuous with the norm topology on \( H^{*} \).

The following theorem is a modest result along this line.

**Theorem 2.3.** For any \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) consider a continuous 1-cocycle

\[
\theta_{s}(x^{a}, x^{*}) := \left(\frac{dg_{x^{*}}}{dg}(x^{a})\right)^{\sqrt{-1}s}.
\]

(1) Then the canonical representations \((R_{s}, L^{2}_{g}(H^{a}))\) defined by

\[
(2.4) \quad R_{s}(x^{*}) : f(x^{a}) \in L^{2}_{g}(H^{a}) \mapsto \theta_{s}(x^{a}, x^{*}) \sqrt{\frac{dg_{x^{*}}}{dg}}(x^{a}) f(x^{a} - x^{*}) \in L^{2}_{g}(H^{a})
\]

give mutually inequivalent representations for all different \( s \)’s.

(2) Let \( g_{s} \) be the image measure of \( g \) by a homothety, \( x^{a} \mapsto (1 + 4s^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{a} \). Then \((R_{s}, L^{2}_{g}(H^{a}))\) is equivalent to \((R_{0}, L^{2}_{g_{s}}(H^{a}))\), where the last representation is defined by,

\[
(2.5) \quad R_{0}(x^{*}) : f(x^{a}) \in L^{2}_{g_{s}}(H^{a}) \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{d(g_{s})_{x^{*}}}{dg_{s}}}(x^{a}) f(x^{a} - x^{*}) \in L^{2}_{g_{s}}(H^{a}).
\]

(3) There exists another family of representations \((R_{c}, L^{2}_{g}(H^{a}))\) \( (c \in \mathbb{R}) \) with the property that \((R_{c}, L^{2}_{g}(H^{a}))\) are inequivalent to \((R_{s}, L^{2}_{g}(H^{a}))\) for all \( c, s \in \mathbb{R} \). Moreover \((R_{c}, L^{2}_{g}(H^{a}))\) are mutually inequivalent.

The definition of \( \zeta_{c} \) is as follows. For any \( h \in H^{*} \) we take a unique \( W_{h} \in \text{Cl}\{< x, x^{a} > | x \in H\}(\subset L^{2}_{g}(H^{a})) \) such that

\[
< x, h > = \int_{H^{a}} < x, x^{a} > W_{h}(x^{a}) g(dx^{a}).
\]

Put

\[
\zeta_{c}(x^{a}, h) := \exp\{\sqrt{-1}c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(W_{h}^{3}(x - \varphi) - 3W_{h}(x - \varphi) - W_{h}(x) + 3W_{h}(x))\}.
\]

For the further and detailed informations see [13].
3. 1-COCYCLES FOR ROTATIONALLY INVARIANT MEASURES

In this section we set up the following situation.
Let $H$ be a real separable Hilbert space ($\dim(H) < \infty$ or $= \infty$), $\mathcal{B}$ be a cylindrical $\sigma$-algebra on $H^a$, $O(H)$ be a rotation group ($O(H) = \text{SO}(n)$, if $\dim(H) = n < \infty$), and $\mu$ be an $O(H)$-quasi-invariant probability measure. Now consider a continuous 1-cocycle $\theta$ defined on $H^a \times O(H)$ which satisfies the following conditions.

1. For any fixed $U \in O(H)$, $\theta(x^a, U)$ is a $S^1$-valued $\mathcal{B}$-measurable function.
2. For any $U_1, U_2 \in O(H)$,

\[ \theta(x^a, U_1)\theta(tU_1x^a, U_2) = \theta(x^a, U_1U_2) \quad \text{for} \quad \mu - \text{a.e.} x^a. \]

3. $\theta(x^a, U) \rightarrow 1$ in $\mu$, if $U \rightarrow \text{Id}$ in the strong operator topology.

Such 1-cocycles arise in the representations of the semi-direct product of $H$ and $O(H)$. That is, let $(V, \mathcal{H})$ and $(T, \mathcal{H})$ be unitary representations of $H$ and $O(H)$, respectively which satisfy,

1. $V$ is cyclic,
2. $V$ is continuous on any finite dimensional subspace of $H$ and $T$ is continuous with the strong operator topology, and
3. for all $h \in H$ and for all $U \in O(H)$,

\[ T(U)V(h) = V(Uh)T(U). \]

Then there exist an $O(H)$-quasi-invariant probability measure $\mu$ on $(H^a, \mathcal{B})$ and a continuous 1-cocycle $\theta$ for $\mu$ such that $(V, \mathcal{H})$ and $(T, \mathcal{H})$ are realized as follows.

(3.1) \[ V(h) : f(x^a) \in L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \exp(\sqrt{-1} < h, x^a >)f(x^a) \in L^2(\mu). \]

(3.2) \[ T(U) : f(x^a) \in L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \theta(x^a, U)^{\frac{du_{\mu}}{d\mu}}(x^a)f(tUx^a) \in L^2(\mu). \]

Moreover similar results with Theorem 2.2 also holds. We have only to change the ergodic part to "$O(H)$-ergodic". Thus the pair of representations $(V, T)$ is also controled by the same two factors. However the situation is quite different from the previouse one. First for the measure the following results are already known.

**Theorem 3.1.** (1) For any rotationally quasi-invariant probability measure $\mu$, there exists a rotationally invariant probability measure $\nu$ such that $\mu \simeq \nu$.

(2) $\nu$ is represented as a superposition of probability measures $\{g_c\}_{c \in [0, \infty)}$, where $g_c$ is the uniform measure on the sphere of radius $c$ centered at the origin, if $\dim(H) < \infty$, and $g_c$ is the centered Gaussian measure with variance $c^2$, if $\dim(H) = \infty$.

For the proof, see [12] and [17]. Second the structure of 1-cocycles is very simple as is shown in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that $\dim(H) \neq 3$. Then any continuous 1-cocycle $\theta$ for $\mu$ is a 1-coboundary. That is, there exists $S^1$-valued $\mathcal{B}$-measurable function $\phi$ on $H^a$ such that for each fixed $U \in O(H)$,

\[ \theta(x^a, U) = \frac{\phi(tUx^a)}{\phi(x^a)} \quad \text{for} \quad \mu - \text{a.e.} x^a. \]
For the proof see [14]. From these theorems, we see that the pair of representation 
\((V,T)\) is equivalent to the following one,
\[
V_{\nu}(h) : f(x^{a}) \in L_{\nu}^{2}(H^{a}) \mapsto \exp(\sqrt{-1} < h, x^{a}>) f(x^{a}) \in L_{\nu}^{2}(H^{a}),
\]
(3.3)
\[
T_{\nu}(U) : f(x^{a}) \in L_{\nu}^{2}(H^{a}) \mapsto f(U x^{a}) \in L_{\nu}^{2}(H^{a}),
\]
(3.4)
and the equivalence (irreducibility) of the pair \((V,T)\) defined by (3.3) and (3.4) are reduced to the equivalence of the corresponding rotationally invariant (ergodic) probability measure \(\nu\), respectively. Further single representation of \(O(H)\),
\[
R_{\theta}(U) : f(x^{a}) \in L_{\mu}^{2}(H^{a}) \mapsto \theta(x^{a}, U) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{U}}{d\mu}}(x^{a}) f(U x^{a}) \in L_{\mu}^{2}(H^{a}),
\]
is equivalent to the representation defined by (3.4), and the properties for the decomposition are derived from the decomposition of
\[
\nu = \int_{[0,\infty)} g_{c} m(dc),
\]
where \(m\) is a Borel probability measure on \([0,\infty)\) and from the result for the irreducible decomposition, \(L_{\nu}^{2}(H^{a}) = \sum \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n}\), using multiple Wiener integrals \(\mathcal{H}_{n}(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)\) for the Gaussian measure \(g = g_{1}\). Namely,

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume that \(\dim(H) = \infty\). Then \((T_{\nu}, L_{\nu}^{2}(H^{a}))\) is completely reducible, and as its irreducible components,

1. \((R_{g}, \mathcal{H}_{n})\) \((n = 1, 2, \cdots)\) appears \(\dim(L_{m}^{2})\)-times in it and
2. \((R_{g}, \mathcal{H}_{0})\) appears \(\dim(L_{m}^{2})+1\)-times or \(\dim(L_{m}^{2})\)-times, according to \(m(0) > 0\) or \(m(0) = 0\).

N.B. Here we give a counter example for Theorem 3.2, when \(\dim(H) = 3\).

Let \(e := e_{3} = \{0, 0, 1\}, \mathcal{M} : U \in SO(3) \mapsto U e \in S^{2}\) and \(\mathcal{N}\) be a Borel cross section of \(\mathcal{M}\). Then for any \(x \in S^{2}\) and for any \(U \in SO(3)\) there exists \(\tau \in \mathbb{R}\) such that
\[
U^{-1} \mathcal{N}(x) = \mathcal{N}(U^{-1} x) \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \tau & -\sin \tau & 0 \\
\sin \tau & \cos \tau & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Put
\[
\theta(x, U) := \exp(\sqrt{-1} \tau).
\]

Then \(\theta\) is a continuous 1-cocycle for the uniform measure on \(S^{2}\). However it is not a 1-coboundary. For the detailed informations in this section, see [14].

4. 1-CO CYCLES ON THE GROUP OF Diffeomorphisms

Let \(M = M^{d}\) be a paracompact \(C^{\infty}\)-manifold and \(\text{Diff}_{0}(M)\) be the set of all diffeomorphisms \(g\) with compact supports. This section is a study of 1-cocycle \(\theta\) on \(M \times \text{Diff}_{0}(M)\).

So let \(\mu\) be a \(\sigma\)-finite smooth measure on \(M\) which is locally equivalent to the Lebesgue measure on \(\mathbb{R}^{d}\), and take a canonical representation \(U_{\theta}\) of \(\text{Diff}_{0}(M)\) such that
\[
U_{\theta}(g) : f(P) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{2}(M) \mapsto \theta(P,g) \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{g}}{d\mu}}(P) f(g^{-1}(P)) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{2}(M),
\]
(4.1)
where \(\theta\) is a continuous 1-cocycle. Here the topology \(\tau_{K}\) on \(\text{Diff}_{0}(M)\) is the inductive limit topology of \(\tau_{K}\) on \(\text{Diff}(K)\), \(K \uparrow X\), where \(\text{Diff}(K) := \{g \in \text{Diff}_{0}(M) \mid \text{supp} g \subset K\}\) is equipped with the topology \(\tau_{K}\) of uniform convergence with every derivative on the
compact set $K$. Exactly speaking, the continuity of $\theta$ is as follows. $\theta(P, g_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mu$ if there exists some compact set $K$ such that supp$g_n \subseteq K$ ($n = 1, \ldots$) and $g_n \rightarrow$ Id in Diff$(K)$. $\tau$ is never a group topology unless $M$ is compact. (See [16] in this issue). These 1-cocycles often appears in the representation theory of Diff$^0(M)$. In this report we will give some characterization of 1-cocycles which have much stronger continuous property than the original one. We anew give the definition of our present 1-cocycle $\theta$.

**Definition 4.1.** A $S^1$-valued function $\theta$ on $M \times \text{Diff}^0(M)$ is said to be continuous 1-cocycle, if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

1. For any $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Diff}^0(M)$,
   $$\theta(P, g_1)\theta(g_1^{-1}(P), g_2) = \theta(P, g_1 g_2).$$

2. For each fixed $P \in M$, $\theta(P, g)$ is a continuous function of $g \in \text{Diff}^0(M)$ with respect to $\tau$.

The analysis of continuous 1-cocycles is based on the following theorems.

**Theorem 4.1.** (Campbell–Hausdorff formula)

Let $X, Y \in \Gamma_0(M)$ and $\{\text{Exp}(tX)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \{\text{Exp}(tY)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be 1-parameter subgroups of diffeomorphisms generated by $X, Y$, respectively. Then as $n$ tends to $+\infty,$

1. $\{\text{Exp}(\frac{tX}{n}) \circ \text{Exp}(\frac{tY}{n})\}^n$ converges to $\text{Exp}(t(X + Y))$, and

2. $\{\text{Exp}(-\frac{tX}{\sqrt{n}}) \circ \text{Exp}(\frac{-tY}{\sqrt{n}}) \circ \text{Exp}(\frac{tX}{\sqrt{n}} \circ \text{Exp}(\frac{tY}{\sqrt{n}}))\}^n$ converges to $\text{Exp}(-t^2[X, Y])$ in $\tau_K$ uniformly on every compact interval of $t$, respectively, where $K$ is any compact set containing supp$X$ and supp$Y$.

**Theorem 4.2.** The group $\tilde{G}$ generated by $\text{Exp}(X)$, where $X$ runs through all $C^\infty$-vector fields with compact supports, forms a dense subset of $\text{Diff}^*_0(M)$ which is the connected component of Id in Diff$^0(M)$.

Using these theorems we restrict $\theta$ to the subgroup $\tilde{G}$ and analyze it locally. Then, but many lemmas are needed, the following results are obtained which is expected by T. Hirai in the case of $M = \mathbb{R}^d$.

**Theorem 4.3.** Assume that $M$ is simply connected. Then any continuous 1-cocycle $\theta$ has the following canonical form,

$$\theta(P, g) = \frac{c(g^{-1}(P))}{c(P)} \left(\frac{d\mu_g}{d\mu}(P)\right)^{\sqrt{-1}s} \eta(g),$$

where $c$ is a $S^1$-valued continuous function on $M$, $s$ is a real number and $\eta$ is a unitary character on Diff$^0(M)$. (Actually, $\eta$ is a trivial character on Diff$^0(M)$, so it is a function on the discrete group Diff$^*_0(M)/\text{Diff}^*_0(M)$.) $S$ and $\eta$ are uniquely determined for $\theta$, while $c$ is determined up to constant factors.

**Corollary 4.4.** If $M$ is a compact Lie group, then the same holds for any continuous 1-cocycle $\theta$.

If $M$ is not simply connected, then it is possible to exists a new 1-cocycle. For example in the case $M = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$, we have a following result. Let $g \in \text{Diff}^0_0(\mathbb{R} \times S^1)$ and take a continuous path $\{g_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ connecting Id and $g$. Then for each fixed $p = (u, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$, the second component of $g_t^{-1}(u, z)$ has a continuous angular function $\theta(t, u, z)$. 

The value \( \varphi(u, z) := \theta(1, u, z) - \theta(0, u, z) \) only depends on \( (g, u, z) \) and does not depend on a particular choice of \( \{g_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \). So for any \( \Omega \in [0, 1) \) put

\[
\zeta_{\Omega}(u, z, g) := \exp(\sqrt{-1} \Omega \varphi(u, z)).
\]

Then \( \zeta_{\Omega} \) is a continuous 1-cocycle on \( \text{Diff}_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \) and it is extended to the whole group in an essential unique way. We denote it again by \( \zeta_{\Omega} \).

**Theorem 4.5.** If \( M = \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \), the general form of continuous 1-cocycles is as follows,

\[
\theta(P, g) = \frac{c(g^{-1}(P))}{c(P)} \left( \frac{d\mu_g}{d\mu}(P) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta_{\Omega}(P, g) \eta(g).
\]

Any \( \zeta_{\Omega} \) (\( 0 < \Omega < 1 \)) is never 1-cohomologus with any 1-cocycles appeared in Theorem 4.3. \( s, \Omega \) and \( \eta \) are uniquely determined from \( \theta \) and \( c \) is determined up to constant factors.

Lastly, we shall list the following results with canonical representations defined by (4.1).

**Theorem 4.6.** Assume that \( M \) is connected. Then

1. The representation \( (U_{\theta}, L^2_{\mu}(M)) \) is irreducible for all continuous 1-cocycle \( \theta \).
2. \( (U_{\theta_1}, L^2_{\mu}(M)) \) is equivalent to \( (U_{\theta_2}, L^2_{\mu}(M)) \), if and only if \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) are 1-cohomologus.

For detailed informations in this section, see [15].

Department of Mathematics
Fukui University

REFERENCES

[16] Shimomura, H. and Hirai, T., On group topologies on the group of diffeomorphisms, Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho kōkyūroku, this issue.
