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1 Introduction

In 1970s, J.P. Quinnn and D.L. Russell solved a control problem of a membrane system where the control area is limited in a rectangle. In their case, they put two control functions upon the segments of the rectangle for one membrane. It seems that the condition which they apply is little strong. In this paper we would like to consider a control system of a coupled vibrating membranes system with a single control function applied at one segment. You can see the condition which we use is the weakest in such a case. We define $\Omega, \Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ with their boundaries $\Gamma, \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$, respectively, as follows:

$\Omega = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2| 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1\}$
$\Omega_1 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2| 0 < x < c, 0 < y < 1\}$
$\Omega_2 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2| c < x < 1, 0 < y < 1\}$
$\Gamma = \partial \Omega, \Gamma_1 = \partial \Omega_1, \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega_2$.

The evolution of the system is given by the following coupled equations:

$u_t^1(x, y, t) - \alpha^2 (u_{xx}^1(x, y, t) + u_{yy}^1(x, y, t)) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_1 \times (0, T)$
$u_t^2(x, y, t) - \alpha^2 (u_{xx}^2(x, y, t) + u_{yy}^2(x, y, t)) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_2 \times (0, T)$

with boundary conditions

$u^1|_{\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma} = u^2|_{\Gamma_2 \cap \Gamma} = 0 \quad (2)$

and initial conditions

$u^1(x,y,0) = u^1_0(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \Omega_1, \quad u^2(x,y,0) = u^2_0(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \Omega_2,$
$u^1_t(x,y,0) = v^1_0(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \Omega_1, \quad u^2_t(x,y,0) = v^2_0(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \Omega_2.$

In (1) $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}$ ($i = 1, 2$) is a positive constant, where $\sigma_i$ and $\tau_i$ are mass density and tension, respectively. We call $\Gamma_3 := \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ the coupled segment. On $\Gamma_3$ an admissible control $f(y,t) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3))$ is applied as follows:

$u^1|_{\Gamma_3} - u^2|_{\Gamma_3} = 0 \quad (4)$
We assume that $H = L^2(\Omega_1) \oplus L^2(\Omega_2)$ with an inner product
\[
\langle (u^1, v^1), (u^2, v^2) \rangle_H = \int_0^1 \int_0^c u^1(x, y)v^1(x, y)dx dy + \int_0^1 \int_1^c u^2(x, y)v^2(x, y)dx dy.
\]

We identify $u = (u^1, u^2) \in H$ with a function
\[
u(x, y) = \begin{cases} u^1(x, y) & (x, y) \in \Omega_1 \\ u^2(x, y) & (x, y) \in \Omega_2. \end{cases}
\]

We will consider exact controllability for the system (1)-(4) in a Hilbert space $U \times V \subset V \times H$, where $U$ and $V$ are also Hilbert spaces with a dense and continuous imbedding $U \subset V$ such that for $T > 0$ and any initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in U \times V$ and $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3))$ there exists a solution $u(x, y, t)$ of the system (1)-(4) with $(u, u_t) \in C([0, T]; U \times V)$. The definition of the exact controllability is given as follows:

**Definition 1** Let $T > 0$. The system (1)-(4) is called exactly controllable in $U \times V$ if for every initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in U \times V$ there exists a control $f \in V := L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3))$ such that the corresponding solution of (1)-(4) satisfies:
\[
u(x, y, T) \equiv u_t(x, y, T) \equiv 0. \tag{5}
\]

Control problems for the partial differential equations have been studied by many researchers since 1970s (see [2],[3],[4],[5],[8],[9],[11]). In recent years the coupled vibrating systems have been treated by several authors but mainly in strings and beams (see S.Ohnari [6],[7]; J.P.Ma [10]; L.F.Ho [12] and G.Chen, M.C.Delfour, A.M.Krall, G.Payre [13]). According to our knowledge it is the first time for us to deal with the control problem of the coupled vibrating membranes system. The main theorem of this paper will give us a sufficient condition for the system (1)-(4) to be exactly controllable in $U \times V$.

## 2 Solutions of the system (1)-(4).

In this section we discuss the solutions of the system (1)-(4). First we introduce a strict solution for the system (1)-(4) and we try to define a mild solution based on it. Now, let us define an operator $A$ with domain $D(A)$ in $H$ as follows:
\[
D(A) = \left\{ (u^1, u^2) \in H^2(\Omega_1) \times H^2(\Omega_2) \mid u|_\Gamma = 0; \ u^1|_{\Gamma_3} = u^2|_{\Gamma_3}, \ u^1_x|_{\Gamma_3} = u^2_x|_{\Gamma_3} \right\}
\]
\[
A(u^1, u^2) = (\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha^2 \Delta u^1 \\ -\alpha^2 \Delta u^2 \end{pmatrix}). \tag{6}
\]

Obviously the operator $A$ is positive and self-adjoint in $H$ with a compact resolvent. Let
\[
\Lambda = \{ m^2 + n^2; \ m, n \in N \}. \tag{7}
\]
Then, the eigenvalues of the operator $A$ and the corresponding eigenspaces are given by
\[
\lambda_k = k \alpha^2 \pi^2 \quad (k \in \Lambda)
\]
and
\[
Z_k = \text{span}\{ \Phi_{n,m}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2 \sin n \pi x \sin m \pi y & ; n^2 + m^2 = k, n, m \in N \end{cases} \},
\]
respectively.

We see that the eigenfunctions $\{ \Phi_{n,m} ; n, m \in N \}$ of the operator $A$ satisfy
\[
\Phi_{n,m} \perp \Phi_{n',m'} \quad \text{for} \quad (n, m) \neq (n', m')
\]
and $\Phi_{n,m}$ form a complete orthonormal base in $H$, in particular,
\[
Z = \bigcup Z_k \quad k \in \Lambda
\]
is dense in $D(A)$.

From (6), we see that $D(A)$ is a closed subspace of $H^2(\Omega_1) \oplus H^2(\Omega_2)$ and becomes a Hilbert space $U$ with the inner product
\[
(u, v)_{D(A)} = (Au, Av)_H \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in D(A).
\]

Since $A$ is self-adjoint and positive, $A$ has a unique positive square root $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so that $D(A) \subset D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$, $A^{\frac{1}{2}} z \in D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for all $z \in D(A)$, and $A^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} z = Az$ for $z \in D(A)$.

Also $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$ becomes a Hilbert space $V$ with the inner product
\[
(u, v)_{D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})} = (A^{\frac{1}{2}} u, A^{\frac{1}{2}} v)_H \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}).
\]

For the purpose of seeking a strict solution for the system (1)-(4) we first assume $f(y, t)$ be given in $H^2_0(0, T; H^2(\Gamma_3) \cap H^1_0(\Gamma_3))$ for fixed $T > 0$ and $(u_0, v_0) \in U \times V$. Then, the solution $u(x, y, t)$ of the system (1)-(4) can be expressed formally as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
    u^1(x, y, t) &= y^1(x, y, t) + p^1(x)f(y, t) \quad (0 < x < c, 0 < y < 1, 0 < t < T), \\
    u^2(x, y, t) &= y^2(x, y, t) + p^2(x)f(y, t) \quad (c < x < 1, 0 < y < 1, 0 < t < T).
\end{align*}
\]

Here
\[
p^1(x) = -cx + x, \quad p^2(x) = -cx + c
\]
and $y^i(x, y, t)(i = 1, 2)$ are solutions of the following system:
\[
\begin{align*}
    y''_i(x, y, t) - \alpha^2 \Delta y^i(x, y, t) &= -p^i(x)f_{ii}(y, t) + \alpha^2 f_{yy}(y, t) \quad ((x, y) \in \Omega_1 \text{ for } i = 1, (x, y) \in \Omega_2 \text{ for } i = 2, \quad 0 < t < T), \\
    y^i|_{\Gamma} &= 0, \\
    y^1(c, y, t) &= y^2(c, y, t), \quad y^1_x(c, y, t) = y^2_x(c, y, t),
\end{align*}
\]
with
\[
\begin{align*}
    y(x, y, 0) &= u_0(x, y), \\
    y_t(x, y, 0) &= v_0(x, y).
\end{align*}
\]
We see that the system (1)-(4) can be replaced by the system (12)-(14). If we put
\[
Y = \begin{pmatrix} y^1 \\ y^2 \end{pmatrix}, Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 \\ y_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} p^1 \\ p^2 \end{pmatrix}
\]
with
\[
Y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_0^1 \\ u_0^2 \end{pmatrix}, Y_{t0} = \begin{pmatrix} v_0^1 \\ v_0^2 \end{pmatrix},
\]
then (12)-(14) become
\[
\begin{pmatrix} Y_t \\ Y_{tt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Bf(t) \end{pmatrix}
\]
with
\[
\begin{pmatrix} Y(0) \\ Y_t(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 \\ Y_{t0} \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( Bf(t) = -f_{tt}P + \alpha^2 f_{yy} \).
Thus, we consider (16)-(17) in a Hilbert space \( V \times H \) with an inner product
\[
\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ V_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} U_2 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{V \times H} = (A^\frac{1}{2}U_1, A^\frac{1}{2}U_2)_H + (V_1, V_2)_H
\]
for \( \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ V_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} U_2 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} \in V \times H \).
Let \( A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) be an operator in \( V \times H \) with domain \( D(A) \) defined by
\[
D(A) = U \times V, \quad A \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_t \\ -AY \end{pmatrix}
\]
for \( \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} \in D(A) \).
It is easy to prove that \( A \) is an infinitesimal generator of a semigroup in \( V \times H \). Now we give the definition of strict solutions for the system (16)-(17) as follows:

**Definition 2** For \( \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 \\ Y_{t0} \end{pmatrix} \in D(A) \) and \( Bf(t) \in C([0, T]; V \times H) \), we say that
\[
\begin{pmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_{t1}(t) \end{pmatrix} : [0, T] \to V \times H
\]
is a strict solution to (16)-(17) if and only if
1. \( \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_{t1}(t) \end{pmatrix} \in C^1([0, T]; V \times H) \).
2. For any \( t \in [0, T] \), \( \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_{t1}(t) \end{pmatrix} \in U \times V \); \( A \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_{t1}(t) \end{pmatrix} \in C([0, T]; V \times H) \).
3. \( \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_{t1}(t) \end{pmatrix} \) satisfies (16)-(17) on \([0, T]\).
From semigroup theory, we know that, for any \((Y_0, Y_{t_0}) \in U \times V\) and given \(f(y, t) \in H_0^4[0, T; H^4(\Gamma_3) \cap H_0^1(\Gamma_3)]\) for fixed \(T > 0\), there exists a unique strict solution \((Y(t), Y_{t}(t))\) of (16)-(17) expressed as
\[
Y(t) = e^{tA}Y_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}Bf(s)ds.
\]
(20)

Now, let us consider the following homogeneous problem which corresponds to the system (1)-(4):
\[
w_{tt}^i - \alpha^2 \Delta w^i = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_i \times [0, T] \ (i = 1, 2)
\]
(21)
with homogeneous boundary conditions
\[
w^1|_{\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma} = w^2|_{\Gamma_2 \cap \Gamma} = 0 \\
w^1|_{\Gamma_3} = w^2|_{\Gamma_3}
\]
(22)
and initial conditions:
\[
w(0) = w_0, \ w_1(0) = w_1.
\]
(23)
For any fixed \((w_0, w_1) \in Z \times Z \subset U \times V\), where
\[
w_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm}\Phi_{nm}, \quad w_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{nm}\Phi_{nm},
\]
we know that there exists a unique solution
\[
w(x, y, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (a_{nm}\cos \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}t + b_{nm} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}t)\Phi_{nm}
\]
(\(\lambda_{n,m} = \alpha^2(n^2 + m^2)\pi^2; \ n, m = 1, 2, 3 \cdots\))
(24)
for the system (21)-(23). Now, let \(u\) be a strict solution of the system (1)-(4). We multiply the corresponding solution of (21)-(23) by \(u\). Integrating by parts we obtain for fixed \(T > 0\) the equality
\[
0 = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (w_{tt}^i - \alpha^2 \Delta w^i)u \, dx \, dy \, dt = \int_{\Omega} (w_1(T)u(T) - w(T)u_1(T) + w_0v_0 - w_1u_0) \, dx \, dy \\
+ \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \alpha^2 f(y, t)w^1(c, y, t) \, dy \, dt.
\]
(25)
Hence, we have
\[
\int_{\Omega} (w_1(T)u(T) - w(T)u_1) \, dx \, dy = \int_{\Omega} (-w_0v_0 + w_1u_0) \, dx \, dy - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \alpha^2 f(y, t)w^1(c, y, t) \, dy \, dt.
\]
(26)
This permits us to define a mild solution as follows:

**Definition 3** For any given \((u_0, v_0) \in U \times V\) and \(f(y, t) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3))\), we say that \((u, u_t)\) is a mild solution of the system (1)-(4) if \((u, u_t) \in C([0, T]; U \times V)\) and if (26) is satisfied for every \((w_0, w_1) \in Z \times Z\).

The definition is justified by

**Lemma 1** For any \((u_0, v_0) \in U \times V\) and \(f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3))\), there is a unique mild solution for the system (1)-(4).
3 The Main Result

Now, under any initial data \((u_0, v_0) \in U \times V\), we assume \(u(x, y, T) = u_t(x, y, T) = 0\) and try to seek an \(f \in \mathcal{V}\) in the form of

\[
f(y, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_m(t) \sin m\pi y,
\]

where

\[
f_m(t) = \int_{0}^{1} f(y, t) \sin m\pi y dy \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].
\]

We expand \(u_0(x, y), v_0(x, y)\) in the following ways:

\[
u_0(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,m} \Phi_{n,m}(x, y), \quad v_0(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,m} \Phi_{n,m}(x, y)
\]

with

\[
\alpha_{n,m} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} 2u_0(x, y) \sin n\pi x \sin m\pi y dxdy = (u_0, \Phi_{n,m})_H
\]

\[
\beta_{n,m} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} 2v_0(x, y) \sin n\pi x \sin m\pi y dxdy = (v_0, \Phi_{n,m})_H.
\]

Substituting \((24)\) into \((26)\) and making use of the above expansions, we have:

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(-a_{n,m} \beta_{n,m} + b_{n,m} \alpha_{n,m}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_n f_m(t) \left(a_{n,m} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m} t} + \frac{b_{n,m}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m} t}\right) dt
\]

where

\[
\gamma_n = 2\alpha^2 \sin n\pi c \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Thus, \((33)\) reduces to an infinite collection of moment problems for functions \(f_m(t) \in L^2(0, T)\):

\[
-a_{n,m} \beta_{n,m} + b_{n,m} \alpha_{n,m} = \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_n f_m(t) \left(a_{n,m} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m} t} + \frac{b_{n,m}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m} t}\right) dt
\]

\[
(n, m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots).
\]

If we assume \(\gamma_n \neq 0\), then we can rewrite \((35)\) in the following manner:

\[
\frac{-\beta_{n,m}}{\gamma_n} = \int_{0}^{T} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m} t} f_m(t) dt
\]

\[
\frac{b_{n,m}}{\gamma_n} \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m} \alpha_{n,m}} = \int_{0}^{T} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_{n,m} t} f_m(t) dt
\]

for \(n, m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\).

This is a double moment problem; one moment problem for each fixed \(m\).

We see that \(\gamma_n \neq 0\) becomes a necessary condition for the system \((1)-(4)\) to be exactly controllable. By \((34)\) we have \(\gamma_n \neq 0\) if and only if \(c\) is irrational.

From now we try to use a well-known result from [3] (K.D.Graham, D.L.Russell, page 189)
to deal with the moment problem (36). Let
\[ c_{n,m} = -\frac{\beta_{n,m}}{\gamma_{n}}, \quad d_{n,m} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}\alpha_{n,m}}{\gamma_{n}}. \]
For every fixed \( m \), we note that the sequence \( \{\sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}\} \) possesses an asymptotic gap \( \Theta \) such as
\[ \Theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf (\lambda_{n+1,m}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_{n,m}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \alpha \pi. \]
Also the density \( D \) of the same sequence \( \{\sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}\} \) is given by
\[ D = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n,m}}} = \frac{1}{\alpha \pi}, \]
which satisfies \( \Theta = \frac{1}{D} \).

Using the result from Lemma 6.3 in [3] (K.D.Graham and D.L.Russell page 189), we know that, if any \( T > 2\pi D \) and if any sequences \( \{c_{n,m}\}; \{d_{n,m}\} \) (\( n = 1, 2, 3 \cdots \) for every fixed \( m \)) satisfy
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{n,m}|^2 < +\infty \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |d_{n,m}|^2 < +\infty, \]
then the moment problem (36) has a solution \( f_{m}(t) \in L^2(0, T) \) with constant \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) independently of \( n, m \) satisfying
\[ K_1 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{n,m}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |d_{n,m}|^2 \right) \leq ||f_{m}(t)||_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \leq K_2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{n,m}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |d_{n,m}|^2 \right) \]
for every fixed \( m \) (\( m = 1, 2, \cdots \)). Here \( K_1, K_2 \) are determined by the gap \( \Theta \) and the positive number \( T - 2\pi D \).

Now, we introduce a set \( E \) which plays a very important role in our control problem (see [9], M.Tucsnak, page 923). For a real number \( \rho \), we denote by \( ||| \rho ||| \) the distance from \( Z \);
\[ ||| \rho ||| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\rho - n|. \]
Let us denote by \( E \) the set of all irrational numbers \( \rho \in (0, 1) \) such that if \( [0, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, \cdots] \) is the expansion of \( \rho \) as a continued fraction, then \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is bounded. From a proposition in [9],(M.Tucsnak, page 923) we have that an irrational number \( \rho \in (0, 1) \) is in \( E \) if and only if there is a constant \( C > 0 \) such that \( ||| q \rho ||| \geq \frac{C}{q} \) for any positive integer \( q \).

As a consequence of these results, we obtain the following theorem concerning the exact controllability of the system (1)-(4):

**Theorem 1** Let \( c \in E \). Assume \( T > \frac{2}{\alpha} \) and \( (u_0, v_0) \in U \times V \), then the system (1)-(4) is exactly controllable in \( U \times V \).
Proof.
Note $c \in E$. For any $n$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $|nc - k| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Since $|nc - k|\pi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have

$$|\sin n\pi c| = |\sin \pi(nc - k)| \geq \frac{2}{\pi}|\pi(nc - k)| = 2|nc - k| = 2||nc|| \geq \frac{2C}{n},$$

for a constant $C > 0$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \right| \leq \frac{n}{4C\alpha^2} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots).$$

On the other hand, for any $(u_0, v_0) \in U \times V$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2 |n,m\alpha|^{2} n,m < \infty$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda |n,m\beta|^{2} n,m < \infty.$$  (34)

Note

$$|c_{n,m}|^2 = |\frac{\beta_{n,m}}{\gamma_n}|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |d_{n,m}|^2 = |\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{nm}}\alpha_{n,m}}{\gamma_n}|^2.$$

Hence, we have, for any fixed $m$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{n,m}|^2 \leq M_1(\alpha, C)(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,m} |\gamma_n|^{2}) < +\infty$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |d_{n,m}|^2 \leq M_2(\alpha, C)(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^2 |n,m\alpha|^{2} n,m) < +\infty,$$  (35)

for positive constants $M_1(\alpha, C)$ and $M_2(\alpha, C)$.

Thus, if $T > \frac{2}{\alpha}$, then there exist functions $f_m(t) \in L^2(0,T)(m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ which are solutions of the moment problems (36). For each $m$, we also know there is a $K > 0$, which is independent of $m$, such that

$$||f_m||^2_{L^2(0,T)} \leq K(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{n,m}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |d_{n,m}|^2),$$  (36)

that is, for a constant $M_3(K, C, \alpha) > 0$, we get

$$||f_m||^2_{L^2(0,T)} \leq M_3(K, C, \alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda^2_{n,m} |\alpha_{n,m}|^2 + \lambda_{n,m} |\beta_{n,m}|^2).$$  (37)

Therefore, we finally obtain

$$|| \sum_{m=k_1}^{k_2} f_m(t) \sin m\pi y ||^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma_3))} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=k_1}^{k_2} ||f_m(t)||^2_{L^2(0,T)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=k_1}^{k_2} M_3(K, C, \alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda^2_{n,m} |\alpha_{n,m}|^2 + \lambda_{n,m} |\beta_{n,m}|^2).$$  (38)
The left-hand side of (42) converges to 0 as \( k_1 \to \infty, k_2 \to \infty \) by using (38).
This completes our proof of Theorem 1.
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