On the Well-posedness of a Linear Heat Equation with a Critical Singular Potential

Daisuke HIRATA (平田 大介)

Department of Applied Physics, Waseda University Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

1 Introduction

This note is the joint work with Prof. M. Tsutsumi (Waseda Univ.).

Consider the initial-boundary value problem of a linear heat equation with a time-dependent singular potential V = V(t, x):

(IBVP)
$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = Vu & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N $(N \geq 3)$ and T > 0 is an arbitrary positive number. Here initial data u_0 is L^p -function on Ω , $p \geq 1$.

We are concerned with the well-posedness of IBVP on L^p if a potential V belongs to the class $L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$. Here, the class $L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$ may be regarded as a borderline case for the well-posedness. To see this situation, we shall briefly review the known results.

When a potential V belongs to $L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\sigma}(\Omega))$ with $\sigma > N/2$, for every initial data $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p \geq 1$ IBVP has a unique solution $u \in C([0,T];L^p(\Omega))$ which is acted on by the smoothing effect up to $u(t) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ for $t \geq \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$. More precisely, the following theorem is known (See Theorem A1 in [7] for instance).

Theorem A. Let $V \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\sigma}(\Omega))$, $\sigma > N/2$. For every $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$, $p \geq 1$, there exists unique solution $u \in C([0,T];L^p(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,T;L^{\infty}(\Omega))$ of IBVP.

On the other hand, if $V \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\sigma}(\Omega))$ with $\sigma < N/2$, then such a class of the potential V is too singular for assuming the existence of a solution u of IBVP. In fact, Baras and Goldestein [3] proved the following ill-posedness result.

Theorem B. Let $\Omega \ni 0$, and let V be a time-independent potential such that

 $V(x) = \frac{C}{|x|^2}$, where $C > \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$.

Then for every (smoothly) nontrivial nonnegative initial data $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, there is no nonnegative solution $u \in C([0,T];L^1(\Omega))$ of IBVP for any T>0 in the following sense:

$$\begin{cases} u \geq 0 & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Omega, \quad Vu \in L^1_{\text{loc}}((0,T) \times \Omega), \\ u_t - \Delta u = Vu & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0,T) \times \Omega) \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} u(t) \zeta = \int_{\Omega} u_0 \zeta & \text{for } \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Remark. (i) The above potential V is in $L^p(\Omega)$ for p < N/2 and does not belong to $L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)$.

(ii) $(N-2)^2/4$ is significant because the number is the optimal constant in Hardy inequality on a ball B or \mathbb{R}^N , that is,

$$\frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_B \frac{|\varphi|^2}{|x|^2} dx \le \int_B |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx,$$

for all $\varphi \in H_0^1(B)$.

From Theorem A and Theorem B, we can say that the potential class $L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$ is critical for the well-posedness of IBVP.

Our main results are, roughly speaking, as follows: If p is greater than one, then IBVP is well-posed on $L^p(\Omega)$. On the other hand, the well-posedness of IBVP breaks down on $L^1(\Omega)$. Precisely, the following theorems hold.

Theorem 1.1 Let $V \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$. Then for every $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$, p > 1, there exists a unique solution u satisfying the following (i) and (ii):

- (i) $u \in C([0,T];L^p(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0,T;L^{\frac{Np}{N-2}}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,T;L^q(\Omega))$ for any $q < +\infty$.
- (ii) For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0,T) \times \Omega)$ the above function u satisfies the following integral identity

$$\int_{\Omega} u_0 \varphi(0, x) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} [u\varphi_t + u\Delta\varphi + Vu\varphi] dx dt = 0.$$
 (1.2)

Remark. We can not expect that u(t) has L^{∞} -regularity for $t \geq \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$. The reason is as follows: If $u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,T;L^{\infty}(\Omega))$, then $Vu \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$. On the other hand, the maximal regularity result [10] gives that

$$u \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(0,T;W^{2,\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$$
 for any $p < \infty$.

But $W^{2,\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega) \not\subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 1.2 Let $\Omega \ni 0$, and let Ω' be an arbitrary subdomain in Ω with $\Omega' \ni 0$ and $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$. Suppose that V = V(x) is a nonnegative potential in $L^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \Omega')$ having such a singularity as

$$V(|x|) = \frac{C}{|x|^2} \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|^2} \right)^{-\alpha} \quad \text{near } x \approx 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\frac{2}{N} < \alpha \le 1$ and C > 0. Then for any C > 0 there exists some $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, $u_0 \ge 0$ such that there is no nonngative solution $u \in C([0,T];L^1(\Omega))$ of IBVP for any T > 0 in the following sense:

$$\begin{cases} u \geq 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Omega, \quad Vu \in L^{1}_{loc}((0, T) \times \Omega), \\ u_{t} - \Delta u = Vu & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \Omega), \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} u(t)\zeta = \int_{\Omega} u_{0}\zeta & \text{for } \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

$$(1.4)$$

Remark. (i) Note that the above V is in $L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\alpha > 2/N$. In addition, V is not in Kato class $\mathcal{K}_N(\Omega)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 1$. Recall that a measurable function V is in Kato class $\mathcal{K}_N(\Omega)$, if V satisfies

$$\lim_{r\downarrow 0} \left[\sup_{x\in \Omega} \int_{\{|x-y|\leq r\}\cap \Omega} \frac{|V(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \right] = 0.$$

If a potential V belongs to $\mathcal{K}_N(\Omega)$, then the Hamiltonian $H = -\Delta + V$ has several good properties (See B. Simon's survey [13], in which the related topics to Kato class $\mathcal{K}_N(\Omega)$ is discussed in detail, and see also [1]).

- (ii) The assumption $Vu \in L^1_{loc}((0,T) \times \Omega)$ is by no means restrictive. In fact, Baras and Cohen [2] proved that if a nonnegative measurable function F(t,x) is not in $L^1_{loc}((0,T) \times \Omega)$, then the solution u of $u_t = \Delta u + F(t,x)$ must have an instantaneous blow-up at t = 0 (see also [12] and [14]).
- (iii) The ill-posedness result remains true if we replace the above V by any potential \tilde{V} , where $\tilde{V}(x) \geq V(x)$ in Ω .

Notation: Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the space of all infinitely differentiable functions on Ω with compact supports, and $\mathcal{D}^+(\Omega) \equiv \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) ; \varphi \geq 0\}$. By C we denote general positive constants, which may be different in each inequality.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall proceed by approximation. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we truncate V by

$$V_n(t,x) = \begin{cases} -n & \text{if } V(t,x) \le -n, \\ V(t,x) & \text{if } -n \le V(t,x) \le n, \\ n & \text{if } V(t,x) \le n. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

Then we have $V_n \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Omega)$ and $V_n \to V$ strongly in $L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now we consider the sequence of approximate solutions $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which solves the following approximate problem:

$$\begin{cases} (u_n)_t - \Delta u_n = V_n u_n & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \\ u_n(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

Then from Theorem A we can see that for every $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$ there exists a unique approximate solution $u_n \in C([0,T];L^p(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,T;L^{\infty}(\Omega))$.

(i) Existence. We can establish as a priori estimates of u_n Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 below, where the proofs are omitted.

Proposition 2.1 There exists a constant C > 0 depending only on p, V, T and Ω such that

$$||u_n(t)||_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C||u_0||_{L^p(\Omega)},$$
 (2.3)

and

$$||\nabla |u_n|^{\frac{p}{2}}||_{L^2(0,T)\times\Omega}| \le C||u_0||_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$
 (2.4)

Moreover,

$$||u_n||_{L^p(0,T;L^{\frac{N_p}{N-2}}(\Omega))} \le C||u_0||_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$
 (2.5)

Proposition 2.2 Let $p_m = \left(\frac{N}{N-2}\right)^m p$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$||u_n(t)||_{L^{p_m}(\Omega)} \le \frac{C}{t^{\frac{m}{p}}}||u_0||_{L^p(\Omega)},$$
 (2.6)

for $t \in (0,T)$.

From Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, there exists a limit function $u = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n$ in the class $C(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; L^{\frac{Np}{N-2}}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$ for any $q < \infty$.

(ii) Convergence. For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0,T) \times \Omega)$, the approximate solution u_n satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} u_0 \varphi(0, x) dx + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} [u_n \varphi_t + u_n \Delta \varphi + V_n u_n \varphi] dx dt = 0.$$

We may only verify the convergence of the last term, since that of the remaining terms is obvious. Rewriting

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V_n u_n \varphi = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (V_n - V) u_n \varphi + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V u_n \varphi,$$

then we estimate

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (V_n - V) u_n \varphi \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left| V_n - V \right| \right|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))} \left| \left| u_n \right| \right|_{L^1(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega))} \left| \left| \varphi \right| \right|_{L^{\infty}((0,T)\times\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, then we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V_n u_n \varphi \to \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} V u \varphi. \tag{2.7}$$

(iii) Uniqueness. IBVP is the linear problem, so that we may only prove that if $u_0 \equiv 0$, then the solution u(t) is trivial. We give the proof of uniqueness by the duality method.

Since u belongs to $L^p(0,T;L^{\frac{Np}{N-2}})$, we have $Vu\in L^1(0,T;L^{q_0}(\Omega))$, with $\frac{1}{q_0}=\frac{N-2}{Np}+\frac{2}{N},\ q_0>1$. Thus, we obtain that $u\in C([0,T];L^{q_0}(\Omega))$. On the other hand, let w_n be the solution of the backward (approximate) problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(w_n)_t - \Delta w_n = V_n w_n & \text{in } (-\infty, t_0) \times \Omega, \\ w_n = 0 & \text{on } (-\infty, t_0) \times \Omega, \\ w_n(t_0, x) = \zeta(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (2.8)

where $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $t_0 \in (0,T)$ be arbitrary. Here we notice that $w_n \in$ $C([0,t_0];L^q(\Omega))\cap L^q(0,t_0;W^{2,q}(\Omega)\cap W^{1,q}_0(\Omega))$ and $(w_n)_t\in L^q((0,t_0)\times\Omega)$ for every $q < \infty$ (See [11] or [5]).

Thanks to desirable regularities of u and w_n we can take $\varphi = w_n$ as a test function by the density argument and the cut-off procedure with respect to t at $t = t_0$. Therefore, we see that the following integral identity makes sense: For every $t_0 \in [0,T]$, solution $u \in C([0,T]; L^{q_0}(\Omega))$ satisfies that

$$\int_{\Omega} u(t_0)\zeta = \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} [u(w_n)_t + u\Delta w_n + Vuw_n]$$

$$= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} (V - V_n)uw_n.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \int_{\Omega} (V - V_{n}) u w_{n} \right| \leq \left| |V - V_{n}| \right|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}})} ||u||_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{\frac{N_{p}}{N-2}})} ||w_{n}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{q})}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{2}{N} - \frac{N-2}{Np}$, q > 1. On the other hand, in the same manne as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$||w_n||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} \le C||\zeta||_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in (2.9), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(t_0)\zeta = 0.$$

The arbitariness of $t_0 \in (0,T]$ and of $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ yields that $u \equiv 0$.

Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark. If we use the parabolic version of Strichartz $L^p - L^q$ estimate in harmonic analysis (See [4] and [15]), we can give a more simple proof of Theorem 1.1 by the contraction mapping principle on the space-time function spaces.

An analogous poof of uniqueness in Theorem 1.1 gives that uniqueness of a solution of IBVP holds in the class $L^{\infty}(0,T;L^p(\Omega))$ provided $p>\frac{N}{N-2}$ as follows.

Theorem 2.3 Let $V \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$. Suppose that $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p}(\Omega))$ satisfies that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_0 \varphi(0, x) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} [u\varphi_t + u\Delta\varphi + Vu\varphi] dx dt = 0, \qquad (2.10)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0,T) \times \Omega)$. If $p > \frac{N}{N-2}$, then uniqueness of u holds in the class.

Brezis and Cazenave [7] proved the same uniqueness result for $V \in C([0,T];L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$. They suggested the question if one can replace the assumption $V \in C([0,T];L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$ by $V \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$ (see Open problem 9 in [7]). Thus, we can conclude that the answer is "positive".

Remark. Uniqueness in Theorem 2.3 fails when $p = \frac{N}{N-2}$. In fact, we can construct that for some $V \in C([0,T]; L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega))$ there exists a nontrivial solution $u \in C([0,T]; L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega))$ for initial data $u_0 \equiv 0$ (see Remark A3 in [7]). Hence, this uniqueness result is optimal.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The following lemma plays an essential role in proving Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1 Assume $\Omega \ni 0$. Let $v \in C([0,\infty); L^1(\Omega))$ be the solution of the heat equation:

(HE)
$$\begin{cases} v_t = \Delta v & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ v(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$
 (3.1)

Then there exists some $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, $u_0 \ge 0$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega'} Vv dx dt = +\infty, \tag{3.2}$$

where V is the potential in Theorem 1.2.

Remark. Of course, $v \ge 0$ by the maximum principle.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Omega = B(1)$ and $\Omega' = B(1/2)$, where $B(R) \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N ; |x| < R\}$. Moreover, we may assume that

$$V(|x|) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x|^2} \left(\log \frac{1}{|x|^2}\right)^{-\alpha} & \text{on } B(1/2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

We shall give the proof by contradiction. Suppose that for every $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, $u_0 \geq 0$, the solution v of (HE) satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{B(1/2)} V(|x|) v dx dt < +\infty.$$
 (3.4)

Applying the closed graph theorem to the linear mapping

$$u_0 \mapsto v|_{(0,1)\times B(1/2)},$$

then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_{B(1/2)} V(|x|) v dx dt \le C||u_0||_{L^1(B(1/2))}, \tag{3.5}$$

for every $u_0 \in L^1(B(1/2))$. We consider a sequence $\{u_0^n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(B(1/2))$ such that

$$||u_0||_{L^1(B(1/2))} \leq 1$$
 and $u_0^n \to \delta$ weakly in $\mathcal{M}(B(1/2))$,

where δ is the Dirac measure at 0 and $\mathcal{M}(B(1/2))$ is the space of signed Radon measures on B(1/2). Let G(t,x) be the corresponding Green function determined by (HE), then by letting $n \to \infty$,

$$v_n \to v = G * \delta = G(t, x).$$

Applying to u_0^n in (HE) and using Fatou's lemma, then we have

$$\int_0^1 \int_{B(1/2)} V(|x|) G(t,x) dx dt \le C.$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$G(t,x) \approx E(t,x)$$
 on $(0,1) \times B(1/2)$, (3.6)

where E is the fundamental solution of (HE) in $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Thus, we can estimate that

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \int_{B(1/2)} V(|x|) E(t, x) dx dt$$

$$\geq \begin{cases} \frac{\omega_{N}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{r^{N-3} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4}}}{t} \left[\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\log \frac{1}{tr^{2}} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right]_{t=1}^{t=\varepsilon} dr & \text{if } \frac{2}{N} < \alpha < 1, \\ \frac{\omega_{N}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{r^{N-3} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4}}}{t} \left[\log \log \frac{1}{tr^{2}} \right]_{t=1}^{t=\varepsilon} dr & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

where ω_N is the measure of the unit (N-1)-dimensional sphere. By using elementary inequalities: for any a, b > 0

$$(a+b)^{\alpha} \ge \frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha}}(a^{\alpha}+b^{\alpha}) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1),$$

and
$$\log(a+b) \ge \frac{1}{2}(\log a + \log b),$$

then we obtain

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \int_{B(1/2)} V(|x|) E(t, x) dx dt \ge \begin{cases} C_1 \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1-\alpha} - C_2 & \text{if } \frac{2}{N} < \alpha < 1, \\ C_3 \log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - C_4 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

where C_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) is positive constant. Hence, letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in (3.7), we find that

$$V(|x|)E(t,x) \not\in L^1((0,1) \times B(1/2)).$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$V(|x|)G(t,x) \notin L^1((0,1) \times B(1/2)),$$
 (3.8)

which contradicts the assumption (3.4). Therefore, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that for any $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, $u_0 \geq 0$, there exists some T > 0 and a nonnegative solution u of IBVP in the sense of (1.4).

By the standard argument, we can see that the solution u of IBVP in $C([0,T];L^1(\Omega))$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} u(T-\varepsilon)\zeta dx - \int_{\Omega} u(\varepsilon)\zeta dx + \int_{\varepsilon}^{T-\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta\zeta) dx \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{T-\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} Vu\zeta dx dt, \end{split}$$

for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and small $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $u \in C([0,T]; L^1(\Omega))$, by letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we see that each term in the left hand side converges as follows,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\Omega} u(T-\varepsilon)\zeta dx \to \int_{\Omega} u(T)\zeta dx, \\ & \int_{\Omega} u(\varepsilon)\zeta dx \to \int_{\Omega} u_0\zeta dx, \\ & \int_{\varepsilon}^{T-\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta\zeta) dx \to \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta\zeta) dx. \end{split}$$

The above convergence implies that

$$\lim_{arepsilon\downarrow 0}\int_{arepsilon}^{T-arepsilon}\int_{\Omega}Vu\zeta dxdt=\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Omega}Vu\zeta dxdt<\infty.$$

Taking $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}^+(\Omega)$ such that $\zeta \geq 1$ on Ω' , we deduce that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega'} Vu dx dt < \infty, \tag{3.9}$$

i.e., $Vu \in L^1((0,T) \times \Omega')$ (note that $V \ge 0$ and $u \ge 0$). On the other hand, we have the following maximum principle:

Proposition 3.2 Assume $F \in L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)$. Let $w \in C([0,T];L^1(\Omega))$ be a supersolution defined by

$$\begin{cases} w_t \ge \Delta w + F(t, x) & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \Omega), \\ w \ge 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \\ w(0, x) = w_0(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

If $F \geq 0$, then $w \geq 0$ on $[0,T] \times \Omega$.

Let v be the solution of the heat equation such that

$$(\text{HE}') \begin{cases} v_t = \Delta v & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega', \\ v = 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \partial \Omega', \\ v(0, x) = u_0(x)|_{\Omega'} & \text{in } \Omega', \end{cases}$$

then it follows from Proposition 3.2 that u is a supersolution of (HE'), and hence,

$$u(t) > v(t) > 0$$
 on $[0, T] \times \Omega'$.

In particular, taking $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ as in Lemma 3.1, then the nonnegative solution u of IBVP must satisfy

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega'} Vu dx dt = +\infty, \tag{3.11}$$

which contradicts (3.9). Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] M. Aizenman and B. Simon, Brownian motion and Harnack's inequality for Schrödinger operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 35 (1982) 209-271.
- [2] P. Baras and L. Cohen, Complete blow-up after T_{max} for the solution of a semi-linear heat equation, J. Funct. Anal., 71 (1987) 142-174.
- [3] P. Baras and J.A. Goldstein, The heat equation with a singular potential, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 284 (1984) 121-139.
- [4] P. Brenner, On $L_p L_q$ estimates for the wave-equation, Math. Z., 145 (1975) 251-254.
- [5] H. Brezis, Analyse fonctionnelle: Théorie et Applications, Masson, Paris, New York, 1983.
- [6] H. Brezis and X. Cabré, Some simple nonlinear PDE's without solutions, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., 1 (1998) 223-262.
- [7] H. Brezis and T. Cazenave, A nonlinear heat equation with singular intial data, J'd. Analyse Math., 68 (1996) 277-304.
- [8] H. Brezis and T. Kato, Remarks on the Schrödinger operator with singular complex potentials, J. Math. Pures Appl., 58 (1979) 137-151.
- [9] X. Cabré and Y. Martel, Weak eigenfunctions for the linearization of extremal elliptic problems, J. Funct. Anal., 156 (1998) 30-56.
- [10] T. Coulhon and D. Lamberton, Régularité L^p pour les équations d'évolution, Publi. Math. Univ. Paris VII, Sem. Analyse Fonct., 1984-1985, 155-165.
- [11] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonikov, and N.N. Ural'tseva, "Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1968.
- [12] I. Peral and J.L. Vazquez, On the stability or instability of the singular solution of the semilinear heat equation with exponential reaction term, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 129 (1995) 201-224.
- [13] B. Simon, Schrödinger semigroup, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1982) 447-526.
- [14] S. Sakaguchi and T. Suzuki, Interior imperfect ignition cannot occur on a set of positive measure, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 142 (1998) 143-153.
- [15] R. Strichartz, A priori estimates for the wave-equation and some applications, J. Funct. Anal., 5 (1970) 218-235.
- [16] F.B. Weissler, Local existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p , Indiana Univ. Math., 29 (1980) 79-102.