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New chiral proton sources 2-4 having axial chirality were introduced. They protonate 2-alkyl a-tetralone enolates 8-10 enantioselectively. Enantiomeric excess of up to 94% was obtained on protonation of 10, when MgI was used as a counter cation.
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Enantioselective protonation\(^2\) of ketone or ester enolates constitutes an important method for the preparation of optically active \(\alpha\)-substituted ketones or esters, complementary to the asymmetric \(\alpha\)-alkylation of carbonyl compounds. The acidity of the proton source plays a crucial role in achieving high enantiomeric excess (ee). Though chiral alcohols have been frequently used as a chiral proton source, they are acids too weak to complete the protonation in a limited time. Thus, high ee's cannot be obtained with chiral alcohols, because the alkoxide generated in situ acts as a base to remove the proton again. The phenolic hydroxyl group has a moderate acidity to protonate enolates, but it is difficult to put the proton in an asymmetric microenvironment. Only an isolated example has been reported for the use of (R)-1,1'-binaphthalene-2,2'-diol as a chiral proton source with low ee.\(^3\) Here, we report structurally unique chiral proton sources derived from 1,1'-binaphthalene-8,8'-dil (1) and a remarkable effect of Mg(II) on enantioselective protonation.

We chose carbamate 2-4 as the chiral proton sources, in which the acidic hydrogen would be kept in a highly chiral microenvironment for the following reasons. Firstly, the naphthyl hydrogen totally blocks one side of the phenolic hydroxyl group. Secondly, the carbamoyl moiety should be fixed as syn to the hydroxyl group as shown in Fig. 1a, because the \(\pi\)-\(\pi\) interaction of the naphthyl ring with a planar carbamoyl group is expected to be greater in the syn-form than in the anti-form (Fig. 1b).

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{1: } R &= H \\
\text{2: } R &= \text{CONMe}_2 \\
\text{3: } R &= \text{CONEt}_2 \\
\text{4: } R &= \text{CONipr}_2
\end{align*} \]
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(a) Syn-conformation.  
(b) Anti-conformation.

Figure 1.

Racemic 1 was resolved through the diester of (S)-O-acetylmandelic acid to give optically pure (R)-1. The desired carbamates 2-4 were easily prepared by condensation with the corresponding carbamyl chloride. X-ray analysis of (R)-4 unexpectedly revealed that the orientation of the carbonyl group is anti to the hydroxy group in the crystalline state (Fig. 1b) due to intermolecular hydrogen bonding. Molecular mechanics (MM) calculations, however, predict that 4 exists in the syn-form as the lowest energy conformation (Fig. 1a), which is 4.2 kJ/mol lower than that of anti-form of the lowest energy. This contradiction is not surprising, because intermolecular interactions are not included in the calculations. The conformation of (R)-4 in solution would be similar to that in the crystalline state, since such a strong intermolecular hydrogen bonding observed in the crystalline state would not be expected in the solution.

Pertinent results of chiral protonation of enolates 5-7 are listed in Table 1. The magnesium enolates were prepared from the corresponding enol acetates with methyl Grignard reagent in ether at room temperature. The enolates were protonated with a suspension of optically active carbamates 2-4 in ether at -78°C for 0.5 h followed by warming to 10°C for 1 h. The bulkiness of the substituents on the nitrogen has little effect on ee (see entries 1, 3, and 4). The MM calculations indicated that carbamates 2 and 3 exist in essentially the same conformation as that of 4. This might support the observed results of the small effect of the substituents on the nitrogen atom.

A remarkable effect of a counter cation of the enolate was observed. Magnesium enolate gave a higher ee than those of lithium (entries 1 and 2, 7 and 8, 9 and 10). Although the profound effects of the counter cation on the diastereoselectivity are well known in the aldol condensations, such a marked effect of the counter cation has not been observed in the asymmetric protonation. In the case of 8, the lithium enolate gave a product with an absolute configuration opposite to that from the magnesium enolate (see entries 1 and 2).

In conclusion, we have introduced novel chiral proton sources with unique structural features and shown that they can protonate the magnesium enolate of 2-alkyltetralones with moderate to high ee. The present studies raise the important suggestion that a change in the counter cation might be the way to achieve a high degree of enantioselective protonation, even though structural modification of chiral proton sources is very important.

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>enolate* compound</th>
<th>M =</th>
<th>proton source</th>
<th>product yield*</th>
<th>% ee*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 MgI 2 8</td>
<td>57(73)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 Li 2 ent-8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 MgI 3 8</td>
<td>72(80)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 MgI 4 8</td>
<td>66(73)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6 MgI 2 9</td>
<td>73(84)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 MgI 3 9</td>
<td>84(96)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 Li 2 10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7 MgI 2 10</td>
<td>48(90)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7 Li 3 10</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7 MgI 3 10</td>
<td>71(81)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Prepared from the corresponding enol acetate with MeMgX unless otherwise stated. **The yield in the parenthesis is based on the recovered starting material. ***Determined by HPLC using a chiral column (Daicel Chiralpack A5).

References

4. The MacroModel/MM2 (version 4.0) force field was used.