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By<br>Toshisada Naba，Litt．D．<br>Professor of Chinese History

In the course of the three hundred years of the T＇ang（唐）Dynasty（A．D． 618 －907），the military system changed three times．The first was the prefectural military system，called $f u$－ping－chih（府兵制）． 634 prefectural armies with a total of 600,000 soldiers guarded the interior of the Fmpire．Every frontier garrison was composed of corps，chün－t＇uan（簐国），divisions，chün（簐），observation－posts， shou－cho（守捉），look－out men，ch＇éng（城），etc．In the K＇ai－yüan（拼元）period （A．1）．713－741），the total frontier guards numbered 700,000 ．

Since General $H_{o-p a-y e n-s s u}$（賀拔延挏）was appointed chief commander，Liang－ chou－tu－tu（涼州都叒），of Liang－Chou（涼州）and commander－in－chief，Ho－hsi－chieh－ $t o-s h i h$（河西節度使），of $H o$－hsi（河西），in the second year of Ching－Yiin（A．D． 711 景雲），all the national defence of the $T^{\prime \prime} a n g$（唐）Empire was in the hands of the commanders－in－chief（節度使）．The archives of the Trien－pao（天嚆）period， which contain original historical material concerning the armies，and which are now in the possession of the National Library in Paris（Document No．2657），show that the natives were in public service as members of the observation－post，or look－out men．

Since the beginning of the T＇ien－pao（天寶）period，the prefectral military system fell into decay．Therefore，when the disturbance of $A n$－lu－Shan（安形山）occurred， the T＇ang Government（唐朝廷）barely maintained the Empire by levied troops， $i$－yung－ping（義勇兵）．On the other hand，the defence of the frontier was rather strong．

The government expense for clothing，rations，munitions，war－horses，and military preparations of defence was enormous．The expense only of rations in the tenth
year of $K^{\prime} a i$－yüan（開元）period，for 400,000 to 500,000 soldiers，was six million $h u$（斛）for a year．My research on the original document of the Tou－lu（豆盧） division（Archive No． 3348 in the National Library in Paris）in the fourth or sixth year of K＇ai－yïan（A．D． 716 or 718 開尤）of the T＇ang dynasty，shows that the rations of each soldier on frontier defence cost 1,770 wen（文），and the total 385 ， 000 Kuan－u仑ीn（貫文）．One Kuan（貫）is one thousand wen（文）．

The Tou－lu（研盧）division belongs to the jurisdiction of the commander－in－ chief（節度使）of Ho－hsi（河西）and its fixed members were 4,300 soldiers．Its headquarters was situated in the tuwn of Sha－ch）u（沙州）．Originally，the fixed number of the frontier guards of $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}-h s i$（河西）was 73,000 ．It was the second largest corps in defence of all the frontiers of the $T^{\prime \prime}$ ang Empire in the K＇ai－ yüan（閉尤）－Tien－pao（天資）period．A detailed calculation of expenses which maintained the defence，both interior and frontier，is not given in the authentic histories of the $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ang dynasty，and therefore，we cannot tell what was its econo－ mic activity．
We can understand the economic activities of that period through documents of th：Kai－yüan（開尤）period，which are in the National Library in Paris（Documents No． 5529 and No．2862）．Document No． 2862 gives a calculation of the income of the pullic offices in the Toung－Houang（敦煌）district，and also an account of the domestic animals of the five fortresses，such as Kuang－Ming（廣明）etc．，situat－ ed along the boundaries of Sha－chou（沙州）as far as the frontier of Kua chou（瓜州）．These are described minutely in a geographical book called Sha－chnu－tu－tu－ fu－t＇u－ching（沙州都督拊渵經），belonging to the tou－lu（豆虚）division．

In this original document，No． 2826 in Paris，we find all sorts of granted things， such as silk，wheat，Chinese millet，peas；domestic animals，such as cows，camels， asses，etc．－about thirty sorts of things．In addition，Document No． 2803 has an account of a calculation of expenditures on horse－rations，such as wheat，peas and Chinese millet in those ootresses．In Document No．3664，we can find economic conditions of those five fortresse；from the fifth to the twelfth year of the $T^{\prime}$ ien－ pao period（A．1）． 746753 天装）．

The most interesting materials found in the documents is a list of forty members of the frontier garrison of Tou－lu（豆盧重）．Each member is allowed military clothing such as we cannot find mentioned in any other historical books in China． These clothes were made of silk and hemp．In that period，there was no cultivat－ ed cotton in China，and therefore we cannot find any clothes made of cotton mentioned in these documents．Cotton clothes are mentioned later in the $T^{\prime}$ ang dynasty，but they were imported goods and very expensive．

In Document No．3348，which contains an account－book of the Tou－lu（豆慮） division，we can find the current price of wheat，peas，and Chinese millet in the lifth or sixth year of the I＇ien－pao（天地）period（A．D． 746 or 747）．Document No． 2862 also contains one paragraph relating to the current prices of grain in the same period．From these current prices，we can calculate the current price of silk，etc．in the＇I＇ien－pao period．The total cost of clothing for 4,300 soldiers of the Tou－lu（面膚）division is 28,382 Kuan－uen（貫文）for one year，and the total cost of clothing for 73,000 members of the frontier garrison in the Ho－hsi（河西） prefecture is about 400,000 Kuan－wen（貫文）．
＇These original materials for the economic history of national defence in the Ho－ hsi（河畐i）prefecture are the most valuable documents for the study of Chinese history．

In regard to this economic history，I have studied some new topics，for example， the interpretation of $t s^{\prime} a 0-s h i h$（草市）．Ts＇aoshih（草市）means a new market in the $I^{\prime \prime}$ ang（唐）and Sunf（乘）dynasties which was held outside the city－wall （chêng－pi 城壁），but，until now，no investigators have yet been able to interpret the designation of ts＇ao－shih（異ifj）．Now，I have tried to explain the meaning of ts＇ao－shih by means of the popular designation in the $T^{\prime \prime} a n g$（唐）age．Ts＇ao－shih （畀书）is not a grass or fodder market；I＇s＇ao（草）is a popular designation for
 great many pack－horses assemble from all parts of the country，carrying products． I shall omit from this translated summary other topics which I have taken up in this treatise．
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I. Purpose : The Kyoto Prefecture contains one great city, several small cities, many rural towns, and also many villages including farm, mountain, or seashore. They represent some typical styles of Japancse living. However, they do not vary much in educational system and customs, as they are all located in the same administrative section and communication is comparatively easy. We can then consider that, if there be any difference in the distribution of time spent by children, it is largely due to the difference of actual environmental-social pressure on the children's activities, and this will show to a certain extent the social demands in those living conditions. Under these considerations we have made an attempt to investigate how school children spend their time in the Kyoto Prefecture, and to compare their environmental differences.

## II. Procedure :

A. Subjects: All the children of the 6th grade in the Kyoto Prefectureabout 36,000 in number-were made to write an account of a single day, according to the "Log" method. The following samples were selected from the data for statistical arrangement:

1. Urban districts (Kyoto City)
a. Shopping and Business Districts : B. 175, G. 175
b. Residential Quarters:
B. 135 , G. 150
c. Manufacturing Districts:
B. 160 , G. 170
d. Slum Quarters:
B. 110 , G. 105

## is and Medians of Time spent by rumal children.

Girls

y at home.

Table
I. Averages and Medians of Time spen

| Activities | Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Town |  |  |  | Farm Village |  |  |  | Mountain Village |  |  |  | Seashore Village |  |  |  |
|  | AV ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { AV } \end{gathered}$ | Md ※ | \%of \% None | AV | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { AV } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Md} ※$ | \%ot ※ <br> None | AV | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \mathrm{AV} \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Md } ※$ | $\%$ or ※ <br> None | AV ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { AV } \end{aligned}$ | M 11 ※ | $\%$ of <br> None |
| Physiological necessities Sleeping | $\begin{aligned} & 717.8 \\ & 603 \end{aligned}$ | 49.7 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 730.0 \\ & 607.0 \end{aligned}$ | 50.7 |  |  | 686.6 588.5 | 47.7 |  |  | 730.7 624.5 | 50.8 |  |  |
| Helping and Work at home | 72.0 | 5.0 | 53.0 | 12.3 | 82.5 |  | 57.6 | 13.8 | 102.0 | 7.1 | 73.4 |  | 70.5 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 23.6 |
| School life <br> Time required on the , <br> Lessons <br> Play <br> Other thilhgs | $\begin{array}{r} 412.5 \\ 42.0 \\ 252.0 \\ 87.0 \\ 31.5 \end{array}$ | $28.6$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 382.5 \\ 33.0 \\ 240.0 \\ 76.5 \\ 33.0 \end{array}$ | $26.6$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 444.0 \\ 51.0 \\ 273.0 \\ 88.5 \\ 31.5 \end{array}$ | $30.9$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 442.5 \\ 48.0 \\ 283.0 \\ 84.5 \\ 27.0 \end{array}$ | $30.7$ |  |  |
| Study at home and preparation Homework, review, Others | $\begin{array}{r} 62.0 \\ 58.0 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ | 4.3 | 43.4 | $16.0$ | 42.0 36.0 6.0 | $2.9$ | 21.6 | 31.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 25.0 \\ 24.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | 1.7 | 16.2 | 49.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 23.0 \\ 21.0 \\ 2.0 \end{array}$ | $1.6$ | 12.9 | 52. |
| Play at home <br> Outdoor <br> Indoor <br> Reading <br> Other | 112.0 <br> 51.0 <br> 34.5 <br> 19.5 <br> 7.5 | 7.8 | $93.0$ | $6.2$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115.0 \\ 70.0 \\ 21.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 9.0 \end{array}$ | $8.0$ | 73.0 | 8.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 97.0 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 30.0 \\ & 15.0 \\ & 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | 6.8 |  | 11.6 | $117.0$ <br> 58.5 <br> 31.5 <br> 24.0 <br> 3.0 | $8.1$ | 89.5 | 9.2 |
| Amusements members <br> Chat with family <br> Radio, newspaper <br> Cinema | 28.7 18.0 10.5 0.2 | $2.0$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 36.6 \\ 19.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | $2.5$ |  |  | 37.5 21.0 12.0 4.5 | 2.6 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 21.9 \\ 13.5 \\ 7.5 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ | $1.5$ |  |  |
| Doing nothing | 22.5 | 5.3 |  |  | 52.5 | 3.6 |  |  | 49.5 | 3.4 |  |  | 34.5 | 2.5 |  |  |

※ Medians and Percentages of Non-participants are mentioned only with ILelping, Study and Play at home.
dians of Time spent by Urban children.


Table I. Averages and Medians of Ti

※ Medians and Percentages of Non-participants are mentioned only with Helping; 'Study and Play at home.
2. Rural districts
a. Towns:
B. 490 , G. 420
b. Farm Villages :
B. 150 , (i. 130
c. Mountain Villages :
B. 160 , G. 160
d. Seashore Villages :
B. 160 , G. 145
3. Total:
B. 1540 , (.) 1450
B. Date: One week day in the autumn of 1947 was set for each school, avoiding days of special events, such as school holidays for helping on the farm, and days on which school meetings and excursions were held, and so on.
III. Main Results: The children's activities are classified in the following categories; (1) physiological necessiies (including sleeping, eating, bathing, evacuation, medical treatments, etc.), (2) helping and work at home, (3) school life (including the time required on the way to and from school and recess hours at school, etc.), (4) study at home, (5) play at home and neighlorhcod (inclulling reading, outdoor play, indoor play, quarrels, etc.) (6) amusements (including chatting with family members, reading newspapers, listening to radio, attending cinema, etc.), (7) doing nothing.
Table I and II show the averages and medians of the time spent in these activities. They also contain the percentages of non-participants, showing what percent of children do not work, play, or study at home.
The distribution of frequencies in percentage is shown in Fig . I in the Japanese section (p. 28). These figures show only the urban samples. The figures in the upper two rows are for "Helping and Work", the middle two for "Study at Home", the lower two for "Play at Home". For each activity the upper row is for boys, the lower for girls. The first column shows the "Shopping" quarters, the second the "Residential", the third the " Manufacturing", the last the "Slum Quarters". In each figure the abscissa shows the time spent in the unit of a quarter, the ordinate shows the percentage of the frequencies.
(1) About $50 \%$ of a day is spent for physiological necessities, and about $30 \%$ for school life. These do not show much environmental and sex difference, though the ublan children-especially of manufacturing and slum districts-sleep more, and the rural children spend more time in attending school.
(2) Main environmental differences are found in the proporions between helping, study, and play at home.

Table III shows these proportions：In the urban slum quaters，the rural mountain－and fishing－villages children study least at home，only about 20 － 30 minutes in the average and 10 minutes in the median．The time for play and helping does not differ in the various districts，so the proportion of these to study is double or treble in these districts compared to those of the other districts．

Table III．Proportions of Time spent in ITefping and Play to Study at home．

|  |  |  |  | Boy |  |  | （iirl |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 感 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 臣 | Town | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AV} \\ & \mathrm{Md} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 2.1 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.01 \\ & 2.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.23 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Farm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AV } \\ & \mathrm{Md} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.97 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.34 \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.13 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Mountain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AV } \\ & \mathrm{Md} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.08 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.95 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.23 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.85 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Seashore | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AV} \\ & \mathrm{Md} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.07 \\ & 4.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.09 \\ & 6.9 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.41 \\ & 6.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.42 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 㕆 | Shopping | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AV } \\ & \text { Md } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Residential | $\begin{aligned} & \Lambda V \\ & \mathrm{Md} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.17 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Manufacturing | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AV} \\ & \mathrm{Md} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.68 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.07 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.83 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.89 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Slum | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AV} \\ & \mathrm{Mri} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.15 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.70 \\ & 8.4 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.15 \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.86 \\ & 0.66 \end{aligned}$ |

（3）As a result of comparison of the medians and distributions of each activity，we can conclude that the proportion in the urban residential districts and the rural farm districts can be said to be the standard．Compared with these，children in the shopping districts play and help less，those in the ma－ nufacturing and the slum districts play most and the slum districts study least． The mountain villages are more helped by children，especially by boys，and （6）
they permit chiddren less time for study. The fishing villages let their children act more freely (less sturly and much play).
(4) The envirommental differences come out more conspicuously in boys than girls.
(5) All the distribution of frequencies, excepting the physiolugical necessities and school life which are fimly regulated biologically and socially, are J yped or asymmetrically skewed to the shoter time. The standard deviations amount almost to the averages. The statistical estimation of the reliability of the differences can not be computed.
(6) Analysis of the data concening the intelligence and the school records show that the study hours at home and reading are more in proportion to the level of intelligence and school reconds, and this gradient is steeper with the latter in the rural children. With the other living activities, no significant regularity was found as to the difference of I( 2 and school achievements.

