

A Study for Structure and Function of the Prostaglandin E Receptor

1994

Yukihiko Sugimoto

CONTENTS

INT	TRODUCTION	1
1.	Structure and function of three subtypes of	
	the prostaglandin E receptor	
	SUMMARY	6
	RESULTS	8
	DISCUSSION	22
2.	Distinct cellular localization of the mRNAs for three	
	subtypes of the PGE receptor in the mouse kidney	
	SUMMARY	30
	RESULTS	31
	DISCUSSION	35
3.	identification of two isoforms of the PGE receptor	
	subtype EP3	
	SUMMARY	- 39
	RESULTS	40
	DISCUSSION	50
СС	DNCLUSION	55
EX	PERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	56
AC	KNOWLEDGMENTS	65
RE	FERENCES	66

INTRODUCTION

Prostanoids comprising various oxygenated metabolites of arachidonic acid such as prostaglandins (PGs) and thromboxane (TX) exert a variety of biological activities for maintenance of local homeostasis in the body (Samuelsson et al., 1978; Moncada et al., 1985). Characterization of the prostanoid receptors has been carried out pharmacologically by comparing the actions and potencies of various types of PGs in different bioassay systems and then by using PG analogues more specific to each action (Halushka et al., 1989). Through these studies it is suggested that each prostanoid has a specific receptor (Fig. 0-1). Among these prostanoids, PGE₂ in particular produces a broad range of biological actions in diverse tissues. These actions of PGE₂ include the contraction or relaxation of vascular and nonvascular muscles, and stimulation or suppression of secretion of the neurotransmitters and hormones (Fig. 0-2).



Fig. 0-1. Structures of the prostanoids. Prostanoids are synthesized from arachidonic acid by cyclooxygenase. Prostanoids exert their biological activities through specific cell-surface receptors.



Fig. 0-2. Actions of prostagrandin (PG) E_2 . PGE₂ has a wide spectrum of physiological and pharmacological actions in diverse tissues.

PGE receptors are pharmacologically subdivided into three subtypes, EP₁, EP₂ and EP₃ (Coleman et al., 1987; Coleman et al., 1990), and these subtypes are suggested to be different in their signal transduction; they are presumed coupled to stimulation of phospholipase C, stimulation and inhibition of adenylate cyclase, respectively (reviewed by Coleman et al. 1990). Some of pharmacological actions of these subtypes have been characterized (Fig. 0-3). However, contribution of these subtypes to each action of PGE₂ has not yet been established, none of the receptors has been isolated, and their molecular characterization has been carried out only poorly.

EP1	IP3/DG	Gastrointestinal Smooth Muscle; Contraction Central Neurons; Hyperalgesia
EP2	CAMPI	<i>Trachea</i> : Relaxation <i>Vascular Smooth Muscle</i> : Relaxation <i>Chief Cells</i> : Gastric Nonparietal Secretion <i>Hypothalamus</i> : Pyrexia
EΡ3	CAMPI	Adipocytes; Inhibition of Lipolysis Kidney; Inhibition of Sodium and Water Reabsorption Parietal Cells; Inhibition of Gastric acid Secretion Uterus; Contraction Central and Peripheral Neurons; Inhibition of Neurotransmitter Release

Fig. 0-3. Subtypes of PGE receptor. These subtypes are supposed to differ in their signal transduction pathways. Well-characterized biological actions of each subtype are shown.

The author isolated cDNAs for three subtypes of the mouse PGE receptor, and using these cDNAs, characterized their structural and functional natures (Sugimoto et al. 1992; Honda et al. 1993; Watabe et al. 1993). The author also found their functional cellular distributions by investigating them in the renal nephron as a model system (Sugimoto et al. 1994). Furthermore, the author found the existence of two molecular forms of EP3 different functionally (Sugimoto et al. 1993). Obtained information in the present study on PGE receptor is surely to facilitate not only understanding of the physiological functions of PGE2, but also development of more subtype-specific PGE analogues for therapeutic purposes.

The abbreviations used in the text are as follows;

AppNHp, adenyl-5'-yl β , γ -imidodiphosphate

bp, base pair(s)

C-, carboxyl-

cAMP, cyclic 3',5'-adenosine monophosphate

cDNA, complementary DNA

CHO, Chinese hamster ovary

CTP, citidine triphosphate

dCTP, deoxy citidine triphosphate

DHFR, dihydrofolate reductase

DTT, dithiothreitol

EC₅₀, drug concentration to exert 50% of maximal response

EDTA, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid

G protein, heterotrimeric GTP-binding protein

GTP, guanine triphosphate

GTPase, guanine triphosphate hydrase

GTP γ S, guanosine 5'-O-(3-thiotriphosphate)

IC₅₀, drug concentration to inhibit response by 50%

MES, 4-morpholineethanesulfic acid

mRNA, messenger RNA

N-, amino-

PBS, phosphate buffered saline

PCR, polymerase chain reaction

PG, prostaglandin

PT, pertussis toxin

SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate

SSC, standard saline citrate

TM, transmembrane segment

TX, thromboxane

[Ca²⁺]i, intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration

The names of isolated cDNA clones used in the text are as follows;

HPL, the human thromboxane A₂ receptor

MK643, the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP1

MP412, the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP₂

MP660, the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP3 (EP3 α isoform)

MP653, the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP3 (EP3ß isoform)

LT3, the mouse thromboxane A₂ receptor (a partial cDNA)

ML64, the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP3 (a partial cDNA)

ML42, the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP1 (a partial cDNA)

The subtype-selective PGE analogues used in the present study are shown in Fig. 0-4.

Agonists





Sulprostone (EP1, EP3)







butaprost (EP₂)

misoprostol (EP2, EP3)

11-deoxy-PGE₁ (EP₂, EP₃)





M&B28767 (EP3)

GR63799X (EP₃)

Antagonists





Fig. 0-4. Structures of subtype-selective PGE ligands used in the present study.

1. Structure and function of three subtypes of the prostaglandin E receptor

SUMMARY

Functional cDNA clones for three subtypes of mouse PGE receptor were isolated from a mouse cDNA library using polymerase chain reaction based on the sequence of the human TXA₂ receptor and cross-hybridization screening. These receptor together with TX receptor belong to a new family of G protein-coupled receptors.

The mouse EP3 receptor consists of 365 amino acid residues with an estimated molecular weight of 40,077. [³H]PGE₂ specifically bound to the membrane of mammalian COS cells transfected with the EP3 cDNA. The binding of EP3 was displaced with unlabeled PGs in the order of PGE₂ = PGE₁ > iloprost (a prostacyclin agonist) > PGD₂ > PGF₂ α . The EP3-selective agonists, M&B28767 or GR63799X, potently competed for the [³H]PGE₂ binding, but no competition was found with EP₁- or EP₂-selective ligands. PGE₂ and M&B28767 decreased forskolin-induced cAMP formation in a concentration-dependent manner in Chinese harnster ovary (CHO) cells permanently expressing EP3. Northern blot analysis demonstrated that the EP3 mRNA is expressed abundantly in kidney, uterus, and mastocytoma P-815 cells and in a lesser amount in brain, thymus, lung, heart, stomach and spleen.

The mouse EP₂ receptor consists of 513 amino acid residues with an estimated molecular weight of 56,157. [³H]PGE₂ specifically bound to the membrane of COS cells transfected with the EP₂ cDNA. The binding to the membrane was displaced with unlabeled PG in the order of PGE₂ = PGE₁ >> iloprost (a prostacyclin agonist) \geq PGF₂ $\alpha \geq$ PGD₂. The binding was also inhibited by misoprostol (an EP₂ and EP₃ agonist), but not by sulprostone (an EP₁ and EP₃ agonist), and SC-19220 (an EP₁ antagonist). PGE₂ markedly increased cAMP level in COS cells transfected with the EP₂

-6-

cDNA. The EP₂ mRNA is widely expressed in various tissues, the abundant expression being observed in ileum, thymus and mastocytoma P-815 cells.

The mouse EP₁ receptor consists of 405 amino acid residues with an estimated molecular weight of 42,966. [³H]PGE₂ specifically bound to the membrane of CHO cells stably expressing EP₁. The binding to the membrane was displaced with unlabeled PGs in the order of PGE₂ > iloprost (a prostacyclin analogue) > PGE₁ > PGF₂ > U-46619 (a thromboxane A₂ analogue) > PGD₂. The binding was also inhibited by 17-phenyl trinor PGE₂ (an EP₁ agonist), and sulprostone (an EP₁ and EP₃ agonist), but not by 11-deoxy PGE₁ (an EP₂ and EP₃ agonist), and butaprost (an EP₂ agonist). PGE₂ induced a rapid increase in intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration in CHO cells expressing the receptor. The mRNA for EP₁ receptor is expressed abundantly in kidney and in a lessor amount in lung.

RESULTS

1. cDNA cloning strategy for the PGE receptor

It has been reported that the prostanoid receptor in general has cross-reactivity with the other prostanoids in addition to its own prostanoid ligand. For instance, the PGE receptor in various cells and tissues revealed significant binding to iloprost, a prostacyclin agonist, to PGF_{2 α}, and to PGD₂ in addition to PGE₂ or PGE₁. Recently, Hirata et al. identified the structure of the human TXA2 receptor (1991), and this receptor is one of the G protein-coupled rhodopsin-type receptors but only limited homology was seen with other members of this type of receptors. From these results, the author supposed that the various prostanoid receptors including the TX receptor construct a novel receptor subfamily of the rhodopsin-type superfamily with homologous structures. In order to isolate cDNA clones encoding these receptors from mouse tissues, the author performed a series of cloning steps as follows; (1) preparation of probe cDNA fragment useful for cross-hybridization (2) isolation of partial cDNA clones by cross-hybridization with the probe (3) searching for an appropriate cell or tissue for cDNA library by Northern hybridization (4) construction of the appropriate cDNA library (5) isolation of a functional cDNA clone from the library. The cloning methods for the mouse PGE receptor is summarized in Fig. 1-1. Detailed conditions of each cloning were described in "Experimental Procedures".



Fig. 1-1. Cloning strategy for the mouse PGE receptor cDNAs. LT3 (a partial cDNA fragment of the mouse TX receptor) was used as a probe in cross-hybridization for isolation of EP₃ and EP₁ cDNAs. A partial cDNA fragment of the mouse EP₃ cDNA was used in isolation of EP₂ cDNA. Detailed conditions for molecular cloning for three PGE receptors are described under "*Experimental Procedures*".

2. Structures of the mouse PGE receptors.

Fig. 1-2a-c shows nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of MP660, a functional cDNA for the mouse EP3 receptor, MP412 for the EP2 receptor, and MK643 for the EP1 receptor. The EP3 polypeptide consists of 365 amino acid residues with an estimated molecular weight of 40,077. The EP₂ polypeptide consists of 513 amino acid residues with an estimated molecular weight of 56,157. The EP1 polypeptide consists of 405 amino acid residues with an estimated molecular weight of 42,966. The hydropathicity profiles determined by Kyte and Doolittle method (1982) and the sequence homology analyses indicated that all these receptor proteins possess seven hydrophobic segments and share significant sequence similarity with other members of G proteincoupled receptors (O'Dowd et al. 1989a), especially with the human TX receptor (Hirata et al. 1991). Similar to other G protein-coupled receptors (Hubbard and Ivatt 1981; Lefkowitz and Caron 1988), the EP1 receptor has two potential N-glycosylation sites at the extracellular amino- (N-) terminal region, and the EP2 and EP3 receptor have the sites at the N-terminal and the second extracellular loop regions. Every EP receptor has multiple serine and threenine residues at the cytoplasmic loops or the carboxyl- (C-) terminal tail as possible phosphorylation sites. Among three receptors, the EP2 receptor possesses a relatively longer third intracellular loop (59 amino acid residues) than the EP3 receptor (19 residues). This receptor also possesses a long C-terminal tail (153 amino acids), as do the β_2 -adrenergic (Dixon et al. 1986) and dopamine-D₁ (Dearry et al. 1990) receptors.

a

-118 GCGGCGGCGATGGAGAGAGAGCAGAGCCTGGGCCCCGGCTGTCCCC	-76
CAGTGCACTCTGCTGCTATCCCGCGAGCTGAGCCGGGAGGCCCCGGCGCCCCGCGCGCCCCACT	-1
M A S M W A P E H S A E A H S N L S S T T D D C G	25
Atggctagcatgtggggggggggggggggggggggggggg	75
S V S V A F P I T M M V T G F V G N A L A M L L V	50
TCCGTGTCCCGTGGCCTTTCCCATCATCATGATGGTCACTGGCTTCGTGGGCAACGCGCTGGCCATGCTGCTCGTG	150
\overline{S} R S Y R R R R K K K K K L L L \overline{T} G W L A L T T C	75 225
D L V G Q L L T S P V V I L V Y L S Q R R W E Q L	100
GACTTAGTGGGGGGGGCCCGGTGGCCCGGGGGGGGGGGG	300
D P S G R L C T F F G L T M T V F G L S S L L V A	125
GACCCATCGGGGGGGTCTGTGCACCTTCTTCGGGGCTAACCATGACAGTGTTCGGGGCTATCCTCGCTCCTGGTGGCC	375
S A M A V E R A L A I R A P H W Y A S H M K T R A	150
AGCGCCATGGCCGTGGAGCGCCCTGGCCATCCGTGCGCCGCATGGCAGCACATGAAGACTCGCGGCC	450
TV PVLLGVWLSVLAFALLPVLGVG	175
ACGCCGGTACTGCGGCGTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	525
S V Q W P G T W C F I S T G P A G N E T D P A R E	200
AGCGTGCAGTGGGCGGGGCACGGGGCACGAGACCGGGCCGGGCGAGACCGGGCCGGGCCGGGCGGGGCAG	600
P G S ^V V A F A S A F A C L G L L A L V V T F A C N	225
CCGGGCAGCGTGGCCTTGCCCTCGCCTGCTGGGCCTGGGGGGGG	675
LATIKALVSRCRAKAAVSQSSAQWG	250
CTGGGGACCATCAAAGCCCTGGTGTCGGGGCCAAAGCCGCCGTCTCGCAGTCCAGCGCCCAGTGGGGGC	750
R 1 T T E T A I Q ^I L M G I M C V L S V C W S P L L	275
AGAATCACCACGGAGACGGCCATCCAGCTCATGGGGGATCATGTGTGTG	825
I M M L K M I F N Q M S V E Q C K T Q M G K E K E	300
ATAATGATGTTGAAAATGATCTTCAATCAGATGTCGGTTGAGCAATGCAAGACAGATGGGAAAGGAGAAGGAG	900
VE C N S F L I A V R L A S L N Q I L D P W V Y L L L TGCAATTCCTTTCTAATTGCAGTTCGCCTGGCTTCGCTGAACCAGATCTTGGATCCTGGGTTTATCTGCTGCTA	325 975
R K I L R K F C Q I R D H T N Y A S S S T S L P	350
AGAAAGATCCTTCTTCGGAAGTTCTGCCAGATCAGAGACCACCACCAACTATGCTTCCAGCTCCACCTCCTTGCCC	1050
C P G S S A L M W S D Q L E R	365
Tecccaggetectcagecetgatgtgggggggggggggggg	1125
CAGTACCTGTTTCCCTGGGTCTGAGAATTTCTTCTCCCCAGGGAAGGATGACTGAGTATTTTGGATTGTATCTTCT TTTGGCCTCAATTTTAAGTTTTCCTTGCCATTAAACACACCGAGACAGGCTTTCTTAGGATAATCTGAGAGGTCTG GTTGTTAGCTGGTCCTGTGAAGACTGGAAGCTCGCACCTGGAGCAGGGGGCAAGACGACACAGAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCA	1200 1275 1350 1425 1500 1575 1650 1725 1800 1875 1950 1989

Fig. 1-2. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of EP₃- (a), EP₂- (b) and EP₁cDNA (c). The deduced amino acid sequence is shown above or below the nucleotide sequence using single letter code. Positions of the putative transmembrane segments I -VII are indicated by overlines or underlines. The termini of each segment are tentatively assigned on the basis of a hydropathicity profile and comparison with other G proteincoupled receptors. a and b, *Asterisks*, potential N-glycosylation sites in the extracellular regions. *Stars*, potential phosphorylation sites by cAMP-dependent protein kinase. c, *Crosses*, potential *N*-glycosylation sites in the extracellular regions; *Asterisks and parallel crosses*, potential phosphorylation sites by protein kinase A and protein kinase C, respectively.

м	noo A	E	AU	2 C T	эса 3	G	r J	[F	> 1	RGA:	rce 5	N	R	E	L	Q	R	cru	V	L L	LJA	T	T	T.	I.	M	S	I	P	G	V	Ņ	A	S	F	s	s.crc	T.	P	E
A	3GC	TG≯	AC	AGCI	CG	GTG/	400/	4 TTC		GCAG	зта	ATG	TTO	CATO	CTT	CGG	GGT	GGT	GGG	CAA	.cct	IGG1	rggo	:CA1	rcgi	AGT	'ATT	GTG	саа	GTC	GCG	÷ CAA	GGA	GCJ	GA/	\AG∤	AGAC	GAC	CTT	TT.
R	I.	Ņ	2	ب ہ	<u> </u>	<u>v 7</u>	പ	ലീ	, /	۹. ۱	/	М	F	I	E.	Ģ	v	v	Ģ	N	Ŀ	V_	A		<u>v</u>	<u>v</u>	_ <u>k</u> _	<u>c</u>	К	S	Ŕ	ĸ	Е	Q	ĸ	Е	Т	T	F	Y
A	TC	TAC	TA	IGTO	GG	ста	3CT(STCA	LCT(GAC	277	ста	GGG		CTT	GTT	GT/	AAG	ccc	GGT	GAC	CAT	rege	CAC	ATF	CAT	GAN	GGG	CCA	GTG	GCC	CGG	AGA	.cc/	GGG	ACT	GTC	JTG/	ACTA	ATA
I	Ļ	<u> </u>		; (;	L /	1	<u>/ T</u>		p I	<u>.</u>	Ļ	Ģ.	т	L	Ļ,	v	s	P	v	Т	I	A	T	Y	м	к	G	Q	W	Р	G	D	Q	А	Ŀ	с	D	Y	s
II			.		-						~~~	~				-		~ 1 ~	~ ~ ~	~ • •		~~~		~~~	~~~			~~*	~~~	~~~~		~~.	~~~							
T	-C1 F	1CH	10	21 AS	лт	FIC: F F	100	30 I C	. 16.	1000 5 (361 3	CIC L	AGU S	I	I	C	A	M	S	I	E	R	Y	L	A.	I	N	H	A	Y	F	Y	S	H	Y	V	D	K	R	L L
-	n	1														_					_	•																		
GC	:cG	GCC	τC	CAG	TC	TTCC	;CC)	\TCT	'ATC	GCA1	FCT	AAC	GTO	CTO	STT	CTG	GCC	GCT	GCC	CAA	CAT	GGG	ССТ	GGG	CAC	ATC	CGA	GCG	GCA	STA	ccc	GGG	CAC	cro	GTC	cm	CAT	1CGP	1CTG	GAC
<u>A</u>	<u> </u>				۰	<u>F</u> 7	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u>A</u> 5	5	N	v	L	F	C	<u>A</u>	Ľ	P	м	M	G	L	Ç	R	. s	E,	R	Q	Y	Р	G	т	w	с	F	I	D	W	т
AC	CA	ACG	TAJ	1¢G0		TACC	scer	зсст	тс	rcm	CAC	ATG	TAC	GCC	CGG	стт	CAGO	erc	CTT	сст	CAT	cer	TGC	CAC	CGT	GCT	CTG	саа	CGT	зст	GGT	GTG	CGG	CGC	:cc1	rgen	rcce	ICA7	IGCA	Acco
T	N	۷	•	r 1	, '	Y P	A Z	۱F	· <u></u>	5 Y	Ċ	ы	Ŷ	A	G	F	5	5	F	Ŀ,	1	L	A	T	۷	L	с	N	v	L	۷	Ç	Ģ	Α	L	ŗ	R	м	н	R
c	- CT	TCA	TG	າດດາ	'GC	ACCI	rca	таа	v	La car	24/3	C 4 G	c a r		rgo	aar	race	nar	cec	csc	GGT	AGC	·	ഹ	'GGC	cro	TCG	200	CCA	-60	TGG	aac	<u>err</u> e		304		iona	GCr	CCT	
Q.	F	Н	J	2 F	1	T S	3 [. G	; 1	F E	2	Q	н	н	A	A	A	A	A	A	v	A	s	v.	A	c	R	G	н	A	G	A	5	P	A	L	Q	R	L	5
						1	r .																																	
GA	ICT	FTC P	3CC	GCC	GC	AGGP P <	GTI	TCC.	GGC	CGCF	\TC ſ	GCG	ദോ ദ	rgcc a	5GA	GATO	CAC	SAT M	GGT	CAT	CIT.	ACI	CAT T	CGC	CAC: T	cre	TCT	GGT	GGT	SCT	CAT	CTG	cro	CAT	7700	:GC1	CGI	GGI	GCG	SAG1
-	•			• •	•	Ë 🖡	r i						•			vī	×	••		-			<u> </u>		•			•									<u>`</u>			
ТT	CA	TTA	AC(AGT	'TA'	FATC	:AGC	CAA:	ACC	STGO	ΠG	ААА	GAC	ATC	CAG	CAG	AAC	CC.	AGA	ттт	GCA	GGC	CAT	CAG	GAT	TGC	TTC	TGT	GAA	ccc	CAT	сст	GGA	ccc	CTO	GAT	TTA	ICAT	rcct	TCT
F.	Ţ	N	- 9	i T		r ç	f F	, N		7 V	'	к	D	I	s	R	N	₽	D	L	<u>0</u>	<u>A</u>	I	R	I	A	\$	v	N	Ρ	I	Ĺ	D	Ρ.	W	1	<u>Y</u>	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	Ļ
co	GA	٩GA	сто	TGC	тα	AGTA	AAC	CCA	TAC	GAGA	AG	ATC	AAC	TGC	ст	ETTO	TGC	CG	сат	TGG	cgg	TTC	cgg	CAG	AGA	CAG	сто	SGO	CCA	SCA	CTG	стс	AGA	GAG	TCC	GAC	GAC	'ATC	• T TC	CGC
R	к	т	``\	Ľ		S K	. A	. I	E	E K		I	к	с	L	F	с	R	I	G	G	s	G	R	D	s	s	A	Q	н	c	s	Ε	s	R	R	T	ş	ş	A
																																						*	*	
A 1 M	-ری ح	ຍປະ ຄ	JCC I		C R	JGU1 D C	CCT E	100 5 E	TCU N	ACCC	GG	GAG F	FTR r	AAQ V	GA(SATC T	:AGC	.'AG	CAC	GTC	CCA	GAC	CCT	ccr t	GTA	CCT	GCCI	AGA/		JAC T	TGA	AAG	CAG	CCI r		AGO	CAG	GAA	1TTT	IGC1
		ũ	•		•		•			•••	•	-	-		-	•	5	5	•	Ű	×	•	5	5			r	D	5	•	1	5	5	Ľ.	0	0	t		5	
CC	AG	3TT	CGC	ATG	GC/	1TGG	ecc	TGA	ccc	AAG	ĊA	GAC.	ACC	ACC	TC	сто	AGA	۹AC	TTT	GCG	AAT	TTC	CGA	GAC	стс	AGA	стсо	TC	CCA	GGG	CCA	GGA	стс	TGA	GAG	TGT	CCT	'GTT	IGGT	'GG#
Р	G	S	F	G	• •	1 G	, L	, T	ç	2 A	L 1	. 0	Г	Т	s	L	R	т	Ľ	R	I	s	Е	Т	s	Ð	s	s	Q	G	Q	D	s	£	Ş	۷	L	L	v	D
GA	GG	гта	370	GGA	.GCC	аса	GAC	AGG.	AGC	сто	cc	гст.	4 AA	GGP		тc	cre	CA.	AGT	CAC	атт	ccc	CAG	TGA	AAC	тст	GAAJ	.	атс~	rca.	444	ATC:	тат	ьть	GTA	ACT		aar	1004	מידים
Ë	v	s	e	; 5	H	i B	E	: E	F	À	i i	5	ĸ	G	N	s	L	Q	٧	т	F	P	S	E	Т	L	к	L	s	Ē	ĸ	С	I							
					~~		~~~		~						~~~																									
			i CC	161	GC	AT A	GAC	ATA	CAT	AGC	тG	TAC	ГСĄ	GAA	\GG(sere	TÇI	TC.	ATC	IGG	ACT	ccc	ACT	AGA	GAA	CAG	GCG/	AGC.	TÇC	FGA	GGG	CTC	тсс	AAG	GCI	GC/	١GAC	."TGF	\GGT	CCT
TA	TA.	200	- 40		τc,	1860	ACA	TTC	<u>сст</u>	юте	• • • •		23.7	VOT C	- N C~	nee?	CNT	me-	03.01	*****		~~~~	000	200	-	ጥጥጥ	~~ · · ·			. ~~			~~~	~	~~~			-		

C

.

$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$														-	71		GC	:AGC	AGG	AGC	CA4	GTT	CCA	GGC	CAT	GGG	GAC	:CC1	GCA	TCC	TGA	GCA	GCA	CTG	GCC	CTC	TTG	GCC	ACI	GAT	-1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ATG	GC	ccc	TGC	GGG	CTT	AAC	стс	AGC	CT	AGCO	GAT	GAG	GCA	GCA	ACG	TGC	GC7	ACA	.ccc	AGG	CTC	ccc	ААТ	ACA	TCT	GTG	GTG	CTG	CCA	ACA	GGC	GAT	AAT	GGC	ACA	тса	CCA	GCC	CTG	120
$ \begin{array}{c} CCTATCTTCTCCATGACGCTGGGGTGCGACGGGGGGGGGG$	M	S	P	с	G	L	N †	Ľ	s	L	A	D	E	A	A	Т	с	A	т	Р	R	L	Р	N +	т	s	v	۷	L	P	Ť	G	Ð	N †	G	T	5	P	A	<u>г</u>	40
$\begin{array}{c} P \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	CCTV															240																									
ACCONCINCENTECCATEGRACECCATEGRACECCEGEGECCTEGEGEGECCTECTATECTEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEG	P	I	F	s	м	т	L	G	A	v	s	N	v	L	A	L	A	Ъ	Ι.	A	0	v	A	G	R	M	R	R	R	R	s	A	A	T	F	т.	τ.	F	v	A	RO
ACCCTCCTTCCCATCGACCTAGCAGCCAGCGGGGGCCCTGGGGGCCTGGTGCTTCGCCTGTATACTGCGGGGGGGG																						-		-						••		••		-	-	-	~	-	•		
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{D} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{Y} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{F} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{I1} \\ \mathbf{I2} \\ \mathbf{I1} \\ \mathbf{I2} \\ \mathbf{I1} \\ $	AGCO	TO	CTI	recc	ATC	GAC	СТА	GCA	GGC	CAT	PGTO	GATC	CCG	GGC	GCC	CTG	GTG	CTT	CGC	CTG	STA7	TOAT	GCG	GGG	CGT	GCG	CCI	GCI	GGA	GGG	GCG	TGT	CAT	TTC	CTG	GGT	GGC	TGC	ATG	GTC	360
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		L	Ŀ	A	I	D	L	<u>A</u>	G	<u> </u>	V	I	_ <u>P</u> _	_ <u>G</u> _	_A_	I.	v	Ŀ	R	L	X	т	Α	G	R	A	Р	Α	G	G	A	Ç	н	F.	L	G	G	С	M	<u></u>	120
The The decompare construction of the theorem of the three	11																																	ш							
$\frac{P}{P} G L C P L L L C C G H A V E R C V G V T Q P L I H A A R V S V A R A R L L L L 160$ $\frac{P}{P} G L C P L L L C C G H A V E R C V G V T Q P L I H A A R V S V A R A R L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L$	TTCI	TO	GGC	CTG	TCC	CCA	CTT	TTG	СТІ	rGGC	TG	recc	ATG	GCC	GTA	GAG	CGC	TG:	GTO	GGI	IGIC	ACG	CAG	CCA	CTG	ATC	CAC	GCG	GCG	CGC	GTA	TCT	GTG	GCC	CGC	GCA	CGC	CTG	GCA	CTA	480
$\begin{array}{c} GCCGTGCTGCCATGCTTGCCATGCCTGCGCGCGCCATGGTACACGTGGTCCCATGGGTCGCCACGGGCCCTGGTGGTTTTATTAGCCTTGGGCCTCGTGGCCGGGGCTGGCGCGGGCGCGCGC$	_ <u>F_</u>	F	G	<u> </u>	C	<u>P</u> .	L	_L_	L	G	<u>_</u>	G	_ <u>M</u> _	_&_	<u>v</u>	E	R	С	v	Ģ	v	т	Q	Р	L	I	н	A	A	R	v	S	v	A	R	A	R.	<u>ь</u> IV	_A_	_L	160
$ \begin{array}{c} A \ V \ L \ A \ A \ M \ A \ L \ A \ V \ A \ L \ P \ L \ V \ H \ V \ G \ R \ Y \ E \ L \ Q \ Y \ P \ G \ T \ W \ C \ F \ I \ S \ L \ G \ P \ R \ G \ G \ W \ 200 \\ \hline \\$	GCCC	TG	CTG	GCT	GCC	ATG	GCT	TTG	GCF	GTO	GCC	CTG	CTG	CCA	CTG	GTA	CAC	GTG	GGI	rccc	TAC	GAG	TTA	CAG	тас	CCT	GGC	:ACC	TGG	TGT	ттт	АТТ	AGC	СТТ	GGG	сст	сбт	GGA	GG7	TGG	600
$\begin{array}{c} CGCCAGCCCTTCCTCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC$	<u> </u>	v	L	A	Δ	н	A	ь	A	v	A	L	L	Р	L	Y.	. н.	Y	G	R	Y	Е	L	0	Y	Р	G	T	W	c	F	I	s	L	G	P	R	G	G	W	200
$\begin{array}{c} CGCCMCCCGTCGCCGCCCTCGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCTCGGCCGCCCTGGGCCGCC$																						-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	Ũ	-		2	5		2,00
$\begin{array}{c} R & Q & \underline{A} & \underline{L} & \underline{L} & \underline{A} & \underline{G} & \underline{L} & F & \underline{A} & \underline{G} & \underline{L} & \underline{A} & \underline{A} & \underline{L} & \underline{V} & \underline{C} & \underline{N} & \underline{T} & \underline{L} & \underline{S} & \underline{G} & \underline{L} & \underline{A} & \underline{L} & \underline{L} & \underline{A} & \underline{R} & \underline{W} & \underline{R} & \underline{R}$	CGCC	AC	GCG	TTG	CTT	GCC	GGC	CTC	TTC	GCC	GGG	CTI	GGC	CTG	GCC	GCG	CTC	CTT	GCG	GC/	\TT <i>I</i>	GTG	TGC	AAT	ACG	CTC	AGC	GGC	CTG	GCG	CTC	CTC	CGT	GCC	CGC	TGG	AGA	CGG	CGT	CGC	720
$\frac{1}{VII}$ $\frac{1}$	R	8	Å. v	Ŀ	L	Α_	G	L	F	A	G	L	G	L	A	A	L	L.	A	<u>A</u>	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	N	Т	L	S	G	L	A	L	L	R	A	R	W	R	R	R	R	240
S R R F R K T A G P D D R R R W G S R G P R L A S A S S A S S I T S A T A T L R 280 AGCTCTCGCGCGCGGCGCCCCGCGCGCACGATGTGGAACGTGGGGCAACGATGGTGGGCATCATGGTGGGTG	TCTC	З	CGA	TTC	CGA	AAG	ACC	GCA	GGI	reco	GAT	GAI	rece	CGG	CGC	TGG	GGA	TCT	CGI	GG)	ACCC	cGC	TTG	scc	TCC	scc	TCG	тст	GCC	TCA	TCC	አጥር	аст	- T C A	GCC	5 0 5	ഹ	200	സ്		840
AGCTCTCGCGCCGCGCGCGCCGCCGCGCGGGGCCAGGGGCCAGGGGGCCAGGCCGGGGGG	s	R	R	F	R	ĸ	т	A	G	P	D	D	R	R	R	W	G	s	R	G	P	R	ī.	A	s	A	s	s	A	s	5	T	 T	S	<u>م</u>	π	a .	-т т	т.	D	280
AGCTCTCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCAGGGTTCACGCACG									_	_	_	_					-			_	-		-		-		-	-	•••	-	-	-	•	•		-		-			200
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{D} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{L} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf$	AGCTCTCGGGGGGGGGCCGGGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG													GTG	960																										
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{I}} \\ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}}_{$	s	s	R	G	G	G	s	A	R	R	v	Н	λ	H	D	v	Е	м	v	G	Q	L	v	G	1	M	v	V.	S	<u>c</u>	I	с	W	ŝ	P	L	L	v	Ŀ	v	320
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{GTGTTGGCCATCGGGGGCTGGAACTCTAACTCCCTGCAGGGGCCACTCTTTCTGGCTGTACGCCCGCATCGTGGAACCAGATCCTGGACCCATGGGTGTACATCCTGCGCCAGGCC 1080\\ \textbf{VLL A I G G W N S N S L Q R P L F \underline{L A V R L A S W N O I L D P W V Y L L L R Q A 360\\ \hline VII \\ \textbf{ATGCTGCGCCAACTGCTTCGCCTCCTACCCCTGAGGGTCAATGCCAAGGGGGGCCTAACGGAGGCCAAGGGCCAGGTCCACTGCGGAAGCCAGTTCACTGCGTAGTTCCCGGCACAGT 1200\\ \hline M L R Q L L R L L P L R V S A K G G P T E L G L T K S A W E A S S L R S S R H S 400\\ \hline \end{array}$		ŧ.																				VI																			
<u>VLA</u> IGGWNSNSLQRPLF <u>LAVRLASWNOILDPWVYLLL</u> RQA 360 VII ATGCTGCGCCAACTGCTCCCCCTGAGGGTCAGTGCCAAGGGGGGCCGAGGCGGGGGGGG	GTGT	TC	GCC	ATC	GGG	GGC	TGG	AAC	TCI	'AAC	TCC	CTG	CAG	CGG	CCA	CTC	TTT	CTO	GCI	IGTA	\CGC	CTC	GCA	TCG	TGG	AAC	CAG	ATC	CTG	GAC	CCA	TGG	GTG	TAC	ATC	CTG	CTG	CGC	CAC	SCCC	1080
VII ATGCTGCGCCAACTGCTTCGCCTCCTACCCCTGAGGGTCAGTGCCCAAGGGGGGCCGAGGGCCCTAACCAAGGGGGCCGGAGGCCAGTTCACTGCGTAGGTCCCGGCACAGT 1200 H L R Q L L R L L P L R V S A K G G P T E L G L T K S A W E A S S L R S S R H S 400	. <u>y</u>	Ŀ.	A	r	Ģ	G	W	N	s	N	s	L	Q	R	P	L	F	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	v	R	L	_A_	s	W	N	0	I	Ŀ	D	Р	W	v	Y	I	L	_ <u>L</u>	R	Q	A	360
ATGCTGCGCCAACTGCTTCGCCTCCTACCCCTGAGGGTCAAGGGGGCCCAACGGAGCTGGGCCTAACCAAGGGGCCCGGGAGCCAGTTCACTGCGTAGTTCCCGGCACAGT 1200 H L R Q L L R L L P L R V S A K G G P T E L G L T K S A W E A S S L R S S R H S 400																		٧II																				-			
HLRQLLRLLPLRVSAKGGPTELGLTKSAWEASSLRSSRHS 400	ATGO	TG	CGC	CAN	CTG	CTT	ĊĠĊ	CTC	CTA	ICCC	CTO	GAGG	GTC	AGT	GCC	AAG	GGI	GGT	CCA	LACG	GAC	CTG	GGC	СТА	ACC.	AAG	AGT	GCC	TGG	GAA	GCC.	AGT	тса	CTG	CGT	AGT	TCC	CGG	CAC	AGT	1200
	М	L	R	Q	L	L	R	L	L	P	г	R	v	S	A	ĸ	G	G	Р	T	Ε	L	G	L	т	ĸ	s	A	W	Έ	A	s	s	L	R	ŝ	ŝ	Ŕ	н	s	400
GGTTTCAGTCACCTCTGAATGTCCCCAGAAGCTAAGTCAAA 1241	GGTT	TC.	AGT	CAO	ĊŦC	TGA	ATG	TGC	CCA	GAR	AGC1	raag	TCA	АА																											1241
G F S H L 405	G	F	S	н	L																																				405

•

3. Binding characters of the three PGE receptors.

The cloned mouse EP3 receptor was expressed in COS-1 cells and its membranes were subjected to binding assays using [³H]PGE2. Scatchard analysis of this binding yielded a dissociation constant (Kd) of 2.9 nM. The average density of binding sites in three experiments was 770 fmol/mg of protein of the transfected COS cell membranes. Specificity of this binding is shown in Fig. 1-3a. The binding of [³H]PGE2 was inhibited by unlabeled PGs in the order of PGE2 = PGE1 > iloprost, a PGI2 analogue > PGF2 α > PGD2. We further characterized the specificity of this [³H]PGE2 binding using ligands specific for PGE receptor subtypes. As shown in Fig. 1-3b, among various PGE analogues, only EP3-specific agonists, GR63799X and M&B28767, specifically competed for the [³H]PGE2 binding with equal potency, and they were more potent than PGE2 itself. On the other hand, no competition was found at all with either SC-19220 (an EP1-specific antagonist), or butaprost (an EP2-specific agonist).



Fig. 1-3. Binding of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ to EP₃ in the MP660-transfected COS-1 cell membranes. a. Displacement of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding by various PGs. Unlabeled PGs were added to the binding assay mixture at indicated concentrations and $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding was determined. PGE₂ (O), PGE₁ (\bullet), iloprost (\blacksquare), PGF₂ α (Δ) and PGD₂ (\Box). b. Displacement of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding by subtype-selective PGE analogues. Ligands used are M&B28767 (\blacktriangle), GR63799X (\bullet), butaprost (Δ) and SC-19220 (O).

When the EP₂ receptor was expressed in COS-1 cells, [³H]PGE₂ specifically bound to the membrane of the transfected cells. Scatchard analysis of this binding yielded a dissociation constant of 11.2 nM and the maximal binding of 946 fmol/mg. Specific [³H]PGE₂ binding to the membrane of untransfected cells was almost negligible (data not shown). Fig. 1-4a shows the binding specificity of the EP₂ receptor. Specific [³H]PGE₂ binding was inhibited by unlabeled PG in the order of PGE₂ = PGE₁ >> iloprost, a stable PGI₂ analogue \geq PGF₂ $\alpha \geq$ PGD₂. Among these PGs, the EP₂ receptor shows higher specificity for PGE₁ and PGE₂ than EP₃ receptor. As shown in Fig. 1-4b, the binding of EP₂ receptor was inhibited by misoprostol (an EP₂ and EP₃ agonist) and more weakly by M&B28767 (an EP₃ agonist). On the other hand, sulprostone (an EP₁ and EP₃ agonist), SC-19220 (an EP₁ antagonist), and butaprost (an EP₂ agonist), did not inhibit it.



Fig. 1-4. Binding of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ to EP_{2} in the MP412-transfected COS-1 cell membrane. a, displacement of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding by various PGs. Unlabeled PGs were added to the binding assay mixture at indicated concentrations, and specific $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding was determined. O, PGE₂; •, PGE₁; •, iloprost; Δ , PGF_{2 α}, and \Box , PGD₂. b, displacement of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding by agonist or antagonist for PGE receptor subtypes. •, misoprostol; \blacktriangle , M&B28767; \Box , sulprostone; Δ , butaprost and O, SC-19220.

A CHO cell clone showing stable expression of the EP₁ receptor, and the binding activities of this receptor was investigated by using [³H]PGE₂. [³H]PGE₂ specifically bound to the membrane of the transfected cells, while only negligible binding was found in the membrane of the mock-transfected cells. Scatchard analysis of this binding of EP₁ receptor yielded a dissociation constant of 21 nM. Fig. 1-5A shows the binding specificity of EP₁ receptor. Specific [³H]PGE₂ binding was inhibited by unlabeled PGs in the order of PGE₂ > iloprost (a stable prostacyclin analogue) > PGE₁ > PGF₂ > U-46619 (a stable TXA₂ analogue) > PGD₂. In contrast to EP₂ and EP₃ receptors, this receptor shows higher affinity to PGE₂ than PGE₁. As shown in Fig. 1-5B, the [³H]PGE₂ binding of EP₁ receptor was inhibited by 17-phenyl-18,19,20-trinor PGE₂ (an EP₁ agonist), and by sulprostone (an EP₁ and EP₃ agonist), while the inhibition by M&B28767, by 11-deoxy PGE₁ (an EP₂ and EP₃ agonist), and by butaprost, was weak or negligible. On the other hand, AH6809 (an EP₁ antagonist) showed only weak inhibition of the binding.



Fig. 1-5. Binding of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ to EP_{1} in the CHO cell membrane. A, displacement of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding by various PGs. Unlabeled PGs were added to the binding assay mixture at indicated concentrations, and specific $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding was determined. PGE₂; \blacktriangle iloprost; \bigcirc PGE₁; \triangle PGF_{2 α}; \square PGD₂; \blacksquare U-46619. B, displacement of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding by agonist or antagonist for PGE receptor subtypes. \bigcirc PGE₂; \bigstar sulprostone; \square M&B28767; \triangle 11-deoxy PGE₁; x butaprost; \blacksquare AH 6809.

4. Signal transduction pathways of the PGE receptors.

As shown in Fig. 1-6, the CHO cells stably expressing the EP3 showed a dosedependent decrease to PGE2 in forskolin-induced cellular cAMP accumulation. M&B28767 (an EP3-specific agonist) also inhibited forskolin-induced cAMP synthesis and was more potent than PGE2 (IC₅₀ of M&B28767= 1×10^{-12} M; IC₅₀ of PGE2= 1×10^{-10} M). Neither agonist alone did not increase cAMP accumulation. Phosphatidylinositol turnover was also examined but up to 1 µM of M&B28767 revealed no significant increase in inositol phosphates content over the basal levels (data not shown). These results demonstrated that the EP3 receptor is coupled exclusively to inhibition of adenylate cyclase.



Fig. 1-6. Inhibition of forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation by PGE₂ and EP₃-selective agonist in CHO cells expressing the EP₃ receptor. CHO cells permanently expressing the EP₃ receptor were incubated with 1 μ M forskolin in the presence of indicated concentrations of PGE₂ (\bullet) or M&B28767 (\Box), and cAMP accumulation was determined. The incubation buffer contained 1 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine. Each point represents the mean±S.E. of triplicate determinations.

As shown in Fig. 1-7, PGE₂ dose-dependently increased cAMP level in EP₂ cDNA-transfected COS cells, the maximal level being 4.05 pmol/10⁵ cells which is 2.8-fold higher than that accumulated by PGE₂ in untransfected cells. On the other hand, PGE₂ neither inhibited forskolin-induced cAMP formation and nor accumulated inositol phosphates (data not shown). These results demonstrate that EP₂ receptor is coupled to stimulation of adenylate cyclase.



Fig. 1-7. Effect of PGE₂ on cAMP level in EP₂-expressing cells. MP412-transfected (\bigcirc) or untransfected (\bigcirc) COS-1 cells were incubated with the indicated concentrations of PGE₂ in the presence of 1 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, and cAMP accumulation was determined. The results shown are the means ± S. E. for triplicate determinations.

As shown in Fig. 1-8, PGE₂ induced a rapid increase in $[Ca^{2+}]i$ within 10 sec in the CHO cells stably expressing the EP₁. The level decreased quickly but remained above the basal for over 3 min after the stimulation. This increase in $[Ca^{2+}]i$ was completely abolished by removal of extracellular Ca²⁺ (data not shown), suggesting that the PGE₂induced increase in $[Ca^{2+}]i$ is due to the entry of extracellular Ca²⁺. No such response was observed in mock-transfected cells (data not shown). Under this condition, PGE₂ induced a rapid but limited IP₃ formation of 120% of the control. On the other hand, PGE₂ neither stimulated nor inhibited adenylate cyclase (data not shown). These results demonstrate that this receptor is of the EP₁ subtype coupled exclusively to Ca²⁺ mobilization.



Fig. 1-8. Effect of PGE₂ on $[Ca^{2+}]i$ change in EP₁-expressing CHO cells. Fura-2loaded cells were challenged with 0.1 μ M PGE₂. A trace shown is a representative of $[Ca^{2+}]i$ responses of more than 20 individual cells. Pairs of fluorescence images at 340/480 nm were captured at 5-sec intervals. The *arrow* indicates the addition of PGE₂.

5. Tissue distribution of the PGE receptors.

Poly (A)⁺ RNAs were prepared from various mouse tissues, and hybridized with a fragment of the EP₃ cDNA (Fig. 1-9). A positive band was seen at 2.3-kilobase in a number of tissues in which PGE₂ has pharmacological effects and/or specific binding sites (4). High expression of EP₃ mRNA was seen in the kidney, in uterus, and in stomach. The EP₃ receptor was also expressed in brain, heart, lung, thymus and spleen. On the other hand, EP₃ mRNA was not detectable in testis, and little was found in liver and ileum. The abundant amount of EP₃ mRNA is also expressed in mastocytoma P-815 cells. Another hybridizing band was also detected at an estimated mRNA size of 7.0 kilonucleotides in kidney, uterus, brain and mastocytoma P-815 cells.





Fig. 1-9. Northern blot analysis of EP₃-RNAs isolated from various mouse tissues and P-815 cells. Poly(A)⁺ RNAs were isolated from the following tissues and a cell line, and 10 μ g of RNA was applied in each lane except that 5 μ g was used for P-815 cells. Hybridization analysis was carried out using the 1,072-bp *Eco* RI-*Bam* HI fragment excised from clone MP660 as a probe.

Fig. 1-10 shows the distribution of the EP₂ receptor mRNA. A positive band is observed at 3.9 kilobase in most of tissues, suggesting widespread distribution of the EP₂ receptor. The tissues highly expressing EP₂ mRNA were ileum and thymus. A significant band was also observed in lung, spleen, heart or uterus. EP₂ mRNA was not detectable in testis and liver.

Fig. 1-11 shows the distribution of the EP₁ receptor mRNA. The 2.4-kilobase mRNA of this receptor was abundantly expressed in kidney and an additional band of 6.0-kilobase was detected. Significant expression of the mRNA of the receptor was also observed in the lung. Despite use of the RNA probe with high specific radioactivity, no significant expression was observed in other tissues.



Fig. 1-10. Northern blot analysis of EP₂-RNAs isolated from various mouse tissues and P-815 cells. Poly(A)⁺ RNAs were isolated from the tissues listed below and a cell line, and 10 μ g of RNA was applied in each lane except that 5 μ g was used for P-815 cells. Hybridization analysis was carried out using the 1859-bp EcoRI-XhoI fragment excised from clone MP412 as a probe.





Fig. 1-11. Northern blot analysis of EP₁-RNAs isolated from various mouse tissues. Poly (A)⁺ RNAs were isolated from the tissues, and 5 μ g of RNA was applied in each lane. Hybridization analysis was carried out using ³²P-labeled antisense riboprobe.



Fig.1-12. Structures of the money (4) have equal surveyed and equivalent dentity attemption the prostanoid receptors.

DISCUSSION

1. Structural features and similarity of the PGE receptors.

We supposed that the various prostanoid receptors including the TX receptor construct a novel receptor subfamily of the rhodopsin-type superfamily with homologous structures. On the basis of this hypothesis, we performed homology cloning for the purpose of isolation of the cDNA for the PGE receptor. As described above, we cloned the cDNAs for three subtypes of the mouse PGE receptor, and identified the primary structures of the these receptors. The structural features and sequence identities of the PGE receptors are summarized in Fig. 1-12. The sequence homology analyses indicate that PGE receptors share significant sequence similarity with each other. However, it is interesting that among three PGE receptors, EP₂ shows the lowest identities with other prostanoid receptors, and that EP₃ shares higher sequence homology with TX receptor than with the other two PGE receptors. It is possible that these sequence homology among prostanoid receptors may depend on pathway of their coupling signal transduction, rather than on the kind of their own natural ligand.



Structures of the Mouse PGE Receptor Subtypes

Fig. 1-12. Structures of the mouse PGE receptor subtypes and sequence identity among the prostanoid receptors.

Fig. 1-13 shows the comparison of the amino acid sequences of the mouse PGE receptor subtypes and mouse TX receptor (Namba et al. 1992). The amino acid sequence identity in the putative transmembrane segments (TMs) are as follows: 27.5% between EP1 and EP2, 33.2% between EP1 and EP3, and 36.2% between EP2 and EP3. EP1 shows higher homology to TX receptor (35.4%) than other PGE receptor subtypes, especially in the TM III (75%) and VI (68%). The most highly conserved regions among these four prostanoid receptors are 16 amino acids in the putative TM VII (from Arg-341 to Leu-356 in EP1) and the first 13 amino acids in the second extracellular loop (from Gly-179 to Phe-191 in EP1); 10/16 and 8/13 amino acids are conserved, respectively.

EP1	MSPCGLNLSLADEAATCATPRLPNTSVVLPTGDNGTSPALPIFSMTLCAVSMVLAIALLAQVAGRMRRASAA-TFLLEMAS-	81
EP2	MAEVGGTIPRSNRELORCVLLTTTIMSIPGVNASFSSTPERLNSPVTIPAVMFIFGVNGALVATVVLCKSRKEQKETTTTLVCG-	85
EP3	MASMWAPEHSAEAHSNLS-STTDDCGSVSVAFPTMAVIGEVCHALAVILVSRSYPRRESKRKKSPLLCIG	70
ТΡ	MWPNGTSLGACFRPVNITLQERRAIASPHFAASFCALCLOSHLATSVLAGABPGAGPRSSTLALLCG-	68
EP1	- FILA IDIAGHVIPGALMURITHAGRA-PAGGAGHPLAGCAVYFGLCPLLLGCGMAVERCVQVIDELIHARVGVAR-PARADAVAVAN	166
EP2	-LAVTOLLOTLLVSPVTIATMING QNFSDQALODYSTFILTFICLSGLSTICANS DERYLAINHAYFYSHYDDK-RUNCTULFAINAS	171
EP3	WLALTOLWADLLTSPWWILWILSORWEQLDPSGRUCTFEGLTMTWGLSSLLWASAWAVERALAIRAPHWYASHMKT-R-ATPVLLGVWLS	160
TP	-LVLTEFTELLVTEATUASQHAALIDWAATDPSCRLEYHAUVFFELCPLLLGAAVASEAFVELEARPTATER-A WATVELVWA	157
EP1	ALAVALLPIVHVGRYBLDYPGTWCFISIGRAGGWR-CALLAGIFAGLGLAALLAADVCHTLSGLALLRA-RWRRRSRRFRKTAGP	250
EP2	NVLFCALENNGLIGESEROYPGTHCFIDHTTNVTAMAFSYMYACFSSFLILATVLCNVLVCGALLENHEOFMERTSLGTEOHHA	255
EP3	VI.AFALLPVLGVGRYSVAMPGTWCFISISISAMETDPAREPGSVAFASAFACIGUIAIVVTFACMLATIKALVSR	235
Τ₽	ACALCLIPILGIGRYSVOYPCSWCFLTLOT	220
	<u></u>	
EPl	DDRRRWCSRGPRLASESSAGSITSATATIRSSAGGCSARRWHAHDVENVCQLVGINVVSCICMSPLLVEVVLAIGGWN-SNBLDR-PL	336
EP2	AAAAAVASVACRGHAGASPALQRLSDFBRRMSPRNIAGABIOMVILLTATSIWVLLCSIPUWVKTINQLYQPNVVKDISMMP	339
EP3	CHARABVEOGBADHGRI-TIETAIDIMGINGVLSVCWSPLLLHMLKNIFNQNSVEQCKTONGKEXE	300
TP	CEVYHT	284
	• • • • • •	
EPl	F1-AVRLASHNQILDPWYILLROAMLBOLLRLLPLRVSAKGGPTELGLTKSAWEASSLRSSRHSGFSHL	405
EP2		4.77
EP3	CNSPUIAVRLASINQILDPWYYLLIRKILLRKFCQIRDHTNYASSSTSLPCPGSSALMWSDQLER	365
TP	-KQLULIYURYARINAQILDPWYYILIFRASVURRLHPRFSSQLQAVSLRRPPAQAMLSGP	341
	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
EP2	DLTESSLGGRNLLPGSHGMGLTQADTTSLRTLRISETSDSSQGQDSESVLLVDEVSGSHREEPASKGNSLQVTFPSETLKLSEKCI	513

I.

Fig. 1-13. Comparison of the amino acid sequences among the mouse EP_1 , EP_2 , EP_3 and TX receptor (TP). The amino acid sequences are aligned to achieve the maximal homology using a computer program. Boxes represent conserved amino acid residues between EP_1 and other prostanoid receptors. *Dashes* show deletions of the amino acid residues when compared among the four sequences.

Arg residue within the TM VII, such as Arg-341 in EP1, is conserved among these prostanoid receptors. Considering that retinal is attached to Lys-296 of bovine rhodopsin in TM VII (Findlay and Pappin 1986), the structural features of highly conserved segment VII including Arg residue may reflect the acidic nature of the ligand for the prostanoid receptors as first suggested by the structure of the human TX receptor (Hirata et al. 1991). These prostanoid receptor share the features common to members of the G protein-coupled superfamily of receptors (Dohlman et al. 1991). First, there are two potential *N*-linked glycosylation sites in the putative extracellular amino terminus (EP1), or in this region and the second extracellular loop region (EP2 and EP3). Second, conserved cysteine residues are found in the extracellular loop regions in each receptor. There are also multiple serine and threonine residues, potential phosphorylation sites, throughout the C-terminal tail and the cytoplasmic loops, which may be involved in receptor desensitization (Hausdorff et al. 1990; Kennelly and Krebs 1991).

2. Ligand binding characters of the PGE receptors.

Three PGE receptors revealed high affinities to [³H]PGE₂ with Kd of 3.3 (EP₃), 11.2 (EP₂), and 21 nM (EP₁) (Fig. 1-14). In contrast to EP₂ and EP₃ receptors, the only EP₁ receptor shows higher affinity to PGE₂ than PGE₁ and high affinity to iloprost. These properties are consistent with those reported for the EP₁ receptor. For example, it has been shown that PGE₂ has higher agonist potency than PGE₁ on the EP₁ receptor (Coleman et al. 1990), and that iloprost acts as an agonist not only at the prostacyclin receptor but also at the EP₁ receptor (Dong et al. 1986; Armstrong et al. 1989). It is also noted that the binding properties of the EP₁ agrees well with those described for the [³H]PGE₂ binding in canine kidney papilla membrane (Smith et al. 1987); this binding has a similar Kd value for PGE₂, and the Ki of PGE₁ was about one order of magnitude higher than that of PGE₂. These results strongly suggest that the cloned EP₁ receptor is identical to the PGE receptor found in kidney papilla. On the other hand, EP₂ and EP₃ showed a similar rank order of prostaglandin ligands.



Fig. 1-14. Binding characters of the cloned PGE receptors. The Kd values for $[^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ of the receptors are shown. Rank order of ligands (PGE₂ = 1) for each subtype is also shown.

Among the cloned PGE receptors, EP3 receptor showed the typical characteristic about subtype-selective ligand binding which agrees well to those previously reported. As to the binding of the EP3, only EP3-specific agonists, GR63799X and M&B28767, specifically competed with equal potency, and they were more potent than PGE2 itself. However, EP2 and EP1 revealed the binding characters different from those reported. At first, the binding of EP2 is inhibited by misoprostol (an EP2 and EP3 agonist) and more weakly by M&B28767 (an EP3 agonist). On the other hand, sulprostone (an EP1 and EP3 agonist), SC-19220 (an EP1 antagonist), and butaprost (an EP2 agonist), did not inhibit it. The ability of misoprostol to inhibit the binding of EP2 and no ability of sulprostone suggest that MP412 indeed encodes the EP2 subtype of PGE receptor, and this was also supported by weak cross-reaction of M&B28767 to EP2 (Lawrence et al. 1992). The lack of binding activity of butaprost in mouse EP₂ might indicate that the action of butaprost is species specific. Secondly, the binding of EP1 was inhibited by 17phenyl-18,19,20-trinor PGE₂ (an EP₁ agonist), and by sulprostone (an EP₁ and EP₃ agonist), while the inhibition by M&B28767 (an EP3 agonist), by 11-deoxy PGE1 (an EP2 and EP3 agonist), and by butaprost (an EP2 agonist), was weak or negligible. The 11-hydroxy residue is important for EP1 agonist activity but not essential for activity of either EP₂ or EP₃ (Carpio et al. 1987). On the other hand, AH6809 (an EP₁ antagonist) showed only weak inhibition of the binding. This result suggests that there may be other forms of EP1 receptor sensitive to AH6809, or that the action of AH6809 is speciesspecific and does not work on the mouse receptor.

3. Physiological roles of the PGE receptors.

The most remarkable difference among these three PGE receptor subtypes is that in intracellular signal transduction pathways (Fig. 1-15). As previously suggested by a number of pharmacological studies, EP1 is coupled to Ca²⁺ mobilization, and EP₂ and EP₃ is coupled to stimulation and inhibition of adenylate cyclase, respectively. As to EP₃ receptor, it was suggested that this receptor can be coupled to phospholipase C (Coleman et al. 1990). However, this signaling pathway could not detected in our CHO expression system using MP660.



Fig. 1-15. Signal transduction pathways of the PGE receptor subtypes.

Northern hybridization analyses revealed distinct tissue distribution of the three PGE receptor mRNAs (Fig. 1-16).

The tissue most highly expressing EP3 mRNA was kidney in which PGE2 exerts inhibitory effect on sodium and water reabsorption by inhibiting adenylate cyclase via G_i (Sonnenburg and Smith 1988; Watanabe et al. 1991). A significant band was also observed in stomach, suggesting that the receptor we cloned is indeed involved in inhibition of histamine-induced gastric acid secretion in this tissue (Chen et al. 1988). This analysis also showed that uterus expressed mRNA of this receptor much higher than most tissues. It is known that PGE₂ exerts contractile response in uterine smooth muscle. The EP3 receptor we found may mediate this contractile action. Uterine contraction has been observed as a side effect of several EP3 agonists used as gastric anti-secretory prostanoid drugs (Collins 1986). The EP3 receptor was also expressed in heart, lung, thymus and spleen. Although PGE₂ causes inhibition of sympathetic neurotransmitter release in some of these tissues (Hedqvist 1972), major functions of this receptor remain to be investigated. Our results also showed that EP3 mRNA is significantly expressed in brain. The exact function of this receptor in this tissue is again not known at present. As described above, the abundant amount of EP3 mRNA is expressed in and a functional clone was obtained from mastocytoma P-815 cells, we have not yet identified a role of this receptor in these cells.

The tissues highly expressing EP₂ mRNA were ileum and thymus in which PGE₂ induces relaxation of ileum circular muscle (Lawrence et al. 1992) and inhibits proliferation of T cells by increasing intracellular cAMP levels (Phipps et al. 1991). Significant expression was also observed in lung, spleen, heart or uterus. It is known that PGE₂ has the relaxant activity in trachea (Gardiner 1986) and myometrium (Senior et al. 1991).

A hybridizing band for EP₁ receptor was abundantly detected in kidney. It is known that PGE₂ induces Ca^{2+} mobilization and inhibits the action of arginin-vasopressin in the rabbit collecting duct (Hébert et al. 1991). This action may be mediated

-28-

by EP₁ receptor, and it plays a critical role in the renal function. Significant expression of the mRNA of the receptor was also observed in the lung, in which PGE₂ induces contraction of tracheal smooth muscle (Coleman et al. 1990).





2. Distinct cellular localization of the mRNAs for three subtypes of the PGE receptor in the mouse kidney SUMMARY

Distribution of the mRNAs for three subtypes of PGE receptor in the mouse kidney was investigated by *in situ* hybridization. The mRNA for EP1 subtype was specifically localized to the collecting ducts from the cortex to the papilla. The mRNA for EP2 was localized to the glomeruli. The mRNA for EP3 was located densely in the tubules in the outer medulla and in the distal tubules in the cortex. These results exhibit distinct cellular localization of three subtypes of PGE receptor in the kidney and suggest that PGE2 exerts multiple functions via these subtypes expressed in different segments of the nephron.

RESULTS

[³⁵S]-Labeled riboprobes prepared from the EP₁, EP₂ and EP₃ cDNAs were used and the regional distribution and cellular localization of mRNA for each subtype were investigated in kidney sections by in situ hybridization. In situ hybridization of kidney sections revealed distinct macroautoradiographic patterns for the three PGE receptor mRNAs (Fig. 2-1). The specificity of the signal with each probe was verified by its disappearance with the addition of an excess of unlabeled probe (see an example in Fig. 1d for EP₁, not shown for others).

The hybridization signals for each subtype were observed in the segments along the nephron. Strong hybridization signals for EP1 mRNA were observed in the tubular structures from the inner part of the cortex through the papilla (Fig. 2-1a and Fig. 2-2a). Microscopic examination of a section of the papilla revealed an intense labeling of the tubular cells in the collecting ducts (Fig. 2-3a). A large number of punctate hybridization signals for EP2 mRNA were observed in the cortex (Fig. 2-1b), and this hybridization signals were specifically located in the glomeruli (Fig. 2-3b). No significant labeling of EP2 mRNA was observed in the other part of the kidney. In the glomeruli, silver grains were located over the mesangial cells, but their presence in podocytes and endothelial cells cannot be excluded. Strong hybridization signals for EP3 mRNA were observed in tubular structures with the highest density in the outer medulla and also in the cortex, but no signal was detected in papilla (Fig. 2-1c, Fig. 2-2b and 2-2c). Microscopic examination in the outer medulla showed the intense labeling in the tubular epithelia (Fig. 2-3c). In the cortex, no significant hybridization signals are detected in the glomeruli and proximal tubules. On the other hand, prominent expression of EP3 mRNA was observed in the neighboring distal tubules (Fig. 2-3d). The positive signals were also found over the macula densa (Fig. 2-3d, arrow).

Aboration and Soldyter and Formal access Received for a for a

to mine 1 buts along the bonal organization hithe tabular

a Frig. 2-2a), eeling of the ybridization ybridization ti labeling of silver grains othelial cells observed in e cortex, but



Fig. 2-1. Dark-field photomicrographs, showing hybridization signals for three PGE receptor mRNAs in the kidney. Sections were hybridized with antisense riboprobe for EP₁ (a), EP₂ (b), and EP₃ mRNA (c). The hybridization signals for EP₁ shown in *a* were abolished in the control experiments using the same probe in the presence of an excess amount of the unlabeled probe (d). Bar, 1 mm.

examination in the outer medulla showed the intense labeling in the tubular epithelia (Fig.



Fig. 2-2. Enlarged dark-field photomicrographs of the emulsion-dipped sections showing the hybridization signals for EP_1 (a) and EP_3 mRNA (b, c). *a* and *b* were taken from the corresponding regions of continuous sections showing signals for EP₁ and EP₃, respectively. EP₁ mRNAs were located in the collecting ducts from the renal cortex to the papilla. EP₃ mRNAs were located in tubules in the cortex and the outer medulla, but not in the papilla. Bars, $500 \,\mu m$.


Fig. 2-3. Bright-field photomicrographs, showing hybridization signals for EP_1 (a), EP_2 (b), EP_3 mRNA (c, d). Papillary collecting ducts are intensely labeled with EP_1 antisense riboprobe (a). Autoradiographic grains for EP_2 mRNA are confined to the glomerulus (b), while those for EP_3 mRNA are observed not in the glomerulus, but in the neighboring tubules (d) and in the tubules in the cortex-medulla boundary (c). *Small arrows* indicate the labeled cells with each probe. *Bold arrow* shows positive signals over the macula densa. PCD, papillary collecting duct; G, glomerulus. *Bar*, 100 µm.

DISCUSSION

PGE2 is a major arachidonate metabolite synthesized by cyclooxygenase in the mammalian kidney (Badr and Jacobson 1991). Its biosynthesis has been reported in various regions of the kidney, including glomeruli, cortical arterioles, thick ascending limbs of Henle's loop, collecting tubules and medullary interstitial cells (Bonvalet et al. 1987). PGE2 exerts various physiological functions in the kidney (Badr and Jacobson 1991). They include regulation of water and electrolytes reabsorption (Bonvalet et al. 1987; Garcia-Perez and Smith 1984), regulation of renal blood flow and glomerular filtration (Gerber et al. 1982), and regulation of renin release (Freeman et al. 1984). However, contribution of these subtypes to each renal action of PGE₂ has not yet been established except its action on water reabsorption in the collecting duct, where PGE2 appears to act on the EP3 receptor and antagonizes vasopressin by inhibiting adenylate cyclase via G protein, Gi (Grantham and Orloff 1968; Sonnenburg and Smith 1988). Northern blot analyses revealed the significant expression of these subtypes in the kidney (see Section 1). In order to establish their contribution to each renal action of PGE2, the cellular distribution of the mRNAs for the three subtypes was examined in mouse kidney by in situ hybridization.

The cellular distribution of the subtypes of PGE receptor in the kidney is summarized in Fig. 2-4. EP₁ receptor transcripts are abundantly found in the papillary collecting ducts. The collecting ducts are involved in the concentration of the urine (Stein and Reineck 1974), which is stimulated by vasopressin-induced cAMP system (Handler 1988). The action of vasopressin in these segments is regulated in two ways; one by intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration and the other by inhibiting adenylate cyclase (Breyer et al. 1990; Dillingham et al. 1987). It has been reported that PGE₂ attenuates the vasopressin action by both mechanisms (Breyer et al. 1990; Hébert et al. 1990; Nadler et al. 1986). Mouse EP₁ receptor expressed in CHO cells is shown to be coupled to Ca²⁺ mobilization. It is, therefore, likely that EP₁ receptor in the collecting duct modifies the vasopressininduced osmotic water permeability by the former mechanism. The transcripts of the EP₂ receptor are specifically found in glomerulus with intense labeling over the mesangial cells. In the cultured mesangial cells, PGE₂ elicited cAMP accumulation and attenuated contractility induced by vasoconstrictors such as TXA₂, angiotensin II, platelet-activating factor and endothelin (Dunlop and Larkins 1990; Méne and Dunn 1988).



Fig. 2-4. Summary of distribution of three PGE receptor subtypes in the kidney.

This inhibitory action of PGE₂ was blocked by the inhibitor of adenylate cyclase, 2',5'dideoxyadenosine (Méne and Dunn 1988), and mimicked by 8-bromo cAMP (Dunlop and Larkins 1990). These results suggested that EP₂ receptor coupled to cAMP generation mediates the PGE₂-induced inhibition of the mesangial contractility to regulate glomerular filtration (Méne and Dunn 1988).

EP3 receptor transcripts are detected in the tubules in the outer medulla and in the distal tubules in the cortex. Previous PCR distribution analysis on the nephron segments microdissected from mouse and rat kidney showed significant amplification of the EP3 mRNA in medullary and cortical thick ascending limbs of Henle's loop, and also in distal tubules and in the cortical and outer medullary collecting ducts (Takeuchi et al. 1993; Taniguchi et al. 1992). Our result together with these reports indicate the presence of EP3 receptor in the thick ascending limb as well as in the collecting duct. PGE2 is synthesized in a large amount in the thin limbs of Henle's loop and the cortical and medullary collecting ducts (Bonvalet et al. 1987). PGE2 attenuates vasopressin-induced NaCl reabsorption in the mouse thick limb (Hebert and Andreoli 1984), and also inhibits vasopressin-induced water reabsorption in the collecting ducts (Grantham and Orloff 1968; Nadler et al. 1992). These actions of PGE2 are mediated by inhibiting adenylate cyclase in a pertussis toxin-sensitive manner (Nakao et al. 1989; Sonnenburg and Smith 1988). Mouse EP3 receptor expressed in CHO cells is coupled to inhibition of adenylate cyclase. These findings suggest that PGE2 synthesized locally acts on EP3 receptor and exerts inhibitory action on vasopressin-enhanced NaCl and water reabsorption by inhibiting cAMP accumulation. In addition to these effects, it has been suggested that PGE2 inhibits net Na⁺ transport in isolated perfused medullary thick ascending limb or cortical collecting duct (lino and Imai 1978; Stokes and Kokko 1977), and this action is proposed to be mediated by inhibition of the basolateral Na+-K+-ATPase (Cohen-Luria et al. 1993; Warden and Stokes 1993). Whether such an action is mediated also by the EP3 receptor remains to be tested. PGE2 is also known to increase renin release and two renal sites are proposed for this action; one is the intrarenal baroreceptor and the other the macula densa (Freeman et al. 1984). It is interesting in this respect that the EP3 receptor mRNA is expressed densely in the latter structure.

While the present study revealed cellular localization of the PGE receptor subtypes relevant to its actions in nephron, there remain several unsolved issues. One regards on PGE receptor in the renal blood vessels. Although a large literature described potent vasodilatory action of PGE₂ in the kidney (reviewed in Gerber et al. 1982), we did not detect specific signals in vascular beds in the present sections. However, it does not imply that there is no PGE receptor expression in the renal blood vessels. Such expression should be examined systematically on vessels at various anatomical sites.

In summary, the present in situ hybridization study has revealed that the three subtypes of PGE receptor show distinct cellular localization in the kidney, and suggests that PGE₂ exerts multiple functions via these subtypes expressed in different segments of the nephron. The mode of PGE₂ actions in the renal system is based on its physiological role as a local mediator, which is distinguished from hormones such as AVP (Fig. 2-5).



Fig. 2-5. Physiological role of PGE_2 as a local mediator in the kidney. Pituitary hormones such as AVP (arginine vasopressin) act on the whole target organs through circulation system. On the other hand, the local mediators (autacoids) such as PGE_2 act on only local target segments neighboring its secreting cells.

3. Identification of two isoforms of the PGE receptor subtype EP₃

SUMMARY

Functional cDNA clones for two isoforms of mouse EP3 receptor derived from alternative RNA splicing were obtained. The two isoforms are different only in sequence of the putative cytoplasmic C-terminal tail and their hydrophobicity; one isoform named EP3 α has a hydrophilic tail and the other named EP3 β a hydrophobic tail. When expressed, the two receptors displayed identical ligand binding properties but different responses to GTP γ S. Without a change in B_{max} values, GTP γ S increased Kd for PGE2 of EP3 β and decreased that of EP3 α . These effects were abolished by the treatment of the membranes with pertussis toxin, and restored by the addition of Gi2. While both isoforms exerted inhibition of forskolin-induced cAMP accumulation, three orders lower concentrations of agonists were required for EP3 α than EP3 β for 50% inhibition of cAMP formation. Similar difference in agonist potency was observed also in agonist-induced stimulation of GTPase activity in the membranes. Thus, the two receptors with the different C-terminal tails show different coupling to the Gi protein, leading to the agonist-occupied receptor to the G protein.

RESULTS

1. cDNA cloning of two molecular forms of the mouse EP₃ receptor.

In the homology screening for the PGE receptor cDNA, we first obtained a partial EP3 cDNA clone from mouse lung cDNA library, and using this fragment as a probe, we isolated several clones from mouse mastocytoma P-815 library. Restriction analysis of the isolated clones displayed at least two types of cDNAs; five clones belong to one type represented by MP660, and three clones belong to another type represented by MP653. We first characterized MP660, found that it is a functional mouse EP3 receptor cDNA. We then characterized another group of the clones represented by MP653. Sequencing analyses revealed that MP653 had a 1,083-base pair (-bp) open reading frame, and was identical to MP660 in the nucleotide sequence except deletion of an 89-bp sequence in the coding region of the putative C-terminal tail of the receptor in MP660-encoded receptor (Figure 3-1a). Figure 3-1b shows the cDNA and deduced amino acid sequences of MP653 as compared with those of MP660. Deletion of this 89-bp sequence creates another reading frame downstream from this junction, which extends coding region until a new stop codon placed on 77-bp downstream from the stop codon of MP660. As a consequence, a 30-amino-acid C-terminal fragment of the MP660-encoded receptor (peptide- α) was replaced with a new 26-amino-acid fragment (peptide- β) in the Cterminal end of MP653-encoded receptor. Thus, the cytoplasmic C-terminal domain of the two EP3 isoforms consists of the common 10 amino acids in the amino-terminal region followed by these different peptides.



Fig. 3-1. Comparison of cDNA structures of two EP3 receptor isoforms.

a. Schematic representation of mouse EP₃ receptor cDNA clones, MP660 and MP653. Boxes represent coding sequences; open box is a corresponding coding sequence between the two cDNA, grey one is the sequence coding peptide- α , hatched one is the sequence coding peptide- β . The putative transmembrane domains are indicated by striped boxes.

b. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of MP653. The deduced amino acid sequence is shown under the nucleotide sequence using single letter code. Positions of the putative transmembrane segments I-VII are indicated by underlines below the amino acid sequence. The region deleted in MP653 is represented by hyphens. The deleted 89-bp sequence is indicated above them, and their deduced translation in MP660 is shown over the nucleotide sequence. The peptide- α and peptide- β are boxed with the termination codon indicated as asterisks.

Hydrophobicity analyses according to the method of Kyte and Doolittle (1982) revealed that the peptide- β forms a hydrophobic domain, while the peptide- α is of hydrophilic nature (Fig. 3-2). The peptide- α contains nine residues of serine and threonine, potential phosphorylation sites (reviewed by Dohlman et al. 1991), while the peptide- β has only four.



Fig. 3-2. Comparison of hydrophobicity of the EP₃ sequence coded by MP660 (upper) and MP653 (lower) analyzed by the method of Kyte and Doolittle (1982). The positions of the transmembrane domains and of peptide- α and peptide- β are indicated by plain and bold lines. Amino acids are numbered underneath. Sequence of each C-terminal peptide are shown below.

The two cDNAs were expressed in COS-1 cells and their ligand binding properties were compared. Specific [³H]PGE₂ binding was observed in the membranes of COS-1 cells transfected with MP660 and those with MP653. Figure 3-3 shows the effect of various unlabeled PGs and PGE receptor subtype-specific ligands on these bindings. The binding of [³H]PGE₂ to MP660- or MP653-transfected cell membranes was inhibited in a similar concentration-dependent manner by unlabeled ligands. These binding properties indicate that the two receptors have the identical specificity and are classified to the EP3 subtype of PGE receptor (Armstrong et al. 1990). Hence, we have designated MP660encoding receptor as EP3 α (containing the peptide- α), and MP653-encoding one as EP3 β (containing the peptide- β).



Fig. 3-3. Displacement of $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding to the two EP₃ isofoms in transfected COS-1 cells by various prostaglandins and PGE sustype-selective ligands. Unlabeled ligands were added to the binding assay mixture at indicated concentrations, and $[{}^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding to MP660-transfected COS-1 cell membranes (a) and MP653-transfected cell membranes (b) was determined. Prostaglandins used are PGE₂ (\bullet), PGE₁ (O), PGF_{2 α} (Δ), and PGD₂ (\blacktriangle). Subtype-selective ligands used are SC-19220 (x, for EP₁), butaprost (+, for EP₂), M&B28767 (\blacksquare , for EP₃).

2. GTP γ S conversely affects the binding affinity for [³H]PGE₂ of the two receptors via the same G protein.

The binding characterization of the two expressed receptors revealed that the Cterminal sequences have no effect on the binding affinity and specificity of the receptors. The C-terminal peptide of receptors has been shown to participate in the receptor-G protein coupling (O'Dowd et al. 1988), suggesting that the two receptors couple to a G protein differently. The receptor-G protein coupling can be examined in several ways. One is to examine modulation of the binding affinity of the receptor by guanine nucleotides (reviewed by Gilman 1987). We therefore examined the effect of a guanine nucleotide on [³H]PGE₂ binding to the two EP₃ isoforms. Figure 3-4 shows the results of Scatchard plot analyses of the specific [³H]PGE₂ binding to each receptor in the presence or absence of GTPγS, a nonhydrolyzable GTP analogue. In the absence of GTPγS, both receptors displayed indistinguishable binding affinity with a dissociation constant (Kd) of 3.0 nM for EP₃ α , and 2.8 nM for EP₃ β . The addition of GTPγS at 100 μ M decreased Kd of the EP₃ α (1.1 nM), while it increased Kd of the EP₃ β (5.9 nM), without any change in B_{max}.



Fig. 3-4. Scatchard plot analyses of the PGE₂ binding to each isoform in COS cell membranes in the presence or absence of GTP_γS. The specific binding of [³H]PGE₂ (0.5-30 nM) to MP660 (EP_{3α}; a) or MP653 (EP_{3β}; b) in transfected COS-1 cell membranes (50 µg) was determined in the presence (O) or absence (Φ) of 100 µM GTP_γS. The Scatchard plot was transformed from the value of specific [³H]PGE₂ binding.

The opposite responses of $EP_{3\alpha}$ and $EP_{3\beta}$ to $GTP\gamma S$ might be due to difference in types of G protein coupling to each receptor. This was examined in CHO cells stably expressing the two receptors. We first examined effect of pertussis toxin (PT) treatment (Table 1). At the fixed concentration of the ligand (2.0 nM), the addition of GTPyS enhanced [³H]PGE₂ binding in EP_{3 α}-expressing cell membranes. PT treatment also enhanced this binding and additional stimulation by GTPyS was not observed. On the other hand, the GTP γ S addition reduced [³H]PGE₂ binding in EP₃B-expressing cells. PT treatment of this membrane also reduced this binding into the same level as that reduced by GTPyS and abolished the inhibitory effect of GTPyS. As a result, in either isoform, PT-treatment mimicked the effects of GTPyS and abolished them in these membranes. This suggests that both $EP_{3\alpha}$ and $EP_{3\beta}$ receptors couple to a PT-sensitive G protein, probably G_i. In order to examine whether G_i can participate in these receptor systems, we reconstituted Gi2 purified from bovine spleen into each of the PT-treated cell membranes. The addition of Gi2 restored the effect of GTPyS on PGE2 binding in each membranes (Table 1), indicating that the opposite responses of $EP_{3\alpha}$ and $EP_{3\beta}$ to GTPyS are ascribed not to difference in types of coupling G protein, but to that in Cterminal tails of the two receptors.

	Specific PGE ₂ binding (pmol/mg protein)			
	EP _{3α}		EP ₃ β	
Treatment	-GTP _γ S	+GTPγS	-GTPyS	+GTPγS
None	0.723 ± 0.084	1.63 ± 0.043	1.59 ± 0.084	1.05 ± 0.058
Pertussis toxin	1.73 ± 0.095	1.70 ± 0.062	1.06 ± 0.081	0.970± 0.060
Pertussis toxin+Gi ₂	0.699±0.10	1.65 ± 0.11	1.54 ± 0.057	0.956± 0.062

Table 1. Effects of pertussis toxin treatment and subsequent Gi₂ reconstitution on $[^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ binding to CHO cell membranes expressing the two EP₃ isoforms.

CHO cells stably expressing EP₃ receptor isoforms were cultured in the presence or absence of 20 ng/ml pertussis toxin for 9h. CHO cell membranes (50 μ g) were incubated with or without Gi₂ purified from bovine spleen (10 pmol/mg of the membrane protein) at 0°C for 1 h. PGE₂ binding assays were carried out with 2.0 nM [³H]PGE₂ in the presence or absence of 100 μ M GTP_YS. Values shown are means ± S. E. for triplicate experiments.

3. Two EP₃ isoforms stimulate GTPase activity and inhibit adenylate cyclase with different efficiency.

Because both EP_{3 α} and EP_{3 β} couple to Gi as described and EP_{3 α} mediates inhibition of adenylate cyclase (described in Section 1), we compared potency of PGE₂ and its analogue in inhibition of adenylate cyclase in the two receptor systems. As shown in Figure 3-5, PGE₂ and M&B28767 dose-dependently inhibited forskolin-induced cAMP formation in EP_{3 α}-transfected CHO cells, the half maximal concentration for the inhibition being 1×10^{-11} M and 1×10^{-13} M, respectively. PGE₂ and M&B28767 also showed the dose-dependent inhibition in EP_{3 β}-transfected CHO cells, but their half maximal concentrations for the inhibition (1×10^{-8} M for PGE₂ and 1×10^{-10} M for M&B28767) were three-orders of magnitude higher than those in EP_{3 α}-transfected CHO cells. EP_{3 α}- and EP_{3 β}-transfected CHO cells showed comparable levels of the receptor expression (approximately 1.7 pmol of receptors / mg of membrane protein for both receptors), indicating that the difference in the half maximal concentration for the



Fig. 3-5. Comparison of adenylate cyclase inhibition by the two EP₃ receptor isoforms permanently expressed in CHO cells. The EP_{3 α}-CHO cells (closed symbols) or the EP_{3 β}-CHO cells (open symbols) were incubated at 37°C for 10 min with 1 μ M forskolin in the presence of the indicated concentrations of PGE₂ (\bullet , O) or M&B28767 (\blacksquare , \Box), and cAMP formation was determined. Each point represents the mean ± S.E. of triplicate determinations. cAMP formed in EP_{3 α}- and EP_{3 β}-CHO cells treated with forskolin was 16.6 ± 0.42 and 17.2 ± 0.32 pmol/10⁵ cells, respectively.

inhibition is not due to the difference in expression level of each receptor. No significant increase in contents of cAMP and inositol phosphates was observed in either CHO cells by the addition of up to 1 μ M of M&B28767 (data not shown). These results suggest that both EP3 receptors are engaged in an identical intracellular function, i. e., inhibition of adenylate cyclase, and that the efficiency of signal transduction in EP3 α system is greatly higher than that in EP3 β .

Because the two receptors showed identical affinities to the ligands, the above results suggested that the different efficiency of inhibitory action of the two EP3 systems is caused by the difference in efficiency of the ligand-receptor complexes to associate and activate G_i. We, therefore, analyzed the agonist-mediated activation of G protein by examining the effect of PGE analogues on GTPase activity in each CHO cell membranes. As shown in Fig. 3-6, PGE₂ and M&B28767 dose-dependently stimulated the GTPase activity in EP3 α -transfected CHO cell membranes, the half maximal concentrations for stimulation being 1×10^{-10} M and 1×10^{-12} M, respectively. The two agonists also



Fig. 3-6. Comparison of agonist-induced stimulation of the GTPase activity in CHO cell membranes expressing the two EP₃ receptor isoforms. GTPase activity of EP_{3α}-CHO (closed symbols) or EP_{3β}-CHO (open symbols) cell membranes was measured in the presence of the indicated concentrations of PGE₂ (\bullet , O) or M&B28767 (\blacksquare , \Box). Each point represents the mean ± S.E. of triplicate determinations. The basal GTPase activity of EP_{3α}-CHO cell membranes was 16.6 ± 0.09 and 14.5 ± 0.18 pmol/min/mg of protein, respectively.

stimulated the GTPase activity in EP₃β-transfected CHO cell membranes, but the half maximal concentrations (5×10^{-8} M for PGE₂ and 1×10^{-9} M for M&B28767) were about three-orders of magnitude higher than those in EP₃α-transfected CHO cell membranes. The maximal increase in GTP hydrolysis by PGE₂ and M&B28767 in EP₃α-transfected CHO cell membranes was about 20% above the control and was the same as that in EP₃β-transfected CHO cell membranes. This result demonstrated that the different efficiency in inhibition of adenylate cyclase is due to that in the G protein activation by each receptor isoform.

4. Expression of $EP_{3\alpha}$ and $EP_{3\beta}$ in various tissues.

The relative abundance of mRNAs for the two isoforms in various tissues was investigated by PCR using the primers at both sides of the 89-bp sequence in the presence of 5'-end radiolabeled primer at one side as described by Wang et al. (1989) (Fig. 3-7). The upper and lower bands of the products corresponded to EP₃ α and EP₃ β , respectively. In any tissue expressing EP₃, EP₃ α was dominantly expressed over EP₃ β . In uterus and ileum, the EP₃ α isoform was expressed at 3-4-fold higher levels than the EP₃ β . In brain, very little amount of expression of EP₃ β could be detected under this PCR condition in spite of significant amount of expression of EP₃ α . In P-815 cells and stomach, on the other hand, the mRNA ratio for EP₃ α / EP₃ β was about 1.5, which is in accordance with the results of our P-815 cDNA library screening. While the same amount of EP₃ α expression was observed in uterus and P-815 (Fig. 3-7), apparently the much higher level of expression of EP₃ β was detected in P-815 cells.



Fig. 3-7. Relative abundance of $EP_{3\alpha}$ and $EP_{3\beta}$ in various tissue. Aliquots (3 µl) of each PCR product were resolved in 1.5% agarose gel. Arrows point to the 732-bp (upper; $EP_{3\alpha}$) and 643-bp (lower; $EP_{3\beta}$) DNA fragments, acertained by sequencing. The relative expression level was calculated by the measurement of ³²P in each fragment. Numbers within the graph indicate the relative expression levels among tissues (kidney = 100). PCR condition and the number of amplification cycle were optimized by preliminary experiments. This figure shows an autoradiogram of one of three independent experiments.

DISCUSSION

The mouse EP3 receptor from mouse mastocytoma P-815 library is a G proteincoupled rhodopsin-type receptor and engaged in inhibition of adenylate cyclase (see Section 1). Although various EP3-mediated actions are believed to be mediated by inhibition of adenylate cyclase (Lu et al. 1988; Sonnenburg and Smith 1988), the concentrations of PGE2 to inhibit cAMP formation varied among tissues, the IC₅₀ values of PGE2 being 10⁻⁸, 10⁻¹⁰, 10⁻¹² M in rat hepatocytes (Melien et al. 1988), rat kidney cells (Torikai and Kurokawa 1983) and rat myometrium (Krall et al. 1984), respectively. In rhodopsin-type G protein-coupled receptors, guanine nucleotides have been demonstrated to modulate ligand binding affinity to receptors (reviewed by Gilman 1987; Lefkowitz and Caron 1988). Dependent on tissues, PGE receptors show different responses to guanine nucleotides in its ligand binding affinity. GTP decreases the binding affinity in bovine adrenal medulla (Negishi et al. 1987), but increases it in hamster adipocyte (Grandt et al. 1982) and canine renal medulla (Watanabe et al. 1986), suggesting that there are two manners of association of PGE receptors with G proteins.



Fig. 3-8. Putative structures of the two EP₃ isoforms.

These differences in efficiency to agonists as well as responses to GTP of the EP3 receptor implicate heterogeneity of this type of receptor.

Here two isoforms of EP3 receptor represented by MP660 (EP3 α) and MP653 (EP3B) was identified (Fig. 3-8). The two isoforms show identical ligand binding specificity, couple to the same G protein, probably Gi, and inhibit cAMP accumulation. The second isoform cDNA, MP653, has the same coding sequence except deletion of 89bp sequence in the cytoplasmic C-terminal domain. The nucleotide sequences delineating the boundaries of the 89-bp sequence in MP660 were consistent with consensus exon sequences for RNA splice junctions (Mount et al. 1982; Padgett et al. 1986). In fact, at the putative downstream splice junction of the 89-bp sequence, we have identified a 2.1 kilobase intron sequence using genomic PCR (data not shown). These results suggest that these two isoforms are generated from a single gene by alternative RNA splicing (Breitbart et al. 1987) (Fig. 3-9). Among G protein-coupled rhodopsin-type receptors, two dopaminergic D₂ receptors were the first example reported to be produced by alternative splicing which results in addition or deletion of 29 amino acids in the third cytoplasmic loop (Giros et al. 1989; Monsma et al. 1989; Dal Toso et al. 1989). However, the presence or absence of the 29 amino acids did not affect significantly the ability of the receptor to inhibit cAMP production. On the other hand, the two C-terminal



Alternative RNA Splicing Creates Two Isoforms of the EP3

Fig. 3-9. Model system for alternative RNA spicing creating the two isoforms of the mouse EP₃ receptor.

end peptides of the EP3 isoforms which are much the same in length but different in sequence showed significant effects on the mode of receptor-G protein interaction. Two kinds of effects were observed by analyses of the binding and signaling properties of the two isoforms. The first effect is on the binding affinity of the receptor in the G proteinfree form. As shown in Fig. 3-4, the two isoforms showed identical binding affinity in the G protein-bound form. The addition of GTPyS increased Kd for PGE2 of EP3B, and decreased that of $EP_{3\alpha}$. PT treatment, by losing the ability of G_i protein to bind to a receptor (reviewed by Gilman 1987), mimicked the effect of GTPyS. These results demonstrated that ligand binding affinities in the two isoforms are either positively or negatively modulated by the cytoplasmic C-terminal tails in the Gi-free form. They also suggest that the Gi protein, by binding to these receptors, suppresses such actions of the cytoplasmic tails. The second effect is on the efficiency of the receptor to activate G protein. Although the two EP3 isoforms couple to the same G protein, the EC50 values of PGE2 and M&B28767 for G protein activation were different by three orders between the two EP3 systems and this difference was reflected in the different IC50 values of the agonists to inhibit adenylate cyclase. Although the different EC50 may be partly attributed to the difference in the Kd values in the Gi-free receptors, it is not enough to explain the three orders of difference in G protein activation by the two isoforms. Thus, the two cytoplasmic C-terminal tails differentially affect the affinity of the ligand-occupied receptor for Gi protein; peptide- α makes the EP3 receptor to associate Gi protein much more easily than peptide- β .

How do the two carboxyl tails cause such different effects on the receptor-G protein coupling? Hydrophobicity analyses demonstrated that the peptide- α and β show different hydrophobicity (Fig. 3-2). The peptide- α is hydrophilic, while the hydrophobicity of peptide- β was even higher than that in the TM VII. Peptide- β may be incorporated into the membranes and interact with other TMs, while peptide- α may be present in the cytoplasm. If so, this different hydrophobicity may influence the structure and function of the otherwise identical two isoforms of the EP3 receptor. Alternatively, the difference

in the two receptors may be explained by the presence or absence of palmitoylation. In the β -adrenergic receptor, it has been reported that the cysteine residue within C-terminal tail region is palmitoylated with the fatty acid inserted in the membrane (reviewed by O'Dowd et al. 1989a), and this conformation of C-terminal domain is essential for the receptor-G protein coupling. The two isoforms contain a cysteine residue (Cys³³⁴) in common at the ninth position out of the TM VII. An additional cysteine residue (Cys³⁵¹) is found in peptide- α at the twenty-sixth position while no cysteine is found in peptide- β . However, either position of cysteine is not consistent with the consensus position of the amino acid for palmitoylation (O'Dowd et al. 1989b). The difference in C-terminal tails may confer additional difference in receptor behaviors. The C-terminal peptide- α contains eight serine and threonine residues, while the peptide- β involves only four residues. In rhodopsin or β -adrenergic receptor, these residues within this region are proposed to be phosphorylated by their specific kinases that regulate the signaling activity of the ligandoccupied receptors (Wilden and Kuhn 1982; Benovic et al. 1989). These regulations have been suggested about PGE receptors (Robertson and Little 1983; Richelsen and Pedersen 1985). Therefore, the two C-terminal peptides might be differentially regulated by phosphorylation and involved in receptor desensitization and down-regulation.

The binding displacement experiment showed that PGE₂ and M&B28767 showed almost equal binding affinity. As for both agonists, the Kd in EP_{3 α} system is about 1 × 10⁻⁹ M. On the other hand, the IC₅₀ of agonists for inhibition of adenylate cyclase were lower (1 × 10⁻¹⁰ M for PGE₂; 1 × 10⁻¹² M for M&B28767) than the Kd, indicating that agonist binding to a part of EP_{3 α} receptor is enough to inhibit adenylate cyclase. Furthermore, in inhibition of adenylate cyclase activity and stimulation of GTPase activity, M&B28767 showed two orders lower IC₅₀ and EC₅₀ than PGE₂ in both EP₃ receptor systems. This suggests that the M&B28767-receptor complex couples to the G protein and, hence, to adenylate cyclase more efficiently than the PGE₂-receptor complex.

The result in the amplification of RNA (Fig. 3-7) demonstrated that in every tissue expressing EP3, EP3 α is dominantly expressed but the two EP3 mRNA coexist with

different relative abundance. The pattern of effect of GTP γ S observed in EP_{3 α} closely resembles that reported previously for the PGE binding sites in hamster adipocyte (Grandt et al. 1982) and canine renal outer medulla (Watanabe et al. 1986) which are believed to be EP₃ receptor. Thus, EP_{3 α} appears to be responsible for the GTP sensitivity in these tissues. Considering EP_{3 α} and EP_{3 β} giving different IC₅₀ values of PGE₂ for adenylate cyclase inhibition, the proportion of the two isoforms would affect the ability of individual tissues to respond to PGE₂. The different proportions of the two EP₃ isoforms might, therefore, underlie the diversity of EC₅₀ values of PGE₂.

Thus, the present study on two EP₃ receptor isoforms contribute to understanding not only the heterogeneity of the actions of PGE₂ but also functions of the cytoplasmic Cterminal tail of the G protein-coupled receptors. The C-terminal tail plays a role in signaling both from G protein to receptor and from receptor to G protein by modulating the ligand binding affinity of the receptor and the affinity of the ligand-receptor complex for a G protein.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, the author reach a conclusion as follows;

- Structural and functional natures of three subtypes of the mouse PGE receptor, EP1, EP2 and EP3, were characterized.
- (2) The mRNAs for the three PGE receptors show different tissue distribution and distinct cellular localization in the kidney.
- (3) In the EP3 receptor, there exist functionally different molecular forms with different Cterminal structures.

The actions of PGE₂ are mediated by multiple receptor subtypes and signal transductions according to its sites of actions (Fig. 4-1). The existence of multiple EP₃ isoforms different in their functions is supported by more recent studies, also in humans, and contributes to a variety of PGE₂ actions (Irie et al. 1993; Namba et al. 1993; Adam et al. 1994).

Obtained information in the present study on PGE receptor is surely to facilitate not only understanding of the physiological functions of PGE₂, but also development of more subtype-specific PGE analogues for therapeutic purposes.



Fig. 4-1. Prostaglandin E receptors (subtypes and isoforms).

1. Materials

Ligands for PGE receptor subtypes were generous gifts from Dr. B. M. Bain of Glaxo Group Research Ltd. (GR63799X and AH6809), Dr. P. W. Collins of Searle (misoprostol and SC-19220), Dr. P. J. Gardiner of Bayer UK Ltd. (butaprost), Dr. M. P. L. Caton of Phone-Poulenc Ltd. (M&B28767) and Dr. K. H. Thierauch of Schering (sulprostone). $[\alpha^{-32}P]dCTP$ (3,000 Ci/mmol), $[\alpha^{-32}P]UTP$ (3,000 Ci/mmol), $[\alpha^{-35}S]CTP$ (1,000 Ci/mmol) and [5,6,8,11,12,14,15⁻³H]PGE₂ (185 Ci/mmol) were obtained from Du Pont-New England Nuclear. PGE₁, PGE₂, PGD₂ PGF₂ α and U46619 were purchased from Funakoshi Pharmaceuticals (Tokyo, Japan). Iloprost and the cyclic AMP [¹²⁵I] assay system were obtained from Amersham Corp. Forskolin and 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine were from Sigma. 17-phenyl trinor PGE₂ and 11-deoxy PGE₁ from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA); fura-2/AM from Dojindo Laboratory (Kumamoto, Japan). G₁₂ was purified from bovine spleen as described by Morishita et al. (1989).

Materials used in molecular biology are purchased from Takara Shuzo (Kyoto, Japan), Toyobo (Osaka, Japan), New England Biolabs (Beverly, USA), Stratagene (Heidelberg, Germany), USB (Ohio, USB), Invitrogen (Leek, Netherlands) or Promega (Madison, USA). These materials include the nucleic-acid-modifying enzymes, restriction endonucleases, sequence analysis system, cloning or expression vectors. Fundamental procedures in molecular biology are performed as described by Sambrook et al. (1989) and the protocols for kits and systems are according to manufacturer's instructions.

Synthetic oligonucleotides used in this study were all synthesized by a DNA synthesizer (Model 391; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA).

2. cDNA cloning of the mouse prostanoid EP₃ receptor.

2-1) Amplification of a cDNA fragment of the mouse TX receptor.

First of all, a pair of primers were designed based on sequence of the human TXA₂ receptor cDNA (HPL). Using these primers, a cDNA fragment (LT3; a fragment of the mouse TXA₂ receptor cDNA) was amplified from mouse lung cDNA.

First strand cDNA was synthesized from mouse lung total RNA by using random hexanucleotides as primers. PCR primers were designed based on the HPL sequences corresponding to the putative TM III and VI of the human TX receptor. Mouse lung cDNA served as template in 30 cycles of PCR with 1 min of denaturation at 95°C, 0.5 min of annealing at 60°C, and 1.5 min of extension at 72°C on Zymoreactor (Atto Corp., Tokyo, Japan). A single 418-bp cDNA fragment was amplified, and subcloned into pBluescript SK(+). A clone isolated (LT3) showed a sequence 78% homologous to the corresponding region of HPL.

2-2). cDNA cloning of the mouse EP₃ receptor.

Next, a cDNA clone, ML64, was isolated by cross-hybridization with LT3 from mouse lung cDNA library. This clone was a partial cDNA fragment encoding a novel receptor protein from the putative TM IV to the C-terminal tail. Northern blot analysis showed that mRNA for this clone is abundant in mouse mastocytoma P-815 cells, this cell line was chosen as a final cDNA source. Using ML64 as a probe, we isolated several positive cDNA clones identical to ML64 in the overlapping sequences. One representative clone (MP660) was picked up and used in the following expression analyses, and found to be a functional cDNA clone for the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP3.

Mouse lung cDNA prepared by an oligo(dT) priming method was size-selected (> 1.8 kilobase) and inserted into the EcoRI site of λ ZAPII DNA with EcoRI adapters (Gubler and Hoffman 1983). The 1.9×10⁵ clones derived from the cDNA library were screened by hybridization with LT3. Hybridization was carried out at 58°C in 6× SSC (900 mM NaCl and 90 mM sodium citrate) containing 5× Denhardt's solution (0.1%)

Ficoll, 0.1% polyvinylpyrrolidone and 0.1% bovine serum albumin) and 0.5% SDS, and filters were washed at 60°C in 2× SSC containing 1% SDS. Among several clones hybridizing positively to LT3, we picked up one showing a signal apparently weaker than others, and subjected it to further screening. Nucleotide sequencing of the isolated clone (ML64) revealed that it was a partial clone. Using this clone as a hybridization probe, we then screened cDNA library of mouse mastocytoma P-815 cells for a full-length clone. From 7.2×10^5 clones of P-815 λ ZAPII library, nine clones were isolated and subjected to sequence analysis. Nucleotide sequencing was carried out on double stranded templates using dideoxy chain termination method. One clone (MP660) was a full-length clone with a 1,095-bp open reading frame.

2-3) cDNA cloning of the mouse EP₁ receptor.

In the cloning step of the cross-hybridization from the mouse lung cDNA library, we also picked up another cDNA clone, ML42. This clone was a partial clone, showing a sequence homologous but not identical to those of mouse EP₃, and TX receptors. Northern hybridization revealed that the mRNA for this clone is abundant in kidney, this tissue was chosen as a final cDNA source. Using ML42 as a probe, we isolated several positive cDNA clones identical to ML42 in the overlapping sequences. We picked up one representative clone (MK643), used in the following expression analyses, and found it functional cDNA clone for the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP₁.

2-4) cDNA cloning of the mouse prostanoid EP₂ receptor.

Previously, it was demonstrated that high level of PGE₂ binding is seen in mouse mastocytoma P-815 cells, neoplastic mast cells, and PGE₂ strongly stimulates adenylate cyclase (Yatsunami et al. 1981; Hashimoto et al. 1990), suggesting that the mastocytoma cells express EP₂ receptor. For isolation of a cDNA for the EP₂ receptor, we chose this cell line as a cDNA source, and performed homology screening using the partial cDNA fragment of the EP₃ (TM I-V), under the condition described above. A number of positive clones were isolated and subdivided into several groups by sequence and

restriction analyses. One group out of them showed sequence homologous but not identical to those of mouse EP₁, EP₃, and TX receptors. One representative clone (MP412) was picked up and used in the following expression analyses, and found to be a functional cDNA clone for the mouse PGE receptor subtype EP₂.

3. cDNA Expression in COS-1 Cells and Binding Assay.

The EcoRI inserts of the cloned cDNAs were individually subcloned into pcDNAI, a eukaryotic vector, and each resultant plasmid DNA was transfected into COS-1 cells by the DEAE-dextran method (Sussman and Milman, 1984). After culture for 72 h, the cells were harvested and homogenized in a solution containing 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, containing 0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride using Dounce homogenizer (40 strokes). The homogenate was centrifuged at $800 \times g$ for 10 min, and the pellet was suspended in the same buffer, homogenized, and centrifuged. The two supernatants were combined and centrifuged at $100,000 \times g$ for 1 h. The crude membranes thus isolated were suspended in 20 mM MES, pH 6.0, containing 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA (buffer A). The 50 µg of membrane protein was used for [³H]PGE₂ binding assay. As to the binding assay for EP1, a CHO cell clone stably expressing the receptor was used (described below).

For ligand binding to the two molecular forms of EP3, each cell membranes were incubated with various concentrations (Scatchard plot analyses) or 2.5 nM (displacement experiments) of $[^{3}H]PGE_{2}$ in 100 µl of buffer A at 30°C for 1 h. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 2 ml of ice-cold buffer A, and the mixture was rapidly filtered through a Whatman GF/C filter. The filter was then washed four times with 2 ml of ice-cold buffer A, and the radioactivity associated with the filter was measured in 5 ml of Clearsol (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). Nonspecific binding was determined using a 1,000-fold excess of unlabeled PGE₂ in the incubation mixture. The specific binding was calculated by subtracting the nonspecific binding from the total binding.

4. Establishment of the CHO cell clone showing stable expression of the cloned receptor.

cDNA transfection into CHO cells and establishment of the resultant cell line were performed essentially as described by Nakajima et al. (1992). The EcoRI fragments of the cloned cDNAs were individually inserted into EcoRI site of pdKCR-dhfr, a eukaryotic expression vector containing a mouse dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) gene as a selection marker (Oikawa et al. 1989). The resultant plasmid was transfected to CHO cells deficient in DHFR activity (CHO-dhfr⁻⁻) (Urlaub and Chasin 1980) by the calcium phosphate method (Graham and van der Eb 1973) or by the lipofection method (Felgner et al. 1987). Cell populations expressing the cDNA together with DHFR were selected in α -modification of Eagle's medium lacking ribonucleosides and deoxyribonucleosides and containing 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum (Cell Culture Laboratories). From these cell populations, clonal cell lines were isolated by single-cell cloning. Expression of the cDNA was assessed by RNA blotting. As a control, CHO cells were mock-transfected (transfected only with vector), and isolated. These cells gave no signal on RNA blotting. Crude membranes of CHO cells were prepared in the same way as those of COS cells.

5. Northern blot hybridization

Total RNAs from various mouse tissues were isolated by acid-guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform method (Chomczynski and Sacchi 1987) and poly (A)⁺ RNAs were purified using Oligotex dT₃₀. Poly (A)⁺ RNAs (5-10 μ g) from each tissue were separated by electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel, transferred onto nylon membranes (Hybond-N, Amersham), and hybridized with a ³²P-labeled fragment of the appropriate cDNA clone. For Northern hybridization for EP₁ mRNA, an antisense RNA probe was prepared with T7 RNA polymerase (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan), in the presence of ³²P-UTP. Hybridization was carried out at 68°C in 6× SSC for 12-16 h, and filters were washed twice at 68°C in 2× SSC.

6. cAMP assay

The established CHO or DNA-transfected COS-1 cells (5×10^5 cells / well) cultured in 24-well plates were washed with 0.5 ml of Hepes-buffered saline (140 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 2.2 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 11 mM glucose and 15 mM Hepes, pH 7.4) and preincubated for 10 min in 450 µl of the solution and 1 mM 3-isobutyl-1methylxanthine at 37°C. Then 50 µl of the test agent and forskolin (final 1 µM) in Hepesbuffered saline containing 1 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine was added to each well. After incubation for 10 min at 37°C, the reaction was terminated by the addition of 500 µl of the ice-cold 10 % (W/v) trichloroacetic acid. Cyclic AMP formed was measured by radioimmunoassay using Amersham's cAMP assay kit.

7. Ca²⁺ Measurement in Fura-2-loaded Single CHO Cells.

The intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration ([Ca²⁺]i) of single cells was measured by digital imaging microscopy described by Ito et al.(1991). The cells cultured on a coverglass attached to a four-well petri dish (Heraus Flexiperm-Disc, Germany) were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min with 5 μ M fura-2/AM in culture medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. After washing of the cells twice with 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 115 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 2.2 mM CaCl₂, 0.8 mM MgCl₂, and 13.8 mM glucose, the petri dish was placed on the thermostated stage, maintained at 37 °C in an Olympus IMT-2 inverted microscope. Paired recordings of fluorescence by excitation at 340 and 380 nm were then made at intervals of 5 sec. PGE₂ dissolved in HEPES-buffered saline solution was applied to the cells on the coverglass by the bath application method. Fluorescence images were obtained through a Hamamatsu SIT camera C2400-08H and stored in a digital image processor Argus-100. [Ca²⁺]i was calculated from the ratio of the fluorescence intensities at 340 and 380 nm on a pixel basis.

8. GTPase activity assay

Measurement of GTPase activity in EP₃ α - and EP₃ β -CHO cell membranes was described as follows;. The final reaction mixture contained 10 µg of each CHO cell membranes, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.2 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.25 mM ATP, 0.5 mM AppNHp, indicated concentrations of PGE₂ or M&B28767, and 0.25 mM [γ -³²P]GTP (0.3 µCi) in 100 µl of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. Reactions were initiated with the addition of each CHO cell membranes, conducted for 10 min at 30°C, and terminated by the addition of 0.5 ml of ice-cold 5 % Norit A and 0.1 % bovine serum albumin in 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5. Tubes were centrifuged for 5 min at 2,000 × g at 4°C, and the ³²Pi in 300 µl of each supernatant was counted in scintillation fluid.

9. Reconstitution of the EP₃ receptor with G_{i2} in pertussis toxintreated membranes

Reconstitution of the EP₃ receptor with G₁₂ in PT-treated membranes was performed according to the method of Asano et al. (1985). CHO cells stably expressing EP₃ receptor isoforms were cultured in the presence or absence of 20 ng/ml PT for 9h. CHO cell membranes (50 µg) were incubated with or without Gi₂ purified from bovine spleen (10 pmol/mg of the membrane protein) at 0°C for 1 h. PGE₂ binding assays were carried out with 2.0 nM [³H]PGE₂ in the presence or absence of 100 µM GTPγS.

10. Amplification of RNA

Measurement of the relative abundance of the two receptors expressed in each tissue was performed according to the method of Wang et al. (1989). Total RNA was isolated from mouse brain, thymus, lung, heart, liver, stomach, spleen, ileum, kidney, testis and uterus by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform method (Chomczynski and Sacchi 1987), and the RNAs were transcribed into cDNA by random hexanucleotide priming method using Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Bethesda Research Laboratories). Each cDNA derived from 2.5 μ g RNA was used as template in a PCR with primers corresponding to nucleotide positions 651-680 (PCR I) and 1264-1293 (PCR II). The 5'-end ³²P-labeled PCR II (0.3 pmol; 1.0×10⁶ cpm./pmol) was incubated in each PCR reaction (final 25 μ l). Twenty-three cycles of PCR were performed using the following temperature profile: 94°C, 40 s; 60°C, 40 s; 72°C, 1.5 min. The number of amplification cycle was optimized for quantification of RNA given by preliminary experiments. DNA-resolved gel was dried and subjected to autoradiography, and the radioactivity of the gel corresponding to the bands was counted. Autoradiogram of one of three independent experiment was shown in the text.

11. Synthesis of cRNA probes

An antisense cRNA probe for mouse EP₃ was prepared as follows; a 1071-bp EcoRI-BamHI fragment of MP660 was prepared in pBluescript (Stratagene). After linearization of the template DNA, antisense riboprobes were prepared by transcription with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of $[^{35}S]$ CTP to a specific activity of 1.0×10^9 cpm/µg. Cold antisense riboprobes were synthesized by the same procedure with unlabeled nucleotides. After removing unincorporated nucleotides, riboprobes were degraded to ~150 base by alkaline hydrolysis. cRNA probes for EP₂ and EP₁ were similarly prepared from the respective cDNA (1857-bp EcoRI/XhoI fragment of MP412, and 1312-bp EcoRI fragment of MK643, respectively) for analyses of the PGE receptor showed low homology; at most 50% identity between EP₃ and EP₁. The three cRNA showed different patterns of hybridization, indicating that they did not cross-hybridize to the mRNA for the other subtypes of PGE receptor.

12. Preparation of kidney sections

Adult male ddY mice were anesthetized with ether and sacrificed by decapitation. Kidneys were removed immediately and frozen in isopentane at -50°C. Sections of 8 μ m thickness were cut on a cryostat and thaw-mounted onto poly-L-lysine-coated slides. They were briefly air-dried and kept at -80°C until prehybridization.

13. In situ hybridization

The frozen sections were warmed to room temperature and fixed with 4% formaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min, rinsed in PBS, and acetylated with 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine/ 0.9% NaCl for 10 min at room temperature. After dehydration in an ascending ethanol series, the sections were air-dried and stored at -80°C until use. Hybridization was carried out in a buffer containing 50% formamide, 2 × SSC, 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.5, 1 × Denhardt's solution, 10% dextran sulfate, 0.2% SDS, 100 mM DTT, 500 µg/ml sheared single-stranded salmon sperm DNA and 250 µg/ml yeast tRNA. The riboprobes preheated at 80°C for 3 min in 1 M DTT were added to the hybridization buffer at 7×10^4 cpm/µl. The hybridization solution was applied to the sections, which were then covered with a coverslip and sealed by rubber cement. After incubation at 57°C for 5 h, the slides were immersed in $2 \times SSC$ to remove the coverslips, and then washed for 1 h by warming in 2 \times SSC, 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol from room temperature to 60°C and cooling back to the room temperature. The sections were then treated with 20 µg/ml ribonuclease A in 0.5 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, followed by an additional wash in $0.1 \times$ SSC at 60°C for 1 h. After dehydration in ascending ethanol, the slides were air-dried and exposed to Amersham β -max film for 2 weeks at room temperature or dipped in NTB2 emulsion diluted 1:1 with distilled water. After exposure for 3-6 weeks at 4°C, the dipped slides were developed in Kodak D-19 developer, fixed, and counter-stained with hematoxylin-eosin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Professor Atsushi Ichikawa, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, for his stimulating guidance and encouragement through this work.

The author's heartfelt appreciation is given to Professor Shuh Narumiya, Faculty of Medicine, and Dr. Manabu Negishi, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, for their excellent direction and fruitful suggestion.

Thanks are also given to Professor Noboru Mizuno, Dr. Ryuichi Shigemoto, Faculty of Medicine, Associate Professor, Tetsuya Fukui, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, and Dr. Kimio Yatsunami, Pharmaceutical Basic Research Laboratory, Japan Tobacco Inc, for their valuable advice and discussion.

The author is grateful to Dr. Tsunehisa Namba, Dr. Yasunori Hayashi, Dr. Chihiro Akazawa, Faculty of Medicine, Dr. Jun Yamamoto, MS Akiko Honda and MS Akiko Watabe, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, for their continuous encouragement and technical support.

The author also thanks members of Department of Physiological Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, for helpful discussion and encouragement.

Acknowledgments are also given to BS Mihoko Negishi, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, and Mr. Akira Uesugi, Faculty of Medicine, Kyoto University, for their kind help in preparing the figures.

REFERENCES

Adam, M., Boie, Y., Rushmore, T. H., Müller, G., Bastien, L., McKee, K. T., Metters, K. M., and Abramovitz, M. (1994) Cloning and expression of three isoforms of the human EP₃ prostanoid receptor. FEBS Let. 338, 170-174.

Armstrong, R. A., Lawrence, R. A., Jones, R. L., Wilson, N. H., and Collier, A. (1989) Functional and ligand binding studies suggest heterogeneity of platelet prostacyclin receptors. Br. J. Pharmacol. 97, 657-668.

Armstrong, R. A., Matthews, J. S., Jones, R. L., and Wilson., N. H. (1990). Characterization of PGE₂ receptors mediating increased vascular permeability in inflammation. Adv. Prost. Thromb. Leukot. Res. 21, 375-379.

Asano, T., Ui, M., and Ogasawara, N. (1985). Prevention of the agonist binding to γ -aminobutyric acid B receptors by guanine nucleotides and islet-activating protein, pertussis toxin, in bovine cerebral cortex: possible coupling of the toxin-sensitive GTP-binding proteins to receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 260, 12653-12658.

Badr, K. F. and Jacobson, H. R. (1991) Arachidonic acid metabolites and the kidney. in *The Kidney* (edited by Brenner B. M. and Rector, F. C.) vol. I. W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, pp. 584-619.

Benovic, J. L., DeBlasi, A., Stone, W. C., Caron, M. G., and Lefkowitz, R. J. (1989). β-adrenergic receptor kinase: Primary structure delineates a multigene family. Science 246, 235-240.

Bonvalet, J.-P., Pradelles, P., and Farman, N. (1987) Segmental synthesis and actions of prostaglandins along the nephron. Am. J. Physiol. 253, F377-F387.

Breitbart, R. E., Andreadis, A., and Nadal-Ginard, B. (1987). Alternative splicing: a ubiquitous mechanism for the generation of multiple protein isoforms from single genes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 56, 467-495.

Breyer, M. D., Jacobson, H. R., and Hébert, R. L. (1990) Cellular mechanisms of prostaglandin E_2 and vasopressin interactions in the collecting duct. Kidney Int. 38, 618-624.

Carpio, H., Cooper, G. F., Edwards, J. A., Fried, J. H., Garay, G. L., Guzman, A., Mendez, J. A., Muchowski, J. M., Roszkowski, A. P., Van Horn, A. R., and Wren, D. (1987) Synthesis and gastric antisecretory properties of allenic 16-phenoxy-omegatetranor prostaglandin E analogs. Prostaglandins 33, 169-180.

Chen, M. C. Y., Amirian, D. A., Toomey, M., Sanders, M. J., and Soll, A. H. (1988). Prostanoid inhibition of canine parietal cells: mediation by the inhibitory guanine triphosphate-binding protein of adenylate cyclase. Gastroenterology 94, 1121-1129.

Chomczynski, P., and Sacchi, N. (1987). Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. Anal. Biochem. 162, 156-159.

Cohen-Luria, R., Rimon, G., and Moran, A. (1993) PGE₂ inhibits Na-K-ATPase activity and ouabain binding in MDCK cells. Am. J. Physiol. 264, F61-F65.

Coleman, R. A., Kennedy, I., Sheldrick, R. L. G., and Tolowinska, I. Y. (1987) Further evidence for the existence of three subtypes of PGE₂-sensitive (EP-) receptors. Br. J. Pharmacol. 91 (Suppl.), 407P.

Coleman, R. A., Kennedy, I., Humphrey, P. P. A., Bunce, K., and Lumley, P. (1990) Prostanoids and their receptors. in *Comprehensive Medicinal Chemistry* (Hansch, C., Sammes, P. G., Taylor, J. B. and Emmett, J. C. eds.) vol. 3, pp. 643-714. (Pergamon, Oxford).

Collins, P. W. (1986) Development and therapeutic role of synthetic prostaglandins in peptic ulcer disease. J. Med. Chem. 29, 437-443.

Dal Toso, R., Sommer, B., Ewert, M., Herb, A., Pitchett, D. B., Bach, A., Shivers, B. D., and Seeburg, P. H. (1989). The dopamine D₂ receptor: two molecular forms generated by alternative splicing. EMBO J. 8, 4025-4034.

Dearry, A., Gingrich, J. A., Falardeau, P., Fremeau, R. T. Jr., Bates, M. D. and Caron, M. G. (1990) Molecular cloning and expression of the gene for a human D₁ dopamine receptor. Nature 347, 72-76.

Dillingham, M. A., Dixon, B. S., and Anderson, R. J. (1987) Calcium modulates vasopressin effect in rabbit cortical collecting tubule. Am. J. Physiol. 252, F115-F121.

Dixon, R. A. F., Kobilka, B. K., Strader, D. J., Benovic, J. L., Dohlman, H. G., Frielle, T., Bolanowski, M. A., Bennett, C. D., Rands, E., Diehl, R. E., Mumford, R. A., Slater, E. E., Sigal, I. S., Caron, M. G., Lefkowitz, R. J., and Strader, C. D. Cloning of the gene and cDNA for mammalian β -adrenergic receptor and homology with rhodopsin. (1986) Nature 321, 75-79.

Dohlman, H. G., Thorner, J., Caron, M. G., and Lefkowitz, R. J. (1991). Model systems for the study of seven-transmembrane-segment receptors. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 60, 653-688.

Dong, Y. J., Jones, R. L., and Wilson, N. H. (1986) Prostaglandin E receptor subtypes in smooth muscle; agonist activities of stable prostacyclin analogues. Br. J. Pharmacol. 87, 97-107.

Dunlop, M. E., and Larkins, R. G. (1990) Insulin-dependent contractility of glomerular mesangial cells in response to angiotensin II, platelet-activating factor and endothelin is attenuated by prostaglandin E₂. Biochem. J. 272, 561-568.

Felgner, P. L., Gadek, T. R., Holm, M., Roman, R., Chan, H. W., Wenz, M., Northrop, J. P., Ringold, G. M., and Danielsen, M. (1987) Lipofection: a highly efficient, lipid-mediated DNA-transfection procedure. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 84, 7413-7417.

Findlay, J. B. C., and Pappin, D. J. C. (1986) The opsin family of proteins. Biochem. J. 238, 625-642.

Freeman, R. H., Davis, J. O., and Villarreal, D. (1984) Role of renal prostaglandins in the control of renin release. Circulation Res. 54, 1-9.

Garcia-Perez, A., and Smith, W. L. (1984). Apical-basolateral membrane asymmetry in canine cortical collecting tubule cells. J. Clin. Invest. 74, 63-74.

Gardiner, P. J. (1986) Characterization of prostanoid relaxant/inhibitory receptors (ψ) using a highly selective agonist, TR4979. Br. J. Pharmacol. 87, 45-56.

Gerber, J. G., Anderson, R. J., Schrier, R. W., and Nies, A. S. (1982) Prostaglandins and the regulation of renal circulation and function. in *Prostaglandins and the cardiovascular system*. (edited by Oates, J. A.) Raven Press, New York, pp.227-254.

Gilman, A. G. (1987). G proteins: transducers of receptor-generated signals. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 56, 615-649.

Giros, B., Sokoloff, P., Martres, M. P., Riou, J. F., Emorine, L. J., and Schwartz, J. C. (1989). Alternative splicing directs the expression of two D_2 dopamine receptor isoforms. Nature 342, 923-926.

Graham, F. L., and van der Eb, A. J. (1973). A new technique for the assay of infectivity of human adenovirus 5 DNA. Virology 52, 456-467.

Grandt, R., Aktories, K., and Jakobs, K. H. (1982). Guanine nucleotides and monovalent cations increase agonist affinity of prostaglandin E_2 receptors in hamster adipocytes. Mol. Pharmacol. 22, 320-326.

Grantham, J. J. and Orloff, J. (1968) Effect of prostaglandin E_1 on the permeability response of the isolated collecting tubule to vasopressin, adenosine, 3',5'-monophosphate, and theophylline. J. Clin. Invest. 47, 1154-1161.

Gubler, U. and Hoffman, B. J. (1983). A simple and very efficient method for generating cDNA libraries. Gene 25, 263-269.

Halushka, P. V., Maris, D. E., Mayeux, P. R., and Morinelli, T. A. (1989). Thromboxane, prostaglandin and leukotriene receptors. Annu. Rev. Pharm. Tox. 10, 213-239.

Handler, J. S. (1988) Antidiuretic hormone moves membranes. Am. J. Physiol. 255, F375-F382.

Hashimoto, H., Negishi, M., and Ichikawa, A. (1990) Identification of a prostacyclin receptor coupled to the adenylate cyclase system via a stimulatory GTP-binding protein in mouse mastocytoma P-815 cells. Prostaglandins 40, 491-505.

Hausdorff, W. P., Caron, M. G., and Lefkowitz, R. J. (1990) Turning off the signal: desensitization of β-adrenergic receptor function. FASEB J. 4, 2881-2889.

Hebert, S. C., and Andreoli, T. S. (1984) Control of NaCl transport in the thick ascending limb. Am. J. Physiol. 246, F745-F756.

Hedqvist, P., and von Euler, U. S. (1972). Prostaglandin-induced neurotransmission failure in the field-stimulated, isolated vas deferens. Neuropharmacology 11, 177-187.

Hirata, M., Hayashi, Y., Ushikubi, F., Yokota, Y., Kageyama, R., Nakanishi, S., and Narumiya, S. (1991). Cloning and expression of cDNA for a human thromboxane A₂ receptor. Nature 349, 617-620.

Honda, A., Sugimoto, Y., Namba, T., Watabe, A., Irie, A., Negishi, M., Narumiya, S., and Ichikawa, A. (1993) Cloning and expression of a cDNA for mouse prostaglandin E receptor EP₂ subtype. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 7759-7762.

Hubbard, S. C., and Ivatt, R. J. (1981) Synthesis and processing of asparagine-linked oligosaccharides. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 50, 555-583.

Hébert, R. L., Jacobson, H. R., and Breyer, M. D. (1990) PGE₂ inhibits AVP-induced water flow in cortical collecting ducts by protein kinase C activation. Am. J. Physiol. 259, F318-F325.

Hébert, R. L., Jacobson, H. R., and Breyer, M. D. (1991) Triple signal transduction model for the mechanism of PGE₂ actions in rabbit cortical collecting duct. Prostaglandins Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids 42, 143-148.

Iino, Y., and Imai, M. (1978) Effects of prostaglandins on Na transport in isolated collecting tubules. Pflügers Arch. 373, 125-132.

Irie, A., Sugimoto, Y., T. Namba, T., Harazono, A., Honda, A., Watabe, A., Negishi, M., Narumiya, S., and Ichikawa, A. (1993) Third isoform of the prostaglandin-Ereceptor EP₃ subtype with different C-terminal tail coupling to both stimulation and inhibition of adenylate cyclase. Eur. J. Biochem. 217, 313-318.

Ito, S., Mochizuki-Oda, N., Hori, K., Ozaki, K., Miyakawa, A., and Negishi, M. (1991) Characterization of prostaglandin E_2 -induced Ca²⁺ mobilization in single bovine adrenal chromaffin cells by digital image microscopy. J. Neurochem. 56, 531-540.

Kennelly, P. J., and Krebs, E. G. (1991) Consensus sequences as substrate specificity determinants for protein kinases and protein phosphatases. J. Biol. Chem. 266, 15555-15558.

Krall, J. F., Barrett, J. D., Jamgotchian, N., and Korenman, S. G. (1984). Interaction of prostaglandin E_2 and β -adrenergic catecholamines in the regulation of uterine smooth muscle motility and adenylate cyclase in the rat. J. Endocrinol. 102, 329-336.

Kyte, J., and Doolittle, R. F. (1982). A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. J. Mol. Biol. 157, 105-132.

Lawrence, R. A., Jones, R. L., and Wilson, N. H. (1992) Characterization of receptors involved in the direct and indirect actions of prostaglandins E and I on the guinea-pig ileum. Br. J. Pharmacol. 105, 271-278.

Lefkowitz, R. J., and Caron, M. G. (1988). Adrenergic receptors: Models for the study of receptors coupled to guanine nucleotide regulatory proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 263, 4993-4996.

Lu, Z., Pineyro, M. A., Kirkland, J. L., Li, Z. H., and Gregerman, R. I. (1988). Prostaglandin-sensitive adenylyl cyclase of cultured preadipocytes and mature adipocytes of the rat: probable role of Gi in determination of stimulatory or inhibitory action. J. Cell. Physiol. 136, 1-12.

Melien, O., Winsnes, R., Refsnes, M., Gladhaug, I. P. and Christoffersen, T. (1988). Pertussis toxin abolishes the inhibitory effects of prostaglandin E_1 , E_2 , I_2 , and $F_{2\alpha}$ on hormone-induced cAMP accumulation in cultured hepatocytes. Eur. J. Biochem. 172, 293-297.

Moncada, S., Flower, R. J., and Vane, J. R. (1985). Prostaglandins, prostacyclin, thromboxane A₂, and leukotrienes. in *The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*
(Gilman, A. G., Good-man, L. S., Rall, T. W., and Murad, F., eds) 7th Ed., pp. 660-673, Macmillan Publishing Co., New York.

Monsma, F. J., McVittie, L. D., Gerfen, C. R., Mahan, L. C., and Sibley, D. R. (1989). Multiple D_2 dopamine receptors produced by alternative RNA splicing. Nature 342, 926-929.

Morishita, R., Asano, T., Kato, K., Itoh, H., and Kaziro, Y. (1989). Purification and identification of two pertussis-toxin-sensitive GTP-binding proteins of bovine spleen. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 161, 1280-1285.

Mount, S. M. (1982). A catalogue of splice junction sequences. Nuc. Acids Res. 10, 459-472.

Méne, P, and Dunn, M. J. (1988) Eicosanoids and control of mesangial cell contraction. Circulation Res. 62, 916-925.

Nadler, S. P., Hebert, S. C., and Brenner, B. M. (1986) PGE₂, Forskolin, and cholera toxin interaction in rabbit cortical collecting tubule. Am. J. Physiol. 250, F127-F135.

Nadler, S. P., Zimpelmann, J. A., and Hébert, R. L. (1992) PGE₂ inhibits water permeability at a post-cAMP site in rat terminal inner medullary collecting duct. Am. J. Physiol. 262, F229-F235.

Nakajima, Y., Tsuchida, K., Negishi, M., Ito, S., and Nakanishi, S. (1992). Direct linkage of three tachykinin receptors stimulation of both phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis and cyclic AMP cascades in transfected Chinese harnster ovary cells. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 2437-2442.

Nakao, A.,. Allen, M. L. Sonnenburg, W. K., and Smith, W. L. (1989) Regulation of cAMP metabolism by PGE₂ in cortical and medullary thick ascending limb of Henle's loop. Am. J. Physiol. 256, C652-C657.

Namba, T., Sugimoto, Y., Hirata, M., Hayashi, Y., Honda, A., Watabe, A., Negishi, M., Ichikawa, A., and Narumiya, S. (1992). Mouse thromboxane A₂ receptor; cDNA cloning, expression and northern blot analysis. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 184, 1197-1203.

Namba, T., Sugimoto, Y., Negishi, M., Irie, A., Ushikubi, F., Kakizuka, A., Ito, S., Ichikawa, A., and Narumiya, S. (1993) Alternative splicing of C-terminal tail of prostaglandin E receptor subtype EP3 determines G-protein specificity. Nature 365, 166-170.

Negishi, M., Ito, S., Tanaka, T., Yokohama, H., Hayashi, H., Katada, T., Ui, M., and Hayaishi, O. (1987). Covalent Cross-linking of Prostaglandin E receptor from bovine adrenal medulla with a pertussis toxin-insensitive guanine nucleotide-binding protein. J. Biol. Chem. 262, 12077-12084.

Oikawa, S., Inuzuka, C., Kuroki, M., Matsuoka, Y., Kosaki, G., and Nakazato, H. (1989). Cell adhesion activity of non-specific cross-reacting antigen (NCA) and carcioembryonic antigen (CEA) expressed on CHO cell surface: homophilic and heterophilic adhesion. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 164, 39-45.

O'Dowd, B. F., Hnatowich, M., Regan, J. W., Leader, W. M., Caron, M. G., and Lefkowitz, R. J. (1988). Site-directed mutagenesis of the cytoplasmic domains of the

human β_2 -adrenergic receptor: localization of regions involved in G protein-receptor coupling. J. Biol. Chem. 263, 15985-15992.

O'Dowd, B. F., Lefkowitz, R. J., and Caron, M. G. (1989a). Structure of the adrenergic and related receptors. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 12, 67-83.

O'Dowd, B. F., Hnatowich, M., Caron, M. G., Lefkowitz, R. J., and Bouvier, M. (1989b). Palmitoylation of the human β_2 -adrenergic receptor. Mutation of Cys³⁴¹ in the carboxyl tail leads to an uncoupled nonpalmitoylated form of the receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 7564-7569.

Padgett, R. A., Grabowski, P. J., Konarska, M. M., and Sharp, P. A. (1986). Splicing of messenger RNA precursors. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 55, 1119-1150.

Phipps, R. P., Stein, S. H., and Roper, R. L. (1991) A new view of prostaglandin E regulation of the immune response. Immunology today 12, 349-352.

Richelsen, B., and Pedersen, O. (1985). β -adrenergic regulation of prostaglandin E₂ receptors in human and rat adipocytes. Endocrinol. 116, 1182-1188.

Robertson, R. P., and Little, S. A. (1983). Down regulation of prostaglandin E receptors and homologous desensitization of isolated adipocytes. Endocrinol. 113, 1732-1738.

Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989). Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 2nd ed. (Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory).

Samuelsson, B., Goldyne, M., Granström, E., Hamberg, M., Hammarström, S., and Malmsten, C. (1978). Prostaglandins and thromboxanes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 47, 997-1029.

Senior, J., Marshall, K., Sangha, R., Baxter, G. S., and Clayton, J. K. (1991) In vitro characterization of prostanoid EP-receptors in the non-pregnant human myometrium. Br. J. Pharmacol. 102, 747-753.

Smith, W. L., Watanabe, T., and Umegaki, K. (1987) Renal prostaglandin E₂ receptors. Kidney International (Abstr) 31, 287.

Sonnenburg, W. K., and Smith, W. L. (1988) Regulation of cyclic AMP metabolism in rabbit cortical collecting tubule cells by prostaglandins. J. Biol. Chem. 263, 6155-6160.

Stein, J. H. and Reineck, H. J. (1974) Regulation of the excretion of sodium and other electrolytes by the collecting duct. Kidney Int. 6, 1-9.

Stokes, J. B., and Kokko, J. P. (1977) Inhibition of sodium transport by prostaglandin E_2 across the isolated, perfused rabbit collecting tubule. J. Clin. Invest. 59, 1099-1104.

Sugimoto, Y., Namba, T., Honda, A., Hayashi, Y., Negishi, M., Ichikawa, A., and Narumiya, S. (1992). Cloning and expression of a cDNA for mouse prostaglandin E receptor EP₃ subtype. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 6463-6466.

Sugimoto, Y., Negishi, M., Hayashi, Y., Namba, T., Honda, A., Watabe, A., Hirata, M., Narumiya, S., and Ichikawa, A. (1993) Two isoforms of the EP₃ receptor with

different carboxyl-terminal domains; identical ligand binding properties and different coupling properties with Gi proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 2712-2718.

Sugimoto, Y., Namba, T., Shigemoto, R., Negishi, M., Ichikawa, A., and Narumiya, S. (1994) Distinct cellular localization of the mRNAs for three subtypes of prostaglandin E receptor in kidney. Am. J. Physiol. in press.

Sussman, D. J., and Milman, G. (1984). Short-term, high-efficiency expression of transfected DNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4, 1641-1643.

Takeuchi, K., Abe, T., Takahashi, N., and Abe, K. (1993) Molecular cloning and intrarenal localization of rat prostaglandin E_2 receptor EP_3 subtype. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 194, 885-891.

Taniguchi, S., Watanabe, T., Nakao, A., Seki, G., Uwatoko, S., Suzuki, K., and Kurokawa, K. (1992) Nephron distribution of EP₃-prostaglandin E₂ receptor mRNA expression detected by RT-PCR. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 3, 459 (Abst.).

Torikai, S., and Kurokawa, K. (1983). Effect of PGE₂ on vasopressin-dependent cell cAMP in isolated single nephron segments. Am. J. Physiol. 245, F58-F66.

Urlaub, G., and Chasin, L. A. (1980). Isolation of Chinese hamster cell mutants deficient in dihydrofolate reductase activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 4216-4220.

Wang, A. M., Doyle, M. V., and Mark, D. F. (1989). Quantitation of mRNA by the polymerase chain reaction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 9717-9721.

Warden, D. H. and Stokes, J. B. (1993) EGF and PGE₂ inhibit rabbit CCD Na⁺ transport by different mechanisms: PGE₂ inhibits Na⁺-K⁺ pump. Am. J. Physiol. 264, F670-677.

Watabe, A., Sugimoto, Y., Honda, A., Irie, A., Namba, T., Negishi, M., Narumiya, S. and Ichikawa, A. (1993) Cloning and expression of a cDNA for mouse prostaglandin E receptor EP₁ subtype. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 20175-20178.

Watanabe, T., Umegaki, K., and Smith, W. L. (1986) Association of a solubilized prostaglandin E_2 receptor from renal medulla with a pertussis toxin-reactive guanine nucleotide regulatory protein. J. Biol. Chem. 261, 13430-13439.

Watanabe, T., Shimizu, T., Nakao, A., Taniguchi, S., Arata, Y., Teramoto, T., Seyama, Y., Ui, M., and Kurokawa, K. (1991) Characterization of partially purified prostaglandin E_2 receptor from the canine renal medulla: evidence for physical association of the receptor protein with the inhibitory guanine nucleotide-binding protein. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1074, 398-405.

Wilden, U., and Kuhn, H. (1982). Light-dependent phosphorylation of rhodopsin: number of phosphorylation sites. Biochemistry 21, 3014-3022.

Yatsunami, K., Ichikawa, A., and Tomita, K. (1981) Accumulation of adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate induced by prostaglandin E_1 binding to mastocytoma P-815 cells. Biochem. Pharmacol. 30, 1325-1332.

Notes for the thesis registration to 'Kyoto University Research Information Repository'

After publication of this thesis, the International Union of Pharmacology defined the classification of prostanoid receptors¹. The type-E prostanoid receptors (EPs) have been subdivided into four subtypes, EP1, EP2, EP3 and EP4. The 'EP2' receptor referred in this thesis has been pharmacologically identified as 'EP4' receptor as reported by Nishigaki et al.²

References

- Coleman RA, Smith WL, Narumiya S. International Union of Pharmacology classification of prostanoid receptors: properties, distribution, and structure of the receptors and their subtypes. Pharmacol Rev. 46: 205-229, 1994.
- Nishigaki N, Negishi M, Honda A, Sugimoto Y, Namba T, Narumiya S, Ichikawa A. Identification of prostaglandin E receptor 'EP2' cloned from mastocytoma cells EP4 subtype. FEBS Lett. 364: 339-341, 1995.