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Fluorescence of naphth [1, 2] imidazoles and perimidines, which were described in the previous report was compared in alcoholic solution with that of the standard solution of certain organic fluorescent compound under ultraviolet light (3650Å). The results were as follows:

1. Emissivity of fluorescence of naphth [1, 2] imidazole ring was larger than that of perimidine ring.
2. The introduction of conjugated system to 2-position of naphth [1, 2] imidazole increased the emissivity of fluorescence to some extent.
3. The introduction of conjugated system to 2-position of perimidine showed scarcely any effect.

These results are well explained according to our theory (Memoirs of the Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, 13, 108-122 (1951)) and Hirschberg (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 5117 (1950)).

Synthesized naphth [1, 2] imidazoles are useful for optical bleaching agent, since they emit blue violet—greenich blue strong fluorescent light.
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We newly found that the mono-esterification rate of phthalic anhydride by alcohol was accelerated by the addition of HCl, and these catalytic reaction rates for propyl-, butyl-, isobutyl- and cyclohexyl-alcohol were respectively investigated in detail.

The reaction rate was the first order with respect to the concentration of phthalic anhydride by using alcohol in excess, and a part of observed rate constants \( k_1 \) is shown in Table 1.
Table 1. First order rate constants k_i.
Phthalic Anhydride 0.1M; Benzene: Alcohol=7:3 (vol.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Conc. of HCl (mol/l)</th>
<th>( k_{i0}^{\text{HCl}} ) (hr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Conc. of HCl (mol/l)</th>
<th>( k_{i0}^{\text{HCl}} ) (hr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Conc. of HCl (mol/l)</th>
<th>( k_{i0}^{\text{HCl}} ) (hr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Conc. of HCl (mol/l)</th>
<th>( k_{i0}^{\text{HCl}} ) (hr(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butyl Alcohol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0565</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyl Alcohol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propyl Alcohol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0557</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexanol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0518</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of these \( k_i \) are proportional to the quantity of added HCl, according to the following relation.

\[ k_i = k_0 [\text{ROH}] + k_{\text{HCl}} [\text{ROH}^+] \]

\[ [\text{ROH}^+] = [\text{HCl}] \]

From this equation, the second order rate constants \( k_0 \) (by no catalyst) and \( k_{\text{HCl}} \) (by HCl catalyst) are calculated, and the values of \( k_0 \) are in good accordance with the values which were obtained experimentally without catalyst. \( k_{\text{HCl}} \) at 35°C are given in Table 2. Because these values of \( k_{\text{HCl}} \) are about 10^2 times larger than the rate constants of the di-esterification of monoester by HCl catalyst, the di-esterification may be negligible in this experimental condition. These are the results when the mixture of benzene and alcohol of 7:3 in vol. ratio was used as the solvent. In the case of the solvent containing the smaller amount of benzene, \( k_0 \) became somewhat larger, but the value of \( k_{\text{HCl}} \) was little affected.

From the temperature dependence of \( k_{\text{HCl}} \), the activation energies E and the frequency factors A are obtained as shown in Table 2.

Table 2.
The values of \( k_{\text{HCl}}^{0\text{a}} \), activation energies E and logA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>( k_{\text{HCl}}^{0\text{a}} \times 10^3 ) (1/mol<em>l</em>sec.)</th>
<th>E (kcal.)</th>
<th>logA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propyl</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>6.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyl</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexyl</td>
<td>0.309*</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Extrapolated

In comparison with the results by no catalyst, E's are almost equal, but A's are remarkably large.