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The $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha$)$^8$Be Reaction from 1.3 to 3.2 MeV
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The $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha$)$^8$Be reaction has been studied in the bombarding energy range 1.3 $\leq E(^3$He) $\leq$ 3.2 MeV. States at 27.9 and 28.1 MeV were found in the excitation functions for $\alpha_5$ and $\alpha_3$, respectively. The 27.9 MeV state was considered to have a natural parity and a large $T=0$ component. The 28.1 MeV state was considered to be an unnatural parity state with $J \geq 1$ and with a $T=0$ component.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this experiment is to investigate the alpha-like structure of $^{12}$C nucleus in a high excitation energy region. Since the $^9$Be+$^3$He system corresponds to 26.3 MeV excitation of $^{12}$C, the region from 27.3 to 28.7 MeV state in $^{12}$C can be studied with $^3$He particles from 1.3 to 3.2 MeV bombarding energy.

This region is the high energy tail of giant resonance and some peaks have been observed in the excitation functions for the $^{11}$B(p, $\gamma$)$^{12}$C$^{12}$ and the $^{12}$C($\gamma$, p)$^{11}$B$^3$ reactions. It is noted that one peak indicating a resonance at 28 MeV excitation energy is observed in common.

Moreover, the $^{12}$C(p, p'$\alpha$)$^8$Be reaction$^4,5$ was studied to investigate the properties of several of the excited states of $^{12}$C in the giant resonance region. Epstein et al. have observed resonances at 21.1, 22.2, and 26.0 MeV excitation energies. Alpha yield corresponding to higher excitation energy is also obtained in this reaction. Therefore, one may expect to observe the alpha-like structure state of $^{12}$C at about 28 MeV excitation energy.

The reasons preferred the $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha$)$^8$Be reaction are as follows. In the $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha$) reaction the low binding energy of the last neutron in $^9$Be is expected to favor a direct interaction between the loosely bound neutron and the $^3$He projectile. It was shown by Brown and Knowles$^6$ that the three cluster configuration $\alpha+\alpha+n$ is apparently preferred over the two cluster configuration $^8$Be+$n$ in the $^9$Be ground state.

Therefore, the $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha$)$^8$Be reaction is seemed to be more suitable than any other reactions to investigate the three alpha configuration in the $^{12}$C nucleus.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The singly charged $^3$He beam from the Kyoto University 4.0 MeV Van de Graaff
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Accelerator was used to bombard \(^9\)Be target. Self-supporting \(^9\)Be targets were prepared by electron bombardment method of metallic \(^9\)Be. As the target contained contaminations such as \(^{12}\)C and \(^{16}\)O, its thickness was found by observing Rutherford scattering. A value of \(20.4 \pm 1.75 \mu \text{g/cm}^2\) was adopted for the target thickness used in the cross section calculations.

After passing through the target the beam was collected and monitored in a standard Faraday cup system. Two silicon surface barrier detectors approximately 300 \(\mu\)m thick were used. These were thick enough to stop the high energy alpha particles from the \((^3\text{He}, \alpha)\) reaction on \(^9\)Be but too thin to stop the high energy protons from the \((^3\text{He}, p)\) reaction. Thin aluminium foils were placed in front of the detectors to stop the elastically scattered \(^3\)He particles. The amplified output pulses from the detectors were shed into two multichannel pulse height analysers. The energy resolution of whole system is about 70 KeV full width at half maximum to \(\alpha_0\) peak, whose energy is approximately 11 MeV.

The excitation function for the \(^9\)Be\((^3\text{He}, ^3\text{He})\) reaction was measured at 80° with respect to the beam axis. The excitation functions for the \(^9\)Be\((^3\text{He}, \alpha)\) reaction were obtained at 15° and 165° for \(\alpha_0\), and 165° for \(\alpha_1\). The excitation function for the \(^9\)Be\((^3\text{He}, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\) reaction (unresolved) was measured at \(\theta = 80°\) and \(\theta = -106°\) in coincidence work in order to avoid superposition of protons and other particles from the \(^3\)He induced reactions on \(^9\)Be, \(^{12}\)C, and \(^{16}\)O. To improve the statistics differential cross sections were obtained by summing two peaks arising from the detection of \(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\) at 80° and -106°.

The angular distributions of \(\alpha_0\) were roughly measured at bombarding energies of 1.749, 2.124, 2.428, and 2.949 MeV. The differential cross sections given in this paper are in agreement with the values of Dorenbusch et al.\(^7\) but slightly smaller than those of Weinman et al.\(^8\) for \(\alpha_0\).

III. RESULTS

III.1 Energy Spectrum

A representative spectrum of alpha particles taken at 2.007 MeV bombarding energy and 165° is shown in Fig. 1. Because of detector thickness the high energy alpha particles are well separated from the high energy protons. The continuum would be due to alpha particles from the \(^9\)Be\((^3\text{He}, \alpha)\) reaction. There is less difficulty in subtracting the continuum for \(\alpha_0\) peak; however, the subtraction is unreliable for \(\alpha_1\) peak owing to the uncertainty in the shape of this continuum.

Since the \(^9\)Be\((^3\text{He}, 3\alpha)\) reaction at low bombarding energies is known to proceed predominantly via a sequential process,\(^9\) it is not proper to consider that the continuum is attributed to alpha particles from simultaneous break up process. Therefore, one can not make use of phase space factor for the shape of the continuum. It was found that the most consistent method of subtracting the continuum was by drawing it in by eye. The uncertainty was brought in the absolute values of the differential cross sections; however, these values were in good agreement with those of Dorenbusch et al.\(^7\) and Weinman et al.\(^8\).

In the energy region \(1.5 \leq E(^3\text{He}) \leq 3.0\) MeV the energy spectrum of \(\alpha_1\) was fitted
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Fig. 1. Spectrum of alpha particles from the $^9\text{Be}(^4\text{He}, \alpha)^8\text{Be}$ reaction at a bombarding energy of 2.007 MeV and a laboratory angle of 165°.

with a Gaussian distribution. Using the ground state Q-value of 18.913 MeV,$^{10}$ the excitation energy and the width of the first excited state of $^8\text{Be}$ were found to be 2.711±0.031 MeV and 1.358±0.51 MeV, respectively. No evidence was obtained as to the change of the width.

III.2 Excitation Function

Figure 2 shows the excitation function for the $^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, ^3\text{He})^8\text{Be}$ reaction measured at 80°. The fact that it does not show any resonance behavior makes cross section calculations based on Rutherford scattering reliable. The excitation functions for the $^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha)^8\text{Be}$ reaction were measured at 15° and 165° for $\alpha_0$ and 165° for $\alpha_1$ from 1.3 to 3.2 MeV bombarding energy. The results are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. The excitation function for the $^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha_3+\alpha_4)^8\text{Be}$ reaction was obtained at $\theta_1=80°$ and $\theta_2=-160°$ (Fig. 5).

The angular distributions were measured for $\alpha_0$ at bombarding energies of 1.749, 2.124, 2.428, and 2.949 MeV to examine the property of the resonance-like peak in the excitation function. The total cross sections are shown in Fig. 6 and indicate the

Fig. 2. The differential cross sections as a function of bombarding energy for the $^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He},^3\text{He})^8\text{Be}$ reaction at a laboratory angle of 165°.
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Fig. 3. The differential cross sections as a function of bombarding energy for the $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha$)$^4$Be reaction at laboratory angles of 15° and 165°.

Fig. 4. The differential cross sections as a function of bombarding energy for the $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha_1$)$^8$Be reaction at a laboratory angle of 165°.

Fig. 5. The differential cross sections as a function of bombarding energy for the $^9$Be($^3$He, $\alpha_2+\alpha_4$)$^4$Be reaction at $\theta_1$=80° and $\theta_2$= −106°.
resonance. The main error arises from uncertainties in target thickness and continuum subtraction and from counting statistics. The absolute errors of the differential cross sections are shown in each figure and less than ±15% except the 9Be(3He, α₃ + α₄) reaction.

IV. DISCUSSION

The excitation function for the 9Be(3He, α₀)⁸Be reaction studied at 15° shows a significant resonance structure, while that measured at 165° shows little evidence of a resonance. This resonance corresponds to a level in the compound nucleus ¹²C at 27.9 MeV excitation energy having a width of about 400 KeV. According to spin and isobaric spin conservation, this state may have a natural parity and a large T=0 component.

The excitation function for the 9Be(3He, α₁)⁸Be reaction also shows a resonance possessing a narrower width than that for α₀. This resonance corresponds to a level at 28.1 MeV excitation energy in ¹²C with a width of about 200 KeV. Moreover, the position of this resonance is at the dip part of the excitation function for α₀. An assignment of an unnatural parity state with J ≥ 1 could explain this observation.

At this excitation energy region a resonance has been observed in the reactions ⁹Be(³He, n)¹¹C, ¹²C, ⁹Be(³He, p)¹¹B, ¹³B/p, γ¹²C, ¹２C, and ¹²C(γ, p)¹¹B. These results indicate that the level is at 28.0 MeV excitation energy with a width of about 350 KeV. Table I presents the summary of our results together with those reported by other experiments.

The 27.9 MeV state is seen in the ⁹Be(³He, n)¹¹C and the ⁹Be(³He, p)¹¹B reactions but not in the reactions ⁹Be(³He, γ)¹²C and ¹⁰B(d, α)⁸Be. The excitation functions for the ⁹Be(³He, n) reaction were measured for E(³He) from 1.2 to 2.7 MeV at...
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Table I. Comparison of \(^{12}\text{C} \) Excitation Energies (in MeV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(^{12}\text{C} ) Excitation Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>(^{12}\text{C} ) Excitation Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>(^{12}\text{C} ) Excitation Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>(^{12}\text{C} ) Excitation Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>(^{12}\text{C} ) Excitation Energy (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((^3\text{He}, \alpha)) (^8\text{Be} )</td>
<td>((^3\text{He}, \alpha)) (^8\text{Be} )</td>
<td>((^3\text{He}, \alpha)) (^8\text{Be} )</td>
<td>((^3\text{He}, \alpha)) (^8\text{Be} )</td>
<td>((^3\text{He}, \alpha)) (^8\text{Be} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a\) | Present Exp. | \( b\) | References 11, 12 | \( c\) | Reference 13 |
\( d\) | Reference 17 | \( e\) | References 1, 2 | \( f\) | Reference 3 |

\( \theta = 0^\circ \) and \( 81.5^\circ \) by Duggan \textit{et al.}. Those measured at \( 0^\circ \) showed a resonance structure, while those measured at \( 81.5^\circ \) did not. These seem to imply the similarity of reaction mechanism between \((^3\text{He}, \alpha_0) \) and \((^3\text{He}, n) \) reactions on \(^9\text{Be} \). It is interesting that this state was not observed in the \(^{16}\text{O}(d, \alpha)^8\text{Be} \) reaction. The \(^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha)^8\text{Be} \) reaction would be more favorable than that reaction to form three alpha configuration.

Since the third and fourth excited states of \(^8\text{Be} \) are members of an isobaric spin doublet \((T=0 \text{ and } T=1)\), 16) \( T=0 \) and \( T=1 \) states can be observed by the \(^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha_3+\alpha_4)^8\text{Be} \) reaction. Sufficient information as to such states were not obtained in the excitation function for that reaction. If the 28.1 MeV state has a \( T=1 \) component, it is expected that the state is excited more strongly in the \(^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha_3+\alpha_4)^8\text{Be} \) reaction than in the \(^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha_1)^8\text{Be} \) reaction. Therefore, the 28.1 MeV state is considered to have a \( T=0 \) component. Blatt \textit{et al.} have recently studied the \(^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \gamma)^{12}\text{C} \) reaction 17) and suggested a \( 1^- \), \( T=1 \) state of \(^{12}\text{C} \) at 28.2 MeV with a width of about 1.6 MeV. Though any conclusion can not be drawn with confidence about the relation between this state and 28.1 MeV state, \( T=1 \) state would be excited relatively in the \(^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha_3)^8\text{Be} \) reaction as well as the \(^9\text{Be}(^3\text{He}, \alpha_3+\alpha_4)^8\text{Be} \) reaction. The study of these reactions will be performed in the near future.

The authors wish to express their thanks to members of Research Laboratory of Heavy Ions for technical support during the course of the experiment.
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