Bull. Inst. Chem. Res., Kyoto Univ., Vol. 57, No. 1, 1979

Efficiency Calculation and Measurement of Large NE213 Neutron Counter

Toshiyasu Higo, Seishi Matsuki, Yoshihisa Iwashita, Akira Okihana[†], Noboru Fujiwara^{††}, and Takuji Yanabu*

Received October 4, 1978

A 14 cm diameter and 19 cm depth counter with liquid scintillator (NE213) was constructed for the fast neutron detection. Design, performance and efficiency calibration are described. Calculated efficiency and experimental efficiency are compared at low energy region. They agree within about 20%.

KEY WORDS Large neutron counter /Its efficiency calibration/

I. INTRODUCTION

Efficiency calibration of a neutron counter is an old and new problem. For thin neutron counters which detect neutrons up to 8 MeV, a simple analytical calculation of total efficiency gives good agreement¹) with the experimental one. However, for thick neutron counters, the effect of multiple scattering dominates and the simple analytical calculation gives poor predictions.²,

For small counters, Verbinski *et al.*³ developed a Monte Carlo calculation code to give the response function of a neutron counter with the NE213 liquid scintillator, and the agreement between the prediction and the experimentally determined one was good.⁴ In this paper, we report the neutron efficiency calculated by applying the Verbinski's principle to our counter and also we report the calibration of the counter at low energies. This counter was constructed for the detection of neutrons from the (π^-, n) reactions on various materials. The experiment was performed by using the 500 to 1500 MeV/c π^- beam of the National Laboratory for High Energy Physics (KEK).⁵

II. CONSTRUCTION OF A NEUTRON COUNTER

The design principle of the counter is as follows;

(1). to discriminate gamma rays from neutrons, the liquid scintillator NE213 should be used.

(2). to detect neutrons of 100 MeV with 20% efficiency, the depth of the scintillator should be greater than 15 cm.

¹¹ Present Address; Nara Women's University, Nara. and the state of the state of

^{*} 肥後寿泰, 松木征史, 岩下芳久, 沖花 彰, 藤原 昇, 柳父琢治: Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto.

[†] Present Address; Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto.



Fig. 1. Cross section and dimensions of the neutron counter.





- (3). to construct the counter economically, only one photomultiplier should be used. Therefore, the scintillation light should be led to the photomultiplier via a light guide.
- (4). to make the counter feasible to detect charged particles, window of the counter should be as thin as possible.

Cross section and dimensions are shown in Fig. 1. The liquid scintillator NE213 of 2.7 l was filled in the vessel. Not to degrade the characteristics of neutron-gamma ray discrimination, care was taken to protect the scintillator from oxygen, that is, the vessel was filled with Ar gas and then the NE213 was poured into the vessel. The inside wall of the vessel was coated with TiO₂ paint and the light guide was wrapped with thin Al foil to raise the light collection efficiency. The photomultiplier used is 56DVP. Time resolution was measured to be less than 3, 6 ns for gamma rays.

Neutron-gamma ray discrimination was tested with a Ra-Be neutron source. The energy threshold was fixed at 2.3 MeV. The result is shown in Fig. 2. Though the scintillator volume is large, the discrimination is good in this low energy region.

III. EFFICIENCY CALCULATION

The FORTRAN program for the calculation of neutron counter efficiency developed

Efficiency Calculation and Measurement of Neutron Counter

by Verbinski et al. is called O5S.⁶) Yoshida et al.⁷) have developed a program for the efficiency calculation based on the algorithm of the program O5S. Some differences exist between the O5S and that of Yoshida, which we call Code 1 hereafter, as the following.
(1). For the ¹²C(n, n) ¹²C elastic scattering, simplified pattern of angular distribution is used in O5S and is parametrised, but in Code 1, Legendre polynomial expansion is applied to express the angular distribution.

- (2). For the reaction ${}^{12}C(n, pn) {}^{11}B$ and ${}^{12}C(n, 2n) {}^{11}C$, the distribution of first emitted particle is assumed $p(\theta) = 2 \theta/\pi$ in the c. m. system in O5S, but in Code 1, isotropic distribution in the c. m. system is assumed.
- (3). The spreading of light output due to the fluctuations of light collection efficiency, photomultiplier gain and so on are taken into account in O5S, and Gaussian distribution of light output is assumed, but in Code 1, no attention is paid to these fluctuations.

We improved further Code 1 and developed a new version, which we call Code 2, to calculate the counter efficiency. The main improvements are as follows;

- (1). Energy spread of the incident neutron beam is taken into account by assuming the Gaussian distribution.
- (2). Finite resolution of the light output is taken into account. The actually observed light output distribution is assumed to be Gaussian. The standard deviation σ^{2} of light output is given by

$$\sigma = L_0 (L/L_0 + 0.5)^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

where L_0 is a parameter determined experimentally, and L is the light output given by the relation between light output and energy loss³ (Fig. 3). Unit of L_0 and L is defined by the 1.28 MeV gamma ray Compton edge of ²Na. The light output is then calculated using the Neumann's method for each incident neutron.

(3). In Code 1, neutrons are assumed to enter the detector in parallel. In our experiment, the neutron source is defined inside the circle of 1 cm diameter, and the





T. HIGO, S. MATSUKI, Y. IWASHITA, A. OKIHANA, N. FUJIWARA, and T. YANABU

neutron counter, of which the diameter is 14 cm, is located at about 1 m apart from the neutron source. Therefore, the divergence of the neutron beem is taken into account in our program.

In Table I are listed the cross sections, light output yield and proton ranges in NE213 which are used in our calculation. These values are almost the same as those in O5S.

An example of efficiency curve of the neutron counter calculated by Code 2 is shown in Fig. 4. In this calculation, the distance between the neutron source and the neutron counter is one meter. Point neutron source is assumed. Time resolution of the time of flight system is 3.6 ns FWHM, so that the neutron beam is assumed to have an energy spread corresponding to this time resolution arround the specified value of the

		Reference	Energy MeV
	1		
	σ	ENDF/B	0~14
н		O5S Library	14~100
	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	O5S Library	13. 7~62. 5
		KFK-750	0~10
	at ot al	ENDF/B	10~14
		O5S Library	14~100
	12	KFK-750	0~10
	0+1	ENDF/B	10~14
		O5S Library	14~100
	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	O5S Library	
	And the second s	KFK-750	0~14
	o ^{inel}	ENDF/B	10~14
		O5S Library	14~100
C	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	Isotropic	
		O5R Library	0~14
	0π,α	O5S Library	14~
	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	isotropic	· ·
	σ _{π,π3α}	O5R Library	0~14
		O5S Library	14~
	σ _n , p	O5S Library	
	σ _{n.pn}	O5S Library	
	σ _{n,2n}	O5S Library	

Table I. References of Cross Section Data Used in Code 2

Energy Loss-Light Yield	l Data	O5S	Library	
Proton Range Data	- 1 - 4 - 5 - 1 - 4 - 5 - 5 - 5	O5S	Library	

(16)



Fig. 4. Efficiency calculated by Code 2 up to 100 MeV.

neutron energy. Parameter L_0 is fixed to 0.1. Neutron threshold energy is 2.35 MeV. Number of trial is 10000 and calculation time for one neutron energy is about 25 seconds using FACOM M190.

IV. EFFICIENCY DETERMINATION

This experiment aims to estimate the efficiency of the neutron counter set at the same geometry as used in the (π^-, n) experiment. Therefore, the neutron counter was set at 1 m apart from the target. Experimental setup is shown in Fig. 5.

The commonly used reaction

d+d→n+³He

was used to determine the efficiency. Deuteron beams from the Kyoto University Cyclotron ($E_d = 14$ MeV), and from the Van de Graaff accelerator ($E_d = 4$ MeV) were used. Deuterated polyethylene, (CD₂)n, was used as a target and its thickness was 400 μ g/cm² or 50 μ g/cm².

³He detector, a 9 mm diameter SSD, was set 45 mm apart from the target. To make sure the neutron-³He coincidence, ³He detector should cover the angular range of ³He associated with neutrons which enter into the neutron counter. An example of angular range covered by the SSD is shown in Fig. 6. Dotted circle in the figure shows the angular range of the associated ³He and circle of 2, 3, and 4 show the angular range subtended by the SSD. As seen from the figure, the SSD covers the area of ³He even if the deuteron beam changes its position. Experimentally, the neutron counter is set for the moment at some polar angle and then the ³He detection angle is varied to survey the relevant polar angle by observing the variation of the coincidence rate.





(17)



Fig. 6. Area 1 describes the angular range of ³He associated with neutrons which enter into the neutron counter. Area 2 (or 3, 4) describes the angular range subtended by SSD if beam spot position is at 2 (or 3, 4) in the beam spot.



Fig. 7.

 \circ Coincidence rate as a function of θ , the angle of SSD to the deuteron beam direction.

• Coincidence rate as a function of azimuthal angle of the neutron counter.



Fig. 8. Block diagram for efficiency determination experiment.

Efficiency Calculation and Measurement of Neutron Counter

Then the neutron counter is moved azimuthally to fix the position by observing the variation of coincidence rate. These conditions are shown in Fig. 7.

Electronic block diagram is shown in Fig. 8. The detection threshold of the neutron counter was set at 2.35 MeV. For this purpose, we measured first the light output of the neutron counter from the gamma-ray source of 60 Co and 137 Cs. And then the constant k and α in the following relation was estimated from the light output corresponding to the Compton edge of gamma-rays in these sources.

Light output = $k \times (\text{electron energy loss})^{\alpha}$.

Second, the experimental relation³ between the proton energy loss and light output for the NE213 was used to determine the bias energy. (see Fig. 3) The light output resolution was determined as shown in Fig. 9 by using the 6 MeV neutron beam. Parameter L_0 in Eq. (1) was determined to be 0. I. The efficiency was determined as follows;









(19)

E _d =14 MeV Cyclotron beam		$E_d = 4$ MeV Van de Graaff beam		
E _s (MeV)	θ_n (lab) ΔE_n (MeV)	E _s (MeV)	θ_n (lab)	ΔE_n (MeV)
5. 9 7. 9	$90^{\circ} \leq 0.9 75^{\circ} \leq 1.2$	3.4 5.0	90° 60°	≦0. 38 ≦0. 45
%	Consider	ed errors		%
≤ 3	Counting statistics		he Lines	≤ 3
≦ 3	Target thickness estin	nation*	a an i thair	≤ 3
≤ 3	D(d, n) ³ He reaction	cross section**	t sys Sig	≦10
≤ 1	Integrated beam cha	rge		≤ 1
≦ 2	Solid angle error	1		≤ 2
≤ 1	Al absorption error**	*	and a	≤ 1
≤10	Error caused by bias	setting error		≤ 5
≦12	Estimated total error			≤12

T. HIGO, S. MATSUKI, Y. IWASHITA, A. OKIHANA, N. FUJIWARA, and T. YANABU

Table II. Error Summary of Efficiency Determined Experimentally

* Error in d-d elastic scattering cross section data^{9),10)} to estimate target thickness
 ** Error in D(d, n)³He reaction cross section data.¹¹⁾

For $E_d = 4MeV$, this cross section was estimated using interpolated data of Legendre expansion coefficients for angular distribution of the cross section.

*** Errors in estimating neutron flux attenuation by Al wall of the chamber. This error arises from neutron total cross section data¹²⁾ for Al.

 $\varepsilon_{exp} = (number of coincidence counts) /$

(neutron flux into the neutron counter)

The experimental results of the total efficiency are shown in Fig. 10. Errors and other parameters are listed in Table II.

V. DISCUSSIONS

In Fig. 9 are shown the neutron pulse height spectrum together with the prediction by Code 2. As seen in the figure, light output resolution should be taken into account in the Monte Carlo calculation. The efficiency calculated with and without the light output resolution are shown in Fig. 11. Light output resolution was fixed as $L_0=0.1$





Efficiency Calculation and Measurement of Neutron Counter

En(MeV)	Bias(MeV)	e.xp (%)	Ecaleul (%)
	2.0	49	39
3.44	2. 35	32	32
	2.7	28	24
	2.0	59	51
5.0	2.35	58	46
	2.7	48	40

Table III. Dependence of Efficiency on Neutron Bias Energy. ε_{ost} isEfficiency Determined Experimentally and ε_{ostout} is the
One Calculated by Code 2

hereafter. Figure 4 shows the efficiency curve in the energy range up to En=100 MeV.

Comparison is made in Fig. 10 between the experimentally determined efficiency and calculated one with Code 2. In Table III are shown the dependence of efficiency on neutron bias energy. The experimentally determined efficiency and calculated one are listed. The calculated values are in moderate agreement with those of experimental ones.

Experimental efficiency estimation of such a large counter is rather scarce. At about 76 MeV, Narboni⁸¹ reported the agreement between calculation and experiment less than 10%. Love *et al.*⁴¹ reported the comparison of response function between calculation and experiment at about 2.7 MeV and 14 MeV, and got agreement less than 10%. From these results, including our experiment, Monte Carlo calculation can predict neutron efficiencies which agree with experiments less than 20% up to 100 MeV.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Dr. K. Shin and his collaborators for offering us the Monte Carlo program and for kind suggestion. We would like also to thank Professor H. Itoh for the arrangements of this experiment. The (π^-, n) reaction experiment was done with the collaboration of Saga University, Kyushu University and the Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University. The authors would like to acknowledge the collaborators for their encouragement of this work. Thanks are also due to the crews of the cyclotron and Van de Graaff accelerator of Kyoto University for their kind cooperation. The calculation was done with FACOM M190 at the Data Processing Center, Kyoto University and with Central Computer HITAC8800 at Data Handling Division of National Laboratory for High Energy Physics (KEK).

REFERENCES

- (1) Eberhard Finckh in "Nuclear Spectroscopy and Reactions (Part B)," J. Cerny Ed., Academic Press, New York and London, 1974, p. 573.
- (2) Noel R. Stanton, COO-1545-92 (1971), unpublished
- (3) V. V. Verbinski, W. R. Burrus, T. A. Love, W. Zobel, N. W. Hill, and R. Textor, Nucl.

T. HIGO, S. MATSUKI, Y. IWASHITA, A. OKIHANA, N. FUJIWARA, and T. YANABU

Instr. & Meth. 65,8 (1968).

- (4) T. A. Love, R. T. Santoro, R. W. Peele, and N. W. Hill, Rev. Sci. Instr. 39, 541 (1968).
- (5) to be published.

(6) R. E. Textor and V. V. Verbinski, ORNL-4160, unpublished

- (7) M. Yoshida, master's thesis, Kyoto Univ. (1976), unpublished
- (8) Ph. Narboui, Ph. N. 70-12, ORSAY, unpulished
- (9) A. S. Wilson, M. C. Taylor, J. C. Legg, and G. C. Phillips, Nucl. Phys, A126, 193 (1969).
- (10) J. E. Brolley, Jr., T. M. Putman, L. Rosen, and L. Stewart, Phys. Rev., 117, 1307 (1960).
- (11) J. E. Brolley, Jr., T. M. Putman, and Louis Rosen, Phys. Rev., 107, 820 (1957).

(12) BNL325, 3rd ed, Vol II (1976).