

# On a conjecture of Ōshima

Daisuke Kishimoto and Akira Kono

## Abstract

The set of homotopy classes of self maps of a compact, connected Lie group  $G$  is a group by the pointwise multiplication which we denote by  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ , and it is known to be nilpotent. Ōshima [9] conjectured if  $G$  is simple, then  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  is nilpotent of class  $\geq \text{rank}G$ . We show this is true for  $\text{PU}(p)$  which is the first high rank example.

## 1 Introduction and statement of the result

We will denote the class of a nilpotent group  $K$  by  $\text{nil}K$  and normalize it so that  $K$  is abelian if and only if  $\text{nil}K = 1$ .

For based spaces  $X, Y$ , let  $[X, Y]$  denote the set of based homotopy classes of based maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ . When  $Y$  is group-like,  $[X, Y]$  has the natural group structure given by the pointwise multiplication. It is classical that if  $Y$  is connected and  $\text{cat}X < \infty$ , then the group  $[X, Y]$  is nilpotent of class  $\leq \text{cat}X$  [10], where  $\text{cat}X$  stands for the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of  $X$  normalized as  $\text{cat}(\ast) = 0$ .

For a group-like space  $X$ , we denote the group  $[X, X]$  by  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  and call it the self homotopy group of  $X$ . Let  $G$  be a compact, connected Lie group. Then, as noted above, the group  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  is nilpotent of class  $\leq \text{cat}G$  and thus we have an invariant  $\text{nil}\mathcal{H}(G)$  for  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ . Ōshima and the second author [7] showed that, for most of compact, connected Lie groups  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  is not abelian, that is,  $\text{nil}\mathcal{H}(G) \geq 2$ . Then we address here the problem how far from being abelian  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  is, that is, how big  $\text{nil}\mathcal{H}(G)$  is. In [9], Ōshima conjectured:

*Conjecture 1.* If  $G$  is a compact, connected, simple Lie group, then  $\text{nil}\mathcal{H}(G) \geq \text{rank}G$ .

This conjecture is false if we do not assume  $G$  is simple [9]. In some cases of  $\text{rank} \leq 3$ , the above conjecture is known to be true (see [1]). However, if the rank of  $G$  is greater than 3, there have not been any example of  $G$  making this conjecture true. In fact, as is shown in [4] the projective unitary group  $\text{PU}(n)$  is the only one example of  $G$  having  $\text{nil}\mathcal{H}(G) \geq 6$  so far. More precisely, it is shown in [4] that

$$\text{nil}\mathcal{H}(\text{PU}(p)) \geq p - 2 = \text{rank}\text{PU}(p) - 1$$

for any odd prime  $p$ . The aim of this note is to improve this inequality by one to satisfy Ōshima's conjecture as:

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any prime  $p$ ,  $\text{nil}\mathcal{H}(\text{PU}(p)) \geq \text{rankPU}(p)$ .*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

When  $p = 2$ , Theorem 1.1 is trivial and then we will assume the prime  $p$  is odd. We will implicitly use the naturality

$$[X, Y]_{(p)} \cong [X, Y_{(p)}] \cong [X_{(p)}, Y_{(p)}]$$

for a finite dimensional suspension  $X$ , where  $-_{(p)}$  denotes the  $p$ -localization in the sense of Bousfield and Kan [3]. We will identify continuous maps with their homotopy classes. Since  $\text{PU}(p) \cong \text{PSU}(p)$ , we will also identify  $\text{PU}(p)$  with  $\text{PSU}(p)$ .

We first collect facts on  $\text{SU}(p)$  which we will use. Let  $\epsilon_k$  denote a generator of  $\pi_{2k-1}(\text{SU}(p)) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  for  $2 \leq k \leq p$ . Define a map  $\mu : \prod_{k=2}^p S^{2k-1} \rightarrow \text{SU}(p)$  by  $\mu(x_2, \dots, x_p) = \epsilon_2(x_2) \cdots \epsilon_p(x_p)$  for  $(x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \prod_{k=2}^p S^{2k-1}$ . Then the classical result of Serre [11] shows that we have a homotopy equivalence:

$$\mu_{(p)} : \prod_{k=2}^p S_{(p)}^{2k-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{SU}(p)_{(p)}$$

We will denote the composition of  $\mu_{(p)}^{-1}$  and the  $i$ -th projection  $\prod_{k=2}^p S_{(p)}^{2k-1} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2i-1}$  by  $\lambda_i$ . It is shown by Bott [2] that the order of the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  is divisible by  $\frac{(i+j-1)!}{(i-1)!(j-1)!}$ . In particular,  $\langle \epsilon_p, \epsilon_i \rangle_{(p)}$  is nontrivial for  $2 \leq i \leq p$ . Recall that we have, for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$\pi_{2i-1+k}(S_{(p)}^{2i-1}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & k = 2p - 3 \\ 0 & 0 < k < 4p - 6 \text{ and } k \neq 2p - 3 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

in which  $\pi_{2i+2p-4}(S_{(p)}^{2i-1})$  is generated by  $\Sigma^{2i-4}\alpha_1$  for a generator  $\alpha_1$  of  $\pi_{2p}(S_{(p)}^3)$ . Then it follows that, for  $2 \leq i \leq p$ ,

$$\lambda_{2i+1} \circ \langle \epsilon_p, \epsilon_i \rangle_{(p)} \neq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Now we construct a map from a lens space to  $\text{PU}(p)$ . Let  $L$  be the lens space  $S^{2p-1}/(\mathbf{Z}/p)$  and let  $\pi : \text{SU}(p) \rightarrow \text{PU}(p)$  and  $\rho : S^{2p-1} \rightarrow L$  be the projections.

**Proposition 2.1.** *There is a map  $\epsilon : L_{(p)} \rightarrow \text{PU}(p)_{(p)}$  satisfying the homotopy commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{(p)}^{2p-1} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{(p)}} & \text{SU}(p)_{(p)} \\ \rho_{(p)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{(p)} \\ L_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \text{PU}(p)_{(p)} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We denote the projections  $SU(p) \rightarrow SU(p)/SU(p-1) = S^{2p-1}$  and  $PU(p) \rightarrow PU(p)/SU(p-1) = L$  by  $\kappa$  and  $\bar{\kappa}$  respectively. Then we have  $\bar{\kappa} \circ \pi = \rho \circ \kappa$ . Recall that the cohomology of  $SU(p)$  and  $PU(p)$  are given by

$$H^*(SU(p)) = \Lambda(x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{2p-1}), \quad |x_j| = j.$$

and

$$H^*(PU(p)) = \mathbf{Z}/p[y_2]/(y_2^p) \otimes \Lambda(y_1, y_3, \dots, y_{2p-3}), \quad |y_j| = j$$

so that  $\pi^*(y_{2i-1}) = x_{2i-1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq p-1$ . Consider maps

$$\theta = \kappa_{(p)} \times \prod_{k=2}^{p-1} x_{2k-1} : SU(p)_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2p-1} \times \prod_{k=2}^{p-1} K(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}, 2k-1)$$

and

$$\bar{\theta} = \bar{\kappa}_{(p)} \times \prod_{k=2}^{p-1} y_{2k-1} : PU(p)_{(p)} \rightarrow L_{(p)} \times \prod_{k=2}^{p-1} K(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}, 2k-1).$$

Then we have  $(\rho \times 1)_{(p)} \circ \theta = \bar{\theta} \circ \pi_{(p)}$  and thus since  $\theta$  is a  $2p$ -equivalence and  $\bar{\kappa}_* : \pi_1(PU(p)) \rightarrow \pi_1(L)$  is an isomorphism,  $\bar{\theta}$  is a  $2p$ -equivalence. Note that  $L$  is of dimension  $2p-1$ . Then by the Whitehead theorem there is a map  $\epsilon : L_{(p)} \rightarrow PU(p)_{(p)}$ , unique up to homotopy, so that  $\bar{\kappa}_{(p)} \circ \epsilon = 1_{L_{(p)}}$ . Thus we have  $\bar{\theta} \circ \epsilon \circ \rho_{(p)} = \bar{\theta} \circ \pi_{(p)} \circ \epsilon_{(p)}$  which implies  $\epsilon \circ \rho_{(p)} = \pi_{(p)} \circ \epsilon_{(p)}$ , and therefore we have established the proposition.  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* It should be mentioned here that Hamanaka and the authors [4] have obtained the above map  $\epsilon$  by decomposing  $PU(p)_{(p)}$ . Harper [5] also constructed a map  $L_{(p)} \rightarrow PU(p)$  and one can verify that Harper's map satisfies the above homotopy commutative diagram by examining the homotopy groups. Both of the above works are generalized in [6].

Note that there is a map  $\hat{\gamma} : PU(p) \wedge SU(p) \rightarrow SU(p)$  such that  $\hat{\gamma} \circ (\pi \wedge 1) = \gamma$  for the reduced commutator map  $\gamma : SU(p) \wedge SU(p) \rightarrow SU(p)$ . Let  $L_k$  be the Moore space  $S^{2k-1} \cup_p e^{2k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$  and  $S^{2p-1}$  for  $k = p$ . Then in particular  $L_1$  is the 2-skeleton of  $L$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $q_k : L_k \rightarrow S^{2k}$  be the pinch map. Then, for  $2 \leq i \leq p-1$ , we have*

$$\lambda_{i+1} \circ \hat{\gamma}_{(p)} \circ (\epsilon|_{L_1} \wedge \epsilon_i)_{(p)} = a_i(q_1 \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)}, \quad a_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times.$$

*Proof.* Recall from [8] there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma L_{(p)} \simeq \bigvee_{k=1}^p \Sigma L_{k(p)}.$$

Then there are maps  $f_k : S_{(p)}^{2p+2i-2} \rightarrow (L_k \wedge S^{2i-1})_{(p)}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p$  such that

$$(\rho \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)} = \bigvee_{k=2}^p f_k. \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $\rho$  is a  $p$ -fold covering, we have  $f_p = p$ .

Consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{2i+2k-1}(S^{2i+1}) &\xrightarrow{\times p} \pi_{2i+2k-1}(S^{2i+1}) \\ &\xrightarrow{q_k^*} [L_k \wedge S^{2i-1}, S^{2i+1}] \rightarrow \pi_{2i+2k-2}(S^{2i+1}) \xrightarrow{\times p} \pi_{2i+2k-2}(S^{2i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

induced from the cofibre sequence  $S^{2k-1} \xrightarrow{p} S^{2k-1} \rightarrow L_k \xrightarrow{q_k} S^{2k} \xrightarrow{p} S^{2k}$ . Then by (2.1) we have:

$$[L_k \wedge S^{2i-1}, S^{2i+1}]_{(p)} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} & k = 1 \\ 0 & 2 \leq k \leq p-1 \\ \mathbf{Z}/p & k = p \end{cases}$$

in which  $[L_1 \wedge S^{2i-1}, S^{2i+1}]_{(p)}$  is generated by  $(q_1 \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)}$ . Hence it follows that

$$\lambda_{i+1} \circ \hat{\gamma}_{(p)} \circ (\epsilon \wedge \epsilon_{i(p)}) = a_i (q_1 \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)} \vee a'_i \Sigma^{2i-4} \alpha_1$$

for  $a_i, a'_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  and  $2 \leq i \leq p-1$ . Thus by (2.2), Proposition 2.1 and (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq \lambda_{i+1} \circ \langle \epsilon_p, \epsilon_i \rangle_{(p)} &= \lambda_{i+1} \circ \hat{\gamma}_{(p)} \circ (\epsilon \wedge \epsilon_{i(p)}) \circ (\rho \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)} \\ &= a_i (q_1 \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)} \circ f_1 \vee p a'_i \Sigma^{2i-4} \alpha_1 \\ &= a_i (q_1 \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)} \circ f_1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.1) that  $(q_1 \wedge 1_{S^{2i-1}})_{(p)} \circ f_1 = a \Sigma^{2i-4} \alpha_1$  for  $a \in \mathbf{Z}/p$  and thus  $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$ . Therefore the proof is completed.  $\square$

We will use the same notation for the cohomology of  $\mathrm{SU}(p)$  and  $\mathrm{PU}(p)$  as in Proposition 2.1. Then by Lemma 2.1 and the Whitehead theorem we obtain:

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $I$  be the ideal  $\bar{H}^*(\mathrm{PU}(p))^2 \otimes \bar{H}^*(\mathrm{SU}(p)) + \bar{H}^*(\mathrm{PU}(p)) \otimes \bar{H}^*(\mathrm{SU}(p))^2$  in  $H^*(\mathrm{PU}(p) \wedge \mathrm{SU}(p))$ . Then we have*

$$\hat{\gamma}^*(x_{2i+1}) \equiv b_i y_2 \otimes x_{2i-1} \pmod{I}$$

for  $b_i \in (\mathbf{Z}/p)^\times$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Put  $\hat{\gamma}_{p-2} = \hat{\gamma} \circ (1 \wedge \hat{\gamma}) \circ \cdots \circ \underbrace{(1 \wedge \cdots \wedge 1 \wedge \hat{\gamma})}_{p-3}$ . It follows from Corollary 2.1 that

$$\hat{\gamma}_{p-2}^*(x_{2p-1}) = \underbrace{y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_2}_{p-2} \otimes x_3. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\bar{\gamma} : \mathrm{PU}(p) \wedge \mathrm{PU}(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{PU}(p)$  be the reduced commutator map. Then there is a map  $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathrm{PU}(p) \wedge \mathrm{PU}(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p)$  such that  $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$  and  $\hat{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma} \circ (1 \wedge \pi)$ . Thus in particular we have

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{p-2} \circ (1 \wedge \cdots \wedge 1 \wedge \pi) = \hat{\gamma}_{p-2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Define a map  $\phi : \mathrm{PU}(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p)$  by  $\phi([A]) = A\bar{A}$  for  $A \in \mathrm{SU}(p)$ . Then we have  $\phi^*(x_3) = 2y_3$  and hence by (2.4) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\gamma}_{p-2} \circ (1 \wedge \cdots \wedge 1 \wedge \pi \circ \phi) \circ \Delta)^*(x_{2p-1}) &= (\hat{\gamma}_{p-2} \circ (1 \wedge \cdots \wedge 1 \wedge \phi) \circ \Delta)^*(x_{2p-1}) \\ &= 2y_2^{p-2}y_3 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\tilde{\gamma}_{p-2} \circ (1 \wedge \cdots \wedge 1 \wedge \pi \circ \phi) \circ \Delta$  is essential.

Consider the exact sequence

$$[\mathrm{PU}(p), \mathbf{Z}/p] \rightarrow [\mathrm{PU}(p), \mathrm{SU}(p)] \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{PU}(n))$$

induced from the covering  $\mathbf{Z}/p \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(p) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{PU}(p)$ . Then for  $[\mathrm{PU}(p), \mathbf{Z}/p] = *$  we obtain  $\pi_*$  is injective and thus  $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}_{p-2} \circ (1 \wedge \cdots \wedge 1 \wedge \pi \circ \phi) \circ \Delta$  is essential. This is equivalent to that the commutator  $\underbrace{[1, [1 \cdots [1, \pi \circ \phi] \cdots ]]}_{p-2}$  in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{PU}(p))$  is nontrivial and therefore the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Arkowitz, H. Ōshima and J. Strom, *Noncommutativity of the group of self homotopy classes of Lie groups*, *Topology Appl.* **125** (2002), no. 1, 87-96.
- [2] R. Bott, *A note on the Samelson product in the classical groups*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **34** (1960) 249-256.
- [3] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **304**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [4] H. Hamanaka, D. Kishimoto and A. Kono, *Self homotopy groups with large nilpotency classes*, *Topology Appl.* **153** (2006), no. 14, 2425-2429.
- [5] J.R. Harper, *Regularity of finite H-spaces*, *Illinois J. Math.* **23** (1979), no. 2, 330-333.
- [6] D. Kishimoto and A. Kono, *Mod p decompositions of non-simply connected Lie groups*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **48** (2008), no. 1, 1-5.
- [7] A. Kono and H. Ōshima, *Commutativity of the group of self-homotopy classes of Lie groups*, *Bull. London Math Soc.* **36** (2004), no. 1, 37-52.
- [8] M. Mimura, G. Nishida, Goro and H. Toda, *Localization of CW-complexes and its applications*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23** (1971), 593-624.

- [9] H. Ōshima, *Self homotopy group of the exceptional Lie group  $G_2$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **40** (2000), no. 1, 177-184.
- [10] G.W. Whitehead, *On mapping into group-like spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 320-328.
- [11] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes d'homotopie et classes de groupes abéliens*, Ann. of Math. (2) **58** (1953), 258-294.