

## Interpreting finite fields in towers of cyclotomic fields

鹿児島国際大学国際文化学部 福崎賢治 (Kenji Fukuzaki)  
Faculty of Intercultural Studies,  
The international University of Kagoshima

### Abstract

Let  $l$  be an odd prime and  $\zeta_{l^n}$  is a primitive  $l^n$ -th root of unity. We consider the towers of cyclotomic fields  $K_l = \bigcup_n \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{l^n})$ . We prove that, for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $p > k$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$  is interpretable in  $K_l$ . The proof uses the method of Julia Robinson by which she proved the undecidability of number fields.

For  $K_m = \bigcup_n \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m^n})$ , where  $m$  is an arbitrary positive integer and  $\zeta_{m^n}$  is a primitive  $m^n$ -th root of unity, we prove that for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $p > k$  such that some finite product of  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$  is interpretable in  $K_m$ .

## 1 Introduction

In 1959 Julia Robinson [1] proved that in a given number field,  $\mathbb{N}$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable in the ring language, from which follows the undecidability of its theory. She constructed a formula which includes  $\mathbb{Z}$  but excludes non-algebraic integers, which only depends on the ramification index of prime ideals of a number field which divides 2. Let  $F$  be a number field and  $\psi(t)$  be such a formula. Then the ring of algebraic integers  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $F$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable in  $F$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  be an integral basis of  $\mathfrak{D}$  ( $s = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ ), and let  $P_i(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $a_i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (hence over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) for each  $i$ . Then in  $F$

$$t \in \mathfrak{D} \iff \exists x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s (t = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_s y_s \wedge \bigwedge_i P_i(y_i) = 0 \wedge \bigwedge_i \psi(x_i))$$

holds. She then constructed a formula which defines  $\mathbb{N}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}$ , which only depends on  $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

J. Robinson used the Hasse-Minkowski theorem on quadratic forms. On the other hand, using Hasse's Norm Theorem, R. Rumely [2] proved that the theory of global fields is undecidable. His formula is independent of global fields. Recently B. Poonen [3] extended the results. He proved that the theory of finitely generated fields over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is undecidable.

We follow the method of J. Robinson. We will show that  $\psi(t)$  includes  $\mathbb{Z}$  and excludes non-algebraic integers in  $K_l = \bigcup_n \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l^n)$ , where  $\psi(t)$  is the formula which she used in [1]. We then will show that for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $p > k$  such that  $\mathbb{Z} \cup p\psi(K_l)$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable, from which the interpretability of  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$  in  $K_l$  follows.

In section 2, we describe construction of  $\psi(t)$  in [1]. In section 3, we extend the result to  $K_l$ , and in section 4, we prove that for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $p > k$  such that  $\mathbb{Z} \cup p\psi(K_l)$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable.

In section 5, we prove that for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $q > k$  such that some direct product of  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$  is interpretable in the ring of algebraic integers of  $\bigcup_n \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m^n})$ , where  $m$  is an arbitrary positive integer and  $\zeta_{m^n}$  is a primitive  $m^n$ -th root of unity.

## 2 Construction of $\psi(t)$

Let  $F$  be a number field (a finite algebraic extension of the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of algebraic integers of  $F$ . By  $\mathfrak{p}$  we denote a valuation of  $F$  and by  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the completion of  $F$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since non-Archimedean valuations of  $F$  are  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic valuations for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F$ , we use the same letter  $\mathfrak{p}$  for both the valuation and the prime ideal. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $F$  and  $a \in F$ . By  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)$  we denote the order of  $a$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Given  $a, b \in F^*$ , we use Hilbert symbol  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which is defined to be  $+1$  if  $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$  is solvable in  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , otherwise defined to be  $-1$ .

The following lemma is well-known:

**Lemma 1**  $h \in F^*$  can be represented by the form  $x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  iff  $-ab/h \notin F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*2}$  for any valuation  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$ .

This follows the property of quaternary quadratic forms and the Hasse-Minkowski theorem on quadratic forms. See [4, p. 187] and [6, p.111].

Using this lemma, J. Robinson proved the following:

(†) Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{p}^m \nmid 2$  for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\varphi(s, u, t)$  be

$$\exists x, y, z(1 - sut^{2m} = x^2 - sy^2 - uz^2).$$

For  $t \notin \mathcal{O}$ , there are  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$  such that

1.  $F \models \neg\varphi(a, b, t)$ ,
2.  $F \models \forall c(\varphi(a, b, c) \rightarrow \varphi(a, b, c + 1))$ .

Then we can use inductive form: Let  $\psi(t)$  be

$$\forall s, u (\forall c (\varphi(s, u, c) \rightarrow \varphi(s, u, c + 1)) \rightarrow \varphi(s, u, t)),$$

then the solution set of  $\psi(t)$  in  $F$ ,  $\psi(F)$ , includes  $\mathbb{Z}$  but excludes non-algebraic integers, that is,  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \psi(F) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ . Since  $\varphi(s, u, 0)$  holds for every  $s, u \in F$ , the inductive form insures that every positive integer satisfy  $\psi$ . Since  $\varphi(s, u, t) \leftrightarrow \varphi(s, u, -t)$ , every rational integer also satisfies  $\psi$ . The above statement (†) shows that non-algebraic integers fail to satisfy  $\psi$ . Note that for  $t \notin \mathfrak{D}$  (and for  $t \in \mathfrak{D}$ ), it is not so difficult to find  $a, b \in F$  such that 1 holds, but difficult to find  $a, b$  such that both 1 and 2 hold.

J. Robinson proved the above statement from two lemmas. We state these two lemmas in a little bit different forms for our sake. Before stating these lemmas, we need some lemmas. The following two lemmas are special cases of a theorem proved in [5, p.166].

**Lemma 2** *There are infinitely many prime ideals in every ideal class.*

**Lemma 3** *If  $a \in \mathfrak{D}$  is prime to an ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , there are infinitely many prime elements  $p \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $p \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ .*

**Lemma 4** *Let  $a \in \mathfrak{D}$  and  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = 1$ . Then there is  $b \in \mathfrak{D}$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid b$  such that  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$ .*

*Proof.* It is proved in [4, pp.161-165] that there is a unit in a local field  $M$  such that it is congruent to a square  $\pmod{4\mathfrak{o}}$  but not  $\pmod{4\mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{o}$  is the ring of integers and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of  $M$ . And if  $\epsilon$  is such a unit,  $(a, \epsilon)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  for a prime element  $a$ . Take such a unit  $\epsilon \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . There is a unit  $\epsilon_0 \in F$  such that  $\epsilon_0 \equiv \epsilon \pmod{4\mathfrak{p}}$ .  $\epsilon_0$  is congruent to a square  $\pmod{4\mathfrak{D}}$  but not  $\pmod{4\mathfrak{p}}$ .  $\square$

J. Robinson proved this lemma using Hasse's formula evaluating the Hilbert symbol.

We state two basic lemmas due to J. Robinson [1, Lemma 8,9].

**Lemma 5** *Given a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  of  $F$  and an odd prime number  $l$ , there are relatively prime elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathfrak{D}^*$  such that*

1.  $(a) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{2k}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{2k}$  are distinct prime ideals which include every prime ideals which divides 2, and  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  dose not divide  $l$  for  $j = 2, \dots, 2k$ , and
2.  $b$  is a totally positive prime element such that  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  iff  $\mathfrak{p} | a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{2k-1}$  be a set of distinct prime ideals such that it includes every prime ideals dividing 2 and  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  dose not divide  $l$  for  $j = 2, \dots, 2k-1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the ideal class which contains the product  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{2k-1}$ . By Lemma 2 we can choose a

prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_{2k}$  in the ideal class  $\mathfrak{K}^{-1}$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_{2k} \neq \mathfrak{p}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2k - 1$  and with  $\mathfrak{p}_{2k} \nmid (l)$ .

For  $i = 1, \dots, 2k$ , by Lemma 4 we can choose  $b_i \in \mathfrak{D}$  prime to  $\mathfrak{p}$  so that  $(a, b_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{p}^m \nmid 2$  for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Consider the simultaneous system of congruences

$$x \equiv b_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{2m}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 2k.$$

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is a solution  $c \in \mathfrak{D}$  and so is every element which is congruent to  $c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1^{2m} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{2k}^{2m}}$ . Since  $c$  is prime to the modulus, by Lemma 3 there are infinitely many totally positive prime elements  $p$  such that

$$p \equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1^{2m} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{2k}^{2m}}.$$

Let  $b$  be one of such elements.  $b$  is coprime to  $a$ .

We claim that  $b_i/b \in F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^2$  for each  $i$ ; since  $b \equiv b_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{2m}}$  and  $b_i$  is prime to  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(1 - b_i/b) > \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(4)$ , then applying Hensel's lemma ([5, p.42]) with  $x^2 - b_i/b$  and  $x = 1$ , we get that  $b_i/b \in F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^2$ . Hence  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = -1$  for each  $i$ . On the other hand,  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = +1$  for all Archimedean valuations  $\mathfrak{p}$  since  $b$  is totally positive. It is easy to see that if  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an Archimedean valuation or the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $2ab$  (see [4, p. 166]). Then the only other other valuation for which  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  could hold would be  $\mathfrak{p} = (b)$ ; but, by the product formula for the Hilbert symbol ([4, p.190]),  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  for an even number of valuations. Therefore  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  iff  $\mathfrak{p}|a$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6** *Let  $(a) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{2k}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{2k}$  are distinct prime ideals which include every prime ideals which divides 2, and let  $b \in \mathfrak{D}^*$  be coprime to  $a$  such that  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  iff  $\mathfrak{p}|a$ , and  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{p}^m \nmid 2$  for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then,*

$$1 - abc^{2m} = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 \text{ is solvable for } x, y \text{ and } z \text{ in } F \text{ iff } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(c) \geq 0 \text{ for each } i.$$

*Proof.* Let  $h = 1 - abc^{2m}$ . Suppose that  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(c) \geq 0$  for each  $i$ . Since  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(h) = 0$  and  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(-ab) = 1$ ,  $h/(-ab) \notin F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^2$  for each  $i$ . By Lemma 1 and the assumption,  $h = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  is solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F$ .

Now suppose that  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(c) < 0$  for some  $i$ . Let  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_{i_0}}(c) < 0$ . We show that  $-ab/h \in F_{\mathfrak{p}_{i_0}}^2$ . Since  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_{i_0}}(1 - (-ab/h)) > \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_{i_0}}(4)$ , applying again Hensel's lemma with  $x^2 - (-ab/h)$  and  $x = 1$ , we get that  $-ab/h \in F_{\mathfrak{p}_{i_0}}^2$ . It follows that  $h = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  is not solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F$ .  $\square$

It is easy to derive the statement (†) from the above two lemmas, noting  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c + 1)$  for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

### 3 $\psi(t)$ in towers of cyclotomic fields

Let  $F_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{l^n})$ , where  $l$  is an odd prime and  $\zeta_{l^n}$  is a primitive  $l^n$ -th root of unity, and let  $K_l = \bigcup_n \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{l^n})$  ( $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ ). We denote by  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  the ring of algebraic integers in  $F_n$  and by  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_l}$  the ring of algebraic integers in  $K_l$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_l} = \bigcup_n \mathfrak{D}_n$ .

The following lemma is well-known and proved in [7, pp.256-258]. We denote by  $\phi$  Euler's function.

**Lemma 7** *Let  $M = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ , where  $m$  is an positive integer and  $\zeta_m$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity. Then*

1.  $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = \phi(m)$ ,
2. *the only ramified prime ideals in  $M$  are those dividing  $m$ , and especially there is only one prime  $\mathfrak{p} = (1 - \zeta_m)$  of  $F_n$  lying above  $l$ , and it is totally ramified,*
3. *given a prime number  $p$  coprime to  $m$ , we let  $f$  be the least positive integer such that  $p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , and set  $\phi(m) = fg$ . Then in  $M$ ,  $(p) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_g$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are primes of  $M$ . The residue degree of each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  in  $M/\mathbb{Q}$  is equal to  $f$ , and the degree of the decomposition field  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  in  $F_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is equal to  $g$  for each  $i$ .*

From the above lemma we easily see that,

**Lemma 8** *Let  $0 < i < j$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $F_i$ . Then*

1. *If  $\mathfrak{p} \nmid l$ , then in  $F_j$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{P}_k$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}_r$  are primes in  $F_j$  and  $k$  divides  $[F_j : F_i] = l^{j-i}$ .*
2. *If  $\mathfrak{p} | l$ , then in  $F_j$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}^{l^{j-i}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} = (1 - \zeta_{l^i})$ ,  $\mathfrak{P} = (1 - \zeta_{l^j})$ .*

The next lemma is also proved in [7, p.272].

**Lemma 9** *Let  $K \supset k$  be number fields and  $\mathfrak{P} \supset \mathfrak{p}$  be primes of  $K$  and  $k$  respectively. For  $\alpha \in K_{\mathfrak{P}}^*$ , let  $a = N_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha)$  and  $b \in k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then,  $(\alpha, b)_{\mathfrak{P}} = (a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .*

The next lemma follows from Lemma 9.

**Lemma 10** *Let  $0 < i < j$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of  $F_i$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime in  $F_j$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then for  $a, b \in F_i^*$ ,  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  iff  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F_j/F_i$  is an abelian extension, the local degree at  $\mathfrak{P}$  divides the degree of  $F_j/F_i$ , that is,  $[(F_j)_{\mathfrak{P}} : (F_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}] | [F_j : F_i]$  (see [4, p.32]). Let  $u$  be the local degree at  $\mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $N_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}}(a) = a^u$  and  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{P}} = (a^u, b)_{\mathfrak{P}} = (a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}}^u$ . Since  $u$  is odd, it follows that  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  iff  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$ .  $\square$

We now extend J. Robinson's result [1] to  $K_l$ . Note that in each  $F_n$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \nmid 2$  for every prime ideal in  $F_n$ .

**Theorem 11** Let  $\varphi(s, u, t)$  be

$$\exists x, y, z(1 - abt^4 = x^2 - sy^2 - uz^2)$$

and  $\psi(t)$  be

$$\forall s, u(\forall c(\varphi(s, u, c) \rightarrow \varphi(s, u, c + 1)) \rightarrow \varphi(s, u, t)),$$

then the solution set of  $\psi(t)$  in  $K_l$ ,  $\psi(K_l)$ , includes  $\mathbb{Z}$  but excludes non-algebraic integers, that is,  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \psi(K_l) \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_{K_l}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \psi(K_l)$ . Let  $t \in K_l \setminus \mathfrak{O}_{K_l}$ . For this  $t$ , we show that there are  $a, b \in K_l$  such that

$$K_l \models \neg\varphi(a, b, t) \wedge \forall c(\varphi(a, b, c) \rightarrow \varphi(a, b, c + 1)).$$

We fix  $F_m$  such that  $t \in F_m$  and  $m > 1$ . Then  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(t) < 0$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  in  $F_m$ . By Lemma 5, there are relatively prime elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathfrak{O}_m$  such that

1.  $(a) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{2k}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{2k}$  are distinct prime ideals in  $F_m$  which include every prime ideals in  $F_m$  which divides 2, and  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  does not divide  $l$  for  $j = 2, \dots, 2k$ , and
2.  $b$  is a totally positive prime element in  $F_m$  such that  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  iff  $\mathfrak{p}|a$ .

By Lemma 6,  $1 - abt^4 = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  is not solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_m$ , and for every  $c \in F_m$ , if  $F_m \models \varphi(a, b, c)$  then  $F_m \models \varphi(a, b, c + 1)$ .

For this  $a, b$ , it is enough to show that for every  $s > m$  such that  $s - m$  is even,  $1 - abt^4 = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  is not solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_s$ , and for every  $c \in F_s$ , if  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c)$  then  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c + 1)$ .

Note that  $a, b$  are relatively prime also in  $\mathfrak{O}_s$ .

Case 1:  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \nmid l$ .

By Lemma 8, the decomposition of the ideal  $(a)$  in  $F_s$  is given by  $(a) = \mathfrak{P}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{P}_{2r}$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_{2r}$  are mutually distinct prime ideals and include every prime ideals which divides 2. By Lemma 10,  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  iff  $\mathfrak{p}|a$ . We let  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Since  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(t) < 0$ , we have that  $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(t) < 0$ . By Lemma 6, we conclude that  $1 - abt^4 = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  is not solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_s$ , and for every  $c \in F_s$ , if  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c)$  then  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c + 1)$ .

Case 2:  $\mathfrak{p}_1 | l$ .

By Lemma 8, the decomposition of the ideal  $(a)$  in  $F_s$  is given by

$$(a) = \mathfrak{P}_1^{l^s - m} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_{2r'},$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_{2r'}$  are mutually distinct prime ideals and include every prime ideals which divides 2, and  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (1 - \zeta_{l^m}), \mathfrak{P}_1 = (1 - \zeta_{l^s})$ .

Let  $a' = a/(1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{l^{s-m}-1}$ . Then  $a' \in \mathfrak{O}_s$  and  $(a') = \mathfrak{P}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{P}_{2r'}$  in  $F_s$ . Since  $a = a'((1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-m}-1)/2})^2$ ,  $(a, b)_{\mathfrak{P}_i} = (a', b)_{\mathfrak{P}_i}$  for each  $i$ . Hence we have that  $(a', b)_{\mathfrak{P}} = -1$  iff  $\mathfrak{P}|a'$ .

Suppose that  $1 - abt^4 = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  were solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_s$ . Then

$$1 - a'b(t(1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-m}-1)/4})^4 = x^2 - a'((1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-m}-1)/2}y)^2 - bz^2$$

is solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_s$ , noting that  $(l^{s-m}-1)/4$  is a positive integer since  $l-m$  is even. But  $\nu_{\mathfrak{P}_1}(t(1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-m}-1)/4}) < 0$  since  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{P}_1^{l^{s-m}}$ . We have a contradiction by Lemma 6.

Next we show that if  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c)$  then  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c+1)$ . Suppose that  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c)$ , that is,  $1 - abc^4 = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2$  is solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_s$ . Then

$$1 - a'b(c(1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-n}-1)/4})^4 = x^2 - a'((1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-n}-1)/2}y)^2 - bz^2$$

is solvable for  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $F_s$ . By Lemma 6,  $\nu_{\mathfrak{P}_i}(c(1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-n}-1)/4}) \geq 0$  for each  $\mathfrak{P}_i$ . It follows that  $\nu_{\mathfrak{P}_i}((c+1)(1 - \zeta_{l^s})^{(l^{s-n}-1)/4}) \geq 0$  for each  $\mathfrak{P}_i$ . Therefore we have that  $F_s \models \varphi(a, b, c+1)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Interpreting finite prime fields in $K_l$

The next lemma follows from [7, p.145].

**Lemma 12** *Let  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite Galois extension, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an extension of a prime number  $p$  to  $F$ . Let  $F_Z$  denote the decomposition field of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ . Finally, let  $F'$  be an intermediate field of  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p}'$  denote the restriction of  $\mathfrak{p}$  to  $F'$ . Then we have:*

*$F' \subseteq F_Z$  iff both the ramification index and the residue degree of  $\mathfrak{p}'$  in  $F'/\mathbb{Q}$  are equal to 1.*

Recall that when  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  is abelian, all the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $p$  have the same decomposition field in  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ , and we call it the decomposition field of  $p$  in  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ . Furthermore, under the additional assumption that  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  is unramified at  $p$  (that is,  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  is unramified at every prime ideal dividing  $p$ ), the Galois group  $G(F/F_Z)$  is cyclic and generated by the Artin automorphism  $\sigma = (p, F/\mathbb{Q})$  which is characterized by the congruence  $\sigma(a) \equiv a^p \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{o}_F$ , where  $\mathfrak{o}_F$  is the ring of algebraic integers in  $F$ .

**Lemma 13** *Let  $l$  be an odd prime. Then, for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime number  $p > k$  such that  $p$  is a primitive root modulo every power of  $l$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be a primitive root modulo  $l$ . Since  $r^{l-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ ,  $r^{l-1} = 1 + kl$  for some  $k$ . We may suppose that  $(k, l) = 1$ , that is,  $k$  is coprime to  $l$ : if  $r^{l-1} = 1 + kl^m$  with  $m > 1$ , then we may take  $r+l$  as a primitive root. By the Theorem of Arithmetic Progression, the congruence class  $r \pmod{l^2}$  contains an infinity of primes. Let  $p > k$  be a prime in that class.  $p$  is coprime to  $l$ , and is a primitive root modulo  $l$  such that  $p^{l-1} = 1 + k'l$  for some  $k'$  with  $(k', l) = 1$ .

Let  $a$  be an integer of the form  $1 + k'l$  for some  $k'$  with  $(k', l) = 1$ . By the binomial formula, for every  $h \geq 2$ , we can show that  $f = l^{h-1}$  is the least positive integer such that  $a^f \equiv 1 \pmod{l^h}$ . Therefore  $p$  is a primitive root modulo every power of  $l$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 14** *Let  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite abelian extension, and be unramified at a prime number  $p$ . Let  $F_Z$  be the decomposition field of  $p$ , and let  $\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o}_Z$  be the ring of algebraic integers of  $F, F_Z$  respectively. Then, for  $a \in \mathfrak{o}$ ,*

$$a \in \mathfrak{o}_Z \cup p\mathfrak{o} \text{ iff } a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  denote the Artin automorphism in  $G(F/F_Z)$ . Let  $a \in \mathfrak{o}$ .

If  $a \in \mathfrak{o}_Z$ , then  $\sigma(a) = a$  and  $\sigma(a) \equiv a^p \pmod{p}$ . Thus we have that  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . If  $a \in p\mathfrak{o}$ , clearly  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$  holds.

Suppose that  $a \notin \mathfrak{o}_Z \cup p\mathfrak{o}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{o}'$  denote the ring of algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ . Since  $p\mathfrak{o}'$  is the intersection of prime ideals in  $\mathfrak{o}'$  including  $p\mathbb{Z}$ , there is an extension  $\mathfrak{p}'$  of  $p\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathfrak{o}'$  such that  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}'$ . The ramification index of  $\mathfrak{p}'$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(a)/\mathbb{Q}$  is equal to 1 since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is unramified in  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}(a) \not\subseteq F_Z$ , by Lemma 12, the residue degree of  $\mathfrak{p}'$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(a)/\mathbb{Q}$  is greater than 1, that is,  $[\mathfrak{o}'/\mathfrak{p}' : \mathbb{Z}/(p)] > 1$ . Hence we have that  $a^p \not\equiv a \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

We keep the notation of section 3.

**Theorem 15** *For any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $p > k$  such that  $\mathbb{Z} \cup p\mathfrak{D}_{K_l}$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_l}$ , hence  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$  is interpretable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_l}$ .*

*Proof.* Take a prime number  $p > k$  as in Lemma 13. Then, by Lemma 7, the decomposition field of  $p$  in  $F_n/\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$  for every  $n$ , and  $p$  is unramified in every extension  $F_n/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\theta(t)$  be the formula  $\exists w(t^p - t = pw)$ . By Lemma 14,  $\theta(t)$  defines  $\mathbb{Z} \cup p\mathfrak{D}_{K_l}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_l}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 16**  *$\mathbb{Z} \cup p\psi(K_l)$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable in  $K_l$ , hence  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$  is interpretable in  $K_l$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the formula

$$\psi(t) \wedge \exists w(\psi(w) \wedge t^p - t = pw).$$

It is evident that this formula defines  $\mathbb{Z} \cup p\psi(K_l)$  in  $K_l$ .  $\square$

## 5 Interpreting direct products of finite fields in $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$

Let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $K_m, \mathfrak{D}_{K_m}, F_n$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  be as before. Our methods do not suffice to treat  $K_2$ , since Lemma 10 fails. They also do not suffice to treat  $K_m$  with  $m$  odd; Lemma 10 holds but the proof of Theorem 11 fails. In this section we will prove that for a given positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $q > k$  such that certain direct products of  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$  is interpretable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  with  $m$  arbitrary.

**Lemma 17** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer with the prime factorization*

$$2^{h_0} p_1^{h_1} p_2^{h_2} \cdots p_k^{h_k}.$$

*Then for a given positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime number  $q > k$  coprime to  $m$  such that*

1. *if  $h_0 = 0$ , then the order of  $q$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/m^r\mathbb{Z})^*$  is equal to  $p_1^{r h_1 - 1} p_2^{r h_2 - 1} \cdots p_k^{r h_k - 1}$  for every  $r \geq 1$ ,*
2. *if  $h_0 > 0$ , then the order of  $q$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/m^r\mathbb{Z})^*$  is equal to  $2^{r h_0 - 2} p_1^{r h_1 - 1} p_2^{r h_2 - 1} \cdots p_k^{r h_k - 1}$  for every  $r \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* For each odd prime  $p_i$ , we know that there is an integer  $u_i$  such that  $u_i^{p_i - 1}$  is of the form  $1 + k'p_i$  for some  $k'$  which is coprime to  $p_i$ , and every integer of that form is of order  $p_i^{r-1}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^r\mathbb{Z})^*$  for every  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $s_i = u_i^{p_i - 1}$ . On the other hand, we see that by the binomial formula, the order of 5 in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z})^*$  is equal to  $2^{r-2}$  for every  $r \geq 2$ , and

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z})^* \cong \langle -1 \rangle \times \langle 5 \rangle.$$

Furthermore, also by the binomial formula, we see that every integer of the form  $1 + 2^2k'$  with  $k'$  odd is also of order  $2^{r-2}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z})^*$  for  $r \geq 2$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem and the Theorem of Arithmetic Progression, there is a prime number  $q$  such that

$$q \equiv 5 \pmod{2^3}, q \equiv s_i \pmod{p_i^2} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

$q$  is coprime to  $m$  and is of the form  $1 + k'p_i$  for some  $k'$  coprime to  $p_i$  for each  $i$ , and is of the form  $1 + 2^2k'$  with  $k'$  odd.  $\square$

**Lemma 18** *Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite Galois extension, and let  $M$  be an intermediate field of  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $M/\mathbb{Q}$  is a Galois extension. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{p}' \supset \mathfrak{p}$  be primes of  $L, M$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  respectively and let  $L_Z, M_Z$  be the decomposition field of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}'$  in  $M/\mathbb{Q}$  respectively. Then  $M_Z \subseteq L_Z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Z, Z'$  be the decomposition groups of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}'$  in  $M/\mathbb{Q}$  respectively. Let  $a \in M_{Z'}$ . We must show that for  $\sigma \in Z$ ,  $\sigma(a) = a$  holds. Since  $M/\mathbb{Q}$  is a Galois extension,

$$(\mathfrak{p}')^\sigma = (\mathfrak{p} \cap M)^\sigma = \mathfrak{p}^\sigma \cap M = \mathfrak{p} \cap M = \mathfrak{p}'.$$

This shows that the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $M$ ,  $\sigma|_M$ , is in  $Z'$ . Then  $\sigma(a) = \sigma|_M(a) = a$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 19** *Let  $M_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_0})$ , where  $m_0 = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$ , and let  $M_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m_1})$ , where  $m_1 = 4p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$ . Furthermore, for  $i = 1, 2$  let  $\mathfrak{o}_i$  be the ring of algebraic integers in  $M_i$  respectively.*

*Then, for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $p > k$  such that  $\mathfrak{o}_0 \cup p\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  with  $m$  odd. Similarly, for any positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $p > k$  such that  $\mathfrak{o}_1 \cup p\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  with  $m$  even.*

*Proof.* Take a prime number  $q$  as in Lemma 17.

Let  $m$  be odd. Then, by Lemma 7,  $q$  is unramified in  $F_n/\mathbb{Q}$  and the decomposition field of  $q$  in  $F_n/\mathbb{Q}$  is of degree  $(p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_k - 1)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for every  $n > 0$ . By Lemma 18, we see that those decomposition fields coincide. Let  $L$  be the common decomposition field. Also by Lemma 18, for each  $i$ ,  $L$  includes the decomposition field of  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i^{h_i}})/\mathbb{Q}$ , which is of degree  $p_i - 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i^{h_i}})/\mathbb{Q}$  is a cyclic extension,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})$  is the only intermediate field with degree  $p_i - 1$ . Hence  $L$  includes  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1}) \cdots \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k})$ , which is of degree  $(p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_k - 1)$ . Therefore  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1}) \cdots \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k}) = M_0$ . (See [5, p.74].) Let  $\theta(t)$  be as before. By Lemma 14,  $\theta(t)$  defines  $\mathfrak{o}_0 \cup q\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$ .

Let  $m$  be even. We note that  $\langle q \rangle$  is the only subgroup of order  $2^{r-2}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z})^*$  with  $r > 2$ . Then similarly,  $q$  is unramified in every extension  $F_n/\mathbb{Q}$  and the decomposition field of  $p$  in  $F_n/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $n > 2$  is  $M_1$ . Hence  $\theta(t)$  also defines  $\mathfrak{o}_1 \cup q\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 20** *Let  $m$  be as before. Then, for a given positive integer  $k$ , there is a prime  $q > k$  such that*

*if  $m$  is odd,*

$$\overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(q) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/(q)}^{(p_1-1)\cdots(p_k-1)}$$

*is interpretable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$ , and*

*if  $m$  is even,*

$$\overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(q) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/(q)}^{2(p_1-1)\cdots(p_k-1)}$$

*is interpretable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n_0 = [M_0 : \mathbb{Q}] = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_k - 1)$ , and let  $n_1 = [M_1 : \mathbb{Q}] = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_k - 1)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{o}_0/q\mathfrak{o}_0$  is interpretable in  $\mathfrak{D}_{K_m}$  with  $m$  odd. Since the decomposition of  $q\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathfrak{o}_0$  is  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{n_0}$  and  $\mathfrak{o}_0/\mathfrak{p}_i \cong \mathbb{Z}/(q)$  for each  $i$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{o}_0/q\mathfrak{o}_0 \cong \mathfrak{o}_0/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{n_0}) \cong \overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/(q) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/(q)}^{(p_1-1)\cdots(p_k-1)}.$$

Similarly for  $m$  even. □

## References

- [1] Robinson, J., *The undecidability of algebraic rings and fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10 (1959), 950-957.
- [2] Rumely, R.S., *Undecidability and definability for the theory of global fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 262 (1980), no. 1, 195-217.
- [3] Poonen, B., *Uniform first-order definitions in finitely generated fields*, December 2005. Preprint.
- [4] O'Meara, O.T., *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1973.
- [5] Lang, S., *Algebraic Number Theory*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [6] Swinnerton-Dyer. H.P.F., *A Brief Guide to Algebraic Number Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 50, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [7] Iyanaga, S.(Editor), *The Theory of Numbers*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1975.

FACULTY OF INTERCULTURAL STUDIES  
 THE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KAGOSHIMA  
 KAGOSHIMA 891-0191  
 JAPAN  
*E-mail:* fukuzaki@int.iuk.ac.jp