



Title	A new characterization of \$ \( \begin{align*} & \text{EII_p\$ by an \$L_p\$-function} \) (Information and mathematics of non-additivity and non-extensivity : contacts with nonlinearity and non-commutativity)
Author(s)	Honda, Aoi; Okazaki, Yoshiaki; Sato, Hiroshi
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2008), 1585: 30-37
Issue Date	2008-02
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/81521
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# A new characterization of $\ell_p$ by an $L_p$ -function

Aoi Honda <sup>a</sup> Yoshiaki Okazaki <sup>a</sup> Hiroshi Sato <sup>b</sup>

Kyushu Institute of Technology, 680-4, Kawazu, Iizuka 820-8502, Japan
 Kyushu University, Faculty of Mathematics, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

#### **Abstract**

In this talk, we shall show that the classical sequence space  $\ell_p(1 is completely determined by one function <math>f(x)(\neq 0) \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$  which satisfies the *p*-integrability condition.

We introduce a new sequence space  $\Lambda_p(f)$  defined by an  $L_p$ -function  $f(\neq 0)$  for  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  by

$$\Lambda_p(f) := \{ \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty} : \Psi_p(\boldsymbol{a} : f) < +\infty \},$$

where

$$\Psi_p(\boldsymbol{a}:f):=\Big(\sum_n\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x-a_n)-f(x)|^p\,dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We shall give a characterization for  $\Lambda_p(f) = \ell_p$ . We shall also discuss the linear and topological properties of  $\Lambda_p(f)$ .

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $f(\neq 0)$  be an  $L_p$ -function on the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ . For  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and for a real sequence  $a = \{a_n\} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ , we set

$$\Psi_p(a:f):=\Big(\sum_n\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|f(x-a_n)-f(x)\right|^pdx\Big)^{rac{1}{p}},$$

and define  $\Lambda_p(f)$  by

$$\Lambda_p(f) := \{ \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty} : \Psi_p(\boldsymbol{a} : f) < +\infty \}.$$

By the triangular inequality of  $L_p$ -norm and by the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure, we have

$$\Psi_p(\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{b}:f) \leq \Psi_p(\boldsymbol{a}:f) + \Psi_p(\boldsymbol{b}:f),$$

which implies that  $\Lambda_p(f)$  is an additive subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ .

Define a metric on  $\Lambda_p(f)$  by

$$d_p(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}) := \Psi_p(\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{b}:f).$$

Then  $(\Lambda_p(f), d_p(a, b))$  becomes a topological group. The space  $\mathbb{R}_0^{\infty}$ , the direct sum, is a dense subset of  $(\Lambda_p(f), d_p(a, b))$ .

## 2 Relations between $\Lambda_p(f)$ and $\ell_p$

We say  $I_p(f) < +\infty$  if f(x) is absolutely continuous on  $I\!\!R$  and the p-integral defined by

 $I_p(f) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f'(x) \right|^p dx$ 

is finite. In particular  $I_2(\sqrt{f})$ , for probability density function f(x), coincides with the Shepp's integral (Shepp[3]).

**Theorem 1** ([2]) Let  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and let  $f(\neq 0)$  be an  $L_p$ -function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\Lambda_p(f) \subset \ell_p$ 

*Proof.* Assume that  $\Psi_p(a; f) < +\infty$  for  $a = \{a_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $a_k \neq 0$  for every k.

First we shall prove  $\{a_k\}$  is bounded. If there is a subsequence  $\{a_{k'}\}$  such that  $|a_{k'}| \to +\infty$ , then  $\Psi_p(a; f) < +\infty$  implies

$$0 = \lim_{k'} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_{k'}) - f(x) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} ||f||_{L_p}$$

which contradicts to  $||f||_{L_p} > 0$ .

Next we shall prove that  $\{a_k\}$  converges to 0. Assume that there is a subsequence  $a_{k'}$  such that  $a_{k'} \to a_0 \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$0=\lim_{k'}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|f(x-a_{k'})-f(x)\right|^pdx=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|f(x-a_0)-f(x)\right|^pdx,$$

which implies  $f(x-a_0) = f(x)$ , a.e.(dx). This contradicts to the integrability of f(x).

Finally, we shall prove

$$\rho := \inf_{k} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{f(x - a_k) - f(x)}{a_k} \right|^p dx > 0.$$

Assume that there exists a subsequence  $a_{k'}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{f(x - a_{k'}) - f(x)}{a_{k'}} \right|^p dx \to 0$$

Then it follows that

$$f(x-a_{k'})-f(x) \rightarrow 0 \ in \ L_p(IR).$$

Consequently, f(x) is absolutely continuous with f'(x) = 0, a.e.(dx), that implies f = 0, which is a contradiction.

Therefore we have

$$+\infty > \sum_{k} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{f(x-a_k) - f(x)}{a_k} \right|^p dx |a_k|^p \ge \rho \sum_{k} |a_k|^p,$$

which proves the theorem.

**Theorem 2** ([2]) Let  $1 and <math>f(\neq 0)$  be a non-negative integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\Lambda_p(f) = \ell_p$  if and only if  $I_p(f) < +\infty$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\Psi_p(a; f) < +\infty$  for every  $a = \{a_k\} \in \ell_p$ . We set

$$\psi(a) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x-a) - f(x) \right|^p dx,$$

$$u_n:=2^{-\frac{n}{p}},$$

and

$$F_n(x) := \frac{f(x - u_n) - f(x)}{u_n}.$$

Then we shall show

$$K:=\sup_N 2^N \psi(u_N)=\sup_N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Big|F_N(x)\Big|^p dx<+\infty.$$

Assume, on the contrary, that for every n there exists N(n) > n satisfying

$$2^{N(n)}\psi(u_{N(n)}) > 2^n.$$

Then for the sequence

$$m{a}_0 := (\widehat{u}_{N(1)}, \stackrel{2^{N(1)-1}}{\cdots}, u_{N(1)}, \cdots, \widehat{u}_{N(n)}, \stackrel{2^{N(n)-n}}{\cdots}, u_{N(n)}, \cdots),$$

we have  $a_0 \in \ell_p$  and  $\Psi_p(a_0; f) = +\infty$ , which is a contradiction.

Since  $L_p(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ ,  $1 , is a separable reflexible Banach space, each bounded closed ball is compact and metrizable with respect to the weak topology. So that there exists a subsequence <math>\{F_{n_j}(x)\}$  and  $h(x) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that  $\{F_{n_j}(x)\}$  converges weakly to h(x).

Consequently, f(x) is absolutely continuous, f'(x) = -h(x), a.e. (dx), and we have

$$I_p(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f'(x) 
ight|^p dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(x)|^p dx < +\infty.$$

Conversely, assume  $I_p(f) < +\infty$ . Then by the mean value theorem and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x-a_k) - f(x) \right|^p dx = |a_k|^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \int_0^1 f'(x-ta_k) dt \right|^p dx$$

$$\leq |a_k|^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_0^1 \left| f'(x-ta_k) \right|^p dt = |a_k|^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f'(x) \right|^p dx = I_p(f) |a_k|^p,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x-a_k) - f(x) \right|^p dx \leq I_p(f) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |a_k|^p < +\infty.$$

### 3 Linearity of $\Lambda_p(f)$

We say f(x) is an N-modal function if there exist  $a_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, 2N + 1$  such that

$$-\infty = a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2N} < a_{2N+1} = +\infty,$$

f(x) is non-decreasing on the interval  $[a_{2k-1}, a_{2k}]$ , and

f(x) is non-increasing on the interval  $[a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}]$ .

Let 
$$\alpha = \alpha(f) := \frac{1}{2} \min\{a_{k+1} - a_k\}$$
 if  $N \geq 2$  and  $\alpha := +\infty$  if  $N = 1$ .

**Lemma 3** Let  $f(x): [-2a, 2a] \to [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that f(x) is non-decreasing on [-2a, 0] and is non-increasing on [0, 2a], where  $a \ge 0$ . Then for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\int_0^a |f(x-ta)-f(x)|^p dx \leq \int_a^{2a} |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx + 3 \int_0^a |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx.$$

Proof. Let u be the x-coordinate of the cross point of f(x) and of f(x-ta) and v be the x-coordinate of the cross point of f(x-ta) and of f(x-a). Then we have  $0 \le u \le ta \le v \le a$ . We have

$$\int_{0}^{ta} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^{p} dx = \left( \int_{0}^{u} + \int_{u}^{ta} \right) \\
\leq \int_{0}^{u} (f(x) - f(x-a))^{p} dx + \int_{u}^{ta} (f(x-ta) - f(x+a-ta))^{p} dx \\
\leq \int_{0}^{ta} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^{p} dx + \int_{u-ta}^{0} |f(x) - f(x+a)|^{p} dx \\
= \int_{0}^{ta} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^{p} dx + \int_{u-ta+a}^{a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^{p} dx \\
\leq 2 \int_{0}^{a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^{p} dx,$$

where we have used the facts

$$f(x-a) \le f(x-ta) \le f(x)$$
 on  $[0,u]$  and 
$$f(x+a-ta) \le f(x) \le f(x-ta)$$
 on  $[u,ta]$ .

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{ta}^{a}|f(x-ta)-f(x)|^{p}dx = \left(\int_{ta}^{v}+\int_{v}^{a}\right)\\ &\leq \int_{ta}^{v}(f(x-ta)-f(x+a-ta))^{p}dx + \int_{v}^{a}(f(x-a)-f(x))^{p}dx\\ &= \int_{0}^{v-ta}(f(x)-f(x+a))^{p}dx + \int_{v}^{a}(f(x-a)-f(x))^{p}dx\\ &= \int_{a}^{v-ta+a}(f(x-a)-f(x))^{p}dx + \int_{v}^{a}(f(x-a)-f(x))^{p}dx\\ &\leq \int_{a}^{2a}|f(x-a)-f(x)|^{p}dx + \int_{0}^{a}|f(x-a)-f(x)|^{p}dx, \end{split}$$

where we have used the facts

$$f(x+a-ta) \le f(x) \le f(x-ta)$$
 on  $[ta, v]$ , and  $f(x) \le f(x-ta) \le f(x-a)$  on  $[v, a]$ .

Consequently we have the inequality of Lemma 3.

**Lemma 4** Let  $f(x): [-2a, 2a] \to [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that f(x) is non-increasing on [-2a, 0] and is non-decreasing on [0, 2a], where  $a \ge 0$ . Then for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\int_0^a |f(x-ta)-f(x)|^p dx \le \int_{-a}^0 |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx + 3 \int_0^a |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx.$$

Proof. Let u be the x-coordinate of the cross point of f(x) and of f(x-ta) and v be the x-coordinate of the cross point of f(x-ta) and of f(x-a). Then we have  $0 \le u \le ta \le v \le a$ . We have

$$\begin{split} & \int_0^{ta} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p dx = \left( \int_0^u + \int_u^{ta} \right) \\ & \leq \int_0^u (f(x-a) - f(x))^p dx + \int_u^{ta} (f(x-a-ta) - f(x-ta))^p dx \\ & \leq \int_0^{ta} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx + \int_{u-ta}^0 |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx \\ & \leq \int_0^a |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx + \int_{-a}^0 |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx, \end{split}$$

where we have used the facts

$$f(x) \le f(x-ta) \le f(x-a)$$
 on  $[0,u]$  and  $f(x-ta) < f(x) \le f(x-a-ta)$  on  $[u,ta]$ .

On the other hand we have

$$\int_{ta}^{a} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^{p} dx = \left(\int_{ta}^{v} + \int_{v}^{a}\right) \\
\leq \int_{ta}^{v} (f(x-a-ta) - f(x-ta))^{p} dx + \int_{v}^{a} (f(x) - f(x-a))^{p} dx \\
= \int_{0}^{v-ta} (f(x-a) - f(x))^{p} dx + \int_{v}^{a} (f(x) - f(x-a))^{p} dx \\
\leq 2 \int_{0}^{a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^{p} dx,$$

where we have used the facts

$$f(x-ta) \le f(x) \le f(x-a-ta)$$
 on  $[ta, v]$ , and  $f(x-a) \le f(x-ta) \le f(x)$  on  $[v, a]$ .

Consequently we have the inequality of Lemma 4.

**Theorem 5** Let f(x) be a non-negative integrable N-modal function. Then for every  $0 \le a \le \alpha$  and every  $0 \le t \le 1$ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x-ta)-f(x)|^p dx \le 5 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx.$$

Proof. On the subset

$$S:=[a_1,a_2]\cup [a_2+a,a_3]\cup [a_3+a,a_4]\cup \cdots \cup [a_{2N}+a,a_{2N+1}]$$
 we have

$$f(x-a) \le f(x-ta) \le f(x)$$
 for  $x \in [a_1, a_2]$  or  $x \in [a_{2k-1}, a_{2k}]$ , and

 $f(x) \le f(x-ta) \le f(x-a)$  for  $x \in [a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}]$  or  $x \in [a_{2N} + a, a_{2N+1}]$ , which implies

$$\int_{S} |f(x-ta)-f(x)|^{p} dx \leq \int_{S} |f(x-a)-f(x)|^{p} dx.$$

By applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 for the function  $g(x) = f(x + a_k)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{a_{2k}}^{a_{2k}+a} |f(x-ta)-f(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{a_{2k}+a}^{a_{2k}+2a} |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx + 3 \int_{a_{2k}}^{a_{2k}+a} |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx, \text{ and} \\ &\int_{a_{2k+1}}^{a_{2k+1}+a} |f(x-ta)-f(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{a_{2k+1}-a}^{a_{2k+1}} |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx + 3 \int_{a_{2k+1}}^{a_{2k+1}+a} |f(x-a)-f(x)|^p dx. \end{split}$$

Consequently we have the inequality.

**Theorem 6** Let f(x) be a non-negative integrable N-modal function. Then  $\Lambda_p(f)$  is a linear space.

Proof. Let  $\{a_n\} \in \Lambda_p(f)$ . We shall show that  $t\{a_n\} \in \Lambda_p(f)$  for every  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $a_n \ge 0$ . Since  $\Lambda_p(f) \subset \ell_p$ , there exists K such that  $a_n \le \alpha$  for every  $n \ge K$ . The finite sequence  $t(a_1, \dots, a_{K-1}, 0, 0, \dots)$  belongs to  $\Lambda_p(f)$  and the sequence  $t(0, 0, \dots, 0, a_K, a_{K+1}, \dots)$  belongs to  $\Lambda_p(f)$  by Theorem 1, so that  $t\{a_n\} \in \Lambda_p(f)$ .

### 4 Completeness of $\Lambda_p(f)$

Theorem 7 ([1]) Let  $f(\neq 0)$  be an  $L_p$ -function. Then  $\Lambda_p(f)$  is complete with respect to  $d_p$  for  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a^{(k)} \in \Lambda_p(f), k = 1, 2, ...,$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $d_p$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that

for  $k, l \geq N$ . For any fixed n, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_n^{(k)} + a_n^{(l)}) - f(x) \right|^p dx \to 0, \ as \ k, l \to +\infty.$$

Then it follows that  $a_n^{(k)} - a_n^{(l)} \to 0$  as  $k, l \to +\infty$ , that is,  $\{a_n^{(k)}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence (see the proof of Theorem 2.)

Let  $a_n^{(0)} := \lim_k a_n^{(k)}$ . Then we shall show  $a^{(k)} \to a^{(0)} := \{a_n^{(0)} \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$  in  $d_p$ . In the inequality (\*), taking  $\liminf_{l \to +\infty}$ , by the Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$egin{aligned} arepsilon^p & \geq \sum_n \liminf_{l o +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_n^{(k)}) - f(x - a_n^{(l)}) 
ight|^p dx \ & = \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_n^{(k)}) - f(x - a_n^{(0)}) 
ight|^p dx = d_p(a^{(k)}, a^{(0)})^p, \end{aligned}$$

which shows  $a^{(k)} \rightarrow a^{(0)}$  with respect to  $d_p$ .

#### References

- [1] A. Honda, Y. Okazaki and H. Sato, A class of sequence spaces defined by a non-negative integrable function, ISBFS 2006.
- [2] A. Honda, Y. Okazaki and H. Sato, An  $L_p$ -function determines  $\ell_p$ , preprint.
- [3] L. A. Shepp, Distinguishing a sequence of random variables from a translate of itself, Ann. Math. Statist. 1965, 36, 1107–1112.