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Abstract

In this talk, we shall show that the classical sequence space $\ell_p(1 < p < +\infty)$ is completely determined by one function $f(x)(\neq 0) \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies the $p$-integrability condition.

We introduce a new sequence space $\Lambda_p(f)$ defined by an $L_p$-function $f(\neq 0)$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$ by

$$\Lambda_p(f) := \{a \in \mathbb{R}^\infty : \Psi_p(a : f) < +\infty\},$$

where

$$\Psi_p(a : f) := \left( \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x-a_n) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$ 

We shall give a characterization for $\Lambda_p(f) = \ell_p$. We shall also discuss the linear and topological properties of $\Lambda_p(f)$.

1 Introduction

Let $f(\neq 0)$ be an $L_p$-function on the real line $\mathbb{R}$. For $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and for a real sequence $a = \{a_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, we set

$$\Psi_p(a : f) := \left( \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x-a_n) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and define $\Lambda_p(f)$ by

$$\Lambda_p(f) := \{a \in \mathbb{R}^\infty : \Psi_p(a : f) < +\infty\}.$$
By the triangular inequality of $L_p$-norm and by the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure, we have

$$\Psi_p(a - b : f) \leq \Psi_p(a : f) + \Psi_p(b : f),$$

which implies that $\Lambda_p(f)$ is an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$.

Define a metric on $\Lambda_p(f)$ by

$$d_p(a, b) := \Psi_p(a - b : f).$$

Then $(\Lambda_p(f), d_p(a, b))$ becomes a topological group. The space $\mathbb{R}_0^\infty$, the direct sum, is a dense subset of $(\Lambda_p(f), d_p(a, b))$.

## 2 Relations between $\Lambda_p(f)$ and $\ell_p$

We say $I_p(f) < +\infty$ if $f(x)$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ and the $p$-integral defined by

$$I_p(f) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f'(x)|^p dx$$

is finite. In particular $I_2(\sqrt{f})$, for probability density function $f(x)$, coincides with the Shepp's integral(Shepp[3]).

**Theorem 1** ([2]) Let $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and let $f(\neq 0)$ be an $L_p$-function on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\Lambda_p(f) \subset \ell_p$.

**Proof.** Assume that $\Psi_p(a; f) < +\infty$ for $a = \{a_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $a_k \neq 0$ for every $k$.

First we shall prove $\{a_k\}$ is bounded. If there is a subsequence $\{a_k'\}$ such that $|a_k'| \to +\infty$, then $\Psi_p(a; f) < +\infty$ implies

$$0 = \lim_{k'} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x - a_k') - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = 2^p ||f||_{L_p}$$

which contradicts to $||f||_{L_p} > 0$.

Next we shall prove that $\{a_k\}$ converges to 0. Assume that there is a subsequence $a_k'$ such that $a_k' \to a_0 \neq 0$. Then we have

$$0 = \lim_{k'} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x - a_k') - f(x)|^p dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x - a_0) - f(x)|^p dx,$$

which implies $f(x-a_0) = f(x), a.e.(dx)$. This contradicts to the integrability of $f(x)$.
Finally, we shall prove
\[ \rho := \inf_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_k) - f(x) \right|^p \, dx > 0. \]

Assume that there exists a subsequence \( a_{k'} \) such that
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_{k'}) - f(x) \right|^p \, dx \to 0 \]
Then it follows that
\[ f(x - a_{k'}) - f(x) \to 0 \text{ in } L_p(\mathbb{R}). \]

Consequently, \( f(x) \) is absolutely continuous with \( f'(x) = 0, \text{a.e.}(dx) \), that implies \( f = 0 \), which is a contradiction.

Therefore we have
\[ +\infty > \sum_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_k) - f(x) \right|^p \, dx |a_k|^p \geq \rho \sum_k |a_k|^p, \]
which proves the theorem.

**Theorem 2** ([2]) Let \( 1 < p < +\infty \) and \( f(\neq 0) \) be a non-negative integrable function on \( \mathbb{R} \). Then \( \Lambda_p(f) = \ell_p \) if and only if \( I_p(f) < +\infty \).

**Proof.** Assume \( \Psi_p(\alpha; f) < +\infty \) for every \( \alpha = \{a_k\} \in \ell_p \). We set
\[ \psi(\alpha) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a) - f(x) \right|^p \, dx, \]
\[ u_n := 2^{-\frac{n}{p}}, \]
and
\[ F_n(x) := f(x - u_n) - f(x) \]
Then we shall show
\[ K := \sup_N 2^N \psi(u_N) = \sup_N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| F_N(x) \right|^p \, dx < +\infty. \]
Assume, on the contrary, that for every \( n \) there exists \( N(n) > n \) satisfying
\[ 2^{N(n)} \psi(u_{N(n)}) > 2^n. \]
Then for the sequence

\[ a_0 := (\tilde{u}_{N(1)}, \cdots, u_{N(1)}, \cdots, \tilde{u}, N(n), \cdots, u_{N(n)}, \cdots) \]

we have \( a_0 \in \ell_p \) and \( \Psi_p (a_0; f) = +\infty \), which is a contradiction.

Since \( L_p(\mathbb{R}, dx), 1 < p \leq +\infty \), is a separable reflexive Banach space, each bounded closed ball is compact and metrizable with respect to the weak topology. So that there exists a subsequence \( \{ F_{n_j}(x) \} \) and \( h(x) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, dx) \) such that \( \{ F_{n_j}(x) \} \) converges weakly to \( h(x) \).

Consequently, \( f(x) \) is absolutely continuous, \( f'(x) = -h(x) \), a.e.\((dx)\), and we have

\[ I_p(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f'(x)|^p dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(x)|^p dx < +\infty. \]

Conversely, assume \( I_p(f) < +\infty \). Then by the mean value theorem and Fubini’s theorem, we have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x - a_k) - f(x)|^p dx = |a_k|^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \int_0^1 f'(x - ta_k) dt \right|^p dx
\leq |a_k|^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_0^1 \left| f'(x - ta_k) \right|^p dt = |a_k|^p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f'(x) \right|^p dx = I_p(f) |a_k|^p,
\]

which implies

\[ \sum_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x - a_k) - f(x)|^p dx \leq I_p(f) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |a_k|^p < +\infty. \]

### 3 Linearity of \( \Lambda_p(f) \)

We say \( f(x) \) is an N-modal function if there exist \( a_n, n = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N + 1 \) such that

\[ -\infty = a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2N} < a_{2N+1} = +\infty, \]

\( f(x) \) is non-decreasing on the interval \([a_{2k-1}, a_{2k}]\), and

\( f(x) \) is non-increasing on the interval \([a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}]\).

Let \( \alpha = \alpha(f) := \frac{1}{2} \min\{a_{k+1} - a_k\} \) if \( N \geq 2 \) and \( \alpha := +\infty \) if \( N = 1 \).
Lemma 3 Let \( f(x) : [-2a, 2a] \to [0, +\infty) \) be a function such that \( f(x) \) is non-decreasing on \([-2a, 0]\) and is non-increasing on \([0, 2a]\), where \( a \geq 0 \). Then for every \( t \in [0, 1] \), we have

\[
\int_0^a |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p \, dx \leq \int_a^{2a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx + 3 \int_0^a |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx.
\]

Proof. Let \( u \) be the \( x \)-coordinate of the cross point of \( f(x) \) and of \( f(x-ta) \) and \( v \) be the \( x \)-coordinate of the cross point of \( f(x-ta) \) and of \( f(x-a) \). Then we have \( 0 \leq u \leq ta \leq v \leq a \). We have

\[
\int_0^{ta} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p \, dx = \left( \int_0^u + \int_u^{ta} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^u (f(x) - f(x-a))^p \, dx + \int_u^{ta} (f(x-ta) - f(x+a-ta))^p \, dx
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^{ta} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx + \int_0^u |f(x) - f(x+a)|^p \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_0^{ta} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx + \int_{u-ta}^a |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx
\]

\[
\leq 2 \int_0^a |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx,
\]

where we have used the facts

\[
f(x-a) \leq f(x-ta) \leq f(x) \text{ on } [0, u] \text{ and}
\]

\[
f(x+a-ta) \leq f(x) \leq f(x-ta) \text{ on } [u, ta].
\]

On the other hand we have

\[
\int_{ta}^a |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p \, dx = \left( \int_{ta}^v + \int_v^a \right)
\]

\[
\leq \int_{ta}^v (f(x-ta) - f(x+a-ta))^p \, dx + \int_v^a (f(x-a) - f(x))^p \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_{ta}^v (f(x) - f(x+a))^p \, dx + \int_v^a (f(x-a) - f(x))^p \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_{ta}^{2a} (f(x-a) - f(x))^p \, dx + \int_v^a (f(x-a) - f(x))^p \, dx
\]

\[
\leq \int_a^{2a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx + \int_0^a |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx,
\]

where we have used the facts

\[
f(x+a-ta) \leq f(x) \leq f(x-ta) \text{ on } [ta, v], \text{ and}
\]

\[
f(x) \leq f(x-ta) \leq f(x-a) \text{ on } [v, a].
\]

Consequently we have the inequality of Lemma 3.
Lemma 4 Let \( f(x) : [-2a, 2a] \to [0, +\infty) \) be a function such that \( f(x) \) is non-increasing on \([-2a, 0]\) and is non-decreasing on \([0, 2a]\), where \( a \geq 0 \).
Then for every \( t \in [0, 1] \), we have

\[
\int_{0}^{a} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p \, dx \leq \int_{-a}^{0} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx + 3 \int_{0}^{a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx.
\]

Proof. Let \( u \) be the \( x \)-coordinate of the cross point of \( f(x) \) and of \( f(x-ta) \)
and \( v \) be the \( x \)-coordinate of the cross point of \( f(x-ta) \) and of \( f(x-a) \).
Then we have \( 0 \leq u \leq ta \leq v \leq a \). We have

\[
\int_{0}^{ta} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p \, dx = \left( \int_{0}^{u} + \int_{u}^{ta} \right) \\
\leq \int_{0}^{u} (f(x-a) - f(x))^p \, dx + \int_{u}^{ta} (f(x-a-ta) - f(x-ta))^p \, dx \\
\leq \int_{0}^{u} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx + \int_{ta}^{v} |f(x-a-ta) - f(x-ta)|^p \, dx \\
\leq \int_{0}^{a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx + \int_{-a}^{0} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx,
\]

where we have used the facts

\[ f(x) \leq f(x-ta) \leq f(x-a) \text{ on } [0, u] \text{ and} \]

\[ f(x-ta) \leq f(x) \leq f(x-a-ta) \text{ on } [u, ta]. \]

On the other hand we have

\[
\int_{ta}^{a} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p \, dx = \left( \int_{ta}^{u} + \int_{u}^{a} \right) \\
\leq \int_{ta}^{u} (f(x-a-ta) - f(x-ta))^p \, dx + \int_{u}^{a} (f(x) - f(x-a))^p \, dx \\
= \int_{ta}^{a} (f(x-a-ta) - f(x-ta))^p \, dx + \int_{v}^{u} (f(x) - f(x-a))^p \, dx \\
\leq 2 \int_{0}^{a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p \, dx,
\]

where we have used the facts

\[ f(x-ta) \leq f(x) \leq f(x-a-ta) \text{ on } [ta, v], \text{ and} \]

\[ f(x-a) \leq f(x-ta) \leq f(x) \text{ on } [v, a]. \]

Consequently we have the inequality of Lemma 4.

Theorem 5 Let \( f(x) \) be a non-negative integrable N-modal function. Then
for every \( 0 \leq a \leq \alpha \) and every \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \), we have
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p dx \leq 5 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx.
\]

Proof. On the subset

\[ S := [a_1, a_2] \cup [a_2 + a, a_3] \cup [a_3 + a, a_4] \cup \cdots \cup [a_{2N} + a, a_{2N+1}] \]

we have

\[ f(x - a) \leq f(x-ta) \leq f(x) \text{ for } x \in [a_1, a_2] \text{ or } x \in [a_{2k-1}, a_{2k}], \]

and

\[ f(x) \leq f(x-ta) \leq f(x-a) \text{ for } x \in [a_{2k}, a_{2k+1}] \text{ or } x \in [a_{2N} + a, a_{2N+1}], \]

which implies

\[ \int_S |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p dx \leq \int_S |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx. \]

By applying Lemma1 and Lemma2 for the function \( g(x) = f(x + a_k) \), we have

\[ \int_{a_{2k}}^{a_{2k}+a} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p dx \]

\[ \leq \int_{a_{2k}+a}^{a_{2k}+2a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx + 3 \int_{a_{2k}}^{a_{2k}+a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx, \]

and

\[ \int_{a_{2k+1}+a}^{a_{2k+1}+a} |f(x-ta) - f(x)|^p dx \]

\[ \leq \int_{a_{2k+1}}^{a_{2k+1}+a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx + 3 \int_{a_{2k+1}}^{a_{2k+1}+a} |f(x-a) - f(x)|^p dx. \]

Consequently we have the inequality.

**Theorem 6** Let \( f(x) \) be a non-negative integrable N-modal function. Then \( \Lambda_p(f) \) is a linear space.

Proof. Let \( \{a_n\} \in \Lambda_p(f) \). We shall show that \( t\{a_n\} \in \Lambda_p(f) \) for every \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \). Without loss of generality, we may assume \( a_n \geq 0 \). Since \( \Lambda_p(f) \subset \ell_p \), there exists \( K \) such that \( a_n \leq \alpha \) for every \( n \geq K \). The finite sequence \( t(a_1, \cdots, a_{K-1}, 0, 0, \cdots) \) belongs to \( \Lambda_p(f) \) and the sequence \( t(0, 0, \cdots, 0, a_K, a_{K+1}, \cdots) \) belongs to \( \Lambda_p(f) \) by Theorem 1, so that \( t\{a_n\} \in \Lambda_p(f) \).
4 Completeness of $\Lambda_p(f)$

Theorem 7 ([1]) Let $f(\neq 0)$ be an $L_p$-function. Then $\Lambda_p(f)$ is complete with respect to $d_p$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

Proof. Let $a^{(k)} \in \Lambda_p(f)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, be a Cauchy sequence in $d_p$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N$ such that

\[ \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_n^{(k)} + a_n^{(l)}) - f(x) \right|^p dx \leq \varepsilon^p. \]

for $k, l \geq N$. For any fixed $n$, we have

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_n^{(k)} + a_n^{(l)}) - f(x) \right|^p dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k, l \rightarrow +\infty. \]

Then it follows that $a_n^{(k)} - a_n^{(l)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k, l \rightarrow +\infty$, that is, $\{a_n^{(k)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence (see the proof of Theorem 2).

Let $a_n^{(0)} := \lim_k a_n^{(k)}$. Then we shall show $a^{(k)} \rightarrow a^{(0)} := \{a_n^{(0)} \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ in $d_p$. In the inequality $(\ast)$, taking $\lim \inf_{l \rightarrow +\infty}$, by the Fatou’s Lemma, we have

\[
\varepsilon^p \geq \sum_n \liminf_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_n^{(k)}) - f(x - a_n^{(l)}) \right|^p dx
= \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f(x - a_n^{(k)}) - f(x - a_n^{(0)}) \right|^p dx = d_p(a^{(k)}, a^{(0)})^p,
\]

which shows $a^{(k)} \rightarrow a^{(0)}$ with respect to $d_p$.
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