Extensions of the results on powers of p-hyponormal operators to class wF(p, r, q) operators

伊藤 公智 (Masatoshi Ito)

This report is based on "M.Ito, Parallel results to that on powers of p-hyponormal, log-hyponormal and class A operators, to appear in Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)."

Abstract

In this report, we shall show that inequalities

 $(T^{n+1^*}T^{n+1})^{\frac{n+p}{n+1}} \ge (T^{n^*}T^n)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}$ and $(T^nT^{n^*})^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \ge (T^{n+1}T^{n+1^*})^{\frac{n+p}{n+1}}$

for 0 and all positive integer*n*hold for weaker conditions than*p*-hyponomality, that is, class <math>F(p, r, q) defined by Fujii-Nakamoto or class wF(p, r, q) defined by Yang-Yuan under appropriate conditions of *p*, *r* and *q*.

1 Introduction

In this report, a capital letter means a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \ge 0$) if $(Tx, x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, and also an operator T is said to be strictly positive (denoted by T > 0) if T is positive and invertible.

As an extension of hyponormal operators, i.e., $T^*T \ge TT^*$, it is well known that p-hyponormal operators for p > 0 are defined by $(T^*T)^p \ge (TT^*)^p$, and also an operator T is said to be p-quasihyponormal for p > 0 if $T^*\{(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p\}T \ge 0$. It is easily obtained that every p-hyponormal operator is q-hyponormal for p > q > 0 by Löwner-Heinz theorem " $A \ge B \ge 0$ ensures $A^{\alpha} \ge B^{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$."

On powers of p-hyponormal operators, Aluthge-Wang [1] showed that "If T is a p-hyponormal operator for $0 , then <math>T^n$ is $\frac{p}{n}$ -hyponormal for any positive integer n." As a more precise result than theirs, Furuta-Yanagida [8] obtained the following.

Theorem 1.A ([8]). Let T be a p-hyponormal operator for 0 . Then

$$(T^{n^*}T^n)^{\frac{p+1}{n}} \ge \dots \ge (T^{2^*}T^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \ge (T^*T)^{p+1},$$

that is, $|T^n|^{\frac{2(p+1)}{n}} \ge \dots \ge |T^2|^{p+1} \ge |T|^{2(p+1)}$

and

$$(TT^*)^{p+1} \ge (T^2T^{2^*})^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \ge \cdots \ge (T^nT^{n^*})^{\frac{p+1}{n}},$$

that is, $|T^*|^{2(p+1)} \ge |T^{2^*}|^{p+1} \ge \cdots \ge |T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2(p+1)}{n}}$

hold for all positive integer n.

Recently, Gao-Yang [9] obtained the results on comparison of nth power and (n+1)th power of p-hyponormal operators for 0 .

Theorem 1.B ([9]). Let T be a p-hyponormal operator for 0 . Then

 $(T^{n+1^*}T^{n+1})^{\frac{n+p}{n+1}} \ge (T^{n^*}T^n)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}, \text{ that is, } |T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2(p+n)}{n+1}} \ge |T^n|^{\frac{2(p+n)}{n}}$

and

 $(T^nT^{n^*})^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \ge (T^{n+1}T^{n+1^*})^{\frac{n+p}{n+1}}, \text{ that is, } |T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2(p+n)}{n}} \ge |T^{n+1^*}|^{\frac{2(p+n)}{n+1}}$

hold for all positive integer n.

As an extension of hyponormal operators, it is also well known that invertible loghyponormal operators are defined by $\log T^*T \ge \log TT^*$ for an invertible operator T. We remark that we treat only invertible log-hyponormal operators in this paper (see also [17]). It is easily obtained that every invertible *p*-hyponormal operator for p > 0 is log-hyponormal since $\log t$ is an operator monotone function. We note that loghyponormality is sometimes regarded as 0-hyponormality since $\frac{X^p-I}{p} \to \log X$ as $p \to +0$ for X > 0. An operator T is paranormal if $||T^2x|| \ge ||Tx||^2$ for every unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Ando [2] showed that every *p*-hyponormal operator for p > 0 and invertible log-hyponormal operator is paranormal. (Invertibility of a log-hyponormal operator is not necessarily required.)

Yamazaki [18] showed that "If T is an invertible log-hyponormal operator, then T^n is also log-hyponormal for any positive integer n," and also he obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.C ([18]). Let T be an invertible log-hyponormal operator. Then

$$(T^{n^*}T^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \ge \cdots \ge (T^{2^*}T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge T^*T, \quad that \ is, \quad |T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}} \ge \cdots \ge |T^2| \ge |T|^2$$

and

$$TT^* \ge (T^2 T^{2^*})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \dots \ge (T^n T^{n^*})^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad that \ is, \quad |T^*|^2 \ge |T^{2^*}| \ge \dots \ge |T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

hold for all positive integer n.

Theorem 1.D ([18]). Let T be an invertible log-hyponormal operator. Then

$$(T^{n+1^*}T^{n+1})^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \ge T^{n^*}T^n$$
, that is, $|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \ge |T^n|^2$

and

$$T^{n}T^{n^{*}} \ge (T^{n+1}T^{n+1^{*}})^{\frac{n}{n+1}}, \text{ that is, } |T^{n^{*}}|^{2} \ge |T^{n+1^{*}}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}$$

hold for all positive integer n.

We remark that Theorems 1.C and 1.D correspond to Theorems 1.A and 1.B, respectively. On powers of p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators, related results are obtained in [7], [13], [22], [24] and so on.

On the other hand, in [6], we introduced class A defined by $|T^2| \ge |T|^2$ where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and we showed that every invertible log-hyponormal operator belongs to class A and every class A operator is paranormal. We remark that class A is defined by an operator inequality and paranormality is defined by a norm inequality, and their definitions appear to be similar forms.

As we have pointed out in [14], we have the following result by combining [20, Theorem 1] and [15, Theorem 3] as a result on powers of class A operators. We remark that Theorem 1.E in case of invertible operators was shown in [11].

Theorem 1.E ([20][15][14]). If T is a class A operator, then

- (i) $|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \ge |T^n|^2$ and $|T^{n^*}|^2 \ge |T^{n+1^*}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}$ hold for all positive integer n.
- (ii) $|T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}} \ge \cdots \ge |T^2| \ge |T|^2$ and $|T^*|^2 \ge |T^{2^*}| \ge \cdots \ge |T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2}{n}}$ hold for all positive integer n.

(i) (resp. (ii)) of Theorem 1.E is an extension of Theorem 1.D (resp. Theorem 1.C) since every invertible log-hyponormal operator belongs to class A.

As generalizations of class A and paranormality, Fujii-Jung-S.H.Lee-M.Y.Lee-Nakamoto [3] introduced class A(p, r), Yamazaki-Yanagida [19] introduced absolute-(p, r)-paranormality, and Fujii-Nakamoto [4] introduced class F(p, r, q) and (p, r, q)-paranormality as follows:

Definition.

(i) For each p > 0 and r > 0, an operator T belongs to class A(p, r) if

 $(|T^*|^r|T|^{2p}|T^*|^r)^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \ge |T^*|^{2r}.$

(ii) For each p > 0 and r > 0, an operator T is absolute-(p, r)-paranormal if

$$|||T|^{p}|T^{*}|^{r}x||^{r} \ge |||T^{*}|^{r}x||^{p+r}$$

for every unit vector $x \in H$.

(iii) For each p > 0, $r \ge 0$ and q > 0, an operator T belongs to class F(p, r, q) if

 $(|T^*|^r|T|^{2p}|T^*|^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \ge |T^*|^{\frac{2(p+r)}{q}}.$

(iv) For each p > 0, $r \ge 0$ and q > 0, an operator T is (p, r, q)-paranormal if

$$|||T|^{p}U|T|^{r}x||^{\frac{1}{q}} \ge |||T||^{\frac{p+r}{q}}x||$$
(1.1)

for every unit vector $x \in H$, where T = U|T| is the polar decomposition of T. In particular, if r > 0 and $q \ge 1$, then (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\left\| |T|^{p}|T^{*}|^{r}x \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \ge \left\| |T^{*}|^{\frac{p+r}{q}}x \right\|$$

for every unit vector $x \in H$ ([12]).

We remark that class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ equals class A(p, r) and also class F(1, 1, 2) (i.e., class A(1, 1)) equals class A. Similarly $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ -paranormality equals absolute-(p, r)-paranormality and also (1, 1, 2)-paranormality (i.e., absolute-(1, 1)-paranormality) equals paranormality.

Inclusion relations among these classes were shown in [3], [4], [12], [14], [15], [19] and so on (see also Theorems 3.A and 3.B). The following Figure 1 represents the inclusion relations among the families of class F(p, r, q) and (p, r, q)-paranormality.



We can pick up inclusion relations among classes discussed in this report as follows: For $0 < \delta < p < 1$ and 0 < r < 1, $\begin{array}{ccc} \delta \text{-hyponormal} & \subset & \text{class } \mathrm{F}(p,r,\frac{p+r}{\delta+r}) & \subset & \text{class } \mathrm{F}(1,1,\frac{2}{\delta+1}) \\ & \cap & & \cap & \\ & & \log \text{-hyponormal} & \subset & \text{class } \mathrm{A}(p,r) & \subset & \text{class } \mathrm{A} \end{array}$

We remark that we assume invertibility on log-hyponormal operators.

In this report, as a parallel result to Theorem 1.E, we shall show that inequalities in Theorems 1.A and 1.B hold for weaker conditions than *p*-hyponomality, that is, class F(p, r, q) defined by Fujii-Nakamoto or class wF(p, r, q) recently defined by Yang-Yuan [23][21] (see Section 3) under appropriate conditions of p, r and q.

2 Main results

In this section, we shall show our main results.

Theorem 2.1. If $(|T^*||T|^2|T^*|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \ge |T^*|^{2(\delta+1)}$ (i.e., T belongs to class $F(1, 1, \frac{2}{\delta+1})$) for some $0 \le \delta \le 1$, then

- (i) $|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2(\delta+n)}{n+1}} \ge |T^n|^{\frac{2(\delta+n)}{n}}$ holds for all positive integer n.
- (ii) $|T^n|^{\frac{2(\delta+1)}{n}} \ge \cdots \ge |T^2|^{\delta+1} \ge |T|^{2(\delta+1)}$ holds for all positive integer n.

Theorem 2.2. If $|T|^{2(\gamma+1)} \ge (|T||T^*|^2|T|)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}$ for some $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ holds and either

- (a) $(|T^*||T|^2|T^*|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge |T^*|^2$ (i.e., T belongs to class A) or
- (b) $N(|T|) \subseteq N(|T^*|)$

holds, then

- (i) $|T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2(\gamma+n)}{n}} \ge |T^{n+1^*}|^{\frac{2(\gamma+n)}{n+1}}$ holds for all positive integer n.
- (ii) $|T^*|^{2(\gamma+1)} \ge |T^{2^*}|^{\gamma+1} \ge \cdots \ge |T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2(\gamma+1)}{n}}$ holds for all positive integer n.

We need the following results in order to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Theorem 2.A ([15]). Let A and B be positive operators. Then for each $p \ge 0$ and $r \ge 0$,

- (i) If $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^{p}B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \ge B^{r}$, then $A^{p} \ge (A^{\frac{p}{2}}B^{r}A^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{p}{p+r}}$.
- (ii) If $A^p \ge (A^{\frac{p}{2}}B^r A^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{p}{p+r}}$ and $N(A) \subseteq N(B)$, then $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \ge B^r$.

Theorem 2.B ([20]). Let A and B be positive operators. Then

(i) If $(B^{\frac{\beta_0}{2}}A^{\alpha_0}B^{\frac{\beta_0}{2}})^{\frac{\beta_0}{\alpha_0+\beta_0}} \ge B^{\beta_0}$ holds for fixed $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\beta_0 > 0$, then $(B^{\frac{\beta}{2}}A^{\alpha_0}B^{\frac{\beta}{2}})^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha_0+\beta}} \ge B^{\beta}$

holds for any $\beta \geq \beta_0$. Moreover,

$$A^{\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2}}B^{\beta_{1}}A^{\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2}} \ge (A^{\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2}}B^{\beta_{2}}A^{\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2}})^{\frac{\alpha_{0}+\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{0}+\beta_{2}}}$$

holds for any β_1 and β_2 such that $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1 \geq \beta_0$.

(ii) If $A^{\alpha_0} \ge (A^{\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} B^{\beta_0} A^{\frac{\alpha_0}{2}})^{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_0 + \beta_0}}$ holds for fixed $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\beta_0 > 0$, then

 $A^{\alpha} \geq (A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} B^{\beta_0} A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta_0}}$

holds for any $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Moreover,

$$(B^{\frac{\beta_{0}}{2}}A^{\alpha_{2}}B^{\frac{\beta_{0}}{2}})^{\frac{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{0}}{\alpha_{2}+\beta_{0}}} \geq B^{\frac{\beta_{0}}{2}}A^{\alpha_{1}}B^{\frac{\beta_{0}}{2}}$$

holds for any α_1 and α_2 such that $\alpha_2 \ge \alpha_1 \ge \alpha_0$.

Lemma 2.C ([20][16]). Let A, B and C be positive operators. Then for p > 0 and $0 < r \le 1$,

- (i) If $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^{p}B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \ge B^{r}$ and $B \ge C$, then $(C^{\frac{r}{2}}A^{p}C^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \ge C^{r}$.
- (ii) If $A \ge B$, $B^r \ge (B^{\frac{r}{2}}C^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$ and N(A) = N(B), then $A^r \ge (A^{\frac{r}{2}}C^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$.

Lemma 2.D ([5]). Let A > 0 and B be an invertible operator. Then

$$(BAB^*)^{\lambda} = BA^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^*BA^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\lambda-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^*$$

holds for any real number λ .

We remark that Lemma 2.D holds without invertibility of A and B when $\lambda \geq 1$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let T = U|T| be the polar decomposition of T, and put $A_k = (T^{k^*}T^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = |T^k|^{\frac{2}{k}}$ and $B_k = (T^kT^{k^*})^{\frac{1}{k}} = |T^{k^*}|^{\frac{2}{k}}$ for a positive integer k. We remark that $T^* = U^*|T^*|$ is also the polar decomposition of T^* .

Firstly we shall show $|T^2|^{\delta+1} \geq |T|^{2(\delta+1)}$. By the hypothesis $(|T^*||T|^2|T^*|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \geq |T^*|^{2(\delta+1)}$ for some $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, we have

$$|T^{2}|^{\delta+1} = (U^{*}|T^{*}||T|^{2}|T^{*}|U)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}$$

= $U^{*}(|T^{*}||T|^{2}|T^{*}|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}U$
 $\geq U^{*}|T^{*}|^{2(\delta+1)}U$
= $|T|^{2(\delta+1)}$.

Next we assume that

$$|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2(\delta+n)}{n+1}} \ge |T^n|^{\frac{2(\delta+n)}{n}}, \text{ that is, } A_{n+1}^{\delta+n} \ge A_n^{\delta+n}$$
 (2.1)

holds for n = 1, 2, ..., k. By (2.1) and Löwner-Heinz theorem, we have

$$A_{k+1} \ge A_k \ge \dots \ge A_2 \ge A_1 \tag{2.2}$$

since $\frac{1}{\delta+n} \in (0,1]$ in (2.1). The hypothesis $(|T^*||T|^2|T^*|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \ge |T^*|^{2(\delta+1)}$ can be rewritten by $(B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_1B_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \ge B_1^{\delta+1}$, and also this yields $A_1 \ge (A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}B_1A_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by Löwner-Heinz theorem and (i) of Theorem 2.A. (2.2) and $A_1 \ge (A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}B_1A_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ensure

$$A_k \ge (A_k^{\frac{1}{2}} B_1 A_k^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.3)

by (ii) of Lemma 2.C since $N(A_k) = N(A_1)$ holds. We remark that $N(A_k) \subseteq N(A_1)$ holds by (2.2) and $N(A_k) = N(T^k) \supseteq N(T) = N(A_1)$ always holds. Then we get

$$A_{k}^{k} \ge (A_{k}^{\frac{k}{2}} B_{1} A_{k}^{\frac{k}{2}})^{\frac{k}{k+1}}$$
(2.4)

by (2.3) and (ii) of Theorem 2.B. Similarly, (2.2) and $A_1 \ge (A_1^{\frac{1}{2}} B_1 A_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ensure

$$A_{k+1} \ge (A_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} B_1 A_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(2.5)

Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} |T^{k+1}|^{\frac{2(\delta+k+1)}{k+1}} &= (U^*|T^*||T^k|^2|T^*|U)^{\frac{\delta+k+1}{k+1}} \\ &= U^*(B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_k^k B_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{\delta+k+1}{k+1}} U \\ &= U^*B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_k^{\frac{k}{2}}(A_k^{\frac{k}{2}}B_1A_k^{\frac{k}{2}})^{\frac{\delta}{\delta}}_{h+1}A_k^{\frac{k}{2}}B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}U \quad \text{by Lemma 2.D} \\ &\leq U^*B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_k^{\frac{k}{2}}A_k^{\delta}A_k^{\frac{k}{2}}B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}U \quad \text{by (2.4) and Löwner-Heinz theorem} \\ &= U^*B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_k^{\delta+k}B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}U \\ &\leq U^*B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_{k+1}^{\delta+k}B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}U \quad \text{by (2.1)} \\ &\leq U^*(B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_{k+1}^{k+1}B_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{(\delta+k+1)}{(k+1)+1}}U \\ &= (U^*|T^*||T^{k+1}|^2|T^*|U)^{\frac{\delta+k+1}{k+2}} \\ &= |T^{k+2}|^{\frac{2(\delta+k+1)}{k+2}}. \end{split}$$

We remark that the last inequality holds by (ii) of Theorem 2.B since (2.5) holds and $k+1 \ge \delta + k \ge 1$.

Consequently the proof of (i) is complete. We can easily obtain (ii) by (i) and Löwner-Heinz theorem, so we omit its proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let T = U|T| be the polar decomposition of T, and put $A_k = (T^{k^*}T^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = |T^k|^{\frac{2}{k}}$ and $B_k = (T^kT^{k^*})^{\frac{1}{k}} = |T^{k^*}|^{\frac{2}{k}}$ for a positive integer k. We remark that $T^* = U^*|T^*|$ is also the polar decomposition of T^* .

 $|T|^{2(\gamma+1)} \ge (|T||T^*|^2|T|)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}$ and condition (b) ensure condition (a) by Löwner-Heinz theorem and (ii) of Theorem 2.A, so that we have only to prove the case where condition (a) holds.

Firstly we shall show $|T^*|^{2(\gamma+1)} \ge |T^{2^*}|^{\gamma+1}$. By the hypothesis $|T|^{2(\gamma+1)} \ge (|T||T^*|^2|T|)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}$ for some $0 \le \gamma \le 1$, we have

$$|T^{2^*}|^{\gamma+1} = (U|T||T^*|^2|T|U^*)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}$$

= $U(|T||T^*|^2|T|)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}U^*$
 $\leq U|T|^{2(\gamma+1)}U^*$
= $|T^*|^{2(\gamma+1)}$.

Next we assume that

$$|T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2(\gamma+n)}{n}} \ge |T^{n+1^*}|^{\frac{2(\gamma+n)}{n+1}}, \text{ that is, } B_n^{\gamma+n} \ge B_{n+1}^{\gamma+n}$$
 (2.6)

holds for n = 1, 2, ..., k. By (2.6) and Löwner-Heinz theorem, we have

$$B_1 \ge B_2 \ge \dots \ge B_k \ge B_{k+1} \tag{2.7}$$

since $\frac{1}{\gamma+n} \in (0,1]$ in (2.6). Condition (a) can be rewritten by $(B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_1B_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge B_1$. (2.7) and $(B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_1B_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge B_1$ ensure

$$B_k^{\frac{1}{2}} A_1 B_k^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge B_k.$$
(2.8)

by (i) of Lemma 2.C Then we get

$$(B_k^{\frac{k}{2}}A_1B_k^{\frac{k}{2}})^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \ge B_k^k.$$
(2.9)

by (2.8) and (i) of Theorem 2.B. Similarly, (2.7) and $(B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}A_1B_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge B_1$ ensure

$$(B_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}A_1B_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge B_{k+1}.$$
(2.10)

Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} |T^{k+1^*}|^{\frac{2(\gamma+k+1)}{k+1}} &= (U|T||T^{k^*}|^2|T|U^*)^{\frac{\gamma+k+1}{k+1}} \\ &= U(A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}B_k^k A_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{\gamma+k+1}{k+1}}U^* \\ &= UA_1^{\frac{1}{2}}B_k^{\frac{k}{2}}(B_k^{\frac{k}{2}}A_1B_k^{\frac{k}{2}})^{\frac{\gamma}{k+1}}B_k^{\frac{k}{2}}A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}U^* \quad \text{by Lemma 2.D} \\ &\geq UA_1^{\frac{1}{2}}B_k^{\frac{k}{2}}B_k^{\gamma}B_k^{\frac{k}{2}}A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}U^* \quad \text{by (2.9) and Löwner-Heinz theorem} \\ &= UA_1^{\frac{1}{2}}B_k^{\gamma+k}A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}U^* \quad \text{by (2.6)} \\ &\geq U(A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}B_{k+1}^{k+1}A_1^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{(\gamma+k)+1}{(k+1)+1}}U^* \\ &= (U|T||T^{k+1^*}|^2|T|U^*)^{\frac{\gamma+k+1}{k+2}} \\ &= |T^{k+2^*}|^{\frac{2(\gamma+k+1)}{k+2}}. \end{split}$$

We remark that the last inequality holds by (i) of Theorem 2.B since (2.10) holds and $k+1 \ge \gamma + k \ge 1$.

Consequently the proof of (i) is complete. We can easily obtain (ii) by (i) and Löwner-Heinz theorem, so we omit its proof. \Box

Remark. By putting $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 2.2, we get Theorem 1.E since $(|T^*||T|^2|T^*|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge |T^*|^2$ (i.e., T belongs to class A) ensures $|T|^2 \ge (|T||T^*|^2|T|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by (i) of Theorem 2.A.

3 Classes $\mathbf{F}(p, r, q)$ and $\mathbf{wF}(p, r, q)$ operators

Recently, in order to continue the study of class F(p, r, q), Yang-Yuan [23][21] introduced class wF(p, r, q) operators as follows: For each $p \ge 0$, $r \ge 0$ and $q \ge 1$ with $(p, r) \ne (0, 0)$ and $(p, q) \ne (0, 1)$, an operator T belongs to class wF(p, r, q) if

$$(|T^*|^r|T|^{2p}|T^*|^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \ge |T^*|^{\frac{2(p+r)}{q}}$$
(3.1)

and

$$|T|^{2(p+r)(1-\frac{1}{q})} \ge (|T|^p |T^*|^{2r} |T|^p)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{3.2}$$

denoting $(1-q^{-1})^{-1}$ by q^* when q > 1 because q and $(1-q^{-1})^{-1}$ are a couple of conjugate exponents. On discussions of class wF(p, r, q) (or class F(p, r, q)), we frequently consider class wF $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ (or class F $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$) by putting $q = \frac{p+r}{\delta+r}$ as follows: For $p \ge 0, r \ge 0$ and $-r < \delta \le p$ with $(p, r) \ne (0, 0)$ and $(p, \delta) \ne (0, 0)$, an operator T belongs to class wF $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ if

$$(|T^*|^r|T|^{2p}|T^*|^r)^{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} \ge |T^*|^{2(\delta+r)}$$
(3.3)

and

$$|T|^{2(-\delta+p)} \ge (|T|^p |T^*|^{2r} |T|^p)^{\frac{-\delta+p}{p+r}}.$$
(3.4)

We remark that (3.1) is the definition of class F(p, r, q). We also remark that class $wF(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$ equals class wA(p, r) defined in [10], and also it was shown in [15] that class wA(p, r) (i.e., class $wF(p, r, \frac{p+r}{r})$) coincides with class A(p, r). On inclusion relations of classes A(p, r), F(p, r, q) and wF(p, r, q), the following results were obtained.

Theorem 3.A.

- (i) For invertible operator T, T is log-hyponormal if and only if T belongs to class A(p,r) for all p > 0 and r > 0 ([3]).
- (ii) If T belongs to class $A(p_0, r_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 > 0$, then T belongs to class A(p, r) for any $p \ge p_0$ and $r \ge r_0$ ([15]).

We note that log-hyponormality can be regarded as class A(0,0) by Theorem 3.A.

Theorem 3.B.

- (i) For a fixed $\delta > 0$, T is δ -hyponormal if and only if T belongs to class $F(2\delta p, 2\delta r, q)$ for all p > 0, $r \ge 0$ and $q \ge 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \ge 2(p + r)$, i.e., T belongs to class F(p, r, q) for all p > 0, $r \ge 0$ and $q \ge 1$ with $(\delta + r)q \ge p + r$ ([4]).
- (ii) For each p > 0 and r > 0, T is p-quasihyponormal if and only if T belongs to class F(p, r, 1). ([12]).
- (iii) If T belongs to class $F(p_0, r_0, q_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \ge 0$ and $q_0 \ge 1$, then T belongs to class $F(p_0, r_0, q)$ for any $q \ge q_0$ ([4]).
- (iv) If T belongs to class $F(p_0, r_0, \frac{p_0+r_0}{\delta+r_0})$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \ge 0$ and $0 \le \delta \le p_0$, then T belongs to class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ for any $p \ge p_0$ and $r \ge r_0$ ([14]).
- (v) If T belongs to class $F(p_0, r_0, \frac{p_0+r_0}{\delta+r_0})$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \ge 0$ and $-r_0 < \delta \le p_0$, then T belongs to class $F(p_0, r, \frac{p_0+r}{\delta+r})$ for any $r \ge r_0$ ([12]).

Theorem 3.C ([23]).

- (i) If T belongs to class $wF(p_0, r_0, q_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \ge 0$ and $q_0 \ge 1$, then T belongs to class $wF(p_0, r_0, q)$ for any $q \ge q_0$ with $r_0q \le p_0 + r_0$.
- (ii) If T belongs to class $wF(p_0, r_0, q_0)$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \ge 0$, $q_0 \ge 1$ and $N(T) \subseteq N(T^*)$, then T belongs to class $wF(p_0, r_0, q)$ for any q such that $q^* \ge q_0^*$ with $p_0q^* \le p_0 + r_0$.

- (iii) If T belongs to class $wF(p_0, r_0, \frac{p_0+r_0}{\delta+r_0})$ for $p_0 > 0$, $r_0 \ge 0$ and $-r < \delta \le p_0$, then T belongs to class $wF(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ for any $p \ge p_0$ and $r \ge r_0$.
- (iv) If p > 0, $r \ge 0$, $q \ge 1$ with $rq \le p + r$, then class wF(p, r, q) coincides with class F(p, r, q). In other words, if p > 0, $r \ge 0$, $0 \le \delta \le p$ and $\delta + r \ne 0$, then class $wF(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ coincides with class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$.

In this section, firstly we shall get a relation between *p*-hyponormality and class wF(p,r,q) (or class F(p,r,q)). We remark that Theorem 3.1 is a parallel result to (i) of Theorem 3.A.

Theorem 3.1.

- (i) For a fixed $\delta > 0$, T is δ -hyponormal (i.e., T belongs to class $F(p_0, 0, \frac{p_0}{\delta})$ for some $p_0 \geq \delta$) if and only if T belongs to class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ for all $p \geq \delta$ and $r \geq 0$.
- (ii) For a fixed $\delta < 0$, T is $(-\delta)$ -hyponormal (i.e., T belongs to class $wF(0, r_0, \frac{r_0}{\delta + r_0})$ for some $r_0 > -\delta$) if and only if T belongs to class $wF(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta + r})$ for all $p \ge 0$ and $r > -\delta$.

For $0 < \delta < p < 1$ and $0 < -\delta' < r < 1$, inclusion relations among class wF(p, r, q)and other classes can be expressed as the following diagram. We remark that we assume invertibility on log-hyponormal operators, and also $N(T) \subseteq N(T^*)$ is required in (*).

δ -hyponormal	С	class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$	С	class $F(1, 1, \frac{2}{\delta+1})$
\cap		\cap		\cap
log-hyponormal	C	class $A(p,r)$	С	class A
U		∪ (*)		Ú (*)
$(-\delta')$ -hyponormal	С	class wF $(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta'+r})$	С	class wF(1, 1, $\frac{2}{4^{\prime}+1}$)

Next we shall obtain the following corollaries led by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, and also Theorems 1.A and 1.B follow from these corollaries.

Corollary 3.2. If T belongs to class $F(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ for some $0 \le \delta \le 1$, $0 and <math>0 \le r \le 1$ such that $-r < \delta \le p$, then

- (i) $|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2(\delta+n)}{n+1}} \ge |T^n|^{\frac{2(\delta+n)}{n}}$ holds for all positive integer n.
- (ii) $|T^n|^{\frac{2(\delta+1)}{n}} \ge \cdots \ge |T^2|^{\delta+1} \ge |T|^{2(\delta+1)}$ holds for all positive integer n.

Corollary 3.3. If T belongs to class $wF(p, r, \frac{p+r}{\delta+r})$ for some $-1 \le \delta \le 0, \ 0 \le p \le 1$ and $0 \le r \le 1$ such that $-r < \delta < p$, and T satisfies $N(T) \subseteq N(T^*)$, then

- (i) $|T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2(-\delta+n)}{n}} \ge |T^{n+1^*}|^{\frac{2(-\delta+n)}{n+1}}$ holds for all positive integer n.
- (ii) $|T^*|^{2(-\delta+1)} \ge |T^{2^*}|^{-\delta+1} \ge \cdots \ge |T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2(-\delta+1)}{n}}$ holds for all positive integer n.

We omit proofs of the results in this section.

References

- [1] A.Aluthge and D.Wang, Powers of p-hyponormal operators, J. Inequal. Appl., 3 (1999), 279-284.
- [2] T.Ando, Operators with a norm condition, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 33 (1972), 169-178.
- [3] M.Fujii, D.Jung, S.H.Lee, M.Y.Lee and R.Nakamoto, Some classes of operators related to paranormal and log-hyponormal operators, Math. Japon., 51 (2000), 395– 402.
- [4] M.Fujii and R.Nakamoto, Some classes of operators derived from Furuta inequality, Sci. Math., 3 (2000), 87-94.
- [5] T.Furuta, Extension of the Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai log-majorization, Linear Algebra Appl., 219 (1995), 139-155.
- [6] T.Furuta, M.Ito and T.Yamazaki, A subclass of paranormal operators including class of log-hyponormal and several related classes, Sci. Math., 1 (1998), 389-403.
- [7] T.Furuta and M.Yanagida, On powers of p-hyponormal operators, Sci. Math., 2 (1999), 279-284.
- [8] T.Furuta and M.Yanagida, On powers of p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators, J. Inequal. Appl., 5 (2000), 367-380.
- [9] F.Gao and C.Yang, Inequalities on powers of p-hyponormal operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 72 (2006), 677-690.
- [10] M.Ito, Some classes of operators associated with generalized Aluthge transformation, SUT J. Math., 35 (1999), 149-165.
- [11] M.Ito, Several properties on class A including p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators, Math. Inequal. Appl., 2 (1999), 569-578.

- [12] M.Ito, On some classes of operators by Fujii and Nakamoto related to p-hyponormal and paranormal operators, Sci. Math., 3 (2000), 319-334.
- [13] M.Ito, Generalizations of the results on powers of p-hyponormal operators, J. Inequal. Appl., 6 (2001), 1-15.
- [14] M.Ito, On classes of operators generalizing class A and paranormality, Sci. Math. Jpn., 57 (2003), 287-297, (online version, 7 (2002), 353-363).
- [15] M.Ito and T.Yamazaki, Relations between two inequalities $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^{p}B^{\frac{r}{2}})_{p+r} \ge B^{r}$ and $A^{p} \ge (A^{\frac{p}{2}}B^{r}A^{\frac{p}{2}})_{p+r}$ and their applications, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 44 (2002), 442-450.
- [16] M.Ito, T.Yamazaki and M.Yanagida, Generalizations of results on relations between Furuta-type inequalities, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 69 (2003), 853-862.
- [17] M.Uchiyama, Inequalities for semi bounded operators and their applications to loghyponormal operators, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 127 (2001), 599-611.
- [18] T.Yamazaki, Extensions of the results on p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators by Aluthge and Wang, SUT J. Math., 35 (1999), 139-148.
- [19] T.Yamazaki and M.Yanagida, A further generalization of paranormal operators, Sci. Math., 3 (2000), 23-32.
- [20] M.Yanagida, Powers of class wA(s,t) operators associated with generalized Aluthge transformation, J. Inequal. Appl., 7 (2002), 143–168.
- [21] C.Yang and J.Yuan, Spectrum of class wF(p, r, q) operators for $p+r \leq 1$ and $q \geq 1$, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **71** (2005), 767-779.
- [22] C.Yang and J.Yuan, Extensions of the results on powers of p-hyponormal and loghyponormal operators, J. Inequal. Appl. 2006, Article 36919, 14 p. (2006).
- [23] C.Yang and J.Yuan, On class wF(p, r, q) operators (Chinese), to appear in Acta Math. Sci.
- [24] J.Yuan and Z.Gao, Structure on powers of p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators, to appear in Integral Equations and Operator Theory.