

Boundary value problems and variational inequalities

お茶大理 渡辺ヒサ子 (Hisako Watanabe)

1. Introduction and notations

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). We consider the obstacle problem for a quasilinear elliptic operator of second order in  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad L = -\operatorname{div} A(x, u, \nabla u) + B(x, u, \nabla u).$$

Here  $A$  (*resp.*  $B$ ) is a vector (*resp.* scalar) valued function defined on  $\Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$  and the functions  $A$  and  $B$  are assumed to satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x, u, w)| &\leq a(|w|^{p-1} + |u|^{p-1} + 1), \\ |B(x, u, w)| &\leq b(|w|^{p-1} + |u|^{p-1} + 1), \\ w \cdot A(x, u, w) + uB(x, u, w) &\geq c_1|w|^p - c_2(|u|^p + 1), \\ (A(x, u, w_1) - A(x, u, w_2)) \cdot (w_1 - w_2) &> 0 \quad (w_1 \neq w_2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $a, b, c_1, p$  are positive real numbers satisfying  $p > 1$  and  $c_2$  is a nonnegative real number.

It has been known that for each continuous function  $f$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and a function  $\phi$  on  $\Omega$  satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty t^{p-1} B_{1,p}(A(t)) dt < +\infty$$

there exists a solution  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to the obstacle problem with boundary data  $f$ , where

$$A(t) = \{y \in \Omega; \psi(y) > t\}.$$

The obstacle problem is to find a function  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$u \geq \psi \text{ on } \Omega \text{ except for a subset of } \Omega,$$

$$u = f \text{ weakly on } \partial\Omega$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} A(y, u(y), \nabla u(y)) \cdot \nabla \phi(y) dy + \int_{\Omega} B(y, u(y), \nabla u(y)) \phi(y) dy \geq 0$$

for all  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\phi \geq \psi - u$  on  $\Omega$  except for a subset of  $\Omega$ .

In this paper we will prove the existence of a weak solution to the obstacle problem for (possibly) non-bounded boundary function  $f$ . To consider boundary functions which value  $\pm\infty$ , we must distinguish functions not up to a set of  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure zero, but up to a more fine set, for example, a set of  $B_{1,s}$ -capacity zero.

Recall that for  $s > 1$  the Bessel capacity  $B_{1,s}$  with order 1 is defined by

$$B_{1,s}(E) = \inf\{\|g\|_s^s; g \in L^s(\mathbf{R}^n), g \geq 0, G_1 * g \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}$$

for a subset  $E$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If a property holds on a subset  $X$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  except for a set of  $B_{1,s}$ -capacity zero, we say that it holds  $B_{1,s}$ -q.e. on  $X$ . In the case  $s = p$  we use simply "q.e." instead of " $B_{1,p}$ -q.e."

To distinguish functions up to a set of  $B_{1,s}$ -capacity zero, we construct a family of functions defined on  $\partial\Omega$ , which contains all continuous functions and the restrictions of all Bessel potentials  $G_1 * g$  ( $g \in L^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ) to  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $G_1$  is the Bessel function with order 1, i.e.,

$$G_1(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty \exp(-\frac{\pi|x|^2}{t}) \exp(-\frac{t}{4\pi}) t^{(1-n)/2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} dt.$$

Recall that the Fourier function of  $G_1$  is equal to

$$\frac{1}{(1 + 4\pi|x|^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Let us define, for each extended real-valued function  $f$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$\gamma_{1,s}(f) = \inf\{\|g\|_s^s; g \in L^s(\mathbf{R}^n), g \geq 0, G_1 * g \geq |f| \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

Furthermore, denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma_{1,s})$  the family of all Borel measurable functions on  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $\gamma_{1,s}(f) < +\infty$ . We remark that  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma_{1,s}) \supset C(\partial\Omega)$ , where  $C(\partial\Omega)$  is the family of all continuous real-valued functions on  $\partial\Omega$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_{1,s})$  the family of all  $f \in \mathcal{B}(\gamma_{1,s})$  such that  $\gamma_{1,s}(f - f_j) \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) for some  $\{f_j\} \subset C(\partial\Omega)$ .

It is well-known that, if  $1 < p < n$  and  $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , then

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} v(y) dy$$

exists (as a real number) for all  $x \in \Omega$  except for a set  $E$  with  $B_{1,p}(E) = 0$ , where  $B(x, r)$  is the ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  and  $|B(x, r)|$  stands for the volume of the ball  $B(x, r)$  (cf. [FZ]). For each  $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  we denote by  $v^*$  the function defined by (1.2) q.e. on  $\Omega$ .

Under these notations we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Let  $1 < p < n$ ,  $p < s \leq \frac{np}{n-p}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{1,s})$  and  $\psi$  be a real-valued function on  $\Omega$  such that  $|\psi| \leq G_1 * g$  on  $\Omega$  for some  $g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^+$ . If

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \psi(y) < +\infty \text{ and } \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \psi(y) \leq f(y)$$

for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Then there exists a function  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  having the following properties:

- (i)  $u \geq \psi$  q.e. on  $\Omega$ ,
- (ii) If  $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\text{supp } \phi \subset \Omega$  and  $\phi^* \geq \psi - u$  q.e. on  $\Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \{A(y, u(y), \nabla u(y)) \cdot \nabla \phi(y) + B(y, u(y), \nabla u(y)) \phi(y)\} dy \geq 0.$$

- (iii)  $u = f$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in the following sense:

Let  $\tau$  be a function on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that it is lower semi-continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus K$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  and

$$\tau|_{\partial\Omega} \in W^{1,s}(\Omega), \quad \tau \geq f + \delta \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ for some } \delta > 0.$$

Then  $(u - \tau)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Further, let  $\lambda$  be a function on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that it is upper semicontinuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus K$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  and

$$\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} \in W^{1,s}(\Omega), \quad \lambda \leq f - \delta$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Then  $(u - \lambda)^- \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

## 2. Properties of $\gamma_{1,s}$

In this section we study the properties of  $\gamma_{1,s}$ . It is easy to see that the functional  $\gamma_{1,s}$  has the following properties similar to those of the upper integral.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $s > 1$ . Then the functional  $\gamma_{1,s}$  has the following properties:

- (c<sub>1</sub>)  $\gamma_{1,s}(f) = \gamma_{1,s}(|f|)$ ,
- (c<sub>2</sub>)  $\gamma_{1,s}(bf) = b\gamma_{1,s}(f)$  for  $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

- (c3)  $f_j \geq 0 \Rightarrow \gamma_{1,s}(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,s}(f_j)$ ,  
 (c4)  $\gamma_{1,s}(\chi_E) = B_{1,s}(E)^{1/s}$  for  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Using Lemma 2.1, we can show the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** (i) If  $\gamma_{1,s}(f) < +\infty$ , then the set  $\{x \in \partial\Omega; |f(x)| = +\infty\}$  is of  $B_{1,s}$ -capacity zero.

(ii) If  $\gamma_{1,s}(f - g) = 0$ , then  $f = g$   $B_{1,s}$ -q.e. on  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $g$  be a nonnegative function in  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the Bessel potential  $G_1 * g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_{1,s})$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that  $g$  is nonnegative. Set

$$g_j = \min\{g, j\} \text{ and } h_j = g - g_j.$$

Noting  $G_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we see that  $G_1 * g_j$  is continuous on  $\partial\Omega$ . Since  $|G_1 * g - G_1 * g_j| \leq G_1 * h_j$  and  $\|h_j\|_s \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we have the conclusion. Q.E.D

**Lemma 2.4.** The set  $\mathcal{L}$  of the restrictions of all Lipschitz functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$  to  $\partial\Omega$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_{1,s})$ .

*Proof.* We can choose a nonnegative function  $h = G_1 * g$  ( $g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^+$ ) such that  $h \geq 1$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is uniformly dense in  $C(\partial\Omega)$ , it is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_{1,s})$ . Q.E.D.

Noting that

$$G_1(y) = O(e^{-c|y|}) \text{ for some } c > 0 \text{ as } |y| \rightarrow \infty,$$

we can easily show the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $p, s$  be positive real numbers satisfying  $1 < p \leq s$  and  $E$  be a relatively compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $B_{1,s}(E) = 0$ , then  $B_{1,p}(E) = 0$ .

For a function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we define

$$\gamma_{1,s}(f) = \inf\{\|f\|_s; g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^+; G_1 * g \geq |f| \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

It is easy to see that this functional  $\gamma_{1,s}$  also has the properties in Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 in which  $\partial\Omega$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $\{f_j\}$  be a sequence of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\gamma_{1,s}(f_j) \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ). Then there exists a subsequence  $\{g_k\}$  of  $\{f_j\}$  such that  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  pointwisely  $B_{1,s}$ -q.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Choose a subsequence  $\{g_k\}$  of  $\{f_j\}$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k \gamma_{1,s}(g_{k+1} - g_k) < +\infty.$$

To show that  $\{g_k\}$  is the desired subsequence, set

$$E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |g_k(x)| = +\infty\}.$$

Then we have  $B_{1,s}(E) = 0$  by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Further set

$$O'_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E; |g_{k+1}(x) - g_k(x)| \geq 2^{-k}\}$$

and

$$O_k = \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} O'_i \quad \text{and} \quad F_k = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (O_k \cup E).$$

Setting  $g_0 = 0$  and noting that

$$g_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (g_{i+1} - g_i)$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ , we see that  $\{g_k\}$  converges to 0 on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ . We put

$$E_o = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \cup E) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} O_k.$$

From

$$\chi_{O_k} \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \chi_{O'_i} \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} 2^i |g_{i+1} - g_i|$$

and Lemma 2.1 we deduce

$$\gamma_{1,s}(\chi_{O_k}) \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} 2^i \gamma_{1,s}(g_{i+1} - g_i).$$

On account of (2.1) we have

$$\gamma_{1,s}(\chi_{O_k}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty)$$

and hence  $\gamma_{1,s}(\chi_{E_o}) = 0$ . Therefore we see by Lemma 2.1 that  $B_{1,s}(E \cup E_o)^{1/s} = \gamma_{1,s}(\chi_{E \cup E_o}) = 0$  and  $\{g_k\}$  converges to 0 on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (E \cup E_o)$ .

Q.E.D.

### 3. Boundedness of solutions

For an open subset  $\Omega_o \neq \emptyset$  of  $\Omega$  we denote by  $A(\Omega_o, \cdot)$  the mapping

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega_o) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)'$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A(\Omega_o, v), w \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega_o} \{A(y, v(y), \nabla v(y)) \cdot \nabla w(y) + B(y, v(y), \nabla v(y))w(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is fundamental.

**Theorem A** ([MZ, Theorem 3.1]). *Let  $p < s$  and  $\Omega_o$  be a nonempty open subset of  $\Omega$ ,  $\varepsilon = +$  or  $-$  and  $v, \eta$  be functions in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$  such that  $(v - \eta)^\varepsilon \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$  and*

$$\langle A(\Omega_o, v), -\varepsilon(v - \eta)^\varepsilon \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\|(v - \eta)^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)} \leq c + c \left( 1 + \int_{\Omega_o} (|\eta|^s + \sum_{j=1}^n |\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y_j}|^p) dy \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $v, \eta$ .

It is well-known that for each  $s > 1$

$$W^{1,s}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{G_1 * g; g \in L^s(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$$

and

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{M} \|g\|_s \leq \|G_1 * g\|_{W^{1,s}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq M \|g\|_s,$$

where  $M$  is a constant independent of  $g$  (cf. [S, Theorem 3 on p.135]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a Lipschitz function on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $|f| \leq G_1 * g_1$  for some  $g_1 \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)^+$ . Furthermore, let  $\psi$  be a real-valued function on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, y \in \Omega} \psi(y) < f(x) - \delta$$

for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and for some  $\delta > 0$ , and

$$|\psi| \leq G_1 * g_o \text{ for some } g_o \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)^+.$$

Then there exists a function  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $u$  has the properties:

- (i)  $u \geq \psi$  q.e. on  $\Omega$ ,
- (ii) If  $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\phi^* \geq \psi - u$  q.e. on  $\Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \{A(y, u(y), \nabla u(y)) \cdot \nabla \phi(y) + B(y, u(y), \nabla u(y))\phi(y)\} dy \geq 0.$$

- (iii)  $u - f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,
- (iv) If  $\eta$  (resp.  $\lambda$ ) is a function on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , such that it is lower (resp. upper) semicontinuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus K$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  and  $\eta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\lambda \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ),  $\eta(y) > f(y)$  (resp.  $\lambda(y) < f(y)$ ) for all  $y \in \partial\Omega$ , then  $(u - \eta)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $(u - \lambda)^- \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ).

*Proof.* Set

$$K = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); v - f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), v^* \geq \psi \text{ q.e. on } \Omega\}.$$

We claim that  $K$  is not empty. Indeed, noting that  $G_1 * g_1$  is lower semicontinuous, we can choose, by the aid of (3.2), an open set  $\Omega_o$  such that  $\bar{\Omega}_o \subset \Omega$  and

$$\psi(y) < f(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_o.$$

Choose a Lipschitz function  $h$  such that

$$\text{supp } h \subset \Omega, \quad h = 1 \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}_o, \quad 0 \leq h \leq 1,$$

and define

$$\phi(y) = h(y)w(y) + (1 - h(y))f(y),$$

where  $w = G_1 * g_o$ . We note that  $\text{supp } h$  stands for the closure of the set  $\{y; h(y) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $\phi^* \geq \psi$  q.e. on  $\Omega$  and  $\phi - f = h(w - f) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Therefore we see that  $\phi \in K$ .

The family  $K$  is a convex closed subset of  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and hence weakly closed. The mapping  $A(\Omega, \cdot)$  from  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)'$  is pseudomonotone by Theorem 3.9 in [MZ]. Furthermore we see that

$$\frac{\langle A(\Omega, v), v - v_o \rangle}{\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$  ( $v \in K$ ). It follows from Theorem 8.2 on p.247 in [L] that there exists  $u_o \in K$  such that

$$\langle A(\Omega, u_o), v - u_o \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } v \in K.$$

Setting  $u = u_o^*$ , we will show that  $u$  is the desired function. It is obvious that (i) and (iv) hold. To show (ii), let  $\phi$  be a function in  $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\phi^* \geq \psi - u \text{ q.e. on } \Omega.$$

From  $u - f \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\phi + u - f \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , it follows that

$$\langle A(\Omega, u), \phi \rangle \geq 0.$$

Finally, to show (v), let  $\eta$  be a lower semicontinuous function on  $\bar{\Omega}$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $\eta > f$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Since  $f - \eta < 0$  outside a compact subset of  $\Omega$  and  $u - f \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$(u - \eta)^+ \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Similarly we can show that  $(u - \lambda)^- \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Q.E.D.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem

Let us prove Theorem. Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{1,s})$ . On account of Lemma 2.4 we can choose a sequence  $\{f_j\}$  of Lipschitz functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$  and a sequence  $\{g_j\}$  of functions in  $L^s(\mathbf{R}^n)^+$  such that

$$|f - f_j| \leq G_1 * g_j \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad \|g_j\|_s < 2^{-j}.$$

Since  $\gamma_{1,s}(G_1 * g_j) \rightarrow 0$ , we can choose, by Lemma 2.6, a subsequence  $\{G_1 * h_k\}$  converges pointwisely to 0  $B_{1,s}$ -q.e. on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, it converges to 0 q.e. on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Noting that

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \psi(y) \leq f(x) \leq G_1 * h_k(x) + f_{j_k}(x)$$

for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , we define

$$\psi_k(y) = \psi(y) - G_1 * h_k(y) - 2^{-k} \quad \text{if } G_1 * h_k(y) < +\infty$$

and

$$\psi_k(y) = - \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} |f_{j_k}(x)| - 1 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Then we have

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \psi_k(y) \leq f_{j_k}(x) - 2^{-k}.$$



Pick  $h_0, h' \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^+$  such that

$$G_1 * h_0 \geq |f| \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad G_1 * h' \geq 1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

If we set

$$(4.1) \quad h = h' + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k,$$

then

$$(4.2) \quad |f_{j_k}| + 1 \leq |f| + G_1 * h_k + G_1 * h' \leq G_1 * h$$

on  $\partial\Omega$ .

We denote by  $u_k$  the solution  $u$  in Lemma 3.1 corresponding to  $f = f_{j_k}$  and  $\psi = \psi_k$ . Let  $\Omega_0$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\Omega$  such that  $\bar{\Omega}_0 \subset \Omega$ .

To show that

$$\{\|u_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_0)}\}$$

is uniformly bounded, let us take a Lipschitz function  $\eta$  such that

$$\text{supp } \eta \subset \Omega, \quad \eta = 1 \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}_0, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1.$$

Further, take  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)^+$  satisfying  $|\psi| \leq G_1 * g$  on  $\Omega$  and define

$$\beta(y) = \eta(y)G_1 * g(y) + (1 - \eta(y))G_1 * h(y),$$

$$\phi(y) = \eta(y)\beta(y) - (1 - \eta(y))G_1 * h(y)$$

for  $y \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Then  $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Applying the Sobolev inequality and (3.1), and noting that  $s \leq \frac{np}{n-p}$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\beta(y)|^s + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial y_j}(y) \right|^p dy < +\infty$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\phi(y)|^s + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_j}(y) \right|^p dy < +\infty.$$

Note that

$$(4.3) \quad (u_k - \phi)^- \geq 0 \geq \psi_k - u_k \quad \text{q.e. on } \Omega.$$

By the aid of (4.2) we have

$$f_{j_k} - 1 \geq -G_1 * h = \phi \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

From Lemma 3.1, (iv) we deduce  $(u_k - \phi)^- \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , which and (4.3) lead to

$$\langle A(\Omega, u_k), (u_k - \phi)^- \rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore we obtain, by Theorem A,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (u_k - \phi)^- \|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq c + c \left( \int_{\Omega} |\phi(y)|^q + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_j}(y) \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| (u_k - \beta)^- \|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)} \\ & \leq c + c \left( \int_{\Omega} |\phi(y)|^q + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_j}(y) \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$f_{j_k} + 1 < G_1 * h \leq \beta \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

we have  $(u_k - \beta)^+ \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, if  $u_k(y) \leq \beta(y)$ , then

$$-(u_k - \beta)^+(y) = 0 \geq \psi_k(y) - u_k(y)$$

for q.e.  $y$ . If  $u_k(y) > \beta(y)$ , then

$$-(u_k - \beta)^+(y) = \beta(y) - u_k(y) \geq \psi_k(y) - u_k(y)$$

for q.e.  $y$ . Therefore we have

$$\langle A(\Omega, u_k), -(u_k - \beta)^+ \rangle \geq 0$$

by Lemma 3.1, (ii). This and Theorem A lead to

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| (u_k - \beta)^+ \|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq c + c \left( \int_{\Omega} |\beta(y)|^q + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial y_j}(y) \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see by (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$\{\|u_k - \beta\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)}\}$$

and hence

$$\{\|u_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)}\}$$

is uniformly bounded for every open set  $\Omega_o$  satisfying  $\bar{\Omega}_o \subset \Omega$ . Therefore we can choose a subsequence  $\{u_{k_i}\}$  and  $w \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that for every open set  $\Omega_o$  satisfying  $\bar{\Omega}_o \subset \Omega$  the sequence  $\{u_{k_i}\}$  converges weakly to  $w$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$ . Since identity mapping is a compact operator from  $W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$  to  $L^p(\Omega_o)$ , we may suppose that  $\{u_{k_i}\}$  converges strongly to  $w$  in  $L^p(\Omega_o)$ . We note that

$$u_k = u_k^* \geq \psi_k \text{ q.e. on } \Omega, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_k = \psi \text{ q.e. on } \Omega.$$

Since there exists a subsequence of convex combinations of the functions  $u_{k_i}$  which converges strongly to  $w$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$ , we conclude that

$$(4.6) \quad w^* \geq \psi \text{ q.e. on } \Omega.$$

Moreover, by the same method as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 in [MZ] we can show that

$$u_k \rightarrow w \text{ strongly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega_o).$$

Putting  $u = w^*$ , we will show that  $u$  is the desired function. The assertion (i) follows from (4.6). To show (ii), suppose that  $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\text{supp } \phi \subset K$  and

$$\phi^* \geq \psi - u \text{ q.e. on } \Omega.$$

Take an open set  $\Omega_o$  such that  $\text{supp } \phi \subset \Omega_o \subset \bar{\Omega}_o \subset \Omega$  and choose a Lipschitz function  $\tau$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\text{supp } \tau \subset \Omega, \quad \tau = 1 \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}_o, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1.$$

We define

$$\phi_i = \phi + \tau(u - u_{k_i})^+.$$

We note that

$$\phi_i^* = \phi^* + u - u_{k_i}^* \geq \psi_{k_i} - u_{k_i} \text{ q.e. on } \Omega_o \cap \{u \geq u_{k_i}\}$$

and

$$\phi_i^* = \phi^* \geq \psi - u \geq \psi_{k_i} - u_{k_i}^* \text{ q.e. on } \Omega_o \cap \{u < u_{k_i}\}.$$

On account of Lemma 3.1, (ii) we have

$$\langle A(\Omega_o, u_{k_i}), \phi_i \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } i.$$

Since

$$u_{k_i} \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$$

and

$$\phi_i \rightarrow \phi \text{ strongly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega_o),$$

we see that

$$\langle A(\Omega_o, u), \phi \rangle \geq 0$$

and hence

$$\langle A(\Omega, u), \phi \rangle \geq 0.$$

Next, to show (iii), denote by  $E$  the set

$$\{x \in \partial\Omega; |f(x)| = +\infty\} \cup \{x \in \partial\Omega; \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_1 * h_k(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Then  $\gamma_{1,s}(\chi_E) = 0$  and

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{j_k}(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega \setminus E.$$

Suppose that  $\tau$  is a function on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that it is lower semicontinuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus K$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  and  $\tau \in W^{1,s}(\Omega)$ ,  $\tau \geq f + \delta$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \psi(y) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \psi(y) \leq f(x)$$

for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , there is an open set  $\Omega_1$  satisfying  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$  and

$$\psi(y) < \tau(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1.$$

Take a Lipschitz function  $\eta$  such that

$$\text{supp } \eta \subset \Omega, \quad \eta = 1 \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}_1, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$$

and define

$$v_o = (G_1 * h)\eta,$$

where  $h$  is the function defined in (4.1).

Let us show that  $(u - \tau)^+ \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Since  $\text{supp } v_o \subset \Omega$  and  $v_o \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , it suffices to show that  $(u - \tau - v_o)^+ \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . From the inequality

$$f_{j_k} < \tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

and lemma 3.1 we deduce

$$(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+ \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We will show that

$$\{ \|(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \}$$

is uniformly bounded. We claim that

$$-(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+ \geq \psi_k - u_k \quad \text{q.e. on } \Omega.$$

Indeed we have

$$-(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+ \geq -(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k)) \geq \psi_k - u_k$$

q.e. on  $\Omega \cap \{u_k \geq \tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k\}$  and

$$-(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+ = 0 \geq \psi_k - u_k$$

q.e. on  $\Omega \cap \{u_k < \tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k\}$ . Therefore, from Lemma 3.1, (ii) it follows that

$$\langle A(\Omega, u_k), -(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+ \rangle \geq 0.$$

Using Theorem A and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \\ & + c_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |(\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k)|^s + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k) \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq c_2 + c_2 M \left( \int_{\Omega} |(\tau + v_o)|^s + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (\tau + v_o) \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that

$$\{ \|(u_k - (\tau + v_o + G_1 * h_k))^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \}$$

is uniformly bounded. We note that for every open set  $\Omega_o$  satisfying  $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ ,  $\{u_{k_i}\}$  converges to  $u$  strongly in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$  and

$$\|G_1 * h_{k_i}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)} \leq M \|h_{k_i}\|_s \rightarrow 0$$

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Using Lemma 4.6 in [MZ], we conclude that  $(u - (\tau + v_o))^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and hence  $(u - \tau)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Finally, suppose that  $\lambda$  is a function on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that it is upper semi-continuous on  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus K$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  and  $\lambda \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\lambda \leq f - \delta$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . In this case we can also show directly, without the aid of  $v_o$ , that  $(u - \lambda)^- \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Thus we see that (iii) also holds. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

### References

- [FZ] Federer, H. and Ziemer, W.P., The Lebesgue set of a function whose distribution derivations are p-th power summable, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 22 (1972), 139–158.
- [L] Lions, J.L., *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1969.
- [MZ] Michael, J.H. and Ziemer, W.P., Existence of solutions to obstacle problems, *Nonlinear Analysis* 17 (1991), 45–71.
- [S] Stein, E.M., *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton-New Jersey 1970.