

# Semi-algebraic aspect of the theory of Teichmüller space

Yohei Komori (小森 洋平)

RIMS, Kyoto University

Abstract: We expose a semi-algebraic construction of Teichmüller space due to J. Morgan and P.B. Shalen, and Brumfiel's compactification of Teichmüller space by using the real spectrum in the sense of Coste.

§1. Semi-algebraic description of Teichmüller space.

§2. Real spectrum.

§3. The real spectrum compactification of Teichmüller space (after Brumfiel).

## § 1. Semi-algebraic description of Teichmüller space.

In this section, we review the semi-algebraic construction of Teichmüller space due to Morgan - Shalen [MS].

Let  $\Gamma$  be the closed surface group of genus  $g$  ( $g \geq 2$ ):

$$\Gamma = \langle \alpha_i, \beta_i \ (1 \leq i \leq g) \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [\alpha_i, \beta_i] = \text{id} \rangle$$

We can embed  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$  as an algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{8g}$  by using these generators  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq g$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R(\Gamma) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^{2g} \subset \mathbb{R}^{8g} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \rho & \mapsto & (\rho(\alpha_1), \rho(\beta_1), \dots, \rho(\alpha_g), \rho(\beta_g)) \end{array}$$

Let  $A(R(\Gamma))$  be the affine coordinate ring of  $R(\Gamma)$ . For any  $g \in \Gamma$ , we define a function  $\tau_g \in A(R(\Gamma))$  by

$$\tau_g(\rho) := \text{tr}(\rho(g)) \quad (\forall \rho \in R(\Gamma))$$

Claim. (Helling [He], Horowitz [Ho], Culler - Shalen [CS])

$\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $A(R(\Gamma))$  generated by  $\tau_g$  ( $\forall g \in \Gamma$ ) is finitely generated.  
i.e.  $\exists g_1, \dots, g_2 \in \Gamma$  s.t.

$$\mathbb{Z}[\tau_g \mid g \in \Gamma] = \mathbb{Z}[\tau_{g_1}, \dots, \tau_{g_2}] \quad //$$

Let  $X(\Gamma)$  be the algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose affine coordinate ring is  $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tau_g \mid g \in \Gamma] = \mathbb{R}[\tau_{g_1}, \dots, \tau_{g_2}]$ . Then we can define

the polynomial map  $t: R(\Gamma) \rightarrow X(\Gamma)$  as follows:

$$t: R(\Gamma) \rightarrow X(\Gamma)$$

$$\rho \mapsto (\chi_{g_1}(\rho), \dots, \chi_{g_2}(\rho)) = (\text{tr}(\rho g_1), \dots, \text{tr}(\rho g_2))$$

We call  $R(\Gamma)$  a space of representations and  $X(\Gamma)$  a space of characters. Next claim is due to Culler-Shalen [CS].

Claim.

1) There exists a closed algebraic subset  $\Delta$  of  $X(\Gamma)$  such that

$$t^{-1}(\Delta) = \left\{ \rho \in R(\Gamma) \mid \rho(\Gamma) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ is an abelian subgroup of } \rho(\Gamma) \right. \\ \left. \text{has an invariant line in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ by } \rho(\Gamma) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}$$

2) For any  $\rho \in R(\Gamma) \setminus t^{-1}(\Delta)$  (i.e.  $\rho$  is non-abelian irreducible rep.),

$$t^{-1}(t(\rho)) = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})\text{-conj. class of } \rho. \quad //$$

We define  $DR(\Gamma), DX(\Gamma)$  as follows.

$$DR(\Gamma) := \left\{ \rho \in R(\Gamma) \mid \rho \text{ is faithful and } \rho(\Gamma) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ discrete} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \rho \in R(\Gamma) \mid \rho \text{ is totally hyperbolic i.e. for } \forall M (\neq \text{id}) \in \rho(\Gamma), \right. \\ \left. |\text{tr} M| > 2 \right\}$$

$$DX(\Gamma) := t(DR(\Gamma))$$

Then 2) of the following claim is due to Weil [W] and Jørgensen [J].

Claim.

1)  $DR(\Gamma) \subset R(\Gamma) \setminus t^{-1}(\Delta)$

$$t^{-1}(DX(\Gamma)) = DR(\Gamma)$$

$DR(\Gamma)$  is a trivial  $PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -bundle over  $DX(\Gamma)$ .

(i.e.  $DX(\Gamma) = DR(\Gamma) / PGL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Aut}(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$ .)

- 2)  $DR(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $DX(\Gamma)$ ) consists of finite many connected components of  $R(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $X(\Gamma)$ ). Therefore  $DR(\Gamma), DX(\Gamma)$  are semi-algebraic sets i.e. defined by finite many polynomial equations and inequations over  $\mathbb{R}$ . //

Next claim which is due to Patterson, tells the relation between  $DX(\Gamma)$  and Teichmüller space.

Claim (Patterson [P]).

Let  $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a discrete faithful representation.

Let  $A_i, B_i \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  be any representatives of  $\eta(\alpha_i), \eta(\beta_i)$  of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq g$ ). Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^g [A_i, B_i] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$$

In other words,  $\eta$  can be always lifted to  $\rho \in DR(\Gamma)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ & \rho \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\eta} & PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

Corollary

- 1)  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $R(\Gamma)$  as the group which changes the sign of  $\rho(\alpha_i), \rho(\beta_i) \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $\rho \in R(\Gamma)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq g$ ).

Then the action  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \text{DR}(\Gamma)$  induces the action  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \text{DX}(\Gamma)$  through the map  $t$ , and we can consider  $\text{DR}(\Gamma)/\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\text{DX}(\Gamma)/\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  as following sets.

$\text{DR}(\Gamma)/\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  = the set of discrete faithful  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -rep. of  $\Gamma$ .

$\text{DX}(\Gamma)/\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  = the set of  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -conj. classes of discrete faithful  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -rep. of  $\Gamma$ .

We call  $T(\Gamma) := \text{DX}(\Gamma)/\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  Teichmüller space of  $\Gamma$ .

2)  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  permutes the set of connected components of  $\text{DX}(\Gamma)$  freely. Therefore,

$$\# |\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})| (= 2^{2g} = 4^g) \text{ divides } \# |\text{DX}(\Gamma)|$$

//

From the above argument,  $T(\Gamma)$  can be considered as some components of  $\text{DX}(\Gamma)$  and therefore has a semi-algebraic structure.

$\text{DR}(\Gamma)$

$t \downarrow$   $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -trivial bundle.

$\text{DX}(\Gamma)$

$\downarrow$  unramified  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -covering.

$T(\Gamma)$

## §2. Real spectrum.

In this section we review the theory of real spectrum due to Coste [BCR].

### 2.1. Real spectrum.

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be a real algebraic set and  $A(X) := \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_N] / I(X)$  be the affine coordinate ring of  $X$ .

A subset  $\mathcal{d} \subset A(X)$  is called prime cone if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For any  $a, b \in \mathcal{d}$ ,  $a + b \in \mathcal{d}$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{d} + \mathcal{d} \subset \mathcal{d}$ )
- (ii) For any  $a, b \in \mathcal{d}$ ,  $a \cdot b \in \mathcal{d}$  ( $\mathcal{d} \cdot \mathcal{d} \subset \mathcal{d}$ )
- (iii) For any  $f \in A(X)$ ,  $f^2 \in \mathcal{d}$  ( $A(X)^2 \subset \mathcal{d}$ )
- (iv)  $-1 \notin \mathcal{d}$
- (v) If  $a \cdot b \in \mathcal{d}$  for  $a, b \in A(X)$ , then  $a \in \mathcal{d}$  or  $-b \in \mathcal{d}$ .

Prime cone has the following properties

#### Claim.

- 1)  $\mathcal{d} \cup -\mathcal{d} = A(X)$  (where  $-\mathcal{d} := \{-a \mid a \in \mathcal{d}\}$ )
- 2)  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{d}) := \mathcal{d} \cap -\mathcal{d}$  is a prime ideal of  $A(X)$ .
- 3) Let  $k(\mathcal{d})$  be the quotient field of  $A(X) / \text{Supp}(\mathcal{d})$ . Then  $P := \{ \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}} \in k(\mathcal{d}) \mid a \cdot b \in \mathcal{d} \}$  is a positive cone.  
(i.e.  $P + P \subset P \wedge P \cdot P \subset P \wedge k(\mathcal{d})^2 \subset P \wedge -1 \notin P \wedge P \cup -P = k(\mathcal{d})$ )

We define the real spectrum by the set of prime cone of  $A(X)$ .

$$\text{Spec}_r A(X) := \{ \mathfrak{d} \subset A(X) \mid \mathfrak{d} \text{ is a prime cone of } A(X) \}$$

Moreover we define the topology on  $\text{Spec}_r A(X)$  as follows:

If we put  $\mathcal{U}(f) := \{ \mathfrak{d} \in \text{Spec}_r A(X) \mid f \in \mathfrak{d}, \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{d}) \}$  ( $f \in A(X)$ ),

then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathcal{U}(f_i)$  ( $f_i \in A(X)$ ) is an open basis of  $\text{Spec}_r A(X)$ .

Claim.

- 1) With this topology,  $\text{Spec}_r A(X)$  is quasi-compact.
- 2)  $\text{Spec}_r^m A(X) := \{ \mathfrak{d} \in \text{Spec}_r A(X) \mid \mathfrak{d} \text{ is a closed point} \}$  is a compact Hausdorff space.
- 3)  $X$  (with induced Euclidean topology) can be embedded topologically in  $\text{Spec}_r^m A(X)$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spec}_r^m A(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \vec{x} & \mapsto & \mathfrak{d}_x := \{ f \in A(X) \mid f(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \} \quad // \end{array}$$

Therefore, we can consider  $X$  as a subset of the compact Hausdorff space  $\text{Spec}_r^m A(X)$ .

## 2.2. The real spectrum compactification of closed semi-alg. sets.

A subset  $S \subset X$  is called a semi-algebraic subset of  $X$  if there exist finite many  $f_i, g_{ij} \in A(X)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m(i)$ )

such that

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{ \vec{x} \in X \mid f_i(\vec{x}) = 0 \wedge g_{i1}(\vec{x}) > 0 \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i m(i)}(\vec{x}) > 0 \}.$$

A subset  $C \subset \text{Spec}_r A(X)$  is called a constructible subset of  $\text{Spec}_r A(X)$  if there exist  $f_i, g_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m(i)$ ) such that

$$C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{ \mathfrak{d} \in \text{Spec}_r A(X) \mid f_i(\mathfrak{d}) = 0 \wedge g_{i1}(\mathfrak{d}) > 0 \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i m(i)}(\mathfrak{d}) > 0 \}$$

(where  $f_i(\mathfrak{d}), g_{ij}(\mathfrak{d})$  are the image of  $f_i, g_{ij}$  of the map  $A(X) \rightarrow A(X)/\text{supp}(\mathfrak{d}) \hookrightarrow k(\mathfrak{d})$ . Because  $k(\mathfrak{d})$  has a positive cone  $P = \{ \frac{a}{b} \in k(\mathfrak{d}) \mid a, b \in \mathfrak{d} \}$ , we can define an order  $\leq$  on  $k(\mathfrak{d})$  by  $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in P$  (for  $x, y \in k(\mathfrak{d})$ ))

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of semi-algebraic subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of constructible subsets of  $\text{Spec}_r A(X)$ . Next claim tells the relation between  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Claim

the map  $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \mapsto & C \cap X \end{array}$  is bijective and open (resp. closed)

constructible set goes to open (resp. closed) semi-algebraic set. //

Let  $C(S) \in \mathcal{C}$  be the constructible set corresponding to  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $W \in \mathcal{S}$  is a closed semi-aly. subset of  $X$ , then we can define the real spectrum compactification of  $W$  as the closure



$\tilde{W}$  of  $W$  in  $\text{Spec}^m A(X)$  (where we assume  $X$  as a subset of  $\text{Spec}^m A(X)$ ).

Claim (Structure of  $\tilde{W}$ )

- 1)  $\tilde{W} = \text{CC}(W) \cap \text{Spec}^m A(X)$
- 2)  $\hat{B}(W) := \tilde{W} \setminus W = \{d \in \tilde{W} \mid (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - t)(d) > 0 \text{ (for } \forall r \in \mathbb{R})\}$
- 3)  $W \subset \tilde{W}$  : open and dense.
- 4) If  $W_1, \dots, W_s$  are the connected components of  $W$ , then  $\tilde{W}_1, \dots, \tilde{W}_s$  are the connected components of  $\tilde{W}$ . //

Next we consider the mapping between semi-alg. sets.

For  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ , a mapping  $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is called semi-algebraic if the graph of  $f$  in  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a semi-alg. subset. In this case, if  $V_1, V_2$  be semi-alg. sets of  $S_1, S_2$ , then  $f(V_1) \subset S_2$ ,  $f^{-1}(V_2) \subset S_1$  are also semi-alg. subsets.

Claim.

If  $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  ( $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ ) is a semi-alg. continuous map, then there exists uniquely the map  $c(f): C(S_1) \rightarrow C(S_2)$  which is continuous in the real spectrum topology and satisfies the following functorial condition:

For any semi-alg. subset  $V$  of  $S_2$

$$C(f^{-1}(V)) = c(f)^{-1}(C(V))$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(S_1) & \xrightarrow{C(f)} & C(S_2) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 S_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & S_2
 \end{array}$$

In particular if  $f$  is a semi-alg. homeomorphism, then  $C(f)$  is also homeomorphism and moreover if  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  are closed semi-alg. sets, then  $C(f)$  induces the homeomorphism  $f^* \tilde{S}_1 \cong \tilde{S}_2$ . Therefore if  $f$  is an semi-algebraic automorphism of a closed semi-alg. set  $W$ , then  $f$  is always extended to the automorphism of its real spectrum compactification  $\tilde{W}$ . //

This result will be used later in the context where  $W$  is  $DX(\Gamma)$  and  $f$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and where  $W$  is  $T(\Gamma)$  and  $f$  is an element of the mapping class group  $\text{Out}^+(\Gamma)$ .

§3. The real spectrum compactification of Teichmüller space  
(after Brumfiel [B]).

In section 1, we have seen that Teichmüller space  $T(\Gamma)$  can be considered as a semi-alg. subset of  $X(\Gamma)$ , more exactly some components of  $DX(\Gamma)$ . In this section we apply the theory of the real spectrum compactification of closed semi-alg. set to  $DX(\Gamma)$  or  $T(\Gamma)$ . Thus,  $DX(\Gamma) \subset X(\Gamma)$  can be compactified as  $\widetilde{DX}(\Gamma) \subset \text{Spec}_+^m A(X(\Gamma))$  and because  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $DX(\Gamma)$  semi-algebraically, this action extends on  $\widetilde{DX}(\Gamma)$ , therefore we can define  $\widetilde{T}(\Gamma)$  by

$$\widetilde{T}(\Gamma) := \widetilde{DX}(\Gamma) / \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

3.1. Representation theoretic characterization of boundary points of  $\widetilde{T}(\Gamma)$ .

By using the argument in §2, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{t} & X(\Gamma) & (A(X(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{t_*} A(R(\Gamma))) \\ \cup & & \cup & \\ DR(\Gamma) & \twoheadrightarrow & DX(\Gamma) & \end{array}$$

induces the following maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}_+ A(R(\Gamma)) (= C(R(\Gamma))) & \xrightarrow{cct} & \text{Spec}_+ A(X(\Gamma)) (= C(X(\Gamma))) \\ \cup & \downarrow \beta & \downarrow t_*^{-1}(\beta) & \cup \\ C(DR(\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & C(DX(\Gamma)) & \\ \cup & & \cup & \\ \widetilde{DR}(\Gamma) & & \widetilde{DX}(\Gamma) & \end{array}$$

with  $C(\Gamma)^{-1}(C(DX(\Gamma))) = C(\Gamma^{-1}(DX(\Gamma))) = C(DR(\Gamma))$ .

If  $\alpha \in \widetilde{DX}(\Gamma) \setminus DX(\Gamma)$ , then for any  $\beta \in C(\Gamma)^{-1}(\alpha)$ , there exists homomorphism from  $k(\alpha)$  to  $k(\beta)$  as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A(R(\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & A(R(\Gamma))/\text{Supp}(\beta) & \hookrightarrow & k(\beta) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ A(X(\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & A(X(\Gamma))/\text{Supp}(\alpha) & \hookrightarrow & k(\alpha) \end{array}$$

By using Tarski principle (this is not defined here), we can prove that there exists  $\beta \in C(\Gamma)^{-1}(\alpha)$  such that  $k(\beta)/k(\alpha)$  is algebraic. In this case, we can also prove the next claim.

Claim.

If  $k(\beta)/k(\alpha)$  is algebraic, then  $\beta \in \widetilde{DR}(\Gamma) \setminus DR(\Gamma)$ .

Moreover,  $\beta \in \text{Spec}_r A(R(\Gamma))$  induces the following map:

$$A(R(\Gamma)) \longrightarrow A(R(\Gamma))/\text{Supp}(\beta) \hookrightarrow k(\beta)$$

and this means that  $\beta$  can be considered as  $k(\beta)$ -valued point of  $R(\Gamma)$

$$\text{i.e. } \beta \in \text{Hom}(A(R(\Gamma)), k(\beta)) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{SL}_2(k(\beta)))$$

Thus,  $\beta$  is a representation  $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(k(\beta))$ . C. Frohman proved that if  $\beta \in \widetilde{DR}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(k(\beta))$  is discrete faithful (moreover, totally hyperbolic) [B].

Summarizing,

Claim.

For any  $[\alpha] \in \widetilde{TX}(\Gamma) \setminus TX(\Gamma)$  ( $\alpha \in D\widetilde{X}(\Gamma) \setminus DX(\Gamma)$ ), there exists a representation  $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{K}(\beta))$  over  $[\alpha]$  which is discrete, faithful and belongs to  $D\widetilde{R}(\Gamma) \setminus DR(\Gamma)$ .

### 3.2. Comparison with the Thurston compactification.

Let  $(F, \leq)$  be an ordered field. We call  $b \in F^+ := \{x \in F \mid x > 0\}$  is a big element if for any  $a \in F$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a < b^m$ . (For example, any  $t (> 1) \in \mathbb{R}$  is a big element of  $\mathbb{R}$ .)

If an ordered field  $(F, \leq)$  has a big element, we can define the logarithm  $\log_b: F^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by using the Dedekind cut of  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\frac{m'}{n} \leq \log_b(a) \leq \frac{m}{n} \quad \text{if } b^{m'} \leq a^n \leq b^m \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} a, b \in F^+, m, m', n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n > 0 \end{array} \right)$$

This function has properties which are satisfied by the ordinary logarithm on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . For example,

(a)  $\log_b(b^m) = m \quad (\forall m \in \mathbb{Z})$

(b)  $\log_b(a \cdot a') = \log_b(a) + \log_b(a')$

(c) If  $0 < a < a'$  ( $a, a' \in F^+$ ), then  $\log_b(a) \leq \log_b(a')$

(d) If  $b, b'$  are big elements of  $F$  and  $a \in F^+$ , then

$$\log_{b'}(a) = \log_{b'}(b) \log_b(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \log_b(b') > 0$$

Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset A(X)$  be a subset which satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\mathcal{S}$  contains generator system of  $A(X)$  as  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.
- (ii) For any  $\vec{x} \in W$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $|f(\vec{x})| \geq 1$
- (iii) For any  $\vec{x} \in W$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $|f(\vec{x})| > 1$ .

If there exists such  $\mathcal{S} \subset A(X)$ , we can define the continuous map  $\theta$  from  $W$  to the infinite dimensional projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{S}}$  by using logarithm:

$$\theta : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{S}}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \vec{x} & \mapsto & \theta(\vec{x}) = (\log |f(\vec{x})|)_{f \in \mathcal{S}} \end{array}$$

(where  $\theta$  does not depend on the base of logarithm.)

$\theta$  can be extended uniquely to the map from the real spectrum compactification of  $W$ .

Claim.

$\theta$  can be extended continuously to  $\tilde{\theta}$  by the same formula.

$$\tilde{\theta} : \tilde{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{S}}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \tilde{\theta}(\alpha) = (\log |f(\alpha)|)_{f \in \mathcal{S}} \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{where} \\ f(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha) \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

Next we apply the above consideration to  $\tilde{T}(\Gamma)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of conjugacy classes of the primary elements of  $\Gamma$

where primary element means that it is not a power of any other element of  $\Gamma$ , and put  $\mathcal{S} := \{\tau_g \mid [g] \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), therefore we can consider the following map  $\theta$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta: T(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{S}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\rho] & \longmapsto & (\log |\tau_g(\rho)|)_{[g] \in \mathcal{S}} = (\log |tr \rho|_g)_{[g] \in \mathcal{S}}. \end{array}$$

It is known that  $\theta$  is homeomorphic and the closure of  $\theta(T(\Gamma))$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{S}}$  is essentially the Thurston compactification  $\widehat{T(\Gamma)}$  of  $T(\Gamma)$ .

Moreover  $Out^+(\Gamma)$  (subgroup of  $Out(\Gamma)$  of index 2) acts on  $\mathcal{S}$ , therefore on  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{S}}$  by the change of coordinates. On the other hand, the action  $Out^+(\Gamma)$  on  $Spec_r A(X(\Gamma))$  is also induced by the action of  $Out^+(\Gamma)$  on  $A(X(\Gamma)) = \mathbb{R}[\tau_g \mid [g] \in \mathcal{S}] = \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{S}]$ . This leads to the last claim.

Claim.

1) There exists surjective continuous map  $\tilde{\theta}$  from  $\widehat{T(\Gamma)}$  to  $\widehat{T(\Gamma)}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\theta}: \widehat{T(\Gamma)} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{T(\Gamma)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lambda & \longmapsto & (\log |\tau_g(\lambda)|)_{[g] \in \mathcal{S}} \end{array}$$

2)  $\tilde{\theta}$  is  $Out^+(\Gamma)$ -equivariant. //

## References.

- [B] G.W. Brumfiel, "The real spectrum compactification of Teichmüller space," Contemporary Math Vol 74 (1988)
- [B.C.R] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M-F. Roy, "Géométrie algébrique réelle" Springer.
- [C.S] M. Culler, P.B. Shalen, "Varieties of group representations and splitting of 3-manifolds", Ann. of Math. 117 (1983)
- [He] H. Heilung, "Diskrete Untergruppen von  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ", Invent Math 17. (1972)
- [Ho] R. Horowitz, "Characters of free groups represented in the two dimensional linear group", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1972)
- [J] T. Jørgensen, "On discrete group of Möbius transformations", Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976)
- [M.S] J. Morgan, P.B. Shalen, "Valuations, trees, and degenerations of hyperbolic structures I", Ann. of Math. 120 (1984)
- [P] S.J. Patterson, "On the cohomology of Fuchsian groups", Glasgow Math. J. 16 (1975)
- [W] A. Weil "On discrete subgroups of Lie groups I, II" Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 75 (1962)