

SOME PROPERTIES OF A LINEAR OPERATOR

SHIGEYOSHI OWA, HITOSHI SAITOH AND HUANG XINZHONG

(近畿大・理工 尾和重義) (群馬工業高専 斎藤 斉) (華僑大学・数学学部 黄 心中)

ABSTRACT

A certain linear operator defined by a Hadamard product or convolution for functions which are analytic in the open unit disk is introduced. The object of the present paper is to derive some properties of this linear operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Λ denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \quad (a_1 = 1)$$

that are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$.

For functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by

$$(1.2) \quad f_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1, j} z^{n+1} \quad (a_{1, j} = 1),$$

we denote the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$(1.3) \quad (f_1 * f_2)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1, 1} a_{n+1, 2} z^{n+1}.$$

Now, we define the function $\phi(a, c; z)$ by

$$(1.4) \quad \phi(a, c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n+1} \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

so that $\phi(a, c; z)$ is an incomplete bate function with

$$(1.5) \quad \phi(a, c; z) = z {}_2F_1(1, a; c; z),$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad {}_2F_1(1, a; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_n (a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

and $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(1.7) \quad (x)_n = \begin{cases} x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1) & (\text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3,\dots\}) \\ 1 & (\text{if } n = 0) \end{cases}.$$

Corresponding to the function $\phi(a,c;z)$, Carlson and Shaffer [1] defined a linear operator $L(a,c)$ on \mathcal{A} by the convolution

$$(1.8) \quad L(a,c)f(z) = \phi(a,c;z)*f(z)$$

for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, $L(a,c)$ maps \mathcal{A} onto itself, and $L(c,a)$ is an inverse of $L(a,c)$, provided that $a \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$.

Recently, Srivastava and Owa [4] have given some properties of $L(a,c)$ concerning with univalent functions in \mathcal{U} . To derive our result for the linear operator, we have to recall here the following lemma due to Jack [2] (also, due to Miller and Mocanu [3]).

LEMMA 1. Let $w(z)$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value in the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$, then we can write

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0),$$

where k is real and $k \geq 1$.

2. SOME PROPERTIES

First of all, we show the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$(2.1) \quad z(L(a,c)f(z))' = aL(a+1,c)f(z) - (a-1)L(a,c)f(z),$$

where $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$.

PROOF. Note that

$$(2.2) \quad L(a,c)f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} a_{n+1} z^{n+1}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad L(a+1, c)f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n}{(c)_n} a_{n+1} z^{n+1}$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad & aL(a+1, c)f(z) - (a-1)L(a, c)f(z) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a+n) \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a-1) \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \\ &= z(L(a, c)f(z))'. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we prove

THEOREM I. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a+1, c)f(z)) > -\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for $\alpha > 0$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a-j, c)f(z)) > -\alpha\beta_j \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $a < 0$ and

$$(2.7) \quad \beta_j = 2 \prod_{k=0}^j \left(\frac{a-k}{2a-2k-1} \right).$$

PROOF. We define the function $p(z)$ by $p(z) = L(a, c)f(z)$. Then, Lemma 2 gives us that

$$(2.8) \quad zp'(z) = aL(a+1, c)f(z) - (a-1)p(z),$$

so that,

$$(2.9) \quad L(a+1, c)f(z) = \left[1 - \frac{1}{a} \right] p(z) + \frac{1}{a} zp'(z).$$

Further, define the function $w(z)$ by

$$(2.10) \quad p(z) = \frac{-\gamma w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1),$$

where $\gamma = -4a\alpha/(2a-1)$. Then we have

$$(2.11) \quad zp'(z) = \frac{-\gamma zw'(z)}{(1 - w(z))^2}$$

It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$(2.12) \quad L(a+1, c)f(z) = -\gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \frac{w(z)}{1 - w(z)} - \frac{1}{a} \gamma \frac{zw'(z)}{(1 - w(z))^2}$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1 \quad (w(z_0) \neq 1).$$

Then, using Lemma 1, we have

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Therefore, letting $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad & \operatorname{Re}(L(a+1, c)f(z_0)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \frac{w(z_0)}{1 - w(z_0)} - \frac{1}{a} \gamma \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{(1 - w(z_0))^2} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \frac{e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} - \frac{1}{a} \gamma \frac{ke^{i\theta}}{(1 - e^{i\theta})^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) + \frac{1}{a} \gamma \frac{k}{2(1 - \cos\theta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) + \frac{k\gamma}{4a} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{4a} \\ &= -\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts our condition (2.5). Therefore, we conclude that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > \frac{\gamma}{2} = -\frac{2a\alpha}{2a-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Thus we have that

$$(2.14) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a, c)f(z)) > -\alpha\beta_0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

with $\beta_0 = 2a/(2a-1)$.

Further, repeating this manner, we prove that

$$(2.15) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a-j, c)f(z)) > -\alpha\beta_j \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This completes the assertion of Theorem 1.

Similarly, we have

THEOREM 2. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(2.16) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a+1, c)f(z)) < \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for $\alpha > 0$, then

$$(2.17) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a-j, c)f(z)) < \alpha\beta_j \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $a > 0$ and

$$(2.18) \quad \beta_j = 2 \prod_{k=0}^j \left(\frac{a-k}{2a-ak-1} \right).$$

PROOF. Let $p(z) = L(a, c)f(z)$, and let $p(z) = -\gamma w(z)/(1-w(z))$ with $\gamma = 4a\alpha/(2a-1)$. If there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1 \quad (w(z_0) \neq 1),$$

then we have

$$(2.19) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a+1, c)f(z_0)) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a} \right) + \frac{1}{a} \gamma \frac{k}{2(1-\cos\theta)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) + \frac{k\gamma}{4a} \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{4a} \\ &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.19) that

$$(2.20) \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(a, c)f(z)) < \alpha\beta_0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Also, repeating this step, we prove Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research of the first author was supported in part by Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture under Grant-in-Aid for General Scientific Research.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 15(1984), 737 - 745.
- [2] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , *J. London Math. Soc.* 3(1971), 469 - 474.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 65(1978), 289 - 305.
- [4] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Some characterization and distortion theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators, and certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Nagoya Math. J.* 106(1987), 1 - 28.

- S. Owa: Department of Mathematics
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577
Japan
- H. Saitoh: Department of Mathematics
Gunma College of Technology
Toriba, Maebashi, Gunma 371
Japan
- X. Huang: Department of Applied Mathematics
Huaqiao University
Quanzhou, Fujian 362011
People's Republic of China
- (Present Address)
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606-01
Japan