Genetic Reconstruction of Mouse Spermatogonial Stem Cell Self-Renewal In Vitro by Ras-Cyclin D2 Activation

Lee, Jiyoung; Kanatsu-Shinohara, Mito; Morimoto, Hiroko; Kazuki, Yasuhiro; Takashima, Seiji; Oshimura, Mitsuo; Toyokuni, Shinya; Shinohara, Takashi


http://hdl.handle.net/2433/84529

c 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.
Genetic reconstruction of mouse spermatogonial stem cell self-renewal in vitro by Ras-cyclin D2 activation
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Summary

Spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) undergo self-renewal division and support spermatogenesis. Although several cytokines coordinate to drive SSC self-renewal, little is known about the mechanisms underlying this process. We investigated the molecular mechanism by reconstructing SSC self-renewal in vitro without exogenous cytokines. Activation of Ras or overexpression of cyclins D2 and E1, both of which were induced by Ras, enabled long-term self-renewal of cultured spermatogonia. SSCs with activated Ras responded properly to differentiation signals and underwent spermatogenesis, whereas differentiation was abrogated in cyclin transfectants after spermatogonial transplantation. Both Ras- and cyclin-transfected cells produced seminomatous tumors, suggesting that excessive self-renewing stimulus induces oncogenic transformation. In contrast, cells that overexpressed cyclin D1 or D3 failed to make germ cell colonies after transplantation, which indicated that cyclin expression pattern is an important determinant to long-term SSC recolonization. Thus, the Ras-cyclin D2 pathway regulates the balance between tissue maintenance and tumorigenesis in the SSC population.
Introduction

Spermatogenesis is a complex process that originates from a small population of spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs). A single SSC can produce two stem cells (self-renewing division) or two differentiated cells (differentiating division) (Meistrich and van Beek, 1993; de Rooij and Russell, 2000). However, analysis of SSC self-renewal has been hampered by the lack of functional assays for SSCs and the lack of a culture system to recapitulate SSC self-renewal. The first problem made it impossible to study self-renewal, because SSCs can be determined only retrospectively by analyzing daughter cell types produced by mitosis. Although SSCs can be identified by whole mounts of seminiferous tubules in vivo, distinguishing SSCs from progenitors by morphology or marker expression is impossible in vitro. This problem was resolved by the development of a germ cell transplantation technique (Brinster and Zimmermann, 1994). The microinjection of dissociated testis cells into empty seminiferous tubules of infertile male mice resulted in long-term reconstitution of the host testis and the production of germ cell colonies.

The lack of a culture system to recapitulate SSC self-renewal in vitro was another major hurdle. The first clue to the mechanism of SSC self-renewal came from gene-targeting studies. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) belongs to the transforming growth factor-β superfamily and is expressed in Sertoli cells, which support spermatogenesis. Heterozygous knockout mice for GDNF gradually lost spermatogenesis due to SSC depletion, whereas overexpression of GDNF produced clusters of undifferentiated spermatogonia that could not differentiate (Meng et al.,
In 2003, we established a method to induce SSC self-renewal in vitro. The cultured cells, which we designated germline stem (GS) cells, expressed spermatogonia markers, and were able to differentiate into sperm when they were transplanted into seminiferous tubules. Unlike embryonic stem (ES) cells, GS cells are stable in their karyotype and DNA methylation patterns, and are amenable for gene targeting (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2003; Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2006). The development of a SSC culture system provided an opportunity to collect large numbers of SSCs for biochemical and molecular analyses.

Although a series of molecular events triggered by GDNF have been well characterized in somatic cell types, much of the molecular machinery for SSC self-renewal remains unknown. Studies are complicated because SSC self-renewal depends on several cytokines. GS cells proliferate when GDNF is supplemented with basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) or epidermal growth factor (EGF) (Oatley and Brinster, 2008). In our previous work, we found that inhibition of the phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K)-Akt pathway, a signaling pathway downstream from GDNF or FGF receptors, abrogates SSC self-renewal (Lee et al., 2007). However, activation of Akt alone was not sufficient to drive SSC self-renewal, but also required co-stimulation of bFGF, and not EGF. Despite the increasing number of identified genes involved in SSC self-renewal (Oatley and Brinster, 2008), how the exogenous cytokine signals are converted to drive SSC self-renewal machinery has remained unclear.

In general, stem cells in self-renewing tissues divide only infrequently, and
self-renewing signals may control cell division by acting on the G1 phase of the cell cycle. Mammals possess three types of cyclin D (cyclin D1-D3), and regulation of their expression is critical in the progression of the cell cycle (Sherr and Roberts, 2004). Moreover, the three subtypes are expressed in distinct patterns in undifferentiated spermatogonia. In the adult testis, cyclins D1 and D3 are expressed in spermatogonia at all cycle stages of the seminiferous tubule epithelium (Beumer et al., 2000). However, cyclin D2 is expressed in the nuclei of spermatogonia present around stage VIII of the cycle, when A_al spermatogonia differentiate into A_1 spermatogonia, suggesting that cyclin D2 is involved in differentiation. The specific expression patterns of cyclins suggest that they have unique and important roles in determining the types of SSC division.

Here, we analyzed SSC self-renewal mechanisms by reconstructing SSC self-renewal in vitro without self-renewal factors. Applying germ cell transplantation and GS cell culture techniques, we found that Ras and a specific subtype of cyclin induce self-renewal without exogenous cytokines and tumorigenesis of SSCs.

Results

Activated Ras induces GS cell self-renewal in vitro

To clarify the signaling pathway of SSC self-renewal, we analyzed the involvement of Ras protooncogene, because GDNF and EGF/bFGF activate Ras in somatic cells (Takahashi, 2001; Danielsen and Maihele, 2002; Thisse and Thisse, 2005). Reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis showed
that GS cells express all three classic isoforms of Ras (H-Ras, N-Ras, and K-Ras), but we could not detect ES cell-specific E-Ras (Figure 1A). To test whether Ras is activated under GS cell culture conditions, we performed a pull-down assay using a GST-fusion protein containing the Ras-binding domain of Raf1 to precipitate active Ras. Immunoblotting using anti-Ras antibody revealed that Ras was activated by adding EGF, bFGF or GDNF (Figure 1B). This activation was inhibited by an Src inhibitor (PP2), which was previously shown to be involved in SSC and spermatogonia proliferation (Braydish-Stolle et al., 2007; Oatley and Brinster, 2008). RT-PCR analyses also confirmed the presence of at least four types of Src family molecules in GS cells (Figure 1A).

To directly examine whether Ras is necessary for GS cell proliferation, we conducted two sets of experiments using H-RasN17, a dominant negative Ras isoform that inhibits the three Ras isoforms. In the first set of experiments, wild-type (WT) GS cells were infected with CSII-EF-H-RasN17-IRE2-hKO1 lentivirus, which expresses H-RasN17 as well as humanized Kusabira-Orange 1 (hKO1) fluorescent marker gene. Three days after infection, GS cells stopped proliferating, and almost all cells died by day 12 (Figure 1C). In contrast, cells transfected with an empty vector proliferated normally and expressed the hKO1 marker. The number of colonies in H-RasN17 transfectant was 0.7% of that in control vector transfectants 12 days postinfection (Figure 1D). In the second set of experiments, we used the same lentivirus vector to transduce GS cells that expressed constitutively active Akt (Akt-GS cells). We previously showed that the expression of activated Akt could replace GDNF to induce
the proliferation of GS cells in the presence of bFGF (Lee et al., 2007). Introducing H-RasN17 abrogated the growth of Akt-GS cells, and the cells died within 12 days, with similar kinetics as WT GS cells (Figure 1D). Together, these experiments showed that Ras is necessary for GS cell proliferation and/or survival.

Then we examined whether Ras is sufficient for GS cell proliferation. It is known that the activities of the three Ras isoforms are slightly different: N- and K-Ras are more potent than H-Ras in mitogen-activated protein kinase activation, while H-Ras is more potent than N- and K-Ras in PI3K activation (Yan et al., 1998; Li et al., 2004). To examine which of the two pathways plays a predominant role in SSC self-renewal, we produced two types of transfectants using activated forms of H-Ras (H-RasV12) and K-Ras (K-RasV12). In agreement with previous observations, the two Ras isoforms exhibited different biological activities in GS cells. Overall, H-RasV12 transfected GS cells (H-RasV12-GS) grew significantly better than K-Ras transfected cells (K-RasV12-GS) (Figure 1E). Moreover, whereas K-RasV12-GS cells depended on bFGF for continuous proliferation, H-RasV12-GS cells proliferated without cytokines (Figure 1E).

Because these results suggested that H-Ras drives SSC self-renewal more efficiently, we focused on H-RasV12-GS cells in subsequent experiments. Although the morphology of the colony did not change after transfection (Figure 1F), H-RasV12-GS cells grew by ≥ 5-fold during a 6-day culture, while WT GS cells proliferated up to ~3-fold, suggesting that H-RasV12 sensitized GS cells to exogenous cytokine stimuli (Figure 1E and G). The growth of both WT and H-RasV12-GS cells
depended on the PI3K-Akt pathway because both LY294002 (a PI3K-specific inhibitor) and Akt inhibitor IV stopped their proliferation (Figure 1H). Moreover, PD98059, a MEK-specific inhibitor, interfered with H-RasV12-GS cell growth, suggesting that the MEK pathway helps facilitate H-RasV12-GS cell proliferation.

To clarify the mechanism of enhanced cell cycling, we analyzed potential downstream genes involved in cell cycling. Real-time PCR analyses showed that H-RasV12-GS cells upregulated immediate early gene c-myc as well as the cyclin D/cdk4 inhibitor p16, but no significant upregulation of a major cyclin E/cdk2 inhibitor p27 was found (Figure 2A). Both WT and H-RasV12-GS cells showed strong expression of cyclin D2 (Figure 2B). The strong induction of cyclin D in WT GS cells was evident by 24 h after cytokine stimulation. Whereas cyclin D2 was upregulated most dramatically, cyclin D3 did not change significantly (Figure 2C). These patterns of cyclin expression were generally similar in GS cells expressing myristoylated-Akt-Mer protein (Akt-Mer-GS), which proliferate when cultured with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4OHT) and bFGF (Lee et al., 2007). However, the level of p27 expression was significantly lower in these cells than in other cell types (Figure 2A).

Western blot analysis confirmed the greater expression of cyclin D2 in H-RasV12-GS cells (Figure 2D). Although Akt and both Erk1 and 2 were rapidly phosphorylated after cytokine stimulation in WT GS cells, phosphorylation of Erk1 did not occur in H-RasV12-GS cells, and the levels of phosphorylation of Akt and Erk2 did not change significantly compared with WT GS cells without cytokines. However, H-RasV12-GS cell exhibited increased production and phosphorylation of p27 at Thr
Because Thr187 phosphorylation is mediated by the cyclin E-cdk2 complex and is required for ubiquitin-mediated degradation (Slingerland and Pagano, 2000), these results suggest that an increased amount of p27 is produced but rapidly destructed in H-RasV12-GS cells. The increase in phosphorylation of cdk2 at Thr 160 suggests a high level of cdk activating kinase activity in H-RasV12-GS cells. Because newly synthesized cyclin D associates with p27 and overexpression of cyclin D2 induces translocation of p27 from the nucleus (Susaki et al., 2007), these results strengthen the hypothesis that Ras activation facilitates the G1/S transition by rapid and strong induction of cyclin D2 expression and promotion of p27 export from the nucleus.

**Enhanced GS cell proliferation by overexpression of cyclin genes**

To test this hypothesis, we introduced cyclin D1, D2, and D3 genes into WT GS cells by lentivirus vectors that co-expressed Venus under the Eif1a promoter. Almost all GS cells were successfully infected by each vector. However, GS cells engineered to constitutively express each cyclin D gene depended on exogenous cytokines and the levels of cyclin expression did not change significantly (Figure 3A and B). Co-transfection of two different or all three cyclin D genes did not improve the GS cell growth (data not shown).

Because cyclin E plays a major role in the G1/S transition and was strongly upregulated in WT GS cells, we postulated that transfection of cyclin E might trigger efficient growth. Although cyclin E1 alone did not induce GS cell proliferation, co-transfection of cyclin D and E1 restored GS cell growth (Figure 3C-E and
Supplemental Figure 1), indicating a synergistic effect of cyclin D and E in driving the cell cycle. For example, cyclin D2 + E1 double transfectants (cyD2E-GS) expanded 2-fold without cytokines. Moreover, cells that expressed cyclin D1 + E1 (cyD1E-GS) or cyclin D3 + E1 (cyD3E-GS) grew when they were cultured only with EGF and bFGF (Figure 3E), suggesting that cyclins D1 or D3 may partly compensate for GDNF. The levels of cyclins changed dramatically by exogenous cytokines (Figure 3F and G). While real-time PCR confirmed the upregulation of cyclins D1 and D2 when the cells were cultured without cytokines, increase was evident only in cyclin D1 when the cells were cultured with cytokines. This is probably because expression of cyclin D1 is relatively weaker than that of Eif1a (Supplemental Figure 2). Only modest increase of cyclin D3 was found after cyclin D3 transfection regardless of culture conditions. The cells, however, showed upregulation of cyclins D1 and D2. Similar ectopic upregulation of cyclin D2 was also noted in cyD1E-GS cells. Taken together, these results demonstrate that a combination of cyclins D2 and E can mimic H-RasV12 and induces GS cell proliferation without self-renewal factors.

Phenotypic analyses of cultured cells

Next we compared the phenotype of the all types of transfectants. In good agreement with cell recovery, cell cycle analyses showed more cells in the G2/M phase in H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells than in WT GS cells cultured without cytokines, but significantly more cyD1E-GS and cyD3E-GS cells were in the G1 phase (Figure 4A). Flow cytometry also showed that the transfected cells expressed SSC markers,
including EpCAM, α6- and β1-integrin cells (Figure 4B). SSEA-1, a marker of ES cells, was not expressed in the transfectants, and the levels of c-kit expression did not change significantly (data not shown), suggesting that the transgenes did not alter the proportion of SSCs. However, both H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells showed increased expression of EpCAM, α6- and β1-integrin compared to WT GS cells. When cyclin-transfected cells were added to laminin-coated plates, binding was most significantly impaired in cyD3E-GS cells (Figure 4C).

In addition to the cell surface phenotype, RT-PCR revealed weaker expression of Pou5f1 and Zbtb16 in cyclin-transfected cells (Figure 4D). Neurog3, a marker of differentiating SSCs, was expressed strongly in WT, H-RasV12- and cyD1E-GS cells, but it was weaker in both cyD2E- and cyD3E-GS cells. On the other hand, Taf4b was expressed at the same levels in different kinds of GS cells and no Nanog expression was found. Immunocytochemical analysis further showed that cyclin transfection influenced the distribution of p27 (Figure 4E). While p27 is present predominantly in the cytoplasm of both H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells, a strong p27 signal was found in the nucleus of cyD3E-GS cells. The cyD1E-GS cells exhibited weaker but distinct punctuate staining in the nucleus. When the cells were stained with anti-cyclin D2 antibody, both WT and H-RasV12-GS cells showed strong expression in both the cytoplasm and nucleus, whereas only weaker signals were found in cyD1E-GS and cyD3E-GS cells. Notably, cyD2E-GS cells showed only punctuate cyclin D2 staining, suggesting that other molecules might have influenced their localization.
Despite the differences in growth characteristics, combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA) showed that DNA methylation of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in two paternally imprinted genes (H19 and Meg3 IG) and two maternally imprinted genes (Igf2r and Peg10) were of typical androgenetic DNA methylation patterns, including hypermethylation of H19 and Meg3 IG DMRs and hypomethylation of Igf2r and Peg10 DMRs (Figure 4F). Taken together, these results show that the cultured cells had normal spermatogonia phenotypes, but also suggest that they had different functions.

Examination of SSC activity by germ cell transplantation

Finally, we used the germ cell transplantation technique to confirm the effects of transgenes. Cells cultured on mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) without cytokines were transplanted into the seminiferous tubules of congenitally infertile WBB6F1-W/W\(^v\) (W) mice. Because spermatogenesis in W mice is arrested in undifferentiated type A spermatogonia, they serve as recipients for donor SSC colonization (Brinster and Zimmermann, 1994). In the first set of experiments, all four types of transfected cells (4 × 10\(^6\) cells for each testis) were transplanted into W mice. At the time of transplantation, all cells expressed fluorescence under UV light, indicating that they contained the transgenes. When recipient testes were analyzed after 3 months, only H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells produced germ cell colonies (Figure 5A). In contrast, no fluorescence was observed in testes that received cyD1E-GS cells. We occasionally found scattered green germ cells in the testes of
cyD3E-GS cell recipients (Figure 5A, inset), suggesting that cyclin D3 overexpression had an impact on SSCs. However, these cells did not grow into distinct colonies. The recipient testes with germ cell colonies were significantly larger than those without colonies, reflecting the greater degree of donor-derived colonization (Figure 5B).

In the second set of experiments, we transplanted H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells at different time points in culture to quantify the increase in SSC numbers. A sample of cells was recovered for transplantation at three different time points during consecutive passages. SSC numbers increased in cultures of both transfectants. Approximately $5.5 \times 10^5$-fold expansion of SSCs was observed during 76 days of H-RasV12-GS cell culture, whereas SSCs in cyD2E-GS cell culture grew by $2.2 \times 10^6$-fold in 78 days (Table 1). Assuming that 10% of the transplanted cells colonized each recipient testis (Nagano et al., 1999), the concentration of SSCs in culture ranged from 0.1% to 2.7%, which is within the range of previous results with WT GS cells (Oatley and Brinster, 2008).

**Tumor development from H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells**

Although both H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells formed germ cell colonies, some were irregularly shaped, suggesting abnormal differentiation (Figure 5C). However, both types of cells maintained normal karyotype before transplantation, suggesting that abnormal spermatogenesis was not caused by defective karyotype (Figure 5D). Thus we analyzed differentiated germ cells by RT-PCR, which revealed the expression of normal differentiation markers in recipients of WT and
H-RasV12-GS cells (Figure 5E). Recipient testes expressed genes not only in zygotene- to diplotene- spermatocyte stages, such as Syep1 and Syep3, but also in the pachytene spermatocyte stage and later, including Clgn, Hoxa4, Piwil1, and Crem. In contrast, differentiation was significantly limited in testes that received cyD2E-GS cells. Histological analyses indicated normal spermatogenesis in 5 of 6 H-RasV12-GS cell recipient testes (Figure 5F). In total, 38.3 ± 8.1% (167/377 tubules in the 6 testes) of the tubules contained spermatogenesis. However, seminomatous tumors occurred in all testes that received H-RasV12-GS cells (Figure 5F). The tumors were composed of round cells with little cytoplasm, and invaded testicular interstitial tissues in all cases.

Germ cell tumors (GSTs) were also found in all five recipient testes with cyD2E-GS cells. However, they were distinct from the H-RasV12-induced tumors in that they were not accompanied by normal spermatogenesis. Although 39.2 ± 5.9% (216/548 tubules in the 5 testes) of the tubules contained multiple layers of germ cells, they consisted of clusters of spermatogonia and no haploid cells. Moreover, unlike the H-RasV12-induced tumors, no signs of local tumor invasion were observed, suggesting a distinct characteristic of tumor. No distant metastasis or lymphocyte infiltration was seen in either tumor type. Immunohistological analyses showed both types of tumors expressed placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP; Figure 5G), which is normally downregulated in the postnatal testis and is a marker of seminomas (Meng et al., 2001). Flow cytometry revealed weak expression of CD44, a cancer stem cell antigen (Visvader and Lindeman, 2008), in both types of cell recipients (Figure 5H). CD44 expression was not detected in the WT GS cell recipients. Subcutaneous injection of
H-RasV12- and CyD2E-GS cells did not produce tumors.

To examine whether the GSTs contain cancer stem cells, we performed serial transplantation. Single cell suspensions from both types of recipients (4 × 10⁶ cells) were transplanted into six different testes. Three months after transplantation, all testes showed colonization by both types of donor cells, and similar GSTs were observed (Figure 5I). The secondary recipients of H-RasV12-GS cells and cyD2E-GS cells showed abnormal spermatogenesis in 56.0 ± 3.6% (393/730 tubules in the 6 testes) and 53.5 ± 4.3% (282/544 tubules in the 6 testes) of the tubules, respectively. CD44 expression was evident by flow cytometry (Figure 5J).

Discussion

Although a previous study showed that Ras is activated by GDNF in a SV40-transformed spermatogonia cell line (He et al., 2008), the role of Ras in SSCs has not been assessed using functional criteria. We used a SSC transplantation assay to show that the activation of H-Ras is sufficient to induce SSC self-renewal. We also found that Ras isoforms have different biological properties on GS cells, despite the first 85 amino acids of all Ras isoforms being identical and their encompassing the site of interaction with all known Ras effectors (Malumbres and Barbacid, 2002). Ras isoforms seem to act differently in cells because of their cellular localizations (Omerovic et al., 2007). In fact, H-Ras can substitute for K-Ras during embryogenesis (Potenza et al., 2005). Likewise, the different properties of Ras isoforms in GS cells may also be explained by the differences in their cellular localization, rather than their
catalytic activities. However, it should be noted here that Ras activation did not exclusively induce self-renewal division: we expected increase in SSCs in transfected cells, but SSCs were not enriched in the culture, indicating that H-RasV12 did not change the proportion of self-renewal division. Moreover, H-RasV12-GS cells also underwent spermatogenesis in vivo and produced differentiating cells; Ras therefore seems to act before the decision is made to self-renew or to differentiate.

Among the numerous Ras effectors, the PI3K-Akt pathway is apparently involved in SSC self-renewal because inhibition of this pathway stops the proliferation of GS cells. However, the activation of Akt alone is not sufficient to drive SSC proliferation; Akt-GS cells require bFGF supplementation (Lee et al., 2007). Based on our results, we speculate that Ras activation also provided additional signals that are equivalent to bFGF signaling. This would explain why H-RasN17 interferes with GS cell proliferation. Because Akt is only one of several downstream Ras effectors, inhibition of Akt-GS cell proliferation by H-RasN17 suggests that H-RasN17 interferes with bFGF signaling. The molecule that cooperates with Akt remains to be identified, but it does not seem to belong to the MEK pathway, because adding MEK inhibitors had no effect on WT GS cell growth. Although numerous Ras effectors exist, using GS cell cultures is useful for delimiting the key components of SSC self-renewal, e.g., by complementing the growth of Akt-GS cells cultured in the absence of bFGF.

While these results demonstrate the involvement of Ras in SSC self-renewal, the next critical question was how SSCs distinguish among the different types of division in the nucleus. Although the loss of all D cyclins in irradiated animals
abolishes the ability for long-term reconstitution of hematopoietic stem cells (Kozar et al., 2004), mice that lack all three D cyclins develop into the late gestation period (Kozar et al., 2004), and little is known about the function of cyclins in stem cells of other self-renewing tissues. We initially assumed overexpression of cyclin D might mimic activated Ras, because overexpression of cyclin D can liberate cyclin E-cdk2 complexes from the inhibitory effects of p27 by transporting p27 into the cytoplasm. However, the same machinery may not operate in SSCs, in which p27 expression has not been detected (Beumer et al., 1999). Nevertheless, a recent study on mice deficient in Skp2, which mediates ubiquitin-dependent degradation of p27, showed progressive loss of spermatogenic cells (Fotovati et al., 2006), and the numbers of type A spermatogonia in p27 deficient mice are higher than those in the WT (Beumer et al., 1999). It was therefore still possible that p27 regulates SSC cell cycle. Although single cyclin D transfection did not promote proliferation, cyclin E transfection induced efficient proliferation and colonization of SSCs when combined with cyclin D2.

The loss of SSC activity in cyD1E-GS and cyD3E-GS cells not only emphasizes the importance of using functional assays to study SSC, but also suggests that cyclin expression is an important factor to SSC activity (Figure 6). The role of cyclin D2 in SSCs has been unclear. Despite its expression during the transition of undifferentiated spermatogonia into type A spermatogonia (Beumer et al., 2000), spermatogenesis can proceed without cyclin D2 (Sicinski et al., 1996). Although cyclin D shows only 50-60% identity throughout the entire coding sequence, the functional redundancy of the cyclin family has complicated many cyclin studies. The differences
among D-type cyclins appear to be limited mostly to their tissue-specific expression patterns (Sherr and Roberts, 2004). In fact, a knock-in strain of mice that expresses cyclin D2 instead of cyclin D1 exhibits normal development of cyclin D1-dependent tissues (Carthon et al, 2005). Nevertheless, our study indicates that the specificity of G1 cyclin and its collaboration with G1/S cyclin are important for driving SSC self-renewal. Given the results of H-RasV12-GS cells, which could differentiate normally into sperm, cyclins may be more specialized in the decision between self-renewal and differentiating divisions by acting downstream of Ras. Perhaps, Ras may randomly push the cell cycle, and the type of division is dictated by cyclins. However, regulation of cyclin expression may not be simple; our results suggest a potential interaction among cyclin gene expression. For example, cyD3E-GS cells upregulated cyclin D1 and D2 despite relatively constant cyclin D3 levels. Moreover, although the amounts of cyclin D2 and E in cyD1E-GS cells are close to those in cyD2E-GS cells, the former failed to make germ cell colonies after transplantation, suggesting that cyclin D1 induces differentiation of SSCs. However, although differentiation due to constitutive expression of cyclin D1 should counteract the self-renewing effects of cytokines, we did not observe impairment of GS cell growth when the cells were cultured in the cytokines.

On the basis of these results, we speculate that the relative proportion, rather than the total amount, of cyclin D2 is important in SSC activity. Although the frequency of SSCs was not increased in the cyD2E-GS cells, increasing the cyclin D2 expression or decreasing the cyclin D1 expression may lead to more extensive
colonization. How cyclins influence the fate of SSCs remains to be determined; however, limits of germ cell transplantation must also be kept in mind. It is estimated that ~90% of WT SSCs cannot reach niche (Nagano et al., 1999). By definition, the presence of SSCs cannot be detected unless they make visible germ cell colonies. In this context, the presence of a few eyeD3E-GS cells in the tubules suggests that they might have reached the niche and retained self-renewal activity, because they would have died or differentiated by 3 months. Perhaps these cells are unable to differentiate due to abnormal cyclin expression. Alternatively, cyclin may influence the fate of SSCs by changing adhesion to niche via β1-integrin, which is an essential homing receptor for SSCs (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2008a). The analysis of cyclin transfectants will lead us to the better understanding of SSC homing and subsequent colony development.

Another important observation from the transplantation experiment was the development of GST. Activating mutations in Ras genes have been described at a frequency of ~10% in human GSTs (Goddard et al., 2007), and cyclin D2 overexpression is one of the earliest known aberrations in carcinoma in situ and in testicular GST in humans (Bartkova et al., 2003; Looijenga et al., 2007). Ras-induced tumorigenesis is invasive probably because the activation of other Ras effectors may confer more aggressive phenotypes. Similar GST model has been previously produced by the overexpression of GDNF in transgenic animals, in which all male mice also develop GST that mimics classic seminomas (Meng et al., 2000; Meng et al., 2001). However, in that model, tumorigenesis took a considerable amount of time (~7
months-1 year), and the transgene was expressed ubiquitously in both somatic and germ cells, making it difficult to analyze the early stages and origin of tumorigenesis. Because only stem cells can seed and proliferate in the niche, our results imply that excessive activation of self-renewing machinery in SSCs trigger GST. Thus cyclin appears to be involved in the delicate balance between tissue maintenance and tumorigenesis in the SSCs.

How did these self-renewing signals induce GST? Ideally early steps of GST development could be detected by morphological analysis. However, no apparent abnormalities were found in GS cells before transplantation. Or rather, normal spermatogenesis in H-RasV12-GS cells and the upregulation of CD44 strongly suggest that in vivo testicular microenvironment provided oncogenic signals that thus far have been missing in in vitro studies. Although we could not identify the signals involved, our method combining SSC transfection and germ cell transplantation provides a new opportunity to detail the mechanism of GST formation. It would also be interesting to examine whether SSCs from fetal and adult origins produce similar GSTs. In conclusion, we demonstrate that Ras activation and a specific combination of cyclins are critical for driving SSC self-renewal. In addition, excessive self-renewal signaling may induce GST. Successful reconstruction of SSC self-renewal by genetic manipulation provides an important platform to further investigate self-renewal machinery and its relationship to cancer development.
Experimental procedures

Cell culture and transfection

WT and EGFP-expressing GS cells were cultured as previously described using Stempro34 (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2003). Akt-Mer-GS cells have previously been described (Lee et al., 2007). We also produced Akt-GS cells by electroporating pCAG-AktCA-IRES-Neo, as described previously (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2006). ES cells were cultured under standard conditions with leukemia inhibitory factor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). For virus transfection, cDNAs encoding human H-RasV12 (gift from Dr. S. Yamanaka, Kyoto University) and human H-RasN17 (Upstate Technology, Lake Placid, NY) were cloned into CSII-EF-IRES2-hKO1 vector, whereas human K-RasV12 (Addgene, Cambridge, MA), mouse cyclin D1, D2, D3, or human cyclin E1 (gifts from Dr. C. J. Sherr, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York, NY) were cloned into CSII-EF-IRES2-Venus vector. Both virus vectors expressed transgenes under Eif1a promoter (gift from Dr. H. Miyoshi, RIKEN BRC). Virus particles were produced by transient transfection of 293T packaging cells, as previously described (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2008b). Cells were maintained on mitomycin C-treated MEFs. The infection efficiency (>99%) was monitored by hKO1 or Venus expression. All infection experiments were performed in 6-well plates using 3 × 10^5 GS cells.

The growth factors used were 20 ng/ml mouse EGF, 10 ng/ml human bFGF, and 15 ng/ml recombinant rat GDNF (all from Peprotech, London, UK). For inhibitor studies, PP2 (5 μM), LY294002 (33 μM), Akt inhibitor IV (40 nM), and PD98059 (25
μM) were added at the time of cell plating (all from Calbiochem, Tokyo, Japan). A laminin adhesion assay was performed by plating $2 \times 10^5$ cells in a 12-well dishes coated with laminin (20 μg/ml; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). After 30 min, the adherent cells were recovered by trypsin, as previously described (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2008a).

**Flow cytometry**

The primary antibodies used were: mouse anti-SSEA-1 (MC-480; Chemicon, Temecula, CA), rat anti-mouse EpCAM (G8.8), rat anti-human α6-integrin (CD49f) (GoH3), biotinylated hamster anti-rat β1-integrin (CD29) (Ha2/5), APC-conjugated rat anti-mouse c-kit (CD117) (2B8), rat IgG1 (κ isotype control), and rat anti-mouse CD44 (KM114) (all from BD Biosciences). APC-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (Cedarlane Laboratories, Burlington, ON, Canada), APC-conjugated streptavidin (BD Biosciences), and Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgM (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) were used as secondary antibodies. Stained cells were analyzed using the FACSCalibur system (BD Biosciences), as described previously (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2003). Cell cycle analysis was performed by staining with Hoechst 33342 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 12.5 μg/ml for 45 min at 37°C. Cells were analyzed on a FACSaria2 equipped with 375-nm UV laser (BD Biosciences).

**Transplantation**

Donor cells were microinjected into the seminiferous tubules of W mice via an efferent duct (Japan SLC, Shizuoka, Japan). Recipients were treated with anti-CD4
antibody (GK1.5) to induce tolerance to the donor cells (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2003). For subcutaneous injections, ~2 × 10^6 cells were injected into KSN nude mice (Japan SLC). The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Kyoto University approved all of the animal experimentation protocols.

**Analysis of the recipient testes**

Recipient testes were processed for paraffin sectioning after fixation, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The number of tubule cross sections showing (and not showing) spermatogenesis, defined as the presence of multiple layers of germ cells in the entire circumference of the seminiferous tubule, was counted for one section from each testis. SSC colonization levels were also evaluated by counting the number of germ cell colonies based on fluorescence under UV light. Colonies were defined as germ cell clusters longer than 0.1 mm occupying the entire circumference of the tubule.

**Analysis of gene expression**

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using Superscript™ II (RNase H’ reverse transcriptase, Invitrogen) for RT-PCR. For quantifying mRNA expression using real-time PCR, StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR system and Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix were used (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). All transcript levels were normalized to those of Hprt1. The PCR conditions were 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, and
60°C for 1 min. The experiments were performed on two independent samples. Each PCR was run in triplicate. The primers used for PCR are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**COBRA**

Genomic DNA was treated with sodium bisulfite, which deaminates unmethylated cytosines to uracils, but does not affect 5-methylated cytosines. Amplified PCR products by specific primer sets were digested with the indicated restriction enzymes, which had recognition sequences containing CpG in the original unconverted DNA. PCR primers used in the experiments are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**Western blot analysis**

We used SDS-PAGE to separate cell lysates (20 µg), which were then transferred to Hybond-P membranes (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK). For Ras activation assays, the cells were separated after incubation in a GST-Raf1-RBD column (Active Ras pull-down and detection kit; Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). The following primary antibodies were used: polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse Akt, polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse Akt-P (Ser 473), polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse p27, polyclonal rabbit anti-human cdk2, polyclonal rabbit anti-human cdk2-P (Thr 160), polyclonal rabbit anti-human Erk1/2-P, polyclonal rabbit anti-human cyclin D2 (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA), mouse anti-human p27-P (Thr 187) (Invitrogen), and mouse anti-Ras (Thermo Scientific). Peroxidase-conjugated polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG and anti-mouse IgG were
used as the secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling).

**Immunohistochemistry**

For staining histological sections, immunohistochemical staining was performed on paraffin-embedded sections using polyclonal rabbit antibodies against human PLAP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) using the avidin-biotin complex method. The color was developed in diaminobenzidine solution.

For staining of cultured cells, the cells were concentrated on glass slides, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 15 min. The cells were stained using polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse p27 and polyclonal rabbit anti-human cyclin D2 (Cell Signaling), and Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes) was used to detect the primary antibodies.

**Statistical analysis**

Results were presented as mean ± SEM. Data were analyzed by Student’s t-test.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1 Expression and function of Ras in GS cells. (A) RT-PCR analysis of GS cells. GS cells on laminin were cultured under the indicated conditions for 6 days, and samples were collected for RT-PCR analysis. (B) Activation of Ras by exogenous cytokines. GS cells on laminin were starved for 4 days, and then the indicated cytokines were added to the culture medium. Where indicated, cells were also incubated 2 h before cytokine treatment with PP2. The samples were collected after 15 min. Addition of PP2 inhibited activation in all cases. (C) Appearance of GS cell colonies on MEFs after H-RasN17 transfection. A lentivirus vector expressing both H-RasN17 and hKO1 was transduced into WT (top, middle) and Akt-GS (bottom) cells. While GS cells transduced with control empty vector survived after 12 days, few cells survived after H-RasN17 transfection. (D) Effect of H-RasN17 on WT and Akt-GS cell growth on MEFs. The number of colonies showing hKO1 fluorescence was counted 12 days after transfection (n = 3). A cluster of cells was defined as a colony when it contained > 5 cells. (E) Effect of cytokines on H-RasV12-GS and K-RasV12-GS cell growth after 6 days of culture on MEFs (n = 5). While H-RasV12-GS cells grew without cytokines, K-RasV12-GS cells did not proliferate without bFGF. (F) Appearance of WT (left) and H-RasV12-GS (middle and right) cells on MEFs. H-RasV12-GS cells retained normal morphology under cytokine-free conditions. The efficient transduction is evidenced by red fluorescence (right). (G) Growth curve for H-RasV12-GS cells maintained on MEFs. H-RasV12-GS cells grew
exponentially under all conditions. (H) Effect of the PI3K-Akt pathway on GS cell growth on MEFs for 6 days. WT GS cells were cultured with cytokines, but H-RasV12-GS cells were cultured without cytokines (n = 6). Whereas only LY294002 and Akt inhibitor IV inhibited growth of WT GS cells, all inhibitors had a significant negative effect on H-RasV12-GS cells. Bar = 100 µm (C, F). E, EGF; F, bFGF; G, GDNF.

Figure 2 Analysis of downstream signaling of H-RasV12 in GS cells. (A) Real-time PCR analysis of H-RasV12-GS cells (n = 3). Cells were cultured on laminin for 6 days under the indicated conditions. The values were normalized to Hprt1 expression, with expression levels in WT GS cells cultured with cytokines. (B) The patterns of cyclin expression during culture on laminin. The values were normalized to Hprt1 expression, with expression levels of cyclin D1. (C) Induction of cyclin expression in WT GS cells on laminin. Cells were stimulated with the indicated cytokines for 24 h. The values were normalized to Hprt1 expression, with expression levels in cells cultured without cytokines. (D) Western blot analysis of WT and H-RasV12-GS cells. The cells were starved on laminin for 4 days, and then WT GS cells were either treated or not treated with the indicated cytokines. Treated cells were recovered 30 min after treatment. E, EGF; F, bFGF; G, GDNF.

Figure 3 GS cell proliferation by cyclin transfection. (A) RT-PCR analysis of cyclin expression in cyclin D-transfected cells. The cells were cultured on laminin for 24 h
under the indicated conditions. (B) Effect of cytokines on cyclin-transfected GS cell growth. WT GS cells were transfected with cyclin D genes. The cells were cultured under the indicated conditions on MEFs for 6 days. (C) Increased expression of cyclin E after additional cyclin E transfection. (n = 6). The cells were cultured on laminin for 24 h without cytokines. Values were normalized to Hprt1 expression, with expression levels in WT GS cells. (D) Appearance of cyclin-transfected cells cultured without cytokines on MEFs for 6 days. Only cyD2E-GS cells formed germ cell colonies. (E) Effect of cytokines on GS cells that were transfected with cyclin D and E. While H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells grew without cytokines, cycD1E-GS and cyD3E-GS cells grew when they were supplemented with EGF and bFGF. The cells were cultured on MEFs for 6 days. (F, G) Real-time PCR analysis of cyclin-transfected GS cells (n = 6). Cells were cultured with (F) or without cytokines (G) on laminin for 24 h. Values were normalized to Hprt1 expression, with expression levels in WT GS cells. Bar = 100 μm (D). E, EGF; F, bFGF; G, GDNF.

Figure 4 Phenotypic characterization of H-RasV12-GS and cyclin-transfected cells. (A) Cell cycle distribution. Significantly more cells are in the G2/M phase in the H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells. (B) Characterization of cell surface antigens by flow cytometry. Note the weaker expression of EpCAM, α6- and β1-integrin in cyD1E-GS and cyD3E-GS cells. Red line, specific antibody; black line, unstained control. Values indicate mean fluorescence intensity. (C) Statistically significant reduction in laminin binding of cyD1E- and cyD3E-GS cells. (D) RT-PCR analysis.
Neurog3 expression was weaker in both cyD2E- and cyD3E-GS cells. (E) Immunocytochemistry of p27 and cyclin D2 in transfected cells. The transfectants were cultured on laminin without cytokines for 6 days and stained with anti-cyclin D2 (top) or p27 (bottom) antibody. Cyclin D2 was strongly expressed in both WT and H-RasV12-GS cells. p27 staining was predominantly found in the cytoplasm of H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells, whereas nuclear staining was found in cyD1E-GS and D3E-GS cells. Counterstained by DAPI. (F) COBRA. Open arrows indicate the sizes of the methylated DNA, whereas closed arrows indicate the size of the unmethylated DNA. Percent methylation, as estimated by the intensity of each band, is indicated below the gels. U, uncleaved; C, cleaved. Bar = 10 µm (E). E, EGF; F, bFGF; G, GDNF.

Figure 5 SSC activity of cultured cells. (A) Appearance of recipient testes. Only H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells produced germ cell colonies. Clusters of germ cells were occasionally observed in the recipient testis with cyD3E-GS cells (inset). (B) Testis weights after transplantation. (C) Abnormal germ cell clumps found in the testes of H-RasV12- and cyD2E-GS cell recipients (arrows). (D) Normal karyotype of the cultured cells. At least 20 cells were counted. (E) RT-PCR analyses of recipient testes. The expression of haploid markers was reduced in cyD2E-GS cell recipients. (F) Histology of recipient testes. Spermatogenesis was observed only in H-RasV12-GS cell recipients (arrows). Abnormal spermatogonia proliferation was detected in both H-RasV12-GS and cyD2E-GS cells. Interstitial tumor infiltration was found in
H-RasV12-GS cell recipients (arrowhead). Normal spermatogenesis was found in the control transplant with an empty vector (inset). (G) Immunohistochemistry of recipient testes. GST was positive for PLAP (arrows). Counterstained by hematoxylin. (H) CD44 expression in recipient testes. Only EGFP-positive cells were gated for analysis. Red line, specific antibody; black line, isotype control. (I) Serial transplantation. Appearance of abnormal colonies (left) and histological sections (right) of recipient testes. Both H-RasV12-GS (top) and cyD2E-GS (bottom) cells produced abnormal colonies. Extensive colonization of donor cells was observed (inset). (J) CD44 expression in the secondary recipient testes. Bar = 200 μm (A, C, I); 100 μm (F, G).

Figure 6 A model for SSC self-renewal. Growth signals are converted to Ras activation via Src family molecules. Ras transmits signals to activate the PI3K-Akt pathway as well as other unknown pathways that run parallel to it. Cyclins D2 and E coordinate to drive SSC self-renewing division by eliminating p27 from the nucleus and upregulating β1-integrin, whereas strong cyclin D1 expression may induce differentiation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>E+F+G</th>
<th>Positive control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-Ras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Ras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Ras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Ras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Src</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fyn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hprt1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>E+F+G</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>(-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C

hKO1

H-RasN17

H-RasN17, Akt CA

D

hKO1 positive (%)

WT       | 45.6 ± 0.3
Akt      | 48.4 ± 0.0

E

Amplification (fold)

WT, E+F+G | 4 ± 1
H-RasN17  | 8 ± 2
hKO1      | 6 ± 3

F

WT, E+F+G

H-RasV12, (-)

G

H-RasV12

Amplification (fold)

WT, E+F+G | 10^3 ± 10^2
WT, E     | 10^4 ± 10^3
WT, F     | 10^5 ± 10^4
WT, (-)   | 10^6 ± 10^5

H

Amplification (fold)

WT       | 2 ± 0.5
H-RasV12 | 4 ± 1

* p < 0.05
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Relative expression (fold)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>c-Fos</th>
<th>c-Myc</th>
<th>p16</th>
<th>p27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT, E+F+G</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-RasV12, (-)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myr-Akt-Mer, E+F+4OHT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A. E+F+G

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>H-RasV12</th>
<th>cyD1E</th>
<th>cyD2E</th>
<th>cyD3E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>H-RasV12</td>
<td>cyD1E</td>
<td>cyD2E</td>
<td>cyD3E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1 93% G2/M 4%</td>
<td>G1 96% G2/M 1%</td>
<td>G1 78% G2/M 11%</td>
<td>G1 98% G2/M 0.4%</td>
<td>G1 94% G2/M 3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. EpCAM, α6-integrin, β1-integrin, CD44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>H-RasV12</th>
<th>cyD1E</th>
<th>cyD2E</th>
<th>cyD3E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell counts</td>
<td>Cell counts</td>
<td>Cell counts</td>
<td>Cell counts</td>
<td>Cell counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α6-integrin</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β1-integrin</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Attached cells (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>cyD1E</th>
<th>cyD2E</th>
<th>cyD3E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attached cells (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Gene expression

- Nanog
- Pouf1
- Neurog3
- Zbb16
- Taf4b
- Hprt1

E. E+F+G

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>H-RasV12</th>
<th>cyD1E</th>
<th>cyD2E</th>
<th>cyD3E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>H-RasV12</td>
<td>cyD1E</td>
<td>cyD2E</td>
<td>cyD3E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H19 (Pvu I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meg3 IG (Aci I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Igf2r (Taq I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peg10 (Hha I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F. H19, Meg3 IG, Igf2r, Peg10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>H-RasV12</th>
<th>cyD1E</th>
<th>cyD2E</th>
<th>cyD3E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>H-RasV12</td>
<td>cyD1E</td>
<td>cyD2E</td>
<td>cyD3E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>848</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5
Figure 6
Table 1. SSC expansion in H-RasV12-and cyD2E-GS cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Days to transplant (passage)$^a$</th>
<th>Colonies/testis</th>
<th>Colonies/10$^5$GS</th>
<th>Increase in cell number$^b$(fold)</th>
<th>Increase in stem cell number$^b$(fold)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-RasV12</td>
<td>14 (2)</td>
<td>17.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>212.5 ± 62.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43 (6)</td>
<td>9.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>118.8 ± 30.1</td>
<td>1.2 × 10$^6$</td>
<td>5.5 × 10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76 (11)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>96.9 ± 18.7</td>
<td>266.9</td>
<td>149.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyD2E</td>
<td>18 (3)</td>
<td>11.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>142.5 ± 27.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 (8)</td>
<td>21.5 ± 4.4</td>
<td>268.8 ± 54.6</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>2491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78 (12)</td>
<td>19.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>240.6 ± 67.6</td>
<td>1.3 × 10$^6$</td>
<td>2.2 × 10$^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM. In each experiment, 8 × 10$^3$ cells were microinjected into the seminiferous tubules of infertile recipient testis.

$^a$The number of days from initiation of culture to transplantation.

$^b$The increase in the total cell or stem cell number from the initial transplantation.