Optically transparent bionanofiber composites with low sensitivity to refractive index of the polymer matrix
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Transparent polymers were reinforced by bacterial cellulose (BC) nanofibers, which are 10 × 50 nm ribbon-shaped fibers. They exhibited high luminous transmittance at a fiber content as high as 60 wt %, and low sensitivity to a variety of refractive indices of matrix resins. Due to the nanofiber size effect, high transparency was obtained against a wider distribution of refractive index of resins from 1.492 to 1.636 at 20 °C. The optical transparency was also surprisingly insensitive to temperature increases up to 80 °C. As such, BC nanofibers appear to be viable candidates for optically transparent reinforcement. © 2005 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2146056]

Mechanical reinforcement of optically transparent resins is of significant interest to the optoelectronics industry due to the rapid expansion of related devices, such as displays. Epoxy resins reinforced with micro-sized glass particles or fibers have been successful in obtaining high strength and low thermal expansion with very low loss of transparency. However, in microsized reinforcement composites, the refractive indices of reinforcements and resins must be accurately matched, the temperature dependency of the refractive index of resins, even at fiber contents as high as 60 wt %. We thus show the feasibility of using BC nanofibers as reinforcements in optically transparent materials.

BC pellicles were a kind gift from Dr. Y. Kuwana (Fujicco Co., Ltd.). The bacterial strain Acetobacter xylinum FF-88 was incubated for 10 days in a static culture containing 5% (V/V) coconut milk (nitrogen content: 0.8%, lipid: 30%) and 8% (W/V) sucrose, adjusted to pH 3.0 by acetic acid. The BC fiber content in the pellicles was approximately 1% (V/V). BC pellicles with a thickness of 10 mm were boiled in 2% NaOH for 2 h in order to remove the bacterial cell debris. The residue was thoroughly washed under running tap water for 2 days and then pressed at 2 MPa and 120 °C for 4 min to completely remove the water bulk. The BC sheet thus obtained was 30 mm × 40 mm and 40±2 μm thick.

The BC sheets were impregnated with neat acrylic resins (type A600, ATMP, TCDDMA, ABPE10, KYO02, KYO01, and a scanning electron micrograph of the BC nanofiber network (b).

In this letter, we demonstrate that the optical transparency of bionanofiber composites can show very low dependency of the refractive index of resins, even at fiber contents as high as 60 wt %. We thus show the feasibility of using BC nanofibers as reinforcements in optically transparent materials.

FIG. 1. (Color online) A high transparency BC nanocomposite (a) and a
ABPE300, UV3000A, and UV1000A, Mitsubishi Chemical Corp.) under a reduced pressure of −0.09 MPa for 12 h. The resin-impregnated BC sheets were cured using spot ultraviolet curing equipment (SPOT CURE SP-7, Ushio Inc.) for 8 min at 40 mW/cm². The BC nanocomposite sheets were 56–63 μm thick, and the fiber content, calculated based on the oven-dry weights of BC sheets and the BC nanocomposites, were around 56–62 wt %. The neat acrylic resin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resin type</th>
<th>Refractive index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A600</td>
<td>1.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATMP</td>
<td>1.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCDDMA</td>
<td>1.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABPE10</td>
<td>1.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KYO 02</td>
<td>1.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KYO 01</td>
<td>1.561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABPE300</td>
<td>1.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV3000A</td>
<td>1.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV1000A</td>
<td>1.636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Luminous transmittance spectra of the BC nanocomposite sheet and the neat acrylic sheet at 20 °C. The filled (●) and open (○) symbols show the total and regular transmittance of the BC nanocomposite sheet, respectively. The solid line (-) and triangle symbols (△) show the total and regular transmittance of the neat acrylic sheet, respectively. (a) ABPE300 acrylic sheet (60 μm thick) and BC nanocomposite sheet (62 μm thick, 60 wt %); (b) TCDDMA acrylic sheet (60 μm thick) and a BC nanocomposite sheet (56 μm thick, 62 wt %); and (c) UV1000A acrylic sheet (60 μm thick) and a BC nanocomposite sheet (63 μm thick, 57 wt %). All percentages refer to fiber content.

FIG. 3. The total transmittance (●) and regular transmittance (○) of BC nanocomposites at 20 °C and 590 nm versus the refractive index of their resins at 20 °C and 589.3 nm.

FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the refractive index of the neat acrylic sheet at 589.3 nm (●) and the regular transmittance of the BC nanocomposite at 590 nm (○). (a) ABPE300 neat acrylic sheet and BC nanocomposite; (b) TCDDMA neat acrylic sheet and BC nanocomposite; and (c) UV1000A neat acrylic sheet and BC nanocomposite.
sheets 60 μm in thickness were also prepared under the same curing conditions. Their refractive indices measured at 589.3 nm and 20 °C using an Abbe refractometer (T1, Atago Corp.) are displayed in Table I.

The luminous transmittances were measured at wavelengths from 190 to 1000 nm using a UV-visible near-infrared spectrometer with an integrating sphere 60 mm in diameter (U-4100, Hitachi High-Tech. Corp.). The total transmittance and regular transmittance were measured by placing the specimens at the entrance port and at a 25 cm distance from the entrance port of the integrating sphere, respectively.

Figure 2 shows the luminous transmittance spectra of the neat acrylic resin sheets and the BC nanocomposite sheets. The BC nanocomposites transmit more than 80% of the light in the wavelength of 500–800 nm, including surface reflection (Fresnel’s reflection). The degradation in luminous transmittances due to nanofiber reinforcement is less than 10%, despite the high fiber content such as 60 wt %. Furthermore, we can see that the difference between the total and regular transmittances of BC nanocomposites is especially small in the combination with resin type ABPE300. This small difference suggests that the loss of luminous transmittance due to the scattering is also affected by the refractive index differences between the BC nanofiber and resins.

To investigate the dependency of resin refractive index on the transparency of BC nanocomposites, their luminous transmittances at 590 nm are compared against the refractive index of resins at 589.3 nm in Fig. 3. The total and regular transmittances peak at the refractive index around 1.56–1.60, corresponding to the average refractive index of cellulose fiber (1.618 along the fiber and 1.544 in the transverse direction). Moreover, the total transmittance and the regular transmittance of BC nanocomposites are above 85% and 75%, respectively, despite the wide distribution of refractive indices from 1.492 to 1.636. This wide refractive index range of resins enabling high transparency in BC nanocomposites does extend the variety of polymeric matrixes applicable to optical transparent composites.

The nanofiber size effect in optical transparent composites makes them immune to the large temperature dependency of the resin’s refractive index, which in practice is a critical disadvantage of transparent microsized reinforcement composites. According to Sato et al., the increase in temperature from 20 °C to 100 °C decreases the refractive index of epoxy resin by 0.01. The transmittance of composites decreases from 85% to 20% due to the mismatch of the refractive indices of resins and reinforcements. Figure 4 shows the temperature dependency of the regular transmittance of BC nanocomposites and that of the refractive index of the neat acrylic resin. Surprisingly, the regular transmittance of any of the BC nanocomposites does not change, despite the decrease in the refractive indices of acrylic resins by 0.014 against the elevation in temperature from 20 °C to 80 °C. This stability of the transmittance clearly expresses that the optical transparency of bionanofiber composites can be independent of temperature over a surprisingly large range of temperature.

In conclusion, the transparency of the BC nanocomposites was highly insensitive to refractive index of the resin and was independent of the ambient temperature variations. The reinforcement by BC nanofibers leads the way for a widening of the use of optically transparent polymers in optoelectronic devices.
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