

Hidenori FUJIWARA

0. Let $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ be an exponential group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , f a linear form on \mathfrak{g} , and let \mathfrak{h}_i ($i=1,2$) be real polarizations satisfying the Pukanszky condition of \mathfrak{g} at f . Let $\rho(f, \mathfrak{h}_i, G) = \text{ind}_{H_i} \chi_i$, where $H_i = \exp \mathfrak{h}_i$ and $\chi_i(\exp X) = e^{\sqrt{-1}f(X)}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{h}_i$ ($i=1,2$).

Then it is well known in the orbit theory of unitary representations that $\rho(f, \mathfrak{h}_1, G)$ is unitary equivalent to $\rho(f, \mathfrak{h}_2, G)$.

Our aim is to construct in certain cases an intertwining operator between these representations and to prove a composition formula for these operators. This is a generalization of the results due to G. Lion for nilpotent groups.

1. Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We denote by $K(G)$ the space of numerical continuous functions on G with compact support, dg a left Haar measure on G and by Δ_G the modular function of G : we have

$$\int_G \phi(gx^{-1}) dg = \Delta_G(x) \int_G \phi(g) dg$$

for all $\phi \in K(G)$, $x \in G$.

Let H be a closed subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . For $h \in H$, we set

$$\delta_{G/H}(h) = \frac{\Delta_H(h)}{\Delta_G(h)} .$$

Then we have $\delta_{G/H}(\exp X) = e^{\text{Trad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} X}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $K(G, H)$ denote the space of numerical continuous functions ϕ with compact support modulo H such that

$$\phi(gh) = \delta_{G/H}(h) \phi(g) \quad (g \in G, h \in H) ,$$

G acts on this space by left translation.

One knows that there exists uniquely, up to a constant, the G -invariant positive linear form $\nu_{G,H}$ on $K(G, H)$, and we write

$$\nu_{G, H}(\phi) = \int_{G/H} \phi(g) d\nu_{G, H}(g) \quad (\phi \in K(G, H)) .$$

Let U be a unitary representation of H on a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , and let $L(U, G)$ be the space of \mathcal{K} -valued continuous function ψ on G with compact support modulo H such that

$$\psi(gh) = U(h)^{-1} \delta_{G/H}(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(g) \quad (g \in G, h \in H). \quad (*)$$

Then, for $\psi \in L(U, G)$, the function $g \mapsto \|\psi(g)\|^2$ ($g \in G$) belongs to $K(G, H)$ and one defines the norm N_2 in $L(G, H)$ by

$$N_2(\psi) = \int_{G/H} \|\psi(g)\|^2 d\nu_{G, H}(g).$$

By the completion we have the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}(U, G)$ on which we realize the unitary representation $\text{ind } U$ of G induced by U as left translation.

2. In what follows, let G be an exponential group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} : the exponential mapping $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is a diffeomorphism

Let \mathfrak{g}^* denote the dual space of \mathfrak{g} . G acts on \mathfrak{g}^* by the coadjoint representations.

A subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} is said to be subordinate to $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ if we have $f([\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]) = 0$. We denote by $S(f, \mathfrak{g})$ the set of subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} subordinate to $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, and set $M(f, \mathfrak{g}) = \{\mathfrak{h} \in S(f, \mathfrak{g}) ; \dim \mathfrak{h} = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} + \dim \mathfrak{g}_f)\}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_f = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; f([X, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0\}$.

Proposition (L. Pukanszky [6]). Let $\mathfrak{h} \in S(f, \mathfrak{g})$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $H.f = f + \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, where $H = \exp \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^\perp = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* ; \lambda(\mathfrak{h}) = 0\}$;
- 2) $f + \mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset G.f$ and $\mathfrak{h} \in M(f, \mathfrak{g})$;
- 3) $\mathfrak{h} \in M(f + \lambda, \mathfrak{g})$ for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp$.

A subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \in S(f, \mathfrak{g})$ is said to satisfy the *Pukanszky condition* if \mathfrak{h} satisfies the equivalent conditions of the above proposition.

For $\mathfrak{h} \in S(f, \mathfrak{g})$, $\chi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\exp X) = e^{\sqrt{-1}f(X)}$ ($X \in \mathfrak{h}$) gives a unitary character of the analytic subgroup $H = \exp \mathfrak{h}$ of G corresponding to \mathfrak{h} . We denote by $\rho(f, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ the unitary representation $\text{ind } \chi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of G induced by $\chi_{\mathfrak{h}}$, $H(f, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ the representation space of $\rho(f, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ and by $I(f, \mathfrak{g})$ the set of $\mathfrak{h} \in S(f, \mathfrak{g})$ such that $\rho(f, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ is irreducible.

Then, the following theorem is fundamental in the orbit theory.

Theorem (P. Bernat [1], L. Pukanszky [6]). Let f be an element of \mathfrak{g}^* .

- a) $M(f, \mathcal{O}) \supset I(f, \mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$.
- b) For $\mathcal{F} \in S(f, \mathcal{O})$, \mathcal{F} belongs to $I(f, \mathcal{O})$ if and only if \mathcal{F} satisfies the Pukanszky condition.
- c) For $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in I(f, \mathcal{O})$, $\rho(f, \mathcal{F}_i, G)$ ($i=1,2$) are equivalent.
- d) The mapping $f \mapsto \rho(f, \mathcal{F}, G)$ ($\mathcal{F} \in I(f, \mathcal{O})$) induces a bijection of the orbit space \mathcal{O}^*/G onto \hat{G} , the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of G .

3. When $\mathcal{F}_i \in I(f, \mathcal{O})$ ($i=1,2$) are given, how can one construct an intertwining operator between two equivalent representations $\rho(f, \mathcal{F}_i, G)$ ($i=1,2$)?

For this problem, M. Vergne [7] gave an idea as follows :

Suppose that all groups in question are unimodular. We put $H_i = \exp \mathcal{F}_i$ ($i=1,2$). Let $g \in G$ and let $\phi \in H(f, \mathcal{F}_1, G)$, then the function $h_2 \mapsto \phi(gh_2)\chi_f(h_2)$ on H_2 is right invariant under the subgroup $H_1 \cap H_2$ of H_2 . We put formally

$$(T_{\mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1} \phi)(g) = \int_{H_2/H_1 \cap H_2} \phi(gh_2)\chi_f(h_2)dh_2,$$

where dh_2 denotes a H_2 -invariant measure on the homogeneous space $H_2/H_1 \cap H_2$. If this integral converges for any $g \in G$, it is clear that the function $T_{\mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1} \phi$ satisfies the relation (*) for H_2 and that the operator $T_{\mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1}$ commutes with the left translations of G .

If G is nilpotent, this idea is verified by G. Lion [5].

Theorem (G. Lion [5]). Let $G = \exp \mathcal{O}$ be nilpotent, $f \in \mathcal{O}^*$, $\mathcal{F}_i \in I(f, \mathcal{O})$ ($=M(f, \mathcal{O})$ in this case) and let $H_i = \exp \mathcal{F}_i$ ($i=1,2$). For any function $\phi \in H(f, \mathcal{F}_1, G)$ with compact support modulo H_1 , the integral

$$(T_{\mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1} \phi)(g) = \int_{H_2/H_1 \cap H_2} \phi(gh_2)\chi_f(h_2)dh_2,$$

is convergent for any $g \in G$. By continuity we can extend this operator to obtain an intertwining operator between $\rho(f, \mathcal{F}_1, G)$ and $\rho(f, \mathcal{F}_2, G)$.

Furthermore he obtained a composition formula for these operators which are supposed to be normalized.

For an ordered triple $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3)$ of $\mathcal{F}_i \in I(f, \mathcal{O})$ ($i=1,2,3$), one defines the Maslov index $\tau(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3)$ as the signature of the quadratic form Q on the vector space $\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_2 \oplus \mathcal{F}_3$ defined by

$$Q(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f([x_1, x_2]) + f([x_2, x_3]) + f([x_3, x_1]) .$$

Theorem (G. Lion [5]). Let $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ be nilpotent, $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, and let $\mathfrak{h}_i \in \mathcal{M}(f, \mathfrak{g})$ ($i=1,2$). Then,

$$T_{\mathfrak{h}_1 \mathfrak{h}_2} \circ T_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \mathfrak{h}_3} \circ T_{\mathfrak{h}_3 \mathfrak{h}_1} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\tau(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}_3)} \text{id} ,$$

where id denotes the identity operator on the space $H(f, \mathfrak{h}_1, G)$.

4. Now we make some studies of intertwining operators for exponential groups. Let $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ be an exponential group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} as before. Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and let $\mathfrak{h}_i \in \mathcal{I}(f, \mathfrak{g})$ ($i=1,2$).

Proposition. We have

$$\text{Tr ad}_{\mathfrak{h}_1/\mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{h}_2} X + \text{Tr ad}_{\mathfrak{h}_2/\mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{h}_2} X = 0$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{h}_2$.

Let $H_i = \exp \mathfrak{h}_i$ ($i=1,2$) and let $\phi \in H(f, \mathfrak{h}_1, G)$. By the above proposition we can consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \mathfrak{h}_1} \phi)(g) &= \nu_{H_2, H_1 \cap H_2}(\phi) \\ &= \int_{H_2/H_1 \cap H_2} \phi(gh) \chi_f(h) \delta_{G/H_2}(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\nu_{H_2, H_1 \cap H_2}(h) \end{aligned}$$

for $g \in G$. If this integral converges, it is obvious that the function $T_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \mathfrak{h}_1} \phi$ satisfies the relation (*) for H_2 and that the operator $T_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \mathfrak{h}_1}$ commutes with the left translations of G .

But, unfortunately, I cannot prove the convergence of this integral and I must put a restrictive condition :

We say that the pair $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ satisfies the condition N if it satisfies at least one of the following two conditions :

- 1) One of the \mathfrak{h}_i is contained in the normalizer of the other ;
- 2) One of the \mathfrak{h}_i is of the form $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}_f + \mathfrak{h}_i \cap \mathfrak{n}$, where \mathfrak{n} denotes the maximal nilpotent ideal of \mathfrak{g} .

If the pair $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ satisfies the condition N, using the transitivity of the integral $\nu_{G, H}$ (cf. M. Duflo [2]), one can generalize the results of G. Lion.

Theorem. Let the pair $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2)$ satisfy the condition N, and let $\phi \in H(f, \mathfrak{h}_1, G)$ have compact support modulo H_1 . Then, for

$g \in G$, the function

$$h \longmapsto \phi(gh) \chi_f(h) \delta_{G/H_2}(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

on H_2 is $\nu_{H_2, H_1 \cap H_2}$ -integrable, and the operator $T_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \mathfrak{h}_1}$;

$$(T_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \mathfrak{h}_1} \phi)(g) = \int_{H_2/H_1 \cap H_2} \phi(gh) \chi_f(h) \delta_{G/H_2}(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\nu_{H_2, H_1 \cap H_2}(h)$$

can be extended to obtain an intertwining operator between $\rho(f, \mathfrak{h}_i, G)$ ($i=1,2$).

Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{h}_i \in I(f, \mathfrak{g})$ ($i=1,2,3$) such that all pairs $(\mathfrak{h}_i, \mathfrak{h}_j)$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 3$) satisfy the condition N. Suppose that all operators $T_{\mathfrak{h}_i \mathfrak{h}_j}$ are normalized, then

$$T_{\mathfrak{h}_1 \mathfrak{h}_2} \circ T_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \mathfrak{h}_3} \circ T_{\mathfrak{h}_3 \mathfrak{h}_1} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} \tau(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}_3)} \text{id}.$$

The proofs of our Proposition and Theorems are made by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$, replacing a polarization $\mathfrak{h} \in I(f, \mathfrak{g})$ by $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{o}_f + \mathfrak{o}_f \in I(f, \mathfrak{g})$, where \mathfrak{o} is a non-central minimal ideal in \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{o}_f = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; f([X, \mathfrak{o}]) = 0\}$.

Remark. If $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ is algebraic, any $\mathfrak{h} \in I(f, \mathfrak{g})$ is of the form $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_f + \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{n}$ (M. Duflo [4]). So the condition N is always satisfied in this case.

References

- [1] P. Bernat, Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie résolubles, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 82(1965), 37-99.
- [2] P. Bernat et coll., Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles, Dunod, Paris, 1972.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, Intégration, Hermann, Paris, 1963.
- [4] M. Duflo, Opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe de Lie, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 10(1977), 265-288.
- [5] G. Lion, Intégrales d'entrelacement sur des groupes de Lie nilpotents et indices de Maslov, Lecture Note in Math., 587(1976), Springer.
- [6] L. Pukanszky, On the theory of exponential groups, Trans. A. M. S., 126(1967), 487-507.

- [7] M. Vergne, Étude de certaines représentations induites d'un groupe de Lie résoluble exponentiel, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 3(1970), 353-384.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kyushu University
Fukuoka, 812 Japan