Energy loss and fragmentation of 3-keV $C_{60}^+$ ions at grazing scattering from a KCl(001)
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Charge state, angular and energy distributions of reflected projectiles are measured when 3 keV $C_{60}^{+\cdot2^+}$ ions are scattered from a clean and flat KCl(001) surface under grazing incidence. The dominant charge state is found to be $C_{60}^+$ irrespective of the incident charge state as is expected by the electronic structure of KCl and $C_{60}$ ions. The observed angular distribution has a well defined peak at a specular angle, indicating that the normal energy is not dissipated during the grazing angle scattering. In spite of no dissipation of the normal energy we observe the fragmentation of the scattered $C_{60}^+$ ions. The energy transferred from the parallel energy to the internal excitations was estimated from the observed fragment distribution. The transferred energy changes from almost 0 eV to ~ 15 eV when the angle of incidence changes from 1° to 6°, which is less than 10% of the observed energy loss of the $C_{60}^+$ ions.
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1. Introduction

The interactions of ions with surfaces have been extensively studied for the past two decades. Considerable progress has been achieved on the understanding of the ion-surface interactions, such as charge exchange, energy loss, secondary particle emission, using grazing angle scattering of ions from surfaces [1]. Compared to atomic ions, however, the interaction of molecular/cluster ions with surfaces were rarely studied. The interesting aspect of the cluster-surface interaction is the internal degree of freedom. The internal excitations may play an important role during ion-surface scattering. When a cluster ion impinge on the surface, however, the cluster ion easily shatter into fragment ions. As a result, it is difficult to observe the role of the internal excitations in the ion-surface interaction.

Regarding the fragility of the cluster ions, the Buckminster fullerene ion $C_{60}^+$ is unusually stable against surface impacts [2, 3]. Monte Carlo simulations for $C_{60}$ impact on a structureless potential wall showed that there is a threshold impact energy of ~ 150 eV for fragmentation of $C_{60}$ [4]. This threshold energy corresponds to the grazing angle of incidence $\theta_i = 7^\circ$ for 10 keV $C_{60}^+$, indicating that keV $C_{60}^+$ ions can be reflected from a surface without fragmentation under grazing incidence. A recent study, however, showed that the fragmentation of $C_{60}^+$ occurs via delayed C$_2$ emission when keV $C_{60}^+$ ions are incident on a clean and flat Al(001) surface at $\theta_i = 1 – 3^\circ$ [5]. It was shown that the kinetic energy for the motion along the surface normal (normal energy) is efficiently transferred to internal excitations of $C_{60}^+$, and the internal excitations cause the delayed C$_2$ emission. We have also observed fragmentation of $C_{60}^+$ when 3 keV $C_{60}^+$ ions are incident on KCl(001) surface at $\theta_i = 1 – 5^\circ$ [6]. In this case, however, we did not observe dissipation of the normal energy. The possible source of the internal excitations is therefore the kinetic energy for the motion parallel to the surface (parallel energy), although the mechanism of energy transfer from the parallel energy to the internal excitations was not clarified. In the present paper, we extend our previous study and discuss the energy transfer from the parallel motion to the internal excitations during grazing angle scattering of 3 keV $C_{60}^+$ ions from KCl(001).

2. Experimental
A single crystal of KCl was cleaved in air and mounted on a 5 axis precision goniometer in an ultra high vacuum chamber (base pressure $2 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr). The surface of KCl(001) was heated at 300 ºC for several hours to prepare a clean surface [7] and kept at 250 ºC during the measurements to prevent surface charging [8]. Powder of C$_{60}$ was evaporated in a small oven installed in a 10-GHz ECR ion source. The ions extracted from the ion source were mass separated by a double focusing 90º sector magnet. The separated C$_{60}^{+}, 2^+$ ion beam was collimated to less than $0.5 \times 0.5$ mm$^2$. The beam was guided into the UHV chamber via a differential pumping system and incident on KCl(001) at a grazing angle $\theta_i = 1 - 5^\circ$.

The angular distributions of the reflected particles were measured by a two-dimensional position-sensitive detector (2D-PSD) consisting of micro channel plates and a resistive anode. The diameter of 2D-PSD was 40 mm and it was placed 160 mm downstream of the target KCl crystal. The 2D-PSD was equipped with a pair of electric field plates, which allowed to measure charge state distributions of the reflected particles.

The energy spectra of the reflected ions were also measured by a cylindrical electrostatic analyzer (CEA). The CEA was placed 100 mm downstream of the target KCl crystal and was able to rotate around the target. The measured energy resolution of the CEA was better than 0.25%.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Charge state distribution

Figure 1 shows an example of the scattering angle distribution of the reflected particles when 3 keV C$_{60}^+$ ions are incident on KCl(001). There are three well defined peaks. The sharp peak on the left hand side is the residual incident beam. The reflected particles are separated into two peaks corresponding to C$_{60}^+$ and C$_{60}^0$ by means of the electric field plates. The most striking feature seen in this figure is the negligibly small fraction of C$_{60}^0$ [9]. Figure 2 shows the observed C$_{60}^+$ fraction as a function of $\theta_i$. In contrast with the recent study on the grazing angle scattering of 5 – 25 keV C$_{60}^+$ from Al(001) [5], where C$_{60}^+$ fraction was less than 4%, the C$_{60}^+$ fraction is dominant in the present case. For comparison,
we also measured the charge state distributions of reflected 3 keV C$_{60}^{2+}$ ions under grazing incidence. The observed C$_{60}^+$ fractions are shown by open circles in Fig. 2. The observed C$_{60}^+$ fraction is almost the same as the case of C$_{60}^+$ incidence and there is no C$_{60}^{2+}$ observed. The small difference between the C$^+$ and C$^{2+}$ incidences is attributed to the image acceleration. These results can be explained by the electronic structures of KCl and C$_{60}$ ions. While the ionization energy of C$_{60}^+$ (11.4 eV) coincides with the Cl 3p band, the ionization energy of C$_{60}$ (7.6 eV) locates in the band gap of KCl [10]. As a result, slow C$_{60}^{2+}$ ions are almost completely neutralized via resonant neutralization process in front of KCl(001). For C$_{60}^+$ ions, however, both resonant and Auger neutralization processes are not allowed. Thus, C$_{60}^+$ is dominant irrespective of the incident charge state. This situation is very suitable to study energy loss of C$_{60}^+$, because a simple CEA can be used for precise measurements of energy spectra of reflected C$_{60}^+$. 

3.2. Scattering angle distribution

Figure 3 shows the observed most probable scattering angles of C$_{60}^+$ (open circles) and C$_{60}^0$ (solid circles) as a function of the incident angle $\theta_i$ for the grazing angle scattering of 3 keV C$_{60}^+$. The dashed line indicates the specular reflection. All data points of C$_{60}^+$ fall on this line, showing that the 3 keV C$_{60}^+$ ions are specularly reflected from KCl(001) at $\theta_i$ at least up to 4.6º which corresponds to the normal energy, $E_\perp$, of 20 eV. Small angular shifts towards larger scattering angles observed for C$_{60}^0$ might be attributed to the image acceleration [6, 11]. For comparison, the scattering angles observed for the grazing angle scattering of 3 keV C$_{60}^{2+}$ are also shown by triangles in Fig. 3. The reflected particles appear at scattering angles slightly larger than the specular angle. The deviation from the specular angle is larger than that of the C$_{60}^+$ incidence as is expected from the image acceleration. Summarizing the observation of the scattering angle distribution, the normal energy of 3 keV C$_{60}^+$ ion is not dissipated during the grazing angle scattering from KCl(001) when $E_\perp < 20$ eV.

The present result is different from the recent observation of the grazing angle scattering of 2.5 – 62.5 keV C$_{60}^+$ from Al(001) reported by Welthekam and Winter [5]. They
observed that the C$_{60}^+$ ions were subspeculally reflected from Al(001) at $E_\perp$ larger than 5 – 7 eV while the C$_{60}^+$ ions were reflected almost specularly at $E_\perp$ smaller than 5 - 7 eV. This behavior was qualitatively reproduced by their MD simulation, although the critical normal energy for the specular reflection predicted by the MD simulation (15 - 20 eV) is several times larger than the observed value (5 – 7 eV). From the MD simulation, the origin of the subspecular reflection was attributed to the internal excitations of C$_{60}^+$ during scattering, i.e. the normal energy is efficiently transferred to the internal excitations upon reflection. Similar subspecular reflection was also observed for C$_{60}^+$ scattering from HOPG surfaces at $\theta_i$ = 15 º [12]. The observed most probable scattering angle changed from 13.5 to 5 º when the incident energy changed from 0.5 to 5 keV (corresponding $E_\perp$ are 33.5 – 335 eV). This behavior was also reproduced by MD simulation and the dissipated normal energy was found to be used for the deformation of the surface atomic plane during scattering. For a diamond surface, however, MD simulation showed specular reflection under analogous conditions [12], indicating that the scattering behavior of C$_{60}^+$ strongly depends on the target surface. In the present case, the normal energy is not dissipated even at $E_\perp$ = 20 eV (3 keV C$_{60}^+$ at $\theta_i$ = 4.6 º).

3.3. Energy loss

Figure 4 shows examples of the observed energy spectra of the specularly reflected 3 keV C$_{60}^+$. The spectrum has a sharp peak at energies slightly lower than the incident energy when $\theta_i$ is small. With increasing $\theta_i$, the peak shifts toward lower energies and additional small peaks appear in the low energy side of the first peak. The number and the intensities of these additional peaks increase with $\theta_i$. These peaks are almost equally separated by ~ 106 eV irrespective of $\theta_i$. These multi-peak structures can be ascribed to neither skipping motion [13] nor subsurface channeling [14]. Because the multi-peak structure becomes more pronounced with increasing $\theta_i$, which is opposite to what expected for either skipping or subsurface channeling.

A possible origin of the observed multi-peak structure is the fragmentation of C$_{60}^+$. As was mentioned above, the normal energy was transferred to the internal excitations when
$C_{60}^+$ ions were incident on Al(001) under grazing incidence and the resulting fragmentation of $C_{60}^+$ via a sequential C$_2$-loss process was actually observed [5]. The C$_2$ fragments carry $\sim$1/30 of the kinetic energy of $C_{60}^+$, which is in agreement with the observed peak separation. In order to confirm this explanation, energy spectra were measured at different incident energies. The observed energy spectra are shown in Fig. 5. The spectra show the multi-peak structures similar to Fig. 4. The observed peak separations were $\sim$ 36 and $\sim$ 69 eV at 1 and 2 keV, respectively. These values are again close to 1/30 of the kinetic energies of the $C_{60}^+$ ions, confirming that the observed peaks correspond to the $C_{60-2n}^+$ ions produced by the sequential C$_2$-loss process. This is somewhat surprising, because the normal energy is not transferred to the internal excitation in the present case. Considering the activation energy for C$_2$ loss ($\sim$ 10 eV for $C_{60}^+$ and $\sim$ 8.5 eV for C$_{52}^+$ - C$_{58}^+$ [15]) the $C_{60}^+$ ion should be excited to the energies at least $\sim$ 10 eV to emit C$_2$. Because the normal energy is not dissipated, the origin of the internal excitation is the parallel energy of the projectile $C_{60}^+$ ion.

The energy $E(C_{60}^+)$ of the parent $C_{60}^+$ ion which become $C_{60-2n}^+$ ion via C$_2$-loss can be estimated with the observed energy $E(C_{60-2n}^+)$ of $C_{60-2n}^+$ ion by $E(C_{60}^+) = E(C_{60-2n}^+) \times 60/(60-2n)$. Figure 6 shows examples of the estimated energy spectra of the parent $C_{60}^+$ ions which were detected as $C_{60-2n}^+$ ions after C$_2$-losses. The energy of the ion decreases with decreasing size of the cluster. This means that the $C_{60}^+$ ions which lost larger parallel energy emit more C$_2$ molecules. The present result clearly indicates that the parallel energy is transferred to the internal excitation of $C_{60}^+$. The energy losses for $C_{60-2n}^+$ ions are shown in Fig. 7 as a function of $\theta_i$. The energy loss increases with $\theta_i$ and the energy loss difference between the adjacent peaks ($\sim$ 7 eV) is almost independent of either $\theta_i$ or the size of the cluster.

The C$_2$ emission probabilities of excited $C_{60-2n}^+$ ions can be calculated using the emission rate

$$k(C_{60-2n}^+) = A(C_{60-2n}^+) \times \exp\left(-\frac{E_d(C_{60-2n}^+)}{k_B T_e}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $E_d(C_{60-2n}^+)$ is the dissociation energy for C$_2$ loss and $T_e$ is the emission temperature of $C_{60-2n}^+$, which can be estimated from the internal energy $E_{in}$ [5]. The probability that the
excited $C_{60}^+$ ions are observed as $C_{60-2n}^+$ by the CEA after a series of $C_2$ loss can be easily calculated by solving simple rate equations. For example, the probability of detection of $C_{58}^+$ is given by

$$P(C_{58}^+) = \frac{k(C_{60}^+)}{k(C_{60}^+)-k(C_{58}^+)} \left[ \exp\left\{-k(C_{58}^+)(t_1 + t_2)\right\} - \exp\left\{-k(C_{58}^+)t_2 - k(C_{60}^+)t_1\right\} \right],$$

(2)

where $t_1 (= 3.5 \mu s)$ and $t_2 (= 5.9 \mu s)$ are the flight time between the target and the entrance of CEA and that of inside CEA, respectively. We used the values given in the literatures [15, 16] for $A(C_{60-2n}^+)$ and $E_{\text{d}}(C_{60-2n}^+)$. Figure 8 shows the calculated probabilities for $C_{60-2n}^+$ as a function of the internal energy. The calculated survival probability of $C_{60}^+$ decreases very rapidly when its internal energy exceeds $\sim 38$ eV and becomes almost zero at $45$ eV. On the other hand, the observed energy loss of $C_{60}^+$ at $\theta_i = 4^\circ$ is about $60$ eV. If all dissipated parallel energy was transferred to the internal excitations, $C_{60}^+$ ion cannot survive. This indicates that only a part of the dissipated energy was transferred to the internal energy of $C_{60}^+$. The rest of the dissipated energy should be transferred to KCl(001). Except for $C_{60}^+$, the calculated probabilities show a well defined peak. The most probable internal energies of $C_{60}^+$ ions which were detected as $C_{60-2n}^+$ after delayed $C_2$-loss are approximated by these peak energies. The calculated peaks are almost equally separated, which is in agreement with the observed result (see Fig. 7), although the calculated peak separation ($8 - 9$ eV) is slightly larger than the observed one ($\sim 7$ eV). In passing we note that the radiative cooling, which was neglected here, is negligibly small in the present case. For example, the radiation cooling rate is estimated to be $0.34$ eV/µs even at $E_{\text{in}} = 60$ eV ($T_e = 4670$ K) [17].

If the internal energy of the incident $C_{60}^+$ ions is known, the energy transferred from the parallel energy to the internal excitations can be estimated. The internal energy of the incident $C_{60}^+$ ions can be deduced by measuring the $C_{58}^+$ fraction in the incident beam. Figure 9 shows the observed energy spectrum of the incident beam. There is a small $C_{58}^+$ peak at $2.9$ keV, which were formed by $C_2$ loss after the mass separation by the $90^\circ$ sector magnet. From the observed fraction ($6.3 \times 10^{-4}$) and the flight time between the magnet and the CEA ($112 \mu$s), the internal energy of the incident beam is estimated to be $33.4$ eV using eq. (1). The estimated internal energy is in good agreement with the value $34.5$ eV obtained for
C$_{60}^+$ ions produced by ECR ion source [5].

To estimate the average increment of the internal energy, we need the internal energy of the survived C$_{60}^+$ ions, $E_{in}(C_{60}^+)$. The lower and upper bounds of $E_{in}(C_{60}^+)$ are 33.4 and ~38 eV, respectively. Using these values, the upper and lower bounds of the energy spent for the internal excitations were estimated. Figure 10 shows the result together with the average energy loss of C$_{60}^+$ ions during the grazing angle scattering. The fraction of the dissipated parallel energy transferred to the internal excitations is about 10% or less. The main part of the dissipated parallel energy is, therefore, transferred to the KCl(001) surface.

4. Conclusion

We have observed the charge state, angular and energy distributions of reflected particles from a clean KCl(001) surface under grazing angle incidence of 3 keV C$_{60}^{+,2+}$ ions. The observed charge state distribution shows that C$_{60}^+$ is dominant irrespective of the incident charge state. This can be understood by the electronic structures of KCl and C$_{60}$ ions. Both resonant and Auger neutralization processes are prohibited for C$_{60}^+$ in front of KCl(001) while effective resonant neutralization of C$_{60}^{2+}$ is allowed. Except for the small angular shift due to the image acceleration, the observed angular distribution has a peak at the specular angle, indicating that the normal energy is not dissipated during the grazing angle scattering. The observed energy spectra, however, show fragmentation of C$_{60}^+$ due to C$_2$ emission. This indicates that a part of parallel energy is transferred to the internal excitations. The internal energy of the scattered C$_{60}^+$ ion after the grazing angle scattering is estimated from the observed fragment distribution. The estimated energy which is transferred from the parallel energy to the internal excitations during the grazing angle scattering is about 15 eV at $\theta_i \sim 6^\circ$ and decreases down to almost zero at $\theta_i \sim 1^\circ$. These energies are less than 10% of the observed energy losses of C$_{60}^+$ ions. The main part of the dissipated kinetic energy is transferred to the KCl(001) surface.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1  Observed angular distribution of reflected particles when 3 keV C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} ions are incident onto KCl(001) at θ\textsubscript{i} = 2°.

Fig. 2  Observed C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} fraction as a function of θ\textsubscript{i}. Results for 3-keV C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} incidence (solid circles) and 3-keV C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{2+} incidence (open circles) are shown.

Fig. 3  Most probable scattering angle of reflected ions (open circles) and neutral particles (solid circles) when 3 keV C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} ions are incident onto KCl(001). The results for 3-keV C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{2+} incidence are also shown.

Fig. 4  Examples of the observed energy spectra of reflected ions when 3 keV C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} ions are incident onto a KCl(001) surface under grazing incidence. A multi-peak structure is clearly seen at larger θ\textsubscript{i}.

Fig. 5  Examples of the observed energy spectra of reflected ions for 1 and 2 keV C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} incidence.

Fig. 6  Energy spectra of the C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} parent ions which were detected as C\textsubscript{60-2n}\textsuperscript{+} ions after C\textsubscript{2} loss (see text).

Fig. 7  Most probable energy losses of the C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{-} parent ions which were detected as C\textsubscript{60-2n}\textsuperscript{+} ions after C\textsubscript{2} loss. The lines through the data points guide the eye.

Fig. 8  The calculated probabilities that excited C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} ions are observed as C\textsubscript{60-2n}\textsuperscript{+} by the CEA after a series of C\textsubscript{2} loss.

Fig. 9  Observed energy spectrum of the incident C\textsubscript{60}\textsuperscript{+} beam. There is a small peak corresponding to C\textsubscript{58}\textsuperscript{+} ions which were produced by C\textsubscript{2} loss in the beam line after mass
Fig. 10 Estimated energy which are transferred from the parallel energy to the internal excitations during the reflection of 3 keV $C_{60}^+$. Observed average energy losses of the reflected $C_{60}^+$ ions are also shown for comparison.

separation.
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