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Magnetic Circular Dichroism of Spin-Forbidden Transitions in Fe$^{3+}$ High-Spin System

Hajime Kato
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Nada-ku, Kobe, Japan

The magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) of the aqueous solution of potassium trismalonateferrate(III) is measured at room temperature over the region 400 nm-750 nm. The MCD of the spin-forbidden d-d transitions of high spin iron(III) complexes is analyzed on the basis of ligand field theory. The electric dipole transition moments are calculated for two cases where d-d transitions are allowed by odd crystal field and by odd vibrations. The MCD analyses prove that the vibrations of $T_{1u}$ mode are effective in promoting transition $^{6}A_{1g} \rightarrow ^{4}T_{2g} (1)$. Comparison of the theoretically derived Faraday parameters with the observed MCD shows that the band at 640 nm is the transition $^{6}A_{1g} \rightarrow ^{4}T_{2g} (1)$ and that the band at 445 nm is composed of two transitions: transition $^{6}A_{1g} \rightarrow ^{4}A_{1g}$ at higher energy region and transition $^{6}A_{1g} \rightarrow ^{4}E_{g} (1)$ at lower energy region. The huge MCD of these transitions arises mainly from the $C$ term, which have its origin in the spin-degeneracy of the ground state.
I. INTRODUCTION

The polarized absorption spectrum of the trigonally distorted compound NaMgFe(ox)$_3$ at 77°K was reported by Piper and Carlin and the polarized spectrum of (NH$_4$)$_2$Fe(mal)$_3$ was reported by Hatfield. More recently Holt and Stingle have reported the spectra of Fe(urea)$_6$(ClO$_4$)$_3$ and NaMgFe(ox)$_3$ at 29°K, and concluded that while the absorption spectra of high spin iron(III) complexes might be assigned on energy grounds, no detail information as to the nature of the d-d transitions might be obtained from polarization data.

Recent magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) studies have demonstrated the utility of MCD spectroscopy in characterizing the symmetry of transitions and clarifying spectroscopic assignments. In case where the ground state is spin-degenerate but orbitally nondegenerate, the MCD of spin-forbidden transition shows huge effects. In this paper the MCD spectra of the aqueous solution of potassium tris(malonato)ferrate(III) are presented and an assignment of the spectrum is intended. Our purpose in this work is also to estimate the MCD parameters and attack the question what is the main source of the MCD of ferric ion.

The molar ellipticity (\([\theta]\)$_H$) per unit magnetic field for a transition $a \rightarrow j$ of molecules oriented at random in a magnetic field $\vec{H}$ along the direction of propagation of the light are given by
$$[\Theta(a \rightarrow j)]_N = -\frac{2\hbar N}{n_c} \left\{ \Delta(a \rightarrow j)f_1 + \frac{\Omega(a \rightarrow j) + \mathcal{G}(a \rightarrow j)}{kT} f_2 \right\},$$

where

$$\Delta(a \rightarrow j) = (2g_a)^{-1} \sum_{i,j} \langle j|a|j+1\rangle^2 \langle a|j\rangle \langle a|j+1\rangle,$$

$$\Omega(a \rightarrow j) = (g_a)^{-1} \sum_{i,j} \langle j|a|j+1\rangle^2 \langle a|j\rangle \langle a|j+1\rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{G}(a \rightarrow j) = (2d_a)^{-1} \sum_{i,j} \langle j|a|j+1\rangle^2 \langle a|j\rangle \langle a|j+1\rangle,$$

$$f_1 = \frac{4\nu_{ja}^3 \left( -\nu_{ja}^2 + \nu^2 \right) \Gamma_{ja}}{\hbar \left( \nu_{ja}^2 - \nu^2 \right)^2 + \nu^2 \Gamma_{ja}^2},$$

$$f_2 = \frac{\nu^2 \Gamma_{ja}}{\left( \nu_{ja}^2 - \nu^2 \right)^2 + \nu^2 \Gamma_{ja}^2},$$

$$\beta = \sum_i \epsilon_i e_i, \quad \gamma = \sum_i -\beta \left( \frac{\epsilon_i}{\Gamma_{ja}} + 2\kappa_i \right), \quad \hbar \nu_{ja} = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_a \quad \text{is the energy difference between the states } j \text{ and } a, \quad N \text{ is Avogadro's number, } g_a \text{ is the degeneracy of ground state } a, \quad \text{and } \Gamma_{ja} \text{ is the band width of the } a \rightarrow j \text{ transition. The summations in Eqs. (2) to (4) are over all transitions degenerate with } a \rightarrow j.$$

A powerful technique for extracting quantitative values for $\Delta$, $\Omega$, and $\mathcal{G}$ from the experimental data is the method of moments. The zeroth moment of the MCD satisfies the relation:

$$\int \left( [0]_N(\nu) \right) d\nu = -33.53(\beta + \mathcal{G}/kT),$$

where $(\beta + \mathcal{G}/kT)$ is expressed in square Debye per cm$^{-1}$ ($\beta =$ Bohr magneton), and $[0]_N$ is expressed in the conventional units of natural optical activity per unit magnetic field.
II. EXPERIMENTAL

The visible and ultraviolet absorption and MCD spectra of the trismalonatoferrate(III) ion, Fe(C$_3$H$_2$O$_4$)$_3$$^{3-}$, were measured in pure water solution of potassium trismalonato-ferrate(III) at room temperature (27±1°C). This complex was prepared by the method given in the literature.

The MCD of a solution was measured first with solute and then without; the latter trace provided the MCD baseline.

The absorption spectrum and MCD of Fe(C$_3$H$_2$O$_4$)$_3$$^{3-}$ are shown in Fig. 1, and the MCD baseline is also recorded in it.

The absorption and MCD spectra were recorded using a JASCO automatic recording spectrometer model J-10. A permanent magnet with an 8 mm axial hole and a 13 mm pole gap was placed in the sample compartment. The magnetic field was about 4500 gauss. The sample was poured into a quartz cell with 10 mm optical path. Reproducible MCD spectra were obtained at the most sensitive scale (1×10$^{-6}$ Absorbance/cm). The MCD was expressed as molar ellipticity [θ]$^M$ in degree decimeter$^{-1}$ mole$^{-1}$ gauss$^{-1}$. An aqueous solution of d-10-camphor-sulfonic acid (1 mg/ml) was chosen as the reference sample (A$_{290nm}$ = 0.00947 per cm).
III. CALCULATION OF FARADAY PARAMETER

To evaluate the Faraday parameter $\Delta$, $\Pi$, and $\zeta$ given by Eq.(2)~(4), we must determine the excited state wavefunctions and excitation energies. It is well established that the ligand field theory gives the correct description of the low-lying excited states of the octahedral ion. Piper and Carlin adjusted the parameters $D_0$, $B$, and $C$ assuming an octahedral field for Fe($\pi^3$)$_3^-$ so that the calculated energies of the transitions $6^{A_g} \rightarrow 4^{A_g}$, $6^{A_g} \rightarrow 4^{T_{1g}}$, and $6^{A_g} \rightarrow 4^{T_{2g}}$ (of $4G$) agree with the experimental ones, and obtained $D_0=1522$, $B=609$, and $C=3283 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Hatfield obtained $D_0=1419$, $B=609$, and $C=3135 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for Fe($\pi^3\delta_2^*0_4^*$)$_3^-$ in the same way, and showed that the observed higher energy band agrees well with the energy of the $6^{A_g} \rightarrow 4^{T_{2g}}$ transition predicted using the experimental parameters. For simplicity, we shall adopt the parameters $D_0=1500$, $B=600$, and $C=3200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and set up the matrices of Tanabe and Sugano for the $4^{T_{1g}}$, $4^{T_{2g}}$, and $4^{T_{1g}}$ states in terms of these parameters. By solving the secular equations of the energy matrices, we can determine the excitation energies and state wavefunctions.

The results obtained are listed in Table I. In the calculation of $B(a\rightarrow j)$ in Eq.(3), we neglect the mixing of the other higher energy states. This approximation is reasonable, since the main contribution of Zeeman effect to the lower energy $d-d$ transitions comes from the mixing of near energy bands.
To complete the quantitative prediction of Faraday parameters, it is necessary to evaluate the magnetic dipole moments and electric dipole moments. The magnetic dipole matrix elements can easily be expressed in terms of one-electron matrix elements, and the one-electron matrix elements of $Q$ are well known.

Electric dipole transitions are forbidden between the states of an electron configuration, since the states belong to the same parity. However, in the crystal in which absorption centers have no center of symmetry, this selection rule is slightly released both by odd crystal field and by odd vibrations. The latter exist always in any crystal even in which absorption centers have a center of symmetry.

In Fe(ox)$_3^{3-}$, the metal ion is on a site of $D_3$ symmetry. The splitting of the $4A_{1g}$ and $4E_g$ levels as a result of the lower symmetry associated with Fe(ox)$_3^{3-}$ is reported to be less than that observed for Fe(ox)$_3^{3-}$. However, the symmetry of the Fe(ox)$_3^{3-}$ ion is also $D_3$. Therefore, it is necessary to know the contribution of each cause: odd crystal field and odd vibrations. In the below, we shall first rest our attention to the electric dipole transitions allowed by odd vibrations, and next consider the electric dipole transitions caused by small odd crystal field.

A. Electric Dipole Transition Caused by Odd Vibrations

We assume here that the crystal field is due to the
six point dipoles of moment \( \vec{p} \) vibrating about the equilibrium positions \((zR, 0, 0), (0, zR, 0), \) and \((0, 0, zR)\) without changing their directions given by \((z1, 0, 0), (0, z1, 0), \) and \((0, 0, z1)\), respectively. The calculation of electric dipole transition moments is made on the basis of the theory by Koide and Pryce (referred to KP in the following). Bearing in mind that the ground state \( 6A_{1g} \) is the only sextet obtained from \( 3d^5 \) configuration and that the ground state \( 6A_{1g} \) couples only with \( 4T_{1g} \) states through the spin-orbit interaction

\[
H_{so} = \sum_{i} \frac{\mu_i}{2} \tau_i \cdot \hat{S}_i
\]

The spin-orbit interaction parameter is approximated to be \( 400 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). Using closure properties of the eigenfunctions, we approximate the electric dipole transition moment, which is allowed by odd vibration \( H_v \), between initial and final states as

\[
\langle \bar{\ell} f: n'| \bar{\ell} f: n \rangle = \sum_{k=1,2,3} \frac{\langle \bar{\ell} f: n' | H_{so} | 6A_{1g} \rangle}{\langle \bar{\ell} f: n' | 4T_{1g} \rangle} \langle \bar{\ell} f: n | \bar{\ell} f: n \rangle
\]

The matrix elements \( \langle \bar{\ell} f: n' | \bar{\ell} f: n \rangle \) can be reduced to

As in KP we shall assume that the molecule is initially in the vibrationally lowest energy state \( n=0 \), and that the final vibrational state is the one in which only one vibrational quantum number is excited, \( \bar{n}, \bar{v} = 0 \). Then,

\[
\langle \bar{\ell} i: 0 | \bar{\ell} f: n' \rangle = -\left( \frac{\hbar}{2m}\right)^{1/2} \langle \bar{\ell} i: 0 | \bar{\ell} f: n' \rangle
\]

The matrix elements \( \langle \bar{\ell} i: 0 | \bar{\ell} f: n' \rangle \) can be reduced to
the sum of one-electron integrals, which are given as a function of $e_r^2/r^4$, $e_r^4/r^6$, and $e_r^6/r^8$ \( \langle \rangle \) represents the average with respect to the radial part of \( 2d \) function.

The numerical value of $e_r^2/r^4$ is approximately evaluated by using the relationship $\Delta_0^2 = \frac{1}{3} \frac{e_r^2}{r^4}$, which is the magnitude of the splitting of the \( 3d \)-level in a cubic field. The values of $\langle r^2 \rangle$, $\langle r^4 \rangle$ and $\langle r^6 \rangle$ are calculated by using an analytical SCF wavefunction for Fe atom obtained by Clementi.\(^{(1,6)}\) The values are $\langle r^2 \rangle_{Fe} = 1.533 \text{a}_0^2$, $\langle r^4 \rangle_{Fe} = 5.852 \text{a}_0^4$, and $\langle r^6 \rangle_{Fe} = 50.167 \text{a}_0^6$. The values of $e_r^2/r^4$ and $e_r^6/r^8$ are obtained by using the value of $e_r^2/r^4$ and the calculated ratios $\langle r^2 \rangle/\langle r^4 \rangle$ and $\langle r^6 \rangle/\langle r^4 \rangle$, and assuming $R$ to be $4 \text{a}_0$.

The mean energy difference $\delta S$ is assumed to be $10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The mass $M$ of a ligand is approximated by that of oxygen atom.

The unknown infrared frequencies of the normal mode $T_1u(\tilde{v}_3)$, $T_1u(\tilde{v}_4)$, and $T_2u(\tilde{v}_9)$ are assumed to be $200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively.\(^{(7)}\) Then, we can calculate the electric dipole transition moments, and the oscillator strengths of the lower energy transitions are shown in Table II.

We can now calculate the Faraday parameters. In the limit of zero spin-orbit coupling, $\Lambda$, $\Sigma$, and $\Omega$ are independent of spin and only orbital degeneracy contributes to $\Lambda$ and $\Omega$ terms. However, the ground state of Fe\(^{5+}\) ion is $^6A_{1g}$ and the spin-forbidden transitions can be allowed by spin-orbit.
coupling of the ground state $^6\text{A}_{1g}$ with the excited states $^4\text{T}_{1g}$. The spin-orbit coupling mixes states of different total spin, and the following relations are satisfied:

$$\sum\{\langle ^6\text{A}_{1g}(M_s)\rangle\langle ^4\text{T}_{1g}(M_s')\rangle\rangle = \sum\{\langle ^6\text{A}_{1g}(-M_s)\rangle\langle ^4\text{T}_{1g}(-M_s')\rangle\rangle$$

where $M_s$ and $M_s'$ are the eigenvalues of spin angular momentum $s_z$. The $\Delta$ and $\zeta$ terms of the split components of the transition no longer cancel and no longer independent of spin. Therefore, all the spin-forbidden transitions of Fe$^{3+}$ high-spin systems can exhibit $\Delta$ and $\zeta$ terms independently of orbital degeneracy. The resultant NCD of spin-dependent $\Delta$ and $\zeta$ terms shows usual Faraday $\Delta$ and $\zeta$ term lineshapes, respectively. The numerical parameters obtained for transitions $^6\text{A}_{1g}\rightarrow^4\text{A}_{1g}$, $^6\text{A}_{1g}\rightarrow^4\text{E}$ (1), and $^6\text{A}_{1g}\rightarrow^4\text{T}_{2g}$ (1) are given in Table III. In the evaluation of the 1-st term in Eq. (3), we have used the Eq. (7) where $\mu$ is replaced by $\mu'$.  

B. Electric Dipole Transition Caused by Odd Crystal Field

Let us now consider the electric dipole transitions caused by small odd crystal field with $D_3$ symmetry. The coordinate axes are taken as the $z$-axis is parallel to the $C_3$ axis and the $y$-axis is one of the $C_2$ axis. Then, the perturbing potential of symmetry $D_3$ of odd parity is given by

$$H_u = \sum_{d_j} V_u(r_{j}) \mu'$$

$$V_u(r_{j}) = 2 \sum_{d_j} \left\{ \frac{\mu'}{4 \Delta} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle \beta_3, \beta_j \rangle + \langle \gamma_3, \gamma_j \rangle \right) \right] \right\}$$

where

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{d_j} \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle \alpha, \beta_j \rangle + \langle \beta, \alpha_j \rangle \right)$$
where \( B' \) is a constant determined on the basis of a physical model, and \( H_u \) is the potential due to effective dipole moments located at the atoms attached to the metal ion. Then \( B' \) is given as
\[
B' = 6\left(\frac{\mu_{\text{eff}}}{R}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{p_p}{R^5} \tag{11}
\]
where \( p \) is the component of the dipole moment in the plane of bidentate ring and perpendicular to the direction of the metal-ligand bond, and \( p' \) is the component perpendicular to the plane.

The matrix element of the electric dipole moment, which is allowed by small odd crystal field \( H_u \), is given by
\[
\langle i | \mathbf{d} | f \rangle = -\frac{2}{\alpha} \langle i | \mathbf{H}_u | f \rangle \tag{12}
\]
in the same approximation as in Eq.(8). The trigonal bases used in the calculation of matrix element \( \langle i | \mathbf{H}_u | f \rangle \) are well summarized by Shinada.

The numerical values of \( p \) and \( p' \) in Eq.(11) are approximated by \( \mu \), which is determined by the splitting of the 3d-level in a cubic field and by the value of \( \langle r^4 \rangle_{\text{Fe}} \) obtained in the above section. Using the values, \( \xi = 400 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), \( \delta E = 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( z = 4a_0 \), we have calculated the electric dipole transition moments. The transition moments are calculated by the analogous formula as Eq.(7), and the oscillator strengths of the transitions are also calculated (Table IV). Using these results, the Faraday parameters \( A \), \( B \), and \( C \) are calculated, and the results are shown in Table V.
IV. DISCUSSION

The absorption spectrum of Fe(C₃H₂O₄)³⁻ exhibits two broad bands at lower energies, 900 nm and 640 nm, and a sharp band at 445 nm. Unfortunately we could not measure the MCD for the first band because of the limit of instrument. The higher energy transitions are masked by the onset of a high-intensity band. The observed MCD spectrum (Fig.1) shows \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT)\)-term line shape at 640 nm. The MCD spectrum at 445 nm shows an interesting line shape, which gives us the information that two bands are overlapping. If we assume that the MCD comes from both \(A\)-term and \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT)\)-term of a transition, then we cannot understand the positive values of \([\mathcal{M}]\) on both sides of a sharp and negative MCD band. We shall give the interpretation of these MCD band later in this section.

Let us now extract \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT)\) by integrating the experimental MCD data according to Eq.(6). In order to estimate the order of magnitude for convenience, we shall tentatively resolve the MCD spectrum at 445 nm into two bands: one is a positive \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT)\)-term line shape at slightly high frequency and another is a negative \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT)\)-term line shape at slightly low frequency. The results are \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT) = 7.39\) for the band at 640 nm, \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT) = 2.92\) and \((\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}/kT) = -2.62\) for the lower and upper bands at 445 nm region, respectively (All values are in units of \(10^{-24}\mathcal{B}[\text{cm}^2]/[\text{cm}^{-1}]\)).
To estimate theoretically the magnitude of $A_-, B_-, and C-$terms in the region of the absorption band, the maximum contributions of each term to the MCD may be compared, of which the ratio is given by $3\sqrt{3}A/4\pi \gamma : B : C/kT$. Let us assume $\Gamma \sim 2400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g} (1)$ transition, $\Gamma \sim 800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4E_g (1), ^4A_{ig}$ transitions, and $kT=200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (room temperature). First, we calculate the ratios for the transitions caused by odd crystal field. Thus the values of $A$, $B$, and $C$ presented in Table V are employed. The ratios are $-0.121 : 0.104 : 0.727$ for the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4E_g (1)$ transition, $0.096 : -0.104 : -1.690$ for the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g} (1)$ transition, and the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4A_{ig}$ transition is forbidden. The $A$ terms are small, which is in agreement with the observed MCD. However, the values of $(B + C/kT)$ are calculated to be 0 for $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4A_{ig}$, 0.831 for $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4E_g (1)$, and $-1.794$ for $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g} (1)$, and these results contradict with the observed MCD.

Next, if we assume the transitions are caused by odd vibrations of $T_{1u} (\psi_3)$ mode, the $A$, $B$, and $C$ terms are in the ratio (See Table III) $0.175 : 0.342 : -1.048$ for the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4A_{ig}$ transition, $-0.277 : -0.411 : 1.658$ for the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4E_g (1)$ transition, and $-0.136 : 0.180 : 3.247$ for the $^6A_{ig} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g} (1)$ transition. The $A$ terms are small, which is in agreement with the observed MCD, and this holds true also for the transition associated with the other odd vibrations of $T_{1u} (\psi_3)$ and $T_{2u} (\psi_6)$ modes. Further, the $C/kT$ term is dominant in $(B + C/kT)$. 
The calculated values of \((B + \zeta/kT)\) for the \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow T_{2g} (1)\) transition are in good agreement with the observed MCD of the band at 640 nm. The calculated results show that the vibrations of \(T_{1u}\) mode are effective in promoting the \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow T_{2g} (1)\) transition. On the other hand, the calculated results for the \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow E_g (1)\) and \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow A_{1g}\) transitions show that the transitions caused by \(T_{1u}\) and \(T_{2u}\) modes of vibration give the comparable order of \((B + \zeta/kT)\) values with opposite signs. Hatfield observed the polarized crystal spectrum of \((NH_4)_2Fe(mal)_3\) and found two maxima: one at 22880 cm\(^{-1}\) and another at 22660 cm\(^{-1}\). Assuming the splitting of the \(4A_{1g}\) and \(E_g (0)\) level to be 200 cm\(^{-1}\) (the transition \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow E_g (1) + h\nu_6\) at 22500 cm\(^{-1}\) and the transition \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow A_{1g} + h\nu_6\) at 22700 cm\(^{-1}\)), we have shown the magnitude of \((B + \zeta/kT)\) by a diagram (Fig. 2) to see how the calculated results compose the MCD line shape. The qualitative feature of the observed MCD can be well explained by this diagram. On the other hand, if we assume that the \(E_g (0)\) level is higher than \(4A_{1g}\) level, we cannot understand the observed MCD. Therefore, we conclude that the \(4A_{1g}\) level is higher than \(E_g (0)\) level.

Our MCD spectra are markedly similar to those of \(Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}\), which is isoelectronic with \(Fe^{3+}\) ion. The optical spectra of \(Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}\) are well characterized. If the first band of \(Fe(C_2H_2O_4)_3^{3-}\) corresponds to the first band of manganeous ion, i.e., to the \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow T_{1g} (1)\) transition, the MCD is expected to show the positive \([B]_M\) of \((B + \zeta/kT)\)-term line shape. The calculated values of \(\zeta/kT\) for the \(6A_{1g} \rightarrow T_{1g} (1)\) transition is found to be -3.961, which is the same order of magnitude.
with the value obtained for the $6_{A_1g} \rightarrow {^4T}_{2g}(1)$ transition and of opposite sign. Thus, the present studies on the MCD of ferric ion also support the previous assignment $^2A_2' \rightarrow 6_{A_1g} \rightarrow {^4T}_{1g}(1)$ for the first band.

The oscillator strengths calculated as electric dipole transitions caused by odd crystal field (Table IV) are a little smaller than the observed ones, and the observed polarization data cannot be explained well. In the present calculation of intensities of spin-forbidden bands, we have neglected the mixing of the higher $^6Y$ states as in KP. On the other hand Holt and Dingle have tried to explain the dichroism of trigonally distorted iron(III) complexes by including the mixing of higher $^6Y$ states to $^4f$ state, but the polarization behavior of absorption spectra could not be explained well.

The oscillator strengths calculated as electric dipole transitions caused by odd vibrations (Table II) are a little smaller than the observed ones, but the overall characters are in good agreement with experiment. The polarization behavior of the crystals of trigonally distorted ferric compounds cannot be well explained as an electric dipole transition caused by odd vibrations in an octahedral field, while the polarization behavior may be explained as the transitions which are allowed by vibrations in D$_3$ symmetry reduced from vibrations in D$_h$ symmetry.
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Fig. 1. MCD and absorption spectrum of \( \text{Fe(C}_3\text{H}_5\text{O})_3^{3+} \) in water at room temperature (27°C). \( \epsilon \) is the molar extinction coefficient. \( [\Theta]_M \) is the molar ellipticity per gauss.
Table I. States in the case of cubic field and the configuration, excitation energy from the ground state $6^1\text{A}_1\text{g}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Configuration$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6^1\text{A}_{1g}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\delta^3(4\text{A}_2)\gamma^2(3\text{A}_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{A}_{1g}$</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>$\delta^3(4\text{A}_2)\gamma^2(3\text{A}_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{A}_{2g}$</td>
<td>35600</td>
<td>$\delta^3(4\text{A}_2)\gamma^2(3\text{A}_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{E}_g(1)$</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\delta^3(2\text{E})\gamma^2(3\text{A}_2)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\delta^3(3\text{A}_2)\gamma^2(1\text{E})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{E}_g(2)$</td>
<td>26200</td>
<td>$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\delta^3(2\text{E})\gamma^2(3\text{A}_2)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\delta^3(3\text{A}_2)\gamma^2(1\text{E})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{T}_{1g}(1)$</td>
<td>9650</td>
<td>$0.991\delta^4\gamma(3\text{F}_1)\gamma+0.095\delta^2(2\text{F}_2)\gamma\gamma(3\text{A}_2)-0.096\delta^2(3\text{F}_1)\gamma\gamma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{T}_{1g}(2)$</td>
<td>33000</td>
<td>$-0.055\delta^4\gamma(3\text{F}_1)\gamma+0.951\delta^2(2\text{F}_2)\gamma\gamma(3\text{A}_2)-0.354\delta^2(3\text{F}_1)\gamma\gamma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{T}_{1g}(3)$</td>
<td>41600</td>
<td>$0.123\delta^4\gamma(3\text{F}_1)\gamma-0.345\delta^2(2\text{F}_2)\gamma\gamma(3\text{A}_2)+0.930\delta^2(3\text{F}_1)\gamma\gamma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{T}_{2g}(1)$</td>
<td>13700</td>
<td>$0.969\delta^4\gamma(3\text{F}_1)\gamma-0.167\delta^2(2\text{F}_2)\gamma\gamma(3\text{A}_2)-0.184\delta^2(3\text{F}_1)\gamma\gamma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{T}_{2g}(2)$</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>$0.174\delta^4\gamma(3\text{F}_1)\gamma+0.938\delta^2(2\text{F}_2)\gamma\gamma(3\text{A}_2)+0.022\delta^2(3\text{F}_1)\gamma\gamma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\text{T}_{2g}(3)$</td>
<td>46100</td>
<td>$0.175\delta^4\gamma(3\text{F}_1)\gamma-0.053\delta^2(2\text{F}_2)\gamma\gamma(3\text{A}_2)+0.983\delta^2(3\text{F}_1)\gamma\gamma^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ In cubic field the $d$-level split into two levels, i.e., the lower triply degenerate $d_3$ of $T_{2g}$ symmetry and the upper doubly degenerate $d_2$ of $E_g$ symmetry.
Table II. Oscillator strengths calculated as an electric dipole transition caused by odd vibrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Associated vibration</th>
<th>Oscillator strengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6A_{1g} \to , ^4T_{2g}(1)$</td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_3)$</td>
<td>$5.56 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_4)$</td>
<td>$3.44 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{2u}(\psi_6)$</td>
<td>$0.47 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6A_{1g} \to , ^4E_g(1)$</td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_3)$</td>
<td>$3.94 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_4)$</td>
<td>$1.22 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{2u}(\psi_6)$</td>
<td>$4.59 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6A_{1g} \to , ^4A_{1g}$</td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_3)$</td>
<td>$2.69 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_4)$</td>
<td>$0.39 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{2u}(\psi_6)$</td>
<td>$2.95 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6A_{1g} \to , ^4T_{1g}(2)$</td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_3)$</td>
<td>$1.52 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{1u}(\psi_4)$</td>
<td>$2.61 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{2u}(\psi_6)$</td>
<td>$0.11 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table III. Faraday parameters \( A, B, \) and \( C \) calculated as an electric dipole transition caused by odd vibrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Associated vibration</th>
<th>Faraday parameter (Cal.)</th>
<th>( \frac{B}{A} + \frac{C}{kT} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( ^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g} )</td>
<td>( T_{1u} (\psi_3) )</td>
<td>-25.5 0.180 649.4</td>
<td>3.127 7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( T_{1u} (\psi_4) )</td>
<td>-42.1 0.039 140.1</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( T_{2u} (\psi_6) )</td>
<td>6.8 0.050 -20.5</td>
<td>-0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4E_S )</td>
<td>( T_{1u} (\psi_3) )</td>
<td>-70.6 -0.411 331.6</td>
<td>1.247 2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( T_{1u} (\psi_4) )</td>
<td>-13.7 0.211 30.6</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( T_{2u} (\psi_6) )</td>
<td>180.2 0.033 -350.3</td>
<td>-1.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4A_{1g} )</td>
<td>( T_{1u} (\psi_3) )</td>
<td>107.8 0.352 -209.6</td>
<td>-0.706 -2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( T_{1u} (\psi_4) )</td>
<td>35.2 -0.214 -68.4</td>
<td>-0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( T_{2u} (\psi_6) )</td>
<td>-118.8 0.057 231.0</td>
<td>1.212</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^8 \) In units of \( 10^{-24} \text{e}^2 \text{cm}^2 \). \( ^9 \) In units of \( 10^{-24} \text{e}^2 \text{cm}^2/\text{cm}^{-1} \).
Table IV. Oscillator strengths calculated as an electric dipole transition caused by odd crystal field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Polarization</th>
<th>Oscillator strengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g}(1): ^4T_{2g}$</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>$3.47 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^4A_1$</td>
<td>forbidden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4E_g(1): ^4E_g$</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>$3.75 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4A_{1g}$</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>$4.49 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^4A_2$</td>
<td>$2.24 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V. Faraday parameters $\bar{g}$, $\bar{g}$, and $\bar{q}$ calculated as electric dipole transitions caused by odd crystal field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>$\bar{g}$</th>
<th>$\bar{g}$</th>
<th>$\Delta \bar{g}$</th>
<th>$L^2$</th>
<th>$\bar{q}$</th>
<th>$(B + \bar{q}/kT)^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g}(1): ^4E_g$</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>-335.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4E_g(1): ^4E_g$</td>
<td>-74.8</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ In units of $10^{-24} \beta e^2 [cm^2]$. $^b$ In units of $10^{-24} \beta e^2 [cm^2]/[cm \cdot 1]$. 

Fig. 2. A diagram shows how the calculated results compose the MCD line shape. 

- Transition $^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4E_g (1) + h\nu_3$, 
- Transition $^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4E_g (1) + h\nu_3$, 
- Transition $^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4E_g (1) + h\nu_4$, 
- Transition $^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4A_{1g} + h\nu_3$, 
- Transition $^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4A_{1g} + h\nu_4$, 

$^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4A_{1g} + h\nu_6$. 
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