

The Anderson Localization in Metallic Films

Shun-ichi Kobayashi

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo
Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113

In this article, we present a quick review of recent experimental works on the Anderson localization and the electron-electron interaction effects in metallic films.

Soon after the theory by "gang of four"¹⁾ in 1979, the predicted $\ln T$ dependence was observed by Dolan and Osheroff²⁾ in their films of Au-Pd. Since then, the theories and the experiments made surprisingly rapid progress and the study is now being extended to wider fields such as superconductivity and strong localization.

We summarize here the convention of notations which appear in the following.

τ_0 : elastic scattering time.

τ_ϵ : inelastic scattering time which is supposed to be $\tau_\epsilon \propto T^{-P}$.

τ_{SO} : spin orbit scattering time.

τ_s : magnetic scattering time.

F : shielding factor for electron-electron Coulomb interaction.

α_T^L and α_T^I : coefficient of $\ln T$ in temperature dependence of conductivity due to the localization and that to the electron-electron interaction.

From experimental point of view, the most important is to prepare good samples. The samples should be thinner than $\sim 100 \text{ \AA}$ to assure the 2-dimensionality. They also should be considerably resistive, $\gtrsim 100 \Omega$, to make it possible to measure expected small change in conductivity with reasonable accuracies. Pd and Pt were chosen because these form very thin continuous film when vacuum deposited.^{2,4,10)} Alloying were employed to get higher resistivity. For Mg, Au etc., cold substrate were used to obtain continuous films.^{11,15,19)} For Cu^{3,7)} Ni and Mn films,¹⁶⁾ Cu based films¹³⁾ and some of Zn films,²²⁾ a new technique was used: it takes the advantage of the phenomenon, which is unfavourable in general, that the very thin film evaporated onto room temperature substrate forms isolated islands instead of a continuous film. After slightly oxidizing the first sheet of islands another sheet of islands were deposited. Repeating this process three times (or twice, depending on the material) a continuous and very resistive film could be obtained. The resistivity could be changed in several orders of magnitude by controlling the oxidation degree without effecting the their parameters. Further, by changing the material of the last sheet of islands, some parameters such as the strength of spin-orbit interaction

could be selectively changed.

The measurements of conductivity are rather conventional. To now, the temperature down to 10 mK and the magnetic field up to 13 T have been used. The measuring currents are mostly dc and sometimes very low frequency. High frequency measurement at microwave region has not been performed so far. Non-ohmicity was studied both theoretically (see the article by T. Tsuzuki in this report) and experimentally. However, because it is very hard to exclude the possibility of heating-up of samples, no conclusive results has been obtained in experiments.

Following the first report by Dolan et al., the $\ln T$ dependence of sheet conductivity $\sigma_D(T)$ in much wider range of temperature was observed in Cu films³⁾ (Fig. 1). Similar $\ln T$ dependence was observed in Pt,⁴⁾ Pd,^{4,5)} Cu.⁶⁾ For all of these measurements, the coefficient of $\ln T$ term α_T was very close to $\frac{e^2}{2\pi^2\hbar}$ as was predicted by "gang of four". Therefore, it was believed that all these $\ln T$ were due to the localization effects at the time.

However a serious difficulty was pointed out when the α_T in Cu film was found not to change in magnetic field of up to 6 T.⁷⁾ According to the localization theory, 6 T was large enough to suppress the temperature dependence of σ_D completely. By this result, it was suggested that the $\ln T$ dependence arose from the electron-electron interaction⁸⁾ but not from the localization.⁹⁾ Later measurements in Pt film in high magnetic field¹⁰⁾ also suggested the same mechanism.

Besides the T dependence, the magnetic field dependence was found to be satisfactorily explained by the localization theory which takes the spin-orbit interaction into account.^{7,11,12)} Especially, the effect of spin orbit interaction was clearly demonstrated in composite samples of Cu-Cu, Cu-Ag and Cu-Au.¹³⁾ These samples consisted of two layers of Cu fine particles and the last layer of Cu, Ag and Au. Because these three elements are isoelectronic, only the strength of spin-orbit interaction was varied. By using the values of τ_0 and τ_c which were determined by fitting in the sample of Cu-Cu, the results for Cu-Ag and Cu-Au were satisfactorily reproduced by adjusting only τ_{SO} . The values of τ_{SO} thus obtained for three films were quite reasonable (Fig. 2). By fitting the $\Lambda_0(H)$ at various temperatures, the values of the temperature dependence of τ_i were determined. Assuming $\tau_i \propto T^{-P}$, P was found to be very small as ~ 0.2 for Cu films.¹³⁾ This suggested that the observed $\ln T$ dependence was mainly due to the interaction effect. This was consistent with the result that α_T did not depend strongly on the magnetic field. In the other samples than Cu, such as Pd¹⁴⁾ and Pt,¹⁰⁾ the values of P were also very small. On the other hand, the interaction effect in the field dependence was found to be also

small; F were as small as 0.1.¹³⁾ This small value of F also suppressed the effect of magnetic field through the spin-orbit interaction and of the Zeeman splitting,⁸⁾ and resulted in an field-insensitive α_T^I .

The role of τ_s , the spin scattering time, was first measured in Fe coated Mg films,¹⁵⁾ more systematically in Cu-Mn alloy films and in Ni and Mn films¹⁶⁾ as the extremely magnetic cases. For the films magnetically dirtier, the field dependence became weaker, being consistent with the localization theories (Fig. 3). The value of α_T stayed unaffected by the field as expected from the interaction mechanism. It was pointed out that the small value of P observed in Cu, Pd and Pt might be attributed to some paramagnetic centers in the films. Even if $\tau_c \propto T^{-P}$, the effective rate to determine the amplitude of $\ln T$ through the localization mechanism is given as the sum of τ_c^{-1} and $(\tau_s/2)^{-1}$.¹²⁾ Therefore, when τ_c is much longer than τ_s , α_T^L , which is proportional to P , becomes zero because τ_s is usually temperature independent. Actually, in Cu, Ag and Au, films $P = 1 \sim 2$ was observed at higher temperatures where τ_c is expected much shorter than τ_s ^{17,18)} (Fig. 4). In the films of Mg¹⁹⁾ and Bi,²⁰⁾ the values of $1 \sim 2$ for P were observed even at low temperatures, probably because that no impurity could be magnetic in these materials.

In Bi films the total α_T , which is the sum of α_T^L and α_T^I , was observed to increase when the magnetic field was applied.²⁰⁾ This result was consistent with the negative sign of α_T^L for the strong limit of the spin-orbit interaction; in strong field α_T^L becomes zero regardless of its sign. The reason why it was observable in Bi, but in Au, Pt or Pd, is that in the latter $\alpha_T^L \sim 0$.

The other properties such as the cross-over from 2 to 3 dimension,²¹⁾ the effect of the field parallel to the films¹⁴⁾ and the electric field effects¹⁰⁾ were also studied.

At present, as far as the normal metal films in weakly localized region are concerned, the understandings of localization and interaction effects seem to be well established both theoretically and experimentally. The next field to be studied may be the superconductivity and the strong localization. The superconductivity, in a naïve sense, contradicts the localization, and at the same time has the same origin as the interaction effects. The measurements done in Zn films²²⁾ showed that the superconducting transition temperature T_c dropped linearly with the increase of sheet resistance, and that the curves H_c vs. temperature had upward curvatures (Fig. 5). These results were quantitatively consistent with the theories²³⁾ which corresponded to the higher order corrections to the Anderson theorem for dirty superconductors.

Some activation type behaviors in very resistive films were reported.^{3,24,25)} Nevertheless no systematic studies has been done in strongly localized regime.

References

- 1) E. Abrahams, P.W. Anderson, D.C. Licciardello and T.V. Ramakrishnan; Phys. Rev. Letters 42 673 (1979)
- 2) G.J. Dolan and D.D. Osheroff; Phys. Rev. Letters 43 721 (1979)
- 3) S. Kobayashi, F. Komori, Y. Ootuka and W. Sasaki; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 49 1635 (1980)
- 4) R.S. Markiewicz and L.A. Harris; Phys. Rev. Letters 46 1149 (1981)
- 5) W.C. McGinnis, M.J. Burns, R.W. Simon, G. Deutscher and P.M. Chaikin; Physica 107 B 5 (1981)
- 6) L. van den dries, C. Van Haesendonck, Y. Bruynseraede and G. Deutscher; Phys. Rev. Letters 46 565 (1981) and Phys. Rev. B25 5090 (1982)
- 7) F. Komori, S. Kobayashi, Y. Ootuka and W. Sasaki; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 50 1051 (1981)
- 8) B.L. Altshuler, A.G. Aronov and P.A. Lee; Phys. Rev. Letters 44 1288 (1980), H. Fukuyama; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 48 2169 (1980)
- 9) L.P. Gorkov, A.I. Larkin and D.E. Khmel'nitzkii; JETP Letters 30 248 (1979)
- 10) H. Hoffmann, F. Hoffmann and W. Schoepe; Phys. Rev. B25 5563 (1982)
- 11) G. Bergmann; Phys. Rev. Letters 48 1046 (1982)
- 12) S. Hikami, A.I. Larkin and Y. Nagaoka; Prog. Theor. Phys. 63 707 (1980)
- 13) F. Komori, S. Kobayashi and W. Sasaki; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 51 3136 (1982)
- 14) R.S. Markiewicz and C.J. Rollins; preprint
- 15) G. Bergmann; Phys. Rev. Letters 49 162 (1982)
- 16) F. Komori, S. Kobayashi and W. Sasaki; J. Magn. and Magn. Matter. 35 74 (1983)
- 17) G. Bergmann; Z. Phys. B48 5 (1982)
- 18) F. Komori and S. Kobayashi; in preparation
- 19) G. Bergmann; Phys. Rev. B25 2937 (1982)
- 20) F. Komori, S. Kobayashi and W. Sasaki; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 52 368 (1983)
- 21) Z. Ovadyahu, S. Moehlecke and Y. Imry; Surf. Sci. 113 544 (1982)
- 22) S. Kobayashi, S. Okuma, F. Komori and W. Sasaki; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 52 20 (1983), S. Okuma, F. Komori and S. Kobayashi; in preparation
- 23) S. Maekawa and H. Fukuyama; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 51 1380 (1982), S. Maekawa, H. Ebisawa and H. Fukuyama; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 52 (1983)
- 24) F. Komori, S. Kobayashi and W. Sasaki; Surf. Sci. 113 540 (1982)
- 25) G. Deutscher, B. Bandyopadhyay, T. Chui, P. Lindenfeld, W.L. Mclean and T. Worthington; Phys. Rev. Letters 44 1150 (1980)

Figure Captions

- Fig.1 The temperature dependence of conductivity σ in Cu films.^{3,24)} The variation is well fitted to $\sigma(T) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \ln T$ where σ_1 is very close to $e^2/2\pi^2\hbar$.
- Fig.2 The magnetic field dependence of conductivity in Cu, Cu-Ag and Cu-Au films¹³⁾ with $\sigma_0 \approx 2\text{mmho}$. The curves in the figures are the fitting to the theory.
¹²⁾ $\tau_0 (= 9.5 \times 10^{-14} \text{ sec})$, $\tau_\epsilon (= 2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ sec})$ and $D (= 1.9 \times 10^1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec})$ are common for all three and τ_{s0} are chosen to be $2.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{ sec}$ for the Cu film, $1.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ sec}$ for the Cu-Ag film and $2.8 \times 10^{-13} \text{ sec}$ for the Cu-Au film.
- Fig.3 The magnetic field dependence of conductivity in Cu-Mn alloy films with $\sigma_0 \approx 2 \text{ mmho}$.¹⁶⁾ No.1 is pure Cu and the concentration of Mn increases with the the sample number from 0.1 to 12 % as shown below in Table 1. The results are fitted to the theory¹²⁾ with the parameters, $\tau_{s0} = 2.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{ sec}$, $\tau_\epsilon = 2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ sec}$ and others are given in Table 1. Here we assume D and τ_0 is proportional to σ_0 . The value of τ_s are varied from $2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ sec}$ to $3.3 \times 10^{-13} \text{ sec}$ to get best fits.
- Fig.4 The temperature dependence of the energy relaxation time τ'_ϵ in Cu films^{13,18)} The values of τ'_ϵ are deduced from the fitting of the magnetoconductance at fixed temperature to the theory disregarding the influence of τ_s .
- Fig.5 The superconducting transition temperature vs. sheet resistivity in Zn films²²⁾. The solid line represents the theoretical results with parameters,
 T_c in pure material ; $T_{c0} = 1.02 \text{ K}$,
 The Fermi energy ; $E_F = 2.68 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$.
- The samples were prepared by two methods, but the results have no systematic difference, implying that suppression of T_c is dominated only by τ_0 .

Table 1 List of Cu-Mn films. $\sigma_0(0)$'s and parameters are given.

[Cu-Mn]	$\sigma_0(0)$ (mmho)	D ($\times 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$)	τ_0 ($\times 10^{-14} \text{ sec}$)	τ_s ($\times 10^{-12} \text{ sec}$)	Mn concentration (at%)
No.1	3.327	1.2	4.0	200.	$< 10^{-3}$
No.2	3.093	1.1	3.7	60	0.1
No.3	2.548	0.9	3.1	8.5	0.3
No.4	3.148	1.1	3.7	2.5	0.9
No.5	1.586	0.6	2.0	1.4	3.3
No.6	2.587	0.9	3.1	.33	12.

