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Introduction.

Let $k$ be a non-archimedean local field with the standard norm $| |$. Zelevinskii [2] parametrized all the irreducible smooth representations of $GL(n,k)$ using the multisets of segments of cuspidal representations. In the present paper we determine when the irreducible representations of $GL(n,k)$ have non-degenera Whittaker models in Zelevinskii's parametrization. We also study for degenerate Whittaker models.

Bernstein [1] gave a criterion of unitarizability of irreducible representations of $GL(n,k)$ along Zelevinskii's parameter. Applying his criterion, we find the unitarizability
condition of irreducible representations of \( \text{GL}(2, k) \), \( \text{GL}(3, k) \), and of multiplicity free support.

In the final section we compute values of Zelevinskii's duality and ascertain Bernstein's unitarizability conjecture for some special cases.

1. Zelevinskii's parametrization and Whittaker models.

If \( (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \) is a partition of the number \( n \) and \( \varphi_i \) is an irreducible representation of \( \text{GL}(n_i, k) \), then we have the tensor product representation \( \tau = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_r \) of \( \prod_{i=1}^{r} \text{GL}(n_i, k) \), which is isomorphic to the block diagonal subgroup \( D \) of \( \text{GL}(n, k) \).

The representation \( \tau \) can be extended to the representation \( \tilde{\tau} \) of the standard parabolic subgroup \( P \) of \( \text{GL}(n, k) \) by the canonical epimorphism \( P \twoheadrightarrow D \). We call the induced representation

\[
\text{Ind}_P^{\text{GL}(n, k)} \tau
\]

the product representation of \( \varphi_i \) and denote it by \( \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2 \times \cdots \times \varphi_r \).

Let \( \varphi \) be a cuspidal representation of \( \text{GL}(n, k) \) and \( \alpha \) be a real number. We denote by \( \varphi^\alpha \) the cuspidal representation defined by

\[
g \mapsto |\det g|^\alpha \varphi(g) \quad (g \in \text{GL}(n, k)).
\]

A finite
set $\Delta$ is called a segment of length $m$ if it is of the form $\Delta = \{ \varphi, \varphi', \varphi'', \ldots, \varphi^{m-1} \}$, where $\varphi$ is a cuspidal representation of $GL(n,k)$.

Let $\Delta_1 = \{ \varphi_1, \varphi_1', \varphi_1'', \ldots, \varphi_1^{m_1-1} \}$, $\Delta_2 = \{ \varphi_2, \varphi_2', \varphi_2'', \ldots, \varphi_2^{m_2-1} \}$ be segments. We say that $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ are linked if the union $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ is a segment different from $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$. If $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ are linked and $\varphi_2 = \varphi_2^k \varphi_1$ for some $k > 0$ then we say that $\Delta_1$ precedes $\Delta_2$.

Let $\Delta = \{ \varphi, \varphi', \ldots, \varphi^{m-1} \}$ be a segment. Then the product representation $\varphi \times \varphi' \times \ldots \times \varphi^{m-1}$ is reducible if $m > 1$ and has a unique irreducible subrepresentation, which we denote by $\langle \Delta \rangle$.

Let $\alpha = \{ \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_r \}$ be a multiset of segments. (Each element of a multiset may have multiplicity. See [2].) Suppose for each pair of indices $i, j$ such that $i < j$, $\Delta_i$ does not precede $\Delta_j$. Then the product representation $\langle \Delta_1 \rangle \times \langle \Delta_2 \rangle \times \ldots \times \langle \Delta_r \rangle$ has a unique subrepresentation. We denote it by $\langle \alpha \rangle$.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the set of all multisets of segments.
Let \( a \in \mathcal{O} \). Call an elementary operation on the multiset \( a \) the replacement in it of linked segments \( \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \) by \( \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2, \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \). Further we can define an order \( \leq \) in \( \mathcal{O} \): \( b \leq a \) if \( b \) may be obtained from \( a \) by a chain of elementary operations.

We denote by \( R_n \) the Grothendieck group of the abelian category of smooth \( GL(n,k) \)-modules of finite length. We regard \( GL(0,k) \) as the trivial group.

We introduce the product \( \times \) on \( R = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n \) by the induction functors (product representations)

\[
R_n \times R_m \ni (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \sigma \times \tau \in R_{n+m}.
\]

Then the algebra \( R \) is associative and commutative.

For a multiset \( a = \{ \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_r \} \), \( \tau(a) \) denotes the element \( \langle \Delta_1 \rangle \times \langle \Delta_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \Delta_r \rangle \) of \( R \).

We put \( \text{Irr} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ \text{irreducible smooth representations of } GL(n,k) \} \).

Then we have the following
Theorem 1 (Zelevinskii [2]).

(1) \( \emptyset \ni a \rightarrow \langle a \rangle \in \text{Irr} \) is bijective.

(2) \( (\Pi(a))_{a \in \emptyset} \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis of \( R \). In other words, \( R \) is the polynomial ring over \( \mathbb{Z} \) in variables \( \langle \Delta \rangle \) \( (\Delta \in \mathcal{S}) \), where \( \mathcal{S} \) is the set of segments.

For the above correspondence (1), we have furthermore a proposition.

Proposition 2.

Let \( a \) be a multiset and \( \Pi \) be the corresponding irreducible representation. Then the representation \( \Pi \) has a non-degenerate Whittaker model if and only if the multiset \( a \) consists of one-point segments.

The proof depends on the arguments of derivatives of representations (see [2]).
We give a list of the correspondence between some classes of multisets and irreducible representations (see \([1,2]\)).

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \text{the set of multisets consisting} \} & \leftrightarrow \{ \text{the set of representations} \} \\
\text{of a single segment} & \leftrightarrow \{ \text{whose restriction to } P \text{ still irreducible} \} \\
& \\
\{ \text{of a single one-point segment} \} & \leftrightarrow \{ \text{cuspidal rep.} \} \\
& \\
\{ \text{of one-point segments} \} & \leftrightarrow \{ \text{quasi-square-integrable rep.} \} \\
\{ \varphi, \nu^1 \varphi, \nu^2 \varphi, \ldots, \nu^{m-1} \varphi \} & \quad (m \geq 1) \\
& \\
\{ \text{of one-point segments} \} & \leftrightarrow \{ \text{rep. having non-degenerate Whittaker models} \}
\end{align*}
\]

\(\star\) Here we denote by \(P\) the subgroup of \(GL(n,k)\) consisting of matrices whose final rows are \(0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, 1\).

\(\star\star\) A representation of \(GL(n,k)\) is called \text{quasi-square-integrable} if its matrix coefficients become square-integrable modulo the center of \(GL(n,k)\) after multiplying by a suitable character of \(GL(n,k)\).
For degenerate Whittaker models we have the following proposition. Let \( a = \{ \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_r \} \in \mathcal{O} \), where \( \Delta_i \) is a segment consisting of cuspidal representations of \( \text{GL}(n_i, k) \). The level (of non-degeneracy) of the representation \( \langle a \rangle \) is an integer \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i \).

**Proposition 3.** Let \( U \) be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in \( \text{GL}(n, k) \) and \( \psi \) be a non-trivial additive character of \( k \). For a finite set \( S \) satisfying

\[
\{ n-r+1, n-r+2, \ldots, n-1 \} \subset S \subset \{ 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n-1 \}
\]

we denote by \( \chi_S \) the character of \( U \) defined by

\[
U \ni (u_{ij}) \quad \mapsto \quad \psi \left( \sum_{i \in S} u_{ii+1} \right) \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

Then the level of any irreducible subrepresentation of the induced representation \( \text{Ind}_U^{\text{GL}(n, k)} \chi_S \) is greater than or equal to \( r \).
Remark. Proposition 3 for \( r = n \) coincides with "only if" part of Proposition 2.

2. Bernstein's unitarizability criterion.

Let \( \Pi \) be an irreducible representation of \( \text{GL}(n, k) \). We say the representation \( \Pi \) is hermitian if there exists a \( \text{GL}(n, k) \)-invariant, non-degenerate sesquilinear form on the representation space of \( \Pi \). And we say \( \Pi \) is unitarizable if there exists a \( \text{GL}(n, k) \)-invariant, positive-definite sesquilinear form. Any unitarizable representation is hermitian, but a hermitian representation is not necessarily unitarizable even if it is irreducible and its central character is unitary.

For a segment \( \Delta = \{ \rho, \nu^1 \rho, \ldots, \nu^{m-1} \rho \} \), we put \( \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta = \{ \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \ldots, \nu^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \rho \} \), \( \Delta' = \{ \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \ldots, \nu^{\frac{m-3}{2}} \rho \} \). By virtue of the latter half (2) of Theorem 1, the correspondence

\[
\langle \Delta \rangle \rightarrow \langle \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta \rangle + \langle \Delta' \rangle
\]
for segments can be extended to a ring endomorphism $\mathcal{D}$. For a multiset $a = \{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_r\}$, we put $a' = \{\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \ldots, \Delta'_r\}$.

For a representation $\pi$ of $GL(n, k)$, we denote by $\text{deg}(\pi)$ the integer $n$ and denote by $e(\pi)$ the real number which satisfies the following equality $|\chi(\lambda)| = |\lambda|^{e(\pi)}$ ($\lambda \in k^\times$), where $\chi$ is the central character of $\pi$ and the center of $GL(n, k)$ is canonically identified with the multiplicative group $k^\times$.

**Criterion 4 (Bernstein [1]).** Let $a \in \mathcal{O}$. The representation $\langle a \rangle$ is unitarizable if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\langle a \rangle$ is hermitian,

(ii) $\langle a' \rangle$ is unitarizable,

(iii) In the expression $\mathcal{D}(\langle a \rangle) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{O}} c_b \cdot \pi(b)$, coefficients $c_b$ is zero for such $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\text{deg}(\langle b \rangle) > \text{deg}(\langle a' \rangle)$ and $e(\langle b \rangle) \leq ($
3. **Unitarizability condition for some representations.**

Using Criterion 4, we can write down the following lists of unitarizability condition for irreducible representations of $\text{GL}(2,k)$ and $\text{GL}(3,k)$.

**Case of $\text{GL}(2,k)$.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiset consisting of</th>
<th>Unitarizability condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>${\rho}$ ($\rho \in C_2$)</td>
<td>$e(\rho) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${\mu, \nu\mu}$ ($\mu \in C_1$)</td>
<td>$e(\mu) = -1/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linked segments ${\mu}, {\nu\mu}$ ($\mu \in C_1$)</td>
<td>$e(\mu) = -1/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-linked segments ${\mu_1}, {\mu_2}$ ($\mu_1, \mu_2 \in C_1$)</td>
<td>(i) $e(\mu_1) = e(\mu_2) = 0$, or (ii) $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are hermitian contragredient each other and $-1/2 &lt; e(\mu_1) &lt; 1/2$, $-1/2 &lt; e(\mu_2) &lt; 1/2$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here $C_n$ is the set of cuspidal representations of $\text{GL}(n,k)$. 
### Case of $\text{GL}(3,k)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiset consisting of</th>
<th>Unitalizability condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>${ \rho }$ ($\rho \in C_3$)</td>
<td>$e(\rho) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${ \rho_1, \rho_2 }$ ($\rho_1 \in C_1, \rho_2 \in C_2$)</td>
<td>$e(\rho_1) = e(\rho_2) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${ \rho, \nu^p_1, \nu^p_2 }$ ($\rho \in C_1$)</td>
<td>$e(\rho) = -1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${ \rho, \nu^p_1, \nu^p_2 }$ ($\rho_1, \rho_2 \in C_1$)</td>
<td>$e(\rho_1) = -1/2, \ e(\rho_2) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${ \rho }, { \nu^p_1 }, { \nu^p_2 }$ ($\rho \in C_1$)</td>
<td>$e(\rho) = -1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${ \rho, \nu^p_1, \nu^p_2 }$ ($\rho_1, \rho_2 \in C_1$, $\rho_2 \neq \nu^p_1, \nu^p_2$)</td>
<td>$e(\rho_1) = -1/2, \ e(\rho_2) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>${ \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 }$ ($\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \in C_1$, no pairs are linked)</td>
<td>$-1/2 &lt; e(\rho_i) &lt; 1/2$, $\gamma^{-2}\varepsilon(\rho_i) \in { \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 }$ ($i=1,2,3$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We also examine unitarizability of composition factors of the product representation.

**Proposition 5.** Let \( \varphi \) be a cuspidal representation. Then the product representation \( \varphi \times \varphi' \times \ldots \times \varphi^m \) is of length \( 2^{m-1} \).

If \( e(\varphi^m \varphi) \neq 0 \), then no composition factors are unitarizable.

If \( e(\varphi^m \varphi) = 0 \), then exactly two factors, corresponding to the multisets \( \{ \varphi, \varphi', \varphi', \ldots, \varphi^m \} \) and \( \{ \{ \varphi \}, \{ \varphi' \}, \ldots, \{ \varphi^m \} \} \), are unitarizable, and others are not unitarizable.

In order to apply the criterion, we explicitly calculate the value \( e(\langle b \rangle) \) for some \( b \in \varnothing \).

4. Zelevinskii's duality and Bernstein's conjecture.

Let us consider another ring endomorphism \( t \) of \( R \) extending the correspondence

\[
\langle \{ \varphi, \varphi', \ldots, \varphi^m \} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \{ \{ \varphi \}, \{ \varphi' \}, \ldots, \{ \varphi^m \} \} \rangle.
\]

The endomorphism \( t \) is involutive and maps \( \text{Irr} \) into \( \text{Irr} \), which we call **duality** after Zelevinskii. Bernstein states in [1]...
the following

**Conjecture 6.** Duality $t$ maps irreducible unitarizable representations into unitarizable ones.

A partial answer to this conjecture is given by Bernstein himself in [1]. He proves that $t(\pi)$ is unitarizable if $\pi$ is a unitarizable representation of the form $\pi = \pi(a) = \langle a \rangle$ ($a \in \Omega$).

Combining the results of the previous section and the following propositions, we can ascertain the conjecture for representations of $GL(2, k)$, $GL(3, k)$, and for composition factors of the product representation $\rho \times \nu' \rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{m-1} \rho$.

**Proposition 7.** Let $\rho_i$ be a cuspidal representation of $GL(n_i, k)$ ($i = 1, 2$). We assume that the segments $\Delta_1 = \{\rho_1, \nu\}$ and $\Delta_2 = \{\rho_2\}$ are not linked. Then the product module $\rho_1 \times \nu' \rho_1 \times \rho_2$ is of length 2. Its composition factors are $\langle \{\rho_1, \nu' \rho_1, \rho_2\} \rangle$ and $\langle \{\rho_i\}, \{\nu' \rho_i\}, \{\rho_2\} \rangle$ which are dual (under $t$) of each other.
Let $\triangle = \{ \rho, \nu^1 \rho, \ldots, \nu^{m-1} \rho \}$ be a segment of length $m$. For an element $e = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{m-1})$ in $\{1, -1\}^{m-1}$, we associate an equivalence relation $\sim_e$ on $\triangle$ in such a manner that $\nu^i \rho \sim_e \nu^j \rho$ if and only if $e_i = 1$. We denote by $\Delta(e)$ the set of equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation $\sim_e$. We regard naturally $\Delta(e)$ as an element in $\Theta$.

**Proposition 8.** Using the above notation, we have a bijection $e \mapsto \langle \Delta(e) \rangle$ of $\{1, -1\}^{m-1}$ onto the set of all composition factors of the product $\Pi = \rho \times \nu^1 \rho \times \nu^2 \rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{m-1} \rho$.

Duality $t$ permutes the composition factors of $\Pi$ as the following manner:

$$t(\langle \Delta(e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_{m-1}) \rangle) = \langle \Delta(-e_1, -e_2, \ldots, -e_{m-1}) \rangle.$$
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