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On the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics
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(Note by Ozawa)

We denote by $\Lambda(S^n)$ or by $\Lambda$ the space of free loops on a sphere $S^n$ with a fixed riemannian metric, which defines an energy function $E$ on $\Lambda$, as a modification of the length function.

$E$ satisfies the conditions (C) of Smale ([K]) with respect to a manifold structure on $\Lambda$ so that we can develop a Morse theory on $\Lambda$ relative to the gradient flow $\psi$ of $E$.

Since $E$ is invariant under the $S^1$ action induced by the parameter shift of loops, $\psi$ is equivariant and is critical not only at a closed geodesic $c$, but also on the 1 dimensional family $\{ 0 \cdot c / t_{1} \leq \theta \leq t_{2} \}$ of closed geodesics. We generalize this situation, starting with an equivariant flow $\varphi$ in of a certain non degeneracy condition, called the strong non degeneracy, we attach index to each critical point and to the 1 family of critical points, called a ridge, and furthermore we define the boundary of them so as to make the sum of the set of critical points and ridges into a complex, whose homology is that of $\Lambda$.

The quotient space of $\Lambda$ by the $S^1$ action is denoted by $\Pi$ and there the ridges obviously vanish.
Let $\varphi$ be a strongly non-degenerate $S^1$ equivariant flow on $\Lambda$. A sequence of critical points $\{c_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ for $\varphi$ is said to be a train of length $n$ if every pair $c_i, c_{i+1}$ of successive critical points satisfies one of the following conditions:

1) The order $m(c_{i+1})$ of the isotropy group called the multiplicity of $c_{i+1}$ divides that of $c_i$ 

$$m(c_{i+1}) \mid m(c_i)$$

and $\partial c_{i+1}$ contains $c_i$ with intersection multiplicity 1, thus $\text{ind}(c_{i+1}) = \text{ind}(c_i) + 1$, in particular.

2) $m(c_{i+1}) \mid m(c_i)$

and $\partial c_i$ contains $c_{i+1}$ or a ridge over $c_i$.

The cases 1), 2) above correspond to the cases 1), 2) of Modified Divisibility lemma. ([S. K.])

Thus we have from [S.K.]

**Lemma** Starting from any critical points we can construct a train over the critical point of length $\frac{\lambda}{2}$.

A train of length $n$ is said to be terminated at $n$ if there occurs only the last pair $c_{n-1}, c_n$ with the same multiplicity and with the intersection multiplicity $\frac{\lambda}{2}$, that is,

$$m(c_{n-1}) = m(c_n), m(c_{i+1}) < m(c_i) (i < n), \quad \left[ \partial c_n, c_{n-1} \right] = \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

**Corollary** For any train $T$, there exists a terminated train $T$ of bounded index containing $T$.

In principle, $T$ is obtained from the lemma above by

**Lemma** Any terminated train starting from a critical point $c_1$ (of finite multiplicity and index) has bounded index and length.

* Footnote p.9
In fact for a pair \( c_i, c_{i+1} \) of case 1), \( m(c_{i+1}) \) looses at least one divisor from \( m(c_i) \) for \( i < n \), therefore the increment of index in the train should not be greater them the number of divisors of \( m(c_i) \) and if the length is infinite then the possibility for case 2) should get infinity which is a contradiction.

**Corollary** Starting from any critical point \( c_1 \), there can be constructed a terminated train over \( c_1 \) of bounded index and length.

A train \( \{ c_i, i = 1 \ldots n \} \) is said to be one-way, if the index \( \text{ind}(c_i) \) of \( c_i \) satisfies that

\[
\text{ind}(c_i) < \text{ind}(c_{i+1}), \quad i \leq n-2.
\]

An equivariant version of the basis theorem in [M] yields that

**Lemma** If a train \( \{ c_i, i = 1 \ldots n \} \) for \( \varphi \) has a triple of critical points \( c_i, c_{i+1}, c_{i+2} \) such that

\[
\text{ind}(c_i) < \text{ind}(c_{i+1}) < \text{ind}(c_{i+2})
\]

then it is possible to modify \( \varphi \) equivariantly so as to cancell \( c_{i+1} \) from \( \partial c_i \). Hence a finite repetition give a pair \( c_i, c_{i+1} \) of case 1).

Here it should be noted that the critical points of the modified flow remain essentially same as \( \varphi \).

The proof is essentially obtained by a careful check of the basis theorem in the equivariant case and will be published somewhere together with that for the cancelling theorem.

**Proposition** Starting from any critical point \( c_1 \), we can construct a terminated one-way train over \( c_1 \) by an equivariant
modification of \( \varphi \) without any essential change of critical points. In fact, we can modify any terminated train over \( c_i \) which is not one way by above lemma into one way. If \( c_i, c_{i+1} \) in the train satisfy that
\[
\text{ind}(c_i) > \text{ind}(c_{i+1})
\]
then there exists \( j \geq i \) so that
\[
\text{ind}(c_j) = \text{ind}(c_{j+2}) \\
\text{ind}(c_{j+1}) < \text{ind}(c_j)
\]
as it is terminated. We apply the lemma to such a triple of minimal \( j \), fixing \( i \) first, and repeat the process until we come back to \( i \). Further repetition of the process above shifting \( i \) yields the proposition.

As in the basis theorem, the cancelling theorem of Smale ([M]) extends to the equivariant case. The original tedious proof is very much simplified again by Keuper. **Theorem** Let \( c, c' \) be critical points for an equivariant non degenerate flow \( \varphi \) such that \( \partial c' \) contains \( c \) with the intersection multiplicity one and the multiplicity of them are the same;
\[
m(c') = m(c), \quad [\partial c', c] = 1
\]
then there exists an equivariant strongly non degenerate flow \( \varphi' \) so that \( \varphi' \) remains to be same as \( \varphi \) outside of an open set \( U \) containing \( \partial c, \partial c' (\partial c \subset S') \) and \( \varphi' \) is not critical in \( U \), not adding any critical point to that of \( \varphi \) only cancelling \( c, c' \) equivariantly.

Making use of the cancelling theorem above, we can have infinitely many critical points of bounded index.
as a first step we see by a direct application of the theorem to the terminal that

**Lemma** Let \( \{ c_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n \} \) be a terminated oneway train over \( c \) for \( \varphi \) then if \( n \geq 3 \) we can continue the train \( \{ c_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n-2 \} \) to a terminated oneway train \( \{ c_i, c_{n-2}, c'_{n-1}, c'_n \} \) having new critical points \( c'_{n-1}, c'_n \) for \( \varphi \) not equal to any of \( \{ c_i \} \).

Hence we have as many distinct critical points for \( \varphi \) as the number of the terminated oneway trains over \( c \) thus obtained until it reduces length 2. For this case we have

**Lemma** Suppose for any critical point \( c \) of index \( k \), the train in above lemma reduces to that of length 2 after a finite repetition of the process, then every \( k \)-th homology of \( \Lambda \) should project down to zero in \( \Pi \).

The lemma is a direct consequence of the fact that \( H_k(\Lambda) \) can be written by the Morse complex for any equivariant flow.

Combining two lemmas above, we have

**Corollary** Suppose there exists a sequence \( \{ x_k \in H_k(\Lambda), \ k \uparrow \infty \} \) so that \( x_k \) has non zero image in \( H_k(\Pi) \) under the quotient projection, then there exists infinitely many critical points of bounded index especially from below by \( k \) starting from a critical point \( c \) of index \( k \).

We refer them as critical points of \( k \)-th cluster. Thus Bott, Gromoll, Meyer type index formula ([B]) the \( m \)-fold covering of a critical point \( c \), which estimates \( \text{ind}(c^m) \), as follows the index \( \text{ind}(c^m) \) of \( m \)-fold cover of a critical point \( c \) as

\[ m\alpha_c - \beta_c \leq \text{ind}(c^m) \leq m\alpha_c - \beta_c \]
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implies that

**Theorem**  There exist infinitely many simple closed geodesics

\[ \Lambda = \Lambda(S^n) \quad (n \geq 3) . \]

In fact, the result by Svarc ([S]) and Sullivan classes \( \Lambda \) assure that \( \Lambda \)
satisfies all the homology, homotopy conditions to apply every
lemma, proposition and theorem above on it, thus we have
infinitely many critical points of bounded index of the
gradient flow of the energy function. Thus if there were
only finitely many simple closed geodesics expressing the
critical points as \( m_i \)-fold covering of them the index formula
yields \( \alpha_c = 0 \) for these simple geodesics \( c \) which appears in-
finitely many times in the \( k \)-th cluster for some \( k \). Then the
set \( B = \max \beta_c \) for these critical points and take \( k > B \)
to have the contradiction.
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Remark 1 Since it is necessary in general to predeform the flow so as to have the non twisting property and the strong with multiplicity 1 intersection for case 1 of Divisibility Lemma non degeneracy, the set of critical points of the flow we started here may contain some other points than closed geodesics.

A close examination of the discussion of these deformations which will be given in part II of [S, K] shows that these critical points are harmless, because we need to add only finite number of critical points of at most index 2 to perform the tunnel killing process without assuming non twisting property, for instance.

Remark 2 It is not strictly neccessary to have oneway trains in order only to have infinite existence of closed geodesics. But from the existence of the oneway train starting at any given critical point, we see an original form of the divisibility lemma of Klingenberg that is, the existence of a critical point of one higher index whose multiplicity divedes that of given one.
Remark 3 (--- Hyperbolic Case----)

In this case we have a simple relation between the multiplicity of the summit and its index:

\[ \text{index}(\alpha^m) = m \text{ index}(\alpha) \]

Therefore the modified divisibility yields directly the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics independent of the discussion of this paper as is reported partly by Kamiya in his master thesis. In fact, application of the modified divisibility lemma to a critical point \( c \) yields a critical point \( c' \) of index 1 higher or 1 or 2 lower than that of \( c \) satisfying the divisibility, therefore we see the index equality

\[ \alpha + q \ m' k = m' k', \ q \ m' k = \text{ind}(c), \ m' k' = \text{ind}(c'). \]

for the multiplicity \( m' \) of \( c' \), \( q, k, k' \in Z \) and \( \alpha = \pm 1 \) or \(-2\), indicating that \( m' = 1 \) or \( 2 \).

Hence we have simple closed geodesic of index \( m' \) or \( m'/2 \).

Thus increasing the index of \( c \), we have infinitely many simple closed geodesics.
\textbf{Footnote}

We note that the multiplicity $m(c)$ used in Part I is defined to be the order of the isotropy group of a summit $c$ in Morse complex and therefore it may differ by multiple of 2 from that which is defined geometrically, that is, from the minimum integer $m = m_\alpha$ satisfying

\[\frac{1}{m_\alpha} \cdot \alpha(t) = \alpha(t)\]

for a closed geodesic $\alpha$ which is a summit at the same time with a fixed orientation in the unstable manifold $U(\alpha)$.

This is the reason why we had to consider a multiple of 2 in the modified divisibility lemma in Part I (\cite{S.K} p.78 Prop 2).

If we use $m(c)$ only, instead of mixed use of $m(c)$ and $m$, we have naturally a finer expression of the modified divisibility lemma suppressing the ambiguous multiple of 2.

We refer this expression as the modified divisibility lemma in what follows.