

Linear codes and  $t$ -spreads

Fumikazu Tamari

Department of Mathematics  
Fukuoka University of Education  
Munakata, Fukuoka 811-41  
Japan

1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of flats in a  $t$ -dimensional finite projective geometry  $PG(t,s)$  where  $s$  is a prime or prime power. Let  $\ell$  ( $\geq 2$ ) be a positive integer. A family  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be an  $\ell$  intersectional empty set (or  $\ell$ -IE set) if the intersection of any  $\ell$  flats  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_\ell$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is empty but the intersection of some  $(\ell - 1)$  flats  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{\ell-1}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is not empty.  $\mathcal{A}$  is also said to be a regular  $\ell$ -IE set if all flats in  $\mathcal{A}$  have the same dimension, i.e.,  $\dim(A) = v$  for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is said to be a maximal (regular)  $\ell$ -IE set if  $|\mathcal{A}_0| \geq |\mathcal{A}|$  for all (regular)  $\ell$ -IE sets  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $PG(t,s)$  where  $|\mathcal{A}|$  denotes the cardinality of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $V(n;s)$  denote an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over a Galois field  $GF(s)$ . A  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $C$  of  $V(n;s)$  is called an  $s$ -ary linear code with code length  $n$ ,  $k$  information symbols and the minimum distance  $d$  if the minimum distance (Hamming distance) of the code  $C$  is equal to  $d$ , and is denoted by  $(n,k,d;s)$ -code.

We now consider the following problem.

Problem A. Find a linear codes  $C$  (called an optimal linear code) whose code length  $n$  is minimum among  $(*,k,d;s)$ -codes for given integers  $k$ ,  $d$  and  $s$ .

In this paper, we shall construct optimal linear codes using  $\ell$ -IE sets.

## 2. Preliminary results

We shall give some properties of flats in  $PG(n,s)$  in this section.

Let  $W$  be a  $\mu$ -flat in  $PG(n,s)$  and let  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu+1$ ) be a basis of the  $\mu$ -flat  $W$ . The  $(n - \mu - 1)$ -flat  $W^*$  defined by  $W^* = \{h \in PG(n,s) : \underline{h}b_i^T = 0 \text{ over } GF(s) \text{ (} i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu+1 \text{)}\}$  is called the dual space of the  $\mu$ -flat  $W$  where  $\underline{a}^T$

denotes the transpose of  $\underline{a}$ . Especially the empty set will be defined as the dual space of the whole space and vice versa. Then we can easily prove the following :

Proposition 1. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be any flats in  $PG(n,s)$  and let  $V^*$  and  $W^*$  be the dual space of  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. Then

$$(i) \quad V \subset W \text{ if and only if } V^* \supset W^*$$

$$(ii) \quad V^* \cap W^* = (V \oplus W)^* \text{ and } (V \cap W)^* = V^* \oplus W^*$$

where  $V \oplus W$  denotes the flats generated by  $V$  and  $W$ .

A family of  $t$ -flats  $\{V_i\}$  in  $PG(n,s)$  is called a  $t$ -spread if every point in  $PG(n,s)$  belong to one and only one  $t$ -flat  $\{V_i\}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element of  $GF(s^{n+1})$ . Then every point in  $PG(n,s)$  is represented by the power  $\alpha^i$  of  $\alpha$  for some  $i = 0, 1, \dots, v_{n+1} - 1$  where  $v_{n+1} = (s^{n+1} - 1)/(s - 1)$ . If  $t + 1$  divides  $n + 1$ , then a family of cyclically generated

$t$ -flats in  $PG(n,s)$ , represented by

$$V_i = \{\alpha^{0+i}, \alpha^{\theta+i}, \dots, \alpha^{(w-1)\theta+i}\} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \theta - 1)$$

is a  $t$ -spread in  $PG(n,s)$  where  $w = (s^{t+1} - 1)/(s - 1)$  and  $\theta = (s^{n+1} - 1)/(s^{t+1} - 1)$

Since  $\alpha$  is a primitive element of  $GF(q)$ ,  $q = s^{t+1}$ , every nonzero element of  $GF(q)$  may be represented by  $\alpha^j$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, q - 2$ ). Moreover, the set of points

$\alpha^i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, \theta - 1$ ) may be regarded as that of  $PG(k,q)$  where  $k + 1 =$

$(n + 1)/(t + 1)$ . This implies that  $\{V_i\}$  defined above can also be regarded as

the set of all points of  $PG(k,q)$ . Thus we have

Proposition 2 (cf.[2]). There exists a  $t$ -spread in  $PG(n,s)$  if and only if  $t + 1$  divides  $n + 1$ . Furthermore, there exists a  $t$ -spread  $\{V_i\}$  such that  $\{V_i\}$  can be regarded as the set of all points of  $PG(k,q)$  where  $k + 1 = (n + 1)/(t + 1)$ .

A set  $L$  of vectors  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  in  $V(r;s)$  such that no  $t$  vectors of  $L$  are linearly dependent, is called a  $t$ -linearly independent set and a  $t$ -linearly independent set  $L_0$  is said to be maximal if there exists no  $t$ -linearly independent set such that  $|L| > |L_0|$ . The cardinality of a maximal  $t$ -linearly independent set  $L_0$  is denoted by  $M_t(r,s)$ .

Attempts of obtaining  $M_t(r,s)$  have been made by many research workers. But, unfortunately,  $M_t(r,s)$  are partially obtained for some  $t, r$  and  $s$  but not yet completely.

Proposition 3. Let  $m$  be a nonnegative integer. Then, there exists a set of  $\{(\ell - 1)m + (\ell - 2)\}$ -flats  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$ ) in  $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$  such that  $\dim(Y_{i_1} \cap Y_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap Y_{i_r}) = (\ell - r)m + (\ell - r - 1)$  for any flats  $Y_{i_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) in  $\{Y_k\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq \pi$ ) where  $1 \leq r \leq \ell$  and  $\pi = M_{\ell}(l, s^{m+1})$ .

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2 that there exists an  $m$ -spread  $\{W_i^*\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \zeta$ ) in  $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$  where  $\zeta = (s^{\ell(m+1)} - 1)/(s^{m+1} - 1)$ . Since each  $m$ -flat  $W_i^*$  can be regarded as a point in  $PG(\ell-1, s^{m+1})$ , there exists a maximal  $\ell$ -linearly independent set  $\{Y_k^*\}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$ ) in  $\{W_i^*\}$ , i.e.,  $\dim(Y_{i_1}^* \oplus Y_{i_2}^* \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{i_r}^*) = rm + r - 1$  for any flats  $\{Y_{i_j}^*\}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) in  $\{Y_k^*\}$ . Let  $Y_k$  be the dual space of  $Y_k^*$  in  $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$ . Then, it follows from Proposition 1 that  $\{Y_k\}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$ ) is a required set. This completes the proof.

Corollary. There exists a regular  $\ell$ -IE set with the cardinality  $\pi$  in  $PG(\ell(m+1) - 1, s)$  where  $\pi$  is an integer given in Proposition 3.

Proposition 4. A necessary condition for  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\ell}$  that there exist  $\mu_i$ -flats  $W_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ) in  $PG(k-1, s)$  such that  $W_1 \cap W_2 \cap \dots \cap W_{\ell} = \phi$ , is that  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\ell}$  satisfy the following condition:

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_{\ell} \leq (\ell - 1)k - \ell.$$

Proof. Let  $W_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ) be the dual space of  $W_i$  in  $PG(k-1, s)$ . Then, it is easily shown that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \{\dim(W_i^*) + 1\} \geq k$ . Since  $\dim(W_i^*) = k - 2 - \mu_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ , we have required result.

## 3. Linear codes and linear programmings

Let  $N = \|\|n_{ij}\|\|$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$ ) be the incidence matrix of  $v_k$  hyperplanes  $H_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$ ) and  $v_k$  points  $Q_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$ ) in  $PG(k-1, s)$  defined by

$$n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i\text{th hyperplane } H_i \text{ contains the } j\text{th point } Q_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $v_k = (s^k - 1)/(s - 1)$ .

It is known that Problem A is equivalent <sup>to</sup> the following Problem B (cf. Theorem 2.2 in [3]).

Problem B. Find a set  $\{x_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq v_k$ ) of nonnegative integers  $\{x_j\}$  that minimizes  $\sum_{j=1}^{v_k} x_j$  subject to the inequalities:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{v_k} (1 - n_{ij})x_j \geq d \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, v_k) \quad (3.1)$$

for given integers  $k$ ,  $d$  and  $s$ .

Let  $d$  be a positive integer. It is easy to see that  $d$  can be expressed uniquely by

$$d = 1 + \theta_0 + \theta_1 s + \dots + \theta_{k-2} s^{k-2} + \theta_{k-1} s^{k-1} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\theta_i$ 's are integers satisfying  $0 \leq \theta_i \leq s - 1$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$  and  $\theta_{k-1} \geq 0$ .

Proposition 5 (cf. Theorem 2.2 in [3]). If  $\{X_j\}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k$ ) is a set of nonnegative integers satisfying the inequalities (3.1) and  $d$  is expressed as (3.2), then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{v_k} x_j \geq k + \theta_0 v_1 + \theta_1 v_2 + \dots + \theta_{k-1} v_k \quad (3.3)$$

where  $v_i = (s^i - 1)/(s - 1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

We now give a general construction of a solution of Problem B, that is, a set of nonnegative integers satisfying the inequalities (3.1) and attaining in the lower bound (3.3).

Let  $\varepsilon_i = s - 1 - \theta_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$  and let  $\beta$  be a set which consists of  $\varepsilon_\mu$   $\mu$ -flats  $V_i^\mu$  ( $0 \leq \mu \leq k - 2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \varepsilon_\mu$ ) where  $V_i^\mu$ 's are not necessarily distinct. Given  $\varepsilon_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$ ), let  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  be the family of all such  $\beta$ 's and let  $\zeta_j(\beta)$  denote the number of flats in  $\beta$  which contain the point  $Q_j$  in  $PG(k-1, s)$ .

Proposition 6 (cf. Theorem 3.1 in [3]). Let  $d$  be an integer given by (3.2). If there exists a set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  such that  $\max\{\zeta_j(\beta) : 1 \leq j \leq v_k\} \leq \theta_{k-1} + 1$ , then a set  $\{x_j\}$  of nonnegative integers which is given by

$$\{x_j = \theta_{k-1} + 1 - \zeta_j(\beta) : j = 1, 2, \dots, v_k\}$$

is a solution of Problem B.

Note that there exists a set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  such that  $\max\{\zeta_j(\beta) : 1 \leq j \leq v_k\} = \ell - 1$  if and only if there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$ . It is known in [3] that if there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$ , then there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  (cf. Lemma 4.1 in [3]). Therefore, in this paper we shall investigate about  $\ell$ -IE sets of  $\mathcal{F}(0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  in details.

Let  $E(k, s)$  be a collection of ordered sets  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  of integers such that  $0 \leq \varepsilon_i \leq s - 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ . Consider a subset  $E_t(k, s)$  of  $E(k, s)$  for some  $t = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$  satisfying the following condition:

$$(a) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i \leq t + 1$$

or

(3.4)

$$(b) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i \geq t + 2 \text{ and } \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{t+2} \leq (t + 1)(k - 1) - 1$$

where  $\beta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, t + 2$ ) are the first  $t + 2$  integers in the following series:

$$\underbrace{\epsilon_{k-2}}_{k-2, k-2, \dots, k-2}; \underbrace{\epsilon_{k-3}}_{k-3, k-3, \dots, k-3}; \dots; \underbrace{\epsilon_1}_{1, 1, \dots, 1}$$

Proposition 7. A necessary condition for  $\epsilon_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ ) that there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$  for a given positive integer  $\ell$  ( $\geq 2$ ) is that  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2}) \in E_{\ell-2}(k, s) - E_{\ell-3}(k, s)$  where  $E_{-1}(k, s) = \phi$ .

Proof. See Theorem 4.1 in [3].

In the following, let  $\ell$  be an integer such that  $2 \leq \ell \leq k - 2$ . Let  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$  be any element in  $E_{\ell-2}$  where  $k = \ell(m + 1) - q$  ( $m \geq 0, 0 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$ ). Then it follows from (3.4) that  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-2})$  must be an ordered set satisfying the condition:

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \epsilon_i \leq \ell - 1 \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\delta = \lceil (\ell k - k - \ell) / \ell \rceil = (\ell - 1)m + \ell - 2 - q$  and  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the greatest integer not exceeding  $x$ .

Now, we shall describe main theorems in this paper.

Theorem 1. Let  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  be an element in  $E_{\ell-2} - E_{\ell-3}$  such that  $\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i = 0$ . If an ordered set  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i \leq M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1}),$$

then there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$ .

Proof. Two cases must be considered (i.e.,  $q = 0$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$ ).

Case (I) when  $q = 0$  (i.e.,  $k = \ell(m+1)$ ). Let  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$ ) be  $\{(\ell - 1)m + \ell - 2\}$ -flats obtained in Proposition 3. Consider a  $\mu$ -flat  $V_j^\mu$  ( $1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \varepsilon_\mu$ ) in  $Y_{t+j}$  where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} \varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ . Then  $\beta = \{V_j^\mu\}$  ( $1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \varepsilon_\mu$ ) is a required set.

Case (II) when  $1 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$  (i.e.,  $k = \ell(m+1) - q$ ). Let  $G$  be any  $\{\ell(m+1) - q - 1\}$ -flat in  $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$ . Let  $V_j^{\mu+q}$  ( $1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \varepsilon_{\mu+q}$ ) be a set of  $(\mu+q)$ -flats in  $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$  which were obtained in Case (I) of this theorem. Since  $\dim(G \cap V_j^{\mu+q}) \geq \mu$ , we can obtain  $\mu$ -flats  $U_j^\mu$  ( $1 \leq \mu \leq k-2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \varepsilon_\mu$ ) contained in  $G \cap V_j^{\mu+q}$ . Let  $\beta = \{U_j^\mu\}$ . Then,  $\beta$  is required set, because  $G$  can be identified with  $PG(\ell(m+1)-q-1, s)$ .

This completes the proof.

In the case  $\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i = p \geq 1$ , let us denote by  $\delta + e_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ )

$p$  integers such that

$$\underbrace{\varepsilon_{\delta+1}}_{\delta+1, \delta+1, \dots, \delta+1; \delta+2, \delta+2, \dots, \delta+2; \dots; k-2, k-2, \dots, k-2} \quad \underbrace{\varepsilon_{\delta+2}}_{\delta+2, \delta+2, \dots, \delta+2; \dots; k-2, k-2, \dots, k-2} \quad \underbrace{\varepsilon_{k-2}}_{k-2, k-2, \dots, k-2}$$

where  $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_p \leq k-2$ . Put  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p = e$ .



Theorem 2. Let  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  be an element in  $E_{\ell-2} - E_{\ell-3}$  such that

$$1 \leq \sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i \leq \ell - 2. \text{ If an ordered set } (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2}) \text{ satisfies the follow-}$$

ing condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i \leq M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1})$$

and

$$\sum_{i=\delta-e+1}^{\delta} \varepsilon_i \leq \min\{M_{\ell-p}(\ell-p, s^{\tau}), M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1}) - p\}$$

where  $\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i = p$  and  $\tau = [e/(\ell - p)] (\geq 1)$ , then there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  in

$$\mathcal{F}(0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2}).$$

Theorem 3. Let  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$  be an element in  $E_{\ell-2} - E_{\ell-3}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=\delta+1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i = \ell - 1. \text{ If an ordered set } (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2}) \text{ satisfies the following}$$

condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \varepsilon_i \leq M_{\ell}(\ell, s^{m+1}),$$

then there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{F}(0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2})$

In order to prove Theorems 2 and 3, we prepare two lemmas.

For simplicity, Put  $(\ell - 1)m + \ell - 2 = u$ . Let  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) and  $V_j$  ( $j = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, \ell$ ) are  $(u + e_i)$ -flats and  $(u - e_j)$ -flats in  $\text{PG}(\ell(m+1) - 1, s)$ , respectively, such that  $V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_p \cap V_{p+1} \cap \dots \cap V_{\ell} = \phi$ .

Then it follows from proposition 4 that  $e_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ) must be integers satisfying the condition:

$$e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p \leq e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_\ell. \quad (3.6)$$

Let  $e_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$ ) be integers such that  $1 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_p \leq m$  and  $0 \leq e_{p+1} \leq e_{p+2} \leq \dots \leq e_{\ell-1}$ . Put  $e_\ell = \max\{(e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p) - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}), e_{\ell-1}\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_\ell$  are integers which satisfy the inequality (3.6) and  $e_{p+1} \leq e_{p+2} \leq \dots \leq e_{\ell-1} \leq e_\ell$ . Put  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p = e$  and  $[e/(\ell - p)] = \tau$ . Then we have

Lemma 1. If  $\tau \geq 1$  and  $\ell - p \geq 2$ , then there exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of  $(u + e_1)$ -flats  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ),  $(u - e_j)$ -flats  $Q_j$  ( $j = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, \ell - 1$ ),  $(u - e_\ell)$ -flats  $R_k$  ( $k = \ell, \ell + 1, \dots, \lambda + p$ ) and  $(u - e)$ -flats  $T_n$  ( $n = \lambda + p + 1, \lambda + p + 2, \dots, \pi$ ) in  $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$  where  $\pi = M_\ell(\ell, s^{m+1})$  and  $\lambda = \min\{\pi - p, M_{\ell-p}(\ell-p, s^\tau)\}$ .

Proof. Let  $Y_j^*$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, \pi$ ) be  $m$ -flats given in the proof of Proposition 3. Let  $U_i$  and  $V_i^*$  be an  $(e_i - 1)$ -flat and an  $(m - e_i)$ -flat in  $Y_i^*$ , respectively, such that  $U_i \cap V_i^* = \phi$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Let  $W$  be the flat generated by  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_p$ , i.e.,  $W = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_p$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $W$  is an  $(e - 1)$ -flat where  $e = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_p$ , because  $\dim(Y_{i_1}^* \oplus Y_{i_2}^* \oplus \dots \oplus Y_{i_\ell}^*) = \ell m + \ell - 1$  for any flats  $Y_{i_j}^*$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ) in  $\{Y_k^*\}$ . Let  $e = (\ell - p)\tau + f$  ( $0 \leq f < \ell - p$ ). Then we can choose an  $(e - f - 1)$ -flat  $W_1$  and an  $(f - 1)$ -flat  $W_2$  in  $W$  such that  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \phi$ . Then we can obtain a set of  $(\tau - 1)$ -flats  $D_i$  ( $i = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, \xi + p$ ) in  $W_1$  such that  $\dim(D_{i_1} \oplus D_{i_2} \oplus \dots \oplus D_{i_{\ell-p}}) = e - f - 1 = (\ell - p)\tau - 1$  for any flats  $D_{i_1}, D_{i_2}, \dots, D_{i_{\ell-p}}$  in  $\{D_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \xi$ ) where  $\xi = M_{\ell-p}(\ell-p, s^\tau)$

We now prove this lemma by separating two cases.

Case (I)  $e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) \geq e_{\ell-1}$  (i.e.,  $e_{\ell} = e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1})$ ).

(i) Case  $0 \leq e_j \leq \tau - 1$  for  $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, g$  where  $p+1 \leq g \leq \ell-1$ .

Let  $B_j$  and  $F_j$  be an  $(e_j - 1)$ -flat and a  $(\tau - 1 - e_j)$ -flat in  $D_j$ , respectively, such that  $B_j \cap F_j = \phi$  and put  $Q_j^* = B_j \oplus Y_j^*$  for  $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, g$ .

(ii) Case  $e_j = \tau$  for  $j = g+1, g+2, \dots, r$  where  $g+1 \leq r \leq \ell-1$ . Let  $Q_j^* = D_j \oplus Y_j^*$  for  $j = g+1, g+2, \dots, r$ .

(iii) Case  $\tau + 1 \leq e_j \leq u$  for  $j = r+1, r+2, \dots, \ell$ .

Let  $F_j$  be a  $(\tau - 1 - e_j)$ -flat obtained in (i) and let  $a_{(\sigma_j + n)}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, \tau - e_j$ ) be a basis of  $F_j$  for  $j = p+1, p+2, \dots, g$  where  $\sigma_{p+1} = 0$  and  $\sigma_j =$

$$\sum_{i=p+1}^{j-1} (\tau - e_j) \quad (p+2 \leq j \leq g). \quad \text{Since } e_{\ell} = e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) =$$

$$(\ell - p)\tau + f - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) \text{ and } e_j = \tau \quad (j = g+1, g+2, \dots, r),$$

$$(\tau - e_{p+1}) + \dots + (\tau - e_g) + (\tau - e_{g+1}) + \dots + (\tau - e_r) + (\tau - e_{r+1}) + \dots + (\tau - e_{\ell-1}) + (\tau - e_{\ell}) = (\ell - p)\tau - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) - e_{\ell}$$

implies

$$\sum_{i=p+1}^g (\tau - e_j) = (e_{\ell} - f - \tau) + \sum_{i=r+1}^{\ell-1} (e_i - \tau).$$

Put  $K_i = a_{(\sigma_i+1)} + a_{(\sigma_i+2)} + \dots + a_{(\sigma_i+e_i-\tau)}$  for  $i = r+1, r+2, \dots, \ell-1$

and put  $K_{\ell} = a_{(\sigma_{\ell}+1)} + a_{(\sigma_{\ell}+2)} + \dots + a_{(\sigma_{\ell}+e_{\ell}-f-\tau)}$  where  $\sigma_{r+1} = 0$  and  $\sigma_i =$

$$\sum_{j=r+1}^{i-1} (e_j - \tau) \quad (r+2 \leq i \leq \ell-1).$$

Let  $Q_j^* = D_j \oplus K_j \oplus Y_j^*$  for  $j = r+1, r+2, \dots, \ell-1$  and let  $R_k^* = D_k \oplus K_\ell \oplus W_2 \oplus Y_k^*$  for  $k = \ell, \ell+1, \dots, \lambda+p$  and let  $T_n^* = Y_n^* \oplus W$  for  $n = \lambda+p+1, \lambda+p+2, \dots, \pi$ .

Let  $V_i, Q_j, R_k$  and  $T_n$  be the dual space of  $V_i^*, Q_j^*, R_k^*$  and  $T_n^*$ , respectively, for each  $i, j, k$ , and  $n$ . Let  $\beta = \{V_i\} \cup \{Q_j\} \cup \{R_k\} \cup \{T_n\}$ . Then  $\beta$  is a required set.

Case (II)  $e - (e_{p+1} + e_{p+2} + \dots + e_{\ell-1}) < e_{\ell-1}$  (i.e.,  $e_\ell = e_{\ell-1}$ ).

Similarity, it can be shown that Lemma also holds in this case. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2. There exists an  $\ell$ -IE set  $\beta$  consists of  $(u + e_i)$ -flats  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell-1$ ),  $(u - e_j)$ -flats  $Q_j$  ( $j = \ell, \ell+1, \dots, \pi$ ) in  $PG(\ell(m+1)-1, s)$  where  $\pi$  is an integer which is given in Lemma 1.

Proof of this lemma is similar to that of lemma 1 and hence we omit the proof of this lemma.

[Proof of Theorem 2]. Similarity to the proof of Theorem 1, we shall prove that of this theorem by separating two cases.

Case (I) when  $q = 0$ . From Lemma 1, we can obtain  $(\delta + e_i)$ -flats  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) and  $\mu$ -flats  $V_j^\mu$  ( $1 \leq \mu \leq \delta, j = 1, 2, \dots, \varepsilon_\mu$ ) such that

$$V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_p \cap U_{p+2} \cap \dots \cap U_\ell = \phi$$

for any flats  $U_{p+1}, U_{p+2}, \dots, U_\ell$  in  $\{V_j^\mu\}$ . Let  $\beta = \{V_j^\mu\} \cup \{V_i\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\beta$  is a required set.

Case (II) when  $1 \leq q \leq \ell - 1$ . Similar to case (II) in the proof of Theorem 1, we can easily prove this theorem. This completes the proof.

[Proof of Theorem 3]. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we can easily prove this theorem and hence the proof of this theorem is omitted.

#### References

- [1] B. I. Belov, V. N. Logachev, and V. P. Sadimirov, Construction of a class of linear binary codes achieving the Varshamov-Griesmer bound, Problems of Info. Transmission, 10 (3) (1974), 211-217.
- [2] P. Dembowski, Finite Geometries (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968).
- [3] N. Hamada and F. Tamari, Construction of optimal codes and optimal fractional factorial designs using linear programming, Annals of Discrete Mathematics 6 (1980) 175-188.
- [4] N. Hamada and F. Tamari, Construction of optimal codes using flats and spreads in a finite projective geometry, European Journal of Combinatorics 3, (1982), 129-141.
- [5] G. Solomon and J. J. Stiffler, Algebraically punctured cyclic codes, 170-179.