

Braids and branched coverings of dimension three

J. Scott Carter

Department of Mathematics, University of South Alabama

and

Seiichi Kamada

Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University

1 Introduction

This is on a part of our work in progress, which was introduced at the conference “Intelligence of Low-dimensional Topology” held in RIMS in May, 2012. The purpose of our research is to understand branched coverings and m -dimensional braids which are generalizations of classical braids. Here we discuss chart descriptions of branched coverings and braids in dimension $m = 2$ first, and then those for which $m = 3$.

We work in the PL category ([9, 20]). Let S^m denote the m -sphere, and let M^m denote a closed oriented m -manifold.

2 Preliminaries

We start by giving some definitions and theorems on branched coverings.

Definition 2.1 A PL map $f : M^m \rightarrow S^m$ is a *branched covering (map)* if there exists an $(m - 2)$ -subcomplex L of S^m such that the restriction $\underline{f} : M^m \setminus f^{-1}(L) \rightarrow S^m \setminus L$ is a covering map.

We denote the covering degree by d . We call f a *d-fold* branched covering.

We assume that L is minimum, i.e., $\forall y \in L, \#(f^{-1}(y)) < d$. Then we call L the *branch set* of f .

Definition 2.2 A d -fold branched covering f is *simple* if $\forall y \in L, \#(f^{-1}(y)) = d - 1$.

Remark 2.3 (1) A branched covering is defined in general as follows (cf. [2, 3]): A PL map between manifolds is called *proper* if the inverse image of the boundary is the boundary. A proper PL map between manifolds $f : M^m \rightarrow N^m$ is called a branched covering if it is finite-to-one and open.

(2) A branched covering $f : M \rightarrow N$ is *primitive* if $f_* : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$ is surjective. It is often assumed that a branched covering is primitive.

Note that M^m is closed, oriented and connected in what follows in this section.

Theorem 2.4 (J.W. Alexander [1]) *For any closed oriented and connected m -manifold M^m , there exists a simple branched covering $f : M^m \rightarrow S^m$ for some degree d .*

Remark 2.5 (1) A closed oriented and connected 1-manifold M^1 is homeomorphic to S^1 . Thus there exists a 1-fold covering $f : M^1 \rightarrow S^1$.

(2) For any closed oriented and connected 2-manifold M^2 , there exists a 2-fold simple branched covering $f : M^2 \rightarrow S^2$.

Theorem 2.6 (H. M. Hilden [8], J. M. Montesinos [17]) *For any closed oriented and connected 3-manifold M^3 , there exists a 3-fold simple branched covering $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ such that the branch set L is a link (or a knot).*

The following is a conjecture due to Montesinos.

Conjecture 2.7 *For any closed oriented and connected 4-manifold M^4 , there exists a 4-fold simple branched covering $f : M^4 \rightarrow S^4$ such that L is an embedded surface in S^4 .*

Some partial answers to this conjecture are known as follows.

Theorem 2.8 (R. Piergallini [19]) *For any closed oriented and connected 4-manifold M^4 , there exists a 4-fold simple branched covering $f : M^4 \rightarrow S^4$ such that L is an immersed surface in S^4 .*

Theorem 2.9 (M. Iori and R. Piergallini [11]) *For any closed oriented and connected 4-manifold M^4 , there exists a 5-fold simple branched covering $f : M^4 \rightarrow S^4$ such that L is an embedded surface in S^4 .*

3 Two dimensional case ($m = 2$)

Let $f : M^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be a d -fold simple branched covering with branch set L , and let $\underline{f} : M^2 \setminus f^{-1}(L) \rightarrow S^2 \setminus L$ be the associated covering map.

Take a base point $*$ of $S^2 \setminus L$ to consider the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus L, *)$. The preimage $\underline{f}^{-1}(*)$ of the base point $*$ consists of d points of M^2 . Then we have a *monodromy* $\rho : \pi_1(S^2 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow S_d$, where the symmetric group S_d on letters $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ is identified with the symmetric group on $\underline{f}^{-1}(*)$. (A monodromy ρ depends on the identification between $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and $\underline{f}^{-1}(*)$.) The covering $\underline{f}$ is determined by the monodromy.

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, L consists of an even number of points.

In Figure 1, a branch set, a monodromy, and a chart are depicted. (A chart description is explained later.)

When a monodromy is described by a chart, it is easy to construct M^2 . We explain it by using an example. Let Γ be the chart depicted on the right of Figure 1. Consider three copies of S^2 labeled by 1, 2, and 3, say S_1^2 , S_2^2 and S_3^2 , respectively. On the copy S_1^2 , draw the edges with label (12) of Γ , on the copy S_2^2 , draw the edges with label (12) of Γ and those with label (23), and on the copy S_3^2 , draw the edges with label (23). Cut the three 2-spheres along these edges, and we obtain three compact surfaces, say M_1 , M_2 and M_3 , as in the bottom of Figure 2. The surface M^2 is obtained from the union $M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$





Figure 1: A branch set, a monodromy and a chart

by identifying the boundary as follows: Let e be an edge with label (12) on S_1^2 , and let e_+ and e_- be the copies of e in ∂M_1 . Let e' be the corresponding edge on S_2^2 , and let e'_+ and e'_- be the corresponding copies in ∂M_2 . Then we identify e_+ with e'_- , and identify e_- with e'_+ , respectively. All boundary edges of $M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$ are identified in this fashion, and we have a closed surface. This is the desired M^2 .

Figure 2: How to construct M^2

The classification of simple branched coverings was studied by J. Lüroth [15], A. Clebsch [6], A. Hurwitz [10], and others. The classification theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $f : M^2 \rightarrow S^2$ and $f' : M^{2'} \rightarrow S^2$ be d -fold simple branched coverings with branch sets L and L' , respectively. We assume that M^2 and $M^{2'}$ are connected. Then f and f' are equivalent if and only if $\#L = \#L'$.*

Hurwitz [10] studied branched coverings by using of a system of monodromies of meridian elements of the branch set, called a *Hurwitz system*, and studied when two systems

present the same (up to equivalence) branched coverings.

A Hurwitz system depends on a system of generating set of $\pi_1(S^2 \setminus L, *)$. For a generating system depicted in the middle of Figure 1, the Hurwitz system is

$$\alpha = ((12), (12), (12), (12), (23), (23)).$$

Besides a choice of a generating system, a Hurwitz system depends on the identification of $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and the fiber $f^{-1}(*)$.

Two Hurwitz systems present the same (up to equivalence) braid monodromy if and only if they are related by a finite sequence of *Hurwitz moves* and *conjugations*. The *Hurwitz moves* are

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}, a_{k+1}^{-1}a_k a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and their inverse moves. *Conjugations* are

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (g^{-1}a_1g, \dots, g^{-1}a_ng)$$

for $g \in S_d$. When two Hurwitz systems are related by a finite sequence of Hurwitz moves and conjugations, we say that they are *HC-equivalent*. (*H* and *C* stand for Hurwitz and conjugation.)

Due to Hurwitz [10], the classification theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $f : M^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be a d -fold simple branched covering. Assume that M^2 is connected. Any Hurwitz system of f is HC-equivalent to*

$$((12), \dots, (12), (23), (23), (34), (34), \dots, (d-1, d), (d-1, d)).$$

(The number of (12) s is a positive even number, and for each $i = 2, \dots, d-1$, a pair of $(i, i+1)$ appears.)

In the next section, we will introduce the notion of a *chart*, called a *permutation chart* or an S_d -*chart*, that describes a branched covering or its monodromy. The chart method helps us to construct M^2 from a monodromy, and to understand the classification theorem well.

4 Permutation charts or S_d -charts ($m = 2$)

We denote by τ_i the transposition $(i \ i+1)$. The symmetric group S_d is generated by $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1}$, and has a group presentation

$$S_d = \left\langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1} \left| \begin{array}{ll} \tau_i \tau_j \tau_i = \tau_j \tau_i \tau_j & (|i-j|=1) \\ \tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i & (|i-j|>1) \\ \tau_i^2 = e \end{array} \right. \right\rangle.$$

Definition 4.1 A *permutation chart* of degree d or an S_d -*chart* is a labeled graph in S^2 such that each edge is labeled in $\{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and each vertex is as in Figure 3. We call a vertex a *black vertex*, a *crossing* or a *white vertex* if the valency of the vertex is 1, 4 or 6, respectively.

By the correspondence $i \leftrightarrow \tau_i = (i \ i+1) \in S_d$, the labels of a chart are assumed to be transpositions in S_d (see Figure 1). Figure 4 is an example of an S_4 -chart, or a permutation chart of degree 4.




Figure 3: Vertices of a S_d -chart




Figure 4: A S_4 -chart Γ and the induced monodromy ρ_Γ

For a chart Γ , we consider a monodromy

$$\rho_\Gamma : \pi_1(S^2 \setminus L) \rightarrow S_d, \quad [\ell] \mapsto [\text{intersection word of } \ell \text{ w.r.t. } \Gamma],$$

where $L (= L_\Gamma)$ is the set of black vertices. An intersection word is a sequence of elements of $\{1, \dots, d-1\}$, which is regarded as an element of S_d by the correspondence $i \leftrightarrow \tau_i = (i \ i+1) \in S_d$.

Example 4.2 Let Γ be an S_4 -chart depicted in the left of Figure 4. When we take a Hurwitz generating system as in the figure, we have a Hurwitz system $(\tau_1, \tau_1\tau_3\tau_1, \tau_3, \tau_2\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_2)$. It is equal to $(\tau_1, \tau_3, \tau_3, \tau_1)$. And it is Hurwitz equivalent to $(\tau_1, \tau_1, \tau_3, \tau_3)$.

Theorem 4.3 Let $f : M^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be a d -fold simple branched covering, and ρ_f a monodromy of f . There exists a chart Γ such that $\rho_\Gamma = \rho_f$. (We call Γ a *chart description* of f or ρ_f .)

Local moves on permutation charts illustrated in Figure 5 are called *chart moves*. (Ignore the orientations on edges.) Two charts are said to be *equivalent* or *chart move*




Figure 6: How to construct M^2

This theorem is quite easily proved. As a corollary of this theorem, we have the classification theorem (Theorem 3.1).




Figure 7: Three sheets gather around a white vertex.



Figure 8: A chart in a normal form

5 Braid charts or B_d -charts ($m = 2$)

Let σ_i ($i = 1, \dots, d-1$) be the standard generators of the braid group B_d . Then B_d has a group presentation

$$B_d = \left\langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{d-1} \left| \begin{array}{ll} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j & (|i-j|=1) \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i & (|i-j|>1) \end{array} \right. \right\rangle.$$

Definition 5.1 A *braid chart* of degree d or a B_d -chart is a labeled and oriented graph in S^2 such that each edge is labeled in $\{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and each vertex is as in Figure 9. We call a vertex a *black vertex*, a *crossing* or a *white vertex* if the valency of the vertex is 1, 4 or 6, respectively. The arrow at a black vertex in this figure is suppressed since it may either be incoming or outgoing.




Figure 9: Vertices of a B_d -chart

By the correspondence $i \leftrightarrow \sigma_i = (i \ i+1) \in B_d$, the labels of a chart are assumed to present the standard generators in B_d . Figure 10 is an example of a B_4 -chart, or a braid chart of degree 4.




Figure 10: A B_4 -chart Γ and the induced monodromy ρ_Γ

Forgetting orientations of the edges from a braid chart, we obtain a permutation chart. Thus we often call a permutation chart an *unoriented chart*, and a braid chart an *oriented chart*.

Definition 5.2 A permutation chart is called *orientable* if one can give orientations to the edges to make it a braid chart. Otherwise it is called *nonorientable*.

For a braid chart Γ of degree d , we consider a monodromy

$$\rho_\Gamma : \pi_1(S^2 \setminus L) \rightarrow B_d, \quad [\ell] \mapsto [\text{intersection word of } \ell \text{ w.r.t. } \Gamma],$$

where $L (= L_\Gamma)$ is the set of black vertices. An intersection word is a word of $\{1, \dots, d-1\}$, which is regarded as an element of B_d by the correspondence $i \leftrightarrow \sigma_i = (i \ i+1) \in S_d$.

Example 5.3 Let Γ be a B_4 -chart depicted in the left of Figure 10. When we take a Hurwitz generating system as in the right of the figure, we have a Hurwitz system

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_1, \sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2).$$

It is equal to $(\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_1^{-1})$. And it is Hurwitz equivalent to $(\sigma_1, \sigma_1^{-1}, \sigma_3, \sigma_3^{-1})$.

Let $D^2 \times S^2$ be a tubular neighborhood of a standardly embedded 2-sphere in R^4 .

Definition 5.4 A PL embedding $g : M^2 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^2 \subset R^4$ is a (*simple*) *embedded 2-dimensional braid*, or a *surface braid*, of degree d if the composition $M^2 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ is a d -fold (simple) branched covering.

For a (simple or nonsimple) embedded 2-dimensional braid $g : M^2 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^2 \subset R^4$ of degree m , we can consider a *monodromy* $\rho (= \rho_g) : \pi_1(S^2 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow B_d$, where $L (= L_g)$ is the branch set of the branched covering $M^2 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2$.

Theorem 5.5 For any simple embedded 2-dimensional braid $g : M^2 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^2 \subset R^4$, there exists a braid chart Γ such that $\rho_g = \rho_\Gamma$. (Γ is called a *chart description* of g .)

Two charts are *equivalent* or *chart move equivalent* if they are related by a finite sequence of chart moves (Figure 5) and ambient isotopes of S^2 .

Theorem 5.6 Let Γ and Γ' be chart descriptions of simple embedded 2-dimensional braids g and g' of the same degree. g and g' are equivalent if and only if Γ is equivalent to Γ' .

Let $\text{pr} : D^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be the projection.

Let $f : M^2 \rightarrow S^2$ be a simple branched covering, and $g : M^2 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^2$ a simple embedded 2-dimensional braid.

Definition 5.7 If $\text{pr} \circ g = f$, then we call g an *embedded lift* of f , and we say that f is *liftable*.

Theorem 5.8 Any simple branched covering of S^2 is liftable.

Remark 5.9 For any simple branched covering, there exists a chart description that is an orientable permutation chart. Not every chart description of a liftable simple branched covering is orientable.

For further topics related to braid charts and 2-dimensional braids, refer to [4, 5, 13, 14].

6 Three dimensional case ($m = 3$)

We recall the theorem due to H. M. Hilden [8] and J. M. Montesinos [17] again.

Theorem 6.1 (Hilden and Montesinos) *Any closed oriented and connected 3-manifold can be represented as a 3-fold simple branched covering of S^3 branched over a link (or a knot).*

Let $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ be a d -fold simple branched covering of S^3 branched along L . Let $\underline{f} : M^3 \setminus f^{-1}(L) \rightarrow S^3 \setminus L$ be the associated covering. The covering map $\underline{f}$ is determined by a monodromy $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow S_d$.

Remark 6.2 The monodromy ρ sends each meridian to a transposition. Conversely, any homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow S_d$ sending each meridian to a transposition is a monodromy of a simple branched covering.

Figure 11 is a knot with a monodromy in S_3 . In general, by $(12) \mapsto B = \text{blue}$, $(23) \mapsto R = \text{red}$, $(13) \mapsto G = \text{green}$, we obtain a link with Fox's 3-coloring that represents a 3-manifold. See Figure 12.




Figure 11: A knot with a monodromy in S_3




Figure 12: A 3-colored knot

The local move depicted in Figure 13 was introduced by Montesinos, that does not change the 3-manifold.




Figure 13: A Montesinos move

Applying a Montesinos move to the 3-colored knot in Figure 12, we have a 3-colored trivial link as in Figure 14, which represents S^3 . Thus it is a nontrivial representation of S^3 as a 3-fold simple branched covering.




Figure 14: Two representations of S^3 as a 3-fold simple branched covering

Definition 6.3 A homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow S_d$ sending each meridian to a transposition is called a *simple* homomorphism.

A link L with a simple homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow S_d$ induces a d -fold simple branched covering $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ branched along L .

Let $D^2 \times S^3$ be a tubular neighborhood of a standardly embedded S^3 in R^5 , and let $\text{pr} : D^2 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ be the projection.

Definition 6.4 A (*simple*) (*embedded/immersed*) 3-dimensional braid is a PL map $g : M^3 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^3 \subset R^5$ such that

- (1) the composition $\text{pr} \circ g : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ is a (simple) branched covering,
- (2) g is an embedding/immersion, and
- (3) if g is an immersion, the image of multipoint set under pr is a link in S^3 avoiding the branch set.

Let $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ be a branched covering and $g : M^3 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^3 \subset R^5$ an embedded/immersed 3-dimensional braid. If $\text{pr} \circ g = f$, then we call g an *embedded/immersed lift* of f .

Theorem 6.5 For any 2-fold simple branched covering $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$, there exists an embedded lift $g : M^3 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^3 \subset R^5$.

Theorem 6.6 For any d -fold simple branched covering $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$, there exists an immersed lift $g : M^3 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^3 \subset R^5$.

Problem 6.7 When does a simple branched covering $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ have an embedded lift?

In terms of groups

Let L be a link in S^3 . Recall Definition 6.3 that a homomorphism $f : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L) \rightarrow S_d$ is *simple* if each meridian is mapped to a transposition.

Definition 6.8 A homomorphism $g : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L) \rightarrow B_d$ is *simple* if each meridian is mapped to a conjugate of σ_i or σ_i^{-1} .

Let $\text{pr} : B_d \rightarrow S_d$ be the natural projection.

Let $f : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L) \rightarrow S_d$ and $g : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L) \rightarrow B_d$ be simple homomorphisms. If $\text{pr} \circ g = f$, we say that g is a *simple lift* of f .

Problem 6.9 Characterize a simple homomorphism $f : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L) \rightarrow S_d$ that has a simple lift.

In terms of quandles

For an oriented link L in S^3 , let $Q(S^3, L)$ denote the fundamental quandle of L ([7, 12, 16]).

Let T_d be the set of transpositions in S_d . Let A_d be the set of conjugates of standard generators of B_d and their inverses. The sets A_d and T_d are regarded as quandles by conjugation. The natural projection $\text{pr} : B_d \rightarrow S_d$ induces the projection $\text{pr} : A_d \rightarrow T_d$ which is a surjective quandle homomorphism.

Problem 6.10 Characterize a quandle homomorphism $f : Q(S^3, L) \rightarrow T_d$ that has a lift $\tilde{f} : Q(S^3, L) \rightarrow A_d$, i.e., $\text{pr} \circ \tilde{f} = f$.

In general we are interested in the following problem.

Problem 6.11 Let $p : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ be a surjective quandle homomorphism. Characterize a quandle homomorphism $f : P \rightarrow Q$ that has a lift $\tilde{f} : P \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ with respect to p , i.e., $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$.

7 2-dimensional charts ($m = 3$)

Permutation charts and braid charts are graphs in S^2 describing simple branched coverings of S^2 and simple 2-dimensional braids. These notions are generalized into higher dimensions. The authors are studying 2-dimensional permutation charts and 2-dimensional braid charts. They are used to describe simple branched coverings of S^3 and simple 3-dimensional braids, respectively.

- A simple embedded branched covering of $S^3 \Leftarrow$ a 2-dimensional permutation chart.
- A simple embedded 3-dimensional braid
 $\Leftarrow$ a 2-dimensional braid chart, or a *curtain*.
- A simple immersed 3-dimensional braid
 $\Leftarrow$ a 2-dimensional braid chart (or a curtain) with/without *nodal curves*.

A 2-dimensional (permutation or braid) chart is a 2-dimensional subcomplex of S^3 whose faces are (unoriented or oriented), and labeled by integers in $\{1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that certain conditions around edges are assumed. We show some examples of 2-dimensional charts.

Example 7.1 In Figure 15 a trefoil L with a Seifert surface F is depicted. When we forget the orientation of F , the surface F is regarded as a 2-dimensional permutation chart of degree 2, or a 2-dimensional S_2 -chart. (We assume that the sheet has label 1.) It induces a monodromy $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow S_2$ using intersection words. It describes a simple embedded 2-fold branched covering $f_F : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ with branch set L .

When we use the orientation of F , the surface F is regarded as a 2-dimensional braid chart of degree 2, or a 2-dimensional B_2 -chart. (We assume that the sheet has label 1.) It induces a monodromy $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow B_2$ using intersection words. It describes a simple embedded 3-dimensional braid $g_F : M^3 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^3 \subset R^5$.




Figure 15: A trefoil with a Seifert surface

Example 7.2 In Figure 16 a knot 5_2 , denoted by L here, with a Seifert surface, denoted by F , is depicted. Figure 17 shows a motion picture of L and F .

When we forget the orientation of F , the surface F is regarded as a 2-dimensional permutation chart of degree 2, or a 2-dimensional S_2 -chart. (We assume that the sheet has label 1.) It induces a monodromy $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow S_2$ using intersection words. It describes a simple embedded 2-fold branched covering $f_F : M^3 \rightarrow S^3$ with branch set L .

When we use the orientation of F , the surface F is regarded as a 2-dimensional braid chart of degree 2, or a 2-dimensional B_2 -chart. (We assume that the sheet has label 1.) It induces a monodromy $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L, *) \rightarrow B_2$ using intersection words. It describes a simple embedded 3-dimensional braid $g_F : M^3 \rightarrow D^2 \times S^3 \subset R^5$.




Figure 16: A knot 5_2 with a Seifert surface




Figure 17: A motion picture

Example 7.3 Figures 18 and 19 show a 3-colored trefoil and a 2-dimensional braid chart. Let L be the trefoil knot depicted on the left of Figure 18. Let $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus L) \rightarrow S_3$ be the

monodromy described by the 3-coloring. In the right side of Figures 18 and 19, a motion picture of a 2-dimensional braid chart Γ of degree 3 is depicted. The monodromy induced from Γ is ρ .




Figure 18: A 3-colored trefoil and a 2-dimensional braid chart




Figure 19: A 3-colored trefoil and a 2-dimensional braid chart

Acknowledgements.

This paper was studied with the support of the Ministry of Education Science and Technology (MEST) and the Korean Federation of Science and Technology Societies (KOFST). SK is being supported by JSPS grants #21340015 and #23654027.

References

- [1] J. W. Alexander, *Note on Riemann spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1920), 370–372.

- [2] I. Bernstein and A. L. Edmonds, *On the construction of branched coverings of low-dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **247** (1979), 87–124.
- [3] I. Bernstein and A. L. Edmonds, *On the classification of generic branched coverings of surfaces*, Illinois J. Math. **28** (1984), no. 1, 64–82.
- [4] J. S. Carter, S. Kamada and M. Saito, *Surfaces in 4-space*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 142. Low-Dimensional Topology, III. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [5] J. S. Carter and M. Saito, *Knotted surfaces and their diagrams*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 55. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [6] A. Clebsch, *Zur Theorie der Riemann'schen Fläche*, Math. Ann. **6** (1973), 216–230.
- [7] R. Fenn and C. Rourke, *Racks and links in codimension two*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **1** (1992), 343–406.
- [8] H. M. Hilden, *Three-fold branched coverings of S^3* , Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 989–997.
- [9] J. F. P. Hudson, *Piecewise linear topology*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [10] A. Hurwitz, *Ueber Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*, Math. Ann. **39** (1891), 1–60.
- [11] M. Iori and R. Piergallini, *4-manifolds as covers of the 4-sphere branched over non-singular surfaces*, Geom. Topol. **6** (2002), 393–401.
- [12] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **23** (1982), 37–65.
- [13] S. Kamada, *Braid and knot theory in dimension four*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **95**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [14] S. Kamada, *Kyokumen musubime riron* (Surface-knot theory) (in Japanese), Springer Gendai Sugaku Series **16**, Maruzen Publishing Co., Ltd, 2012.
- [15] J. Lüroth, *Note über Verzweigungsschnitte und Querschnitte in einer Riemann'schen Fläche*, Math. Ann. **4** (1871), 181–184.
- [16] S. V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory* (in Russian), Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **119**(161) (1982), 78–88, 160.
- [17] J. M. Montesinos, *Three-manifolds as 3-fold branched covers of S^3* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **27** (1976), 85–94.
- [18] R. Piergallini, *Covering moves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **325** (1991), no. 2, 903–920.
- [19] R. Piergallini, *Four-manifolds as 4-fold branched covers of S^4* , Topology **34** (1995), no. 3, 497–508.

- [20] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 69. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

J. Scott Carter
Department of Mathematics
University of South Alabama
Mobile, AL 36688
USA
E-mail address: carter@southalabama.edu

Seiichi Kamada
Department of Mathematics
Hiroshima University
Hiroshima 739-8526
JAPAN
E-mail address: kamada@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp