

Some Results on Optimality Conditions for Nonsmooth Vector Optimization Problems*

Gue Myung Lee [†]

Abstract

In this paper, we summarize our recent results ([14]-[17]) about optimality conditions for nonsmooth vector optimization problems. Firstly, we give optimality conditions for a (properly, weakly) efficient solution of a nonsmooth convex vector optimization, which are expressed in terms of vector variational inequalities with subdifferentials. Secondly, we present sequential optimality conditions for an efficient solutions of a nonsmooth convex vector optimization, which hold without any constraint qualifications. Thirdly, we give a necessary optimality condition for a weakly efficient solution of a non-Lipschitzian vector optimization problem. Finally, we present necessary optimality condition for a properly efficient solution of a Lipschitzian vector optimization problem.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following vector optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(VP)} & \text{Minimize } f(x) := (f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x)) \\ & \text{subject to } x \in D, \end{array}$$

where $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, are functions and D is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Solving (VP) means to find the (properly, weakly) efficient solutions which are defined as follows;

Definition 1.1 (1) $\bar{x} \in D$ is said to be an efficient solution of (VP) if for any $x \in D$,

$$(f_1(x) - f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_p(x) - f_p(\bar{x})) \notin -\mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\},$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^p$ is the nonnegative orthant of $\mathbb{R}^p$.

*This work was supported by the grant No. R01-2003-000-10825-0 from the Basic Research Program of KOSEF.

[†]Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University, Pusan 608-737, Korea.

(2) $\bar{x} \in D$ is called a properly efficient solution of (VP) if $\bar{x} \in D$ is an efficient solution of (VP) and there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, p$, we have

$$\frac{f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(x)}{f_j(x) - f_j(\bar{x})} \leq M$$

for some j such that $f_j(x) > f_j(\bar{x})$ whenever $x \in D$ and $f_i(x) < f_i(\bar{x})$.

(3) $\bar{x} \in D$ is said to be a weakly efficient solution of (VP) if for any $x \in D$,

$$(f_1(x) - f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_p(x) - f_p(\bar{x})) \notin -\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p,$$

where $\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p$ is the interior of $\mathbb{R}_+^p$.

We denote the set of all the efficient solution of (VP), the set of all the weakly efficient solution of (VP), the set of all the properly efficient solution of (VP) by $\text{Eff}(\text{VP})$, $\text{WEff}(\text{VP})$ and $\text{PrEff}(\text{VP})$, respectively.

It is clear that $\text{PrEff}(\text{VP}) \subset \text{Eff}(\text{VP}) \subset \text{WEff}(\text{VP})$. For basic meanings and properties of such solution sets, see [25].

Recently many authors have tried to obtain optimality conditions to nonsmooth (nondifferentiable) vector optimization problems involving nonsmooth objective or constraint functions ([1], [2], [4], [6], [7], [10], [13], [18]-[20], [23], [24], [26]-[31]). In particular, Giannessi [3] gave elegant optimality conditions for differentiable vector convex optimization problem, which are expressed by vector variational inequalities with gradients. Many authors ([8]-[13], [27], [28]) have tried to extend the Giannessi's results to (nonsmooth) vector optimization problems. Very recently, Jeyakumar, Lee and Dinh ([5]) gave sequential optimality conditions characterizing the solution without any constraint qualification for a scalar convex optimization problem.

In this paper, we summarize our recent results ([14]-[17]) about optimality conditions for nonsmooth vector optimization problems. Firstly, we give optimality conditions for a (properly, weakly) efficient solution of a nonsmooth convex vector optimization, which are expressed in terms of vector variational inequalities with subdifferentials. Secondly, we present sequential optimality conditions for an efficient solution of a nonsmooth convex vector optimization, which hold without any constraint qualifications, and which are given in sequential forms using subdifferentials and ϵ -subdifferentials. Thirdly, we give a necessary optimality condition for a weakly efficient solution of a non-Lipschitzian vector optimization problem involving lower semicontinuous or continuous functions (not necessarily, locally Lipschitz functions). Finally, we present a necessary optimality condition for a properly efficient solution of a Lipschitzian vector optimization problem involving locally Lipschitz functions.

2 Vector Variational Inequalities for Nonsmooth Convex Vector Optimization Problems

Throughout this section, we will assume that the objective functions of (VP), $f_i, i = 1, \dots, p$, are convex and the constraint set of (VP), D , is a closed convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. The subdifferential of φ at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the non-empty compact convex set

$$\partial\varphi(a) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \varphi(x) - \varphi(a) \geq \langle v, x - a \rangle, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Recently, Giannessi [3] considered the following vector variational inequalities for a differentiable convex vector optimization (VP) (when $f_i, i = 1, \dots, p$, are differentiable):

$$(VVI)_\nabla \quad \text{Find } \bar{x} \in D \text{ such that} \\ (\langle \nabla f_1(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \nabla f_p(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}, \forall x \in D,$$

where $\nabla f_i(x)$ is the gradient of f_i at x and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

$$(MVVI)_\nabla \quad \text{Find } \bar{x} \in D \text{ such that} \\ (\langle \nabla f_1(x), x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \nabla f_p(x), x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}, \forall x \in D.$$

$$(WVVI)_\nabla \quad \text{Find } \bar{x} \in D \text{ such that} \\ (\langle \nabla f_1(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \nabla f_p(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p, \forall x \in D,$$

where $\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p$ is the interior of $\mathbb{R}_+^p$.

He proved that if $f_i, i = 1, \dots, p$, are differentiable, then

$$\text{sol}(VVI)_\nabla \subset \text{sol}(MVVI)_\nabla = \text{Eff}(\text{VP}) \subset \text{WEff}(\text{VP}) = \text{sol}(WVVI)_\nabla.$$

In this section, we will consider scalar or vector variational inequalities for the nonsmooth convex vector optimization problem (VP), which are formulated as below, to give theorems which extend the above Giannessi's results to (VP).

$$(VI)_\lambda \quad \text{Find } \bar{x} \in D \text{ such that } \exists \xi_i \in \partial f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, p, \text{ such that} \\ \langle \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \xi_i, x - \bar{x} \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in D,$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in \mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}$.

- (MVI) $_{\lambda}$ Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\forall x \in D, \exists \xi_i \in \partial f_i(x), i = 1, \dots, p,$
 $\langle \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \xi_i, x - \bar{x} \rangle \geq 0.$
- (VVI) $_1$ Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\forall x \in D, \forall \xi_i \in \partial f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, p,$
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}.$
- (VVI) $_2$ Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\exists \xi_i \in \partial f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, p,$ such that
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall x \in D.$
- (VVI) $_3$ Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\forall x \in D, \exists \xi_i \in \partial f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, p,$ such that
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}.$
- (MVVI) Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\forall x \in D, \forall \xi_i \in \partial f_i(x), i = 1, \dots, p,$ such that
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}.$
- (WVVI) $_1$ Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\forall x \in D, \forall \xi_i \in \partial f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, p,$
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p.$
- (WVVI) $_2$ Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\exists \xi_i \in \partial f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, p,$ such that
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p \quad \forall x \in D.$
- (WVVI) $_3$ Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\forall x \in D, \exists \xi_i \in \partial f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, p,$ such that
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p.$
- (WMVVI) Find $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $\forall x \in D, \forall \xi_i \in \partial f_i(x), i = 1, \dots, p,$ such that
 $(\langle \xi_1, x - \bar{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \xi_p, x - \bar{x} \rangle) \notin -\text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p.$

We denote the solution sets of the above inequality problems by

$\text{sol}(\text{VI})_{\lambda}, \text{sol}(\text{MVI})_{\lambda}, \text{sol}(\text{VVI}), \dots, \text{sol}(\text{WMVVI}),$ respectively.

Now we give three theorems which show relations among solution sets of (VP) and the vector variational inequality problems, and present optimality conditions for (properly, weakly) efficient solutions of (VP). The following Theorem 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 are found in [15].

Theorem 2.1 *The following are true:*

- (1) $\text{sol}(\text{VVI})_1 \subset \text{sol}(\text{VVI})_2.$
- (2) $\text{PrEff}(\text{VP}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p} \text{sol}(\text{VI})_{\lambda} \subset \text{sol}(\text{VVI})_2 \subset \text{sol}(\text{VVI})_3$
 $\subset \text{sol}(\text{MVVI}) = \text{Eff}(\text{VP}).$

Theorem 2.2 *The following relations hold:*

$$\text{sol}(\text{WVVI})_1 \subset \text{WEff}(\text{VP}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}} \text{sol}(\text{VI})_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^p \setminus \{0\}} \text{sol}(\text{MVI})_{\lambda}$$

$$= \text{sol}(WVVI)_2 = \text{sol}(WVVI)_3 = \text{sol}(WMVVI).$$

Theorem 2.3 *If D is a polyhedral convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then*

$$\text{sol}(VVI)_2 = \text{PrEff}(VP).$$

3 Sequential Optimality Conditions for Convex Vector Optimization Problems

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. For $\epsilon \geq 0$, the ϵ -subdifferential of φ at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the non-empty closed convex set

$$\partial_\epsilon \varphi(a) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \varphi(x) - \varphi(a) \geq \langle v, x - a \rangle - \epsilon \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

In this section, we assume that $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_j(x) \leq 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}$, where $g_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ are convex functions, and the objective functions of (VP), f_i , $i = 1, \dots, p$, are convex functions.

Now we give two theorems about sequential optimality conditions for an efficient solution of (VP). The following Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 can be obtained from results in [17].

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \in \text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p$ and $\bar{x} \in D$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\bar{x} \in \text{Eff}(VP)$.

(ii) *there exist $u \in \partial(\sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i f_i)(\bar{x})$, $\lambda^n := (\lambda_1^n, \dots, \lambda_m^n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, $\delta^n \geq 0$, $v^n \in \partial_{\delta^n}(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^n g_j)(\bar{x})$, $\mu^n := (\mu_1^n, \dots, \mu_p^n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$, $\epsilon^n \geq 0$, $w^n \in \partial_{\epsilon^n}(\sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i^n f_i)(\bar{x})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (v^n + w^n) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta^n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon^n = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^n g_j \right)(\bar{x}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2 *Let $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \in \text{int}\mathbb{R}_+^p$ and $\bar{x} \in D$. Then $\bar{x} \in \text{Eff}(VP)$ if and only if there exist $u \in \partial(\sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i f_i)(\bar{x})$, $\lambda^n \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, $\mu^n := (\mu_1^n, \dots, \mu_p^n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$, $x^n \in X$, $s^n \in \partial(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^n g_j + \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i^n f_i)(x^n)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s^n &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^n g_j + \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i^n f_i \right)(x^n) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i^n f_i \right)(\bar{x}) \right] &= 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n - \bar{x}\| &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4 Necessary Optimality Conditions for non-Lipschitzian Vector Optimization Problems

We introduce the normal cone and the (singular) approximate subdifferential studied by Mordukhovich ([21], [22]).

Let C be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Define

$$P(C, x) := \{w \in \text{cl}C \mid \|x - w\| = \inf_{z \in C} \|x - z\|\},$$

where $\text{cl}C$ is the closure of the set C . Let $\bar{x} \in \text{cl}C$. The normal cone to C at $\bar{x}$ is defined by

$$N(C, \bar{x}) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y_k \rightarrow y, \ x_k \rightarrow \bar{x}, t_k \in (0, \infty), \\ c_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } c_k \in P(C, x_k) \text{ and } y_k = t_k(x_k - c_k)\}.$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The approximate subdifferential of f at x is defined by

$$\partial^A f(x) := \{x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x^*, -1) \in N(\text{epi} f, (x, f(x)))\},$$

and the singular approximate subdifferential of f at x is defined by

$$\partial^\infty f(x) := \{x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x^*, 0) \in N(\text{epi} f, (x, f(x)))\}.$$

In this section, we assume that $D = \{x \in C \mid g_j(x) \leq 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}$, where $g_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and C is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Now we give a theorem about a necessary optimality condition for a weakly efficient solution of a non-Lipschitzian vector optimization problem (VP) involving lower semicontinuous or continuous functions. The following Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are found in [16].

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\bar{x} \in D$. Assume that $f_i, i = 1, \dots, p$ and $g_j, j \in I(\bar{x}) := \{j \mid g_j(\bar{x}) = 0\}$, are lower semicontinuous at $\bar{x}$ and $g_j, j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus I(\bar{x})$ are continuous at $\bar{x}$. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{j \in I(\bar{x})} (r_j a_j + \tilde{z}_j) + \sum_{i=1}^p z_i + \eta = 0, \ r_j \geq 0, \ a_j \in \partial^A g_j(\bar{x}), \\ \tilde{z}_j \in \partial^\infty g_j(\bar{x}), \ j \in I(\bar{x}) \text{ and } z_i \in \partial^\infty f_i(\bar{x}), \ i = 1, \dots, p,$$

$\eta \in N(C, \bar{x})$
 imply $r_j = 0, \tilde{z}_j = 0, j \in I(\bar{x}), z_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, p,$
 and $\eta = 0$.

If $\bar{x} \in D$ is a weakly efficient solution of (VP), then there exist $\bar{\lambda}_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, p,$
 not all zero, $\bar{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, i = 0, 1, \dots, p,$ such that

$$(\bar{a}_i, -\bar{\lambda}_i) \in N(\text{epi } f_i, (\bar{x}, f_i(\bar{x}))), i = 1, \dots, p \text{ and}$$

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^p \bar{a}_i + \sum_{j \in I(\bar{x})} \left[\bigcup_{r_j > 0} r_j \partial^A g_j(\bar{x}) \right] \cup \partial^\infty g_i(\bar{x}) + N(C, \bar{x}).$$

Theorem 4.2 Let $\bar{x} \in D$. Suppose that $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, p,$ are locally Lipschitz
 at $\bar{x}$. Assume that

$$\sum_{j \in I(\bar{x})} (\alpha_j a_j + \tilde{z}_j) + \eta = 0, \alpha_j \geq 0, a_j \in \partial^A g_j(\bar{x}),$$

$$\tilde{z}_j \in \partial^\infty g_j(\bar{x}), j \in I(\bar{x}), \eta \in N(C, \bar{x})$$

$$\text{imply } \alpha_j = 0, \tilde{z}_j = 0, j \in I(\bar{x}), \eta = 0.$$

If $\bar{x} \in D$ is a weakly efficient solution of (VP), then there exist $\bar{\lambda}_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, p,$
 not all zero, such that

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^p \bar{\lambda}_i \partial^A f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j \in I(\bar{x})} \left[\bigcup_{r_j > 0} r_j \partial^A g_j(\bar{x}) \right] \cup \partial^\infty g_j(\bar{x}) + N(C, \bar{x}).$$

5 Necessary Optimality Conditions for Lipschitzian Vector Optimization Problems

We first recall some notions of Nonsmooth Analysis ([1]). Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally
 Lipschitz function. The Clarke subdifferential of ψ at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set

$$\partial\psi(x_0) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, \xi \rangle \leq \psi^0(x_0; x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

where

$$\psi^0(x_0; x) = \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x_0} \frac{1}{t} [\psi(x' + tx) - \psi(x')].$$

The Clarke tangent cone and the Clarke normal cone of a subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ at $x_0 \in C$ are denoted by $T_C(x_0)$ and $N_C(x_0)$, respectively. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} T_C(x_0) &= \{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \rho_C^0(x_0; \eta) = 0\}, \\ N_C(x_0) &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \leq 0, \forall \eta \in T_C(x_0)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_C(x) = \rho(x, C)$ i.e. $\rho_C(x)$ is the distance from $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to C .

In this section, we assume that

$$D = \{x \in C \mid g_j(x) \leq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m, h_l(x) = 0, l = 1, 2, \dots, q\}$$

where $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed subset, and g_j and h_l are given functions. Let $x_0 \in D$ and let

$$I(x_0) = \{j : g_j(x_0) = 0\}.$$

We say that condition (CQ) holds at $x_0 \in D$ if there do not exist $\mu_j \geq 0$, $j \in I(x_0)$, and $r_l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, q$, such that $\sum_{j \in I(x_0)} \mu_j + \sum_{l=1}^q |r_l| \neq 0$ and

$$0 \in \sum_{j \in I(x_0)} \mu_j \partial g_j(x_0) + \sum_{l=1}^q r_l \partial h_l(x_0) + N_C(x_0)$$

where $\partial g_j(x_0)$ and $\partial h_l(x_0)$ are the Clarke subdifferentials of g_j and h_l at x_0 , and $N_C(x_0)$ stands for the Clarke normal cone to C at x_0 .

Now we give a necessary optimality condition for a properly efficient solution of (VP). The following Theorem 5.1 is found in [14].

Theorem 5.1 Assume that all functions f_i, g_j and h_l of (VP) are locally Lipschitz. If $\bar{x} \in D$ is a properly efficient solution of (VP) and if condition (CQ) holds at $\bar{x}$, then there exist $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, $\mu_j \geq 0$, $j \in I(\bar{x})$, $r_l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, q$, such that

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \partial f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j \in I(\bar{x})} \mu_j \partial g_j(\bar{x}) + \sum_{l=1}^q r_l \partial h_l(\bar{x}) + N_C(\bar{x}).$$

References

- [1] F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1983.
- [2] B. D. Craven, *Nonsmooth multiobjective programming*, Numer. Funct. Optim. 10(1989), 49-64.

- [3] F. Giannessi, *On Minty variational principle* in "New Trends in Mathematical Programming", edited by F. Giannessi, S. Komlosi, and T. Rapcsák, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 93-99, 1998.
- [4] A. Götz and J. Jahn, *The Lagrange multiplier rule in set-valued optimization*, SIAM J. Optim. **10**(2000), 331-344.
- [5] V. Jeyakumar, G. M. Lee and N. Dinh, *New sequential Lagrange multiplier conditions characterizing optimality without constraint qualification for convex programs*, SIAM J. Optim. **14**(2003), 534-547.
- [6] V. Jeyakumar and X. Q. Yang, *Convex composite multi-objective nonsmooth programming*, Math. Programming **59**(1993), 325-343.
- [7] G. M. Lee, *Nonsmooth invexity in multiobjective programming*, J. Inform. Optim. Sci., **15** (1) (1994), 127-136.
- [8] G. M. Lee, *On relations between vector variational inequality and vector optimization problem* in *Progress in Optimization*, edited by X. Q. Yang, A. I. Mees, M. E. Fisher, and L. S. Jennings, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 167-179, 2000.
- [9] G. M. Lee and M. H. Kim, *Remarks on relations between vector variational inequality and vector optimization problem*, Nonlinear Anal.: TMA **47** (2001), 627-635.
- [10] G. M. Lee and M. H. Kim, *On second order necessary optimality conditions for vector optimization problems*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **40**(2003), 287-305.
- [11] G. M. Lee, D. S. Kim and H. Kuk, *Existence of solutions for vector optimization problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220**(1998), 90-98.
- [12] G. M. Lee, D. S. Kim, B. S. Lee and N. D. Yen, *Vector variational inequality as a tool for studying vector optimization problems*, Nonlinear Anal.: TMA **34**(1998), 745-765.
- [13] G. M. Lee, D. S. Kim and P. H. Sach, *Characterizations of Hartley proper efficiency in nonconvex programs*, submitted.
- [14] G. M. Lee, D. S. Kim and P. H. Sach, *Characterizations of Hartley proper efficiency in nonconvex programs*, submitted.
- [15] G. M. Lee and K. B. Lee, *Vector variational inequalities for nondifferentiable convex vector optimization problems*, to appear in Journal of Global Optimization.
- [16] G. M. Lee and K. B. Lee, *On necessary optimality conditions for non-Lipschitzian vector optimization problem*, manuscript.

- [17] G. M. Lee and K. B. Lee, *Sequential optimality condition for nonsmooth convex vector optimization problems*, manuscript.
- [18] D. T. Luc, *Theory of Vector Optimization*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems **319**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [19] D. T. Luc, *A multiplier rule for multiobjective programming problems with continuous data*, SIAM J. Optim. **13**(2002), 168-178.
- [20] M. Minami, *Weak Pareto-optimal necessary conditions in a nondifferentiable multiobjective program on a Banach space*, J. Optim. Th. Appl. **41** (1983), 451-461.
- [21] B. S. Mordukhovich, *Sensitivity analysis in nonsmooth optimization* in "Theoretical Aspects of Industrial Design", D. A. Field and V. Komkov eds, SIAM Publications, Philadelphia, pp. 32-46, 1992.
- [22] B. S. Mordukhovich, *Generalized differential calculus for nonsmooth and set-valued mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **183**(1)(1992), 250-288.
- [23] B. S. Mordukhovich and J. S. Treiman and Q. J. Zhu, *An extended extremal principle with applications to multiobjective optimization*, SIAM J. Optim. **14** (2003), 359-379.
- [24] P. H. Sach, G. M. Lee and D. S. Kim, *Infine functions, nonsmooth alternative theorems and vector optimization problems*, J. Global Optim. **27**(2003), 51-81.
- [25] Y. Sawaragi, H. Nakayama and T. Tanino, *Theory of Multiobjective Optimization*, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1985.
- [26] D. E. Ward and G. M. Lee, *Generalized properly efficient solutions of vector optimization problems*, Math. Meth. Oper. Res. **53**(2001), 215-232.
- [27] D. E. Ward and G. M. Lee, *On relations between vector optimization problems and vector variational inequalities*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **113**(2002), 583-596.
- [28] X. Q. Yang, *Vector variational inequality and multiobjective pseudolinear programming*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **95**(1997), 729-734.
- [29] X. Q. Yang and V. Jeyakumar, *First and second-order optimality conditions for convex: composite multiobjective optimization*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **95**(1997), 209-224.
- [30] J. J. Ye and Q. J. Zhu, *Multiobjective optimization problem with variational inequality constraints*, Math. Programming **96**(2003), 139-160.
- [31] F. Zhao, *On sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions in nondifferentiable programming*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 385-389.