

SPERMATOCYTIC SEMINOMA: A CASE REPORT

Kiyoshi KOSHIDA, Tetsuo KATSUMI and Haruo HISAZUMI

From the Department of Urology, School of Medicine, Kanazawa University

(Director: Prof. H. Hisazumi)

An 81-year-old man with a painless enlargement of the right testis which developed 11 years ago was treated with right orchiectomy without any combined therapy in 1981. This tumor pathologically proved to be spermatocytic seminoma. The patient has been well and has shown no evidence of recurrence for the past 5 years.

Key words: Testicular tumor, Spermatocytic seminoma

INTRODUCTION

Spermatocytic seminoma, which is clinicopathologically distinct from classical seminoma, is relatively rare. We report herein a case of spermatocytic seminoma, which we believe is the fifth case in Japan.

CASE REPORT

An 81-year-old man with a painless enlargement in the right scrotum was seen on July 23, 1974, 3 months after its onset. A puffy right testis, the size of a goose egg, was found. We advised orchiectomy but the patient then refused it. Since the size of the mass increased gradually, he returned for an operation on June 11, 1981. The whole right scrotum which was fluctuant and could be partially transilluminated was the size of a child's head. The testis was found to be replaced by a painless mass approximately 15 cm along the major axis having a hard consistency and uneven surface. The right epididymis could not be differentiated from the mass, but the spermatic cord appeared to be normal on palpation. Ultrasonography of the mass showed the so-called mixed pattern of echogenic and sonolucent areas. The existence of an echo-free area around the mass suggested the association of a hydrocele testis (Fig. 1-A, B). Laboratory data showed no significant findings except for a mild elevation in lactate dehydrogenase (497 IU/l). Tumor markers such

as α -fetoprotein and human chorionic gonadotropin were within normal limits. Radical orchiectomy was performed on July 3, 1981. The excised testicular tumor associated with a hydrocele testis was 14 $\times$ 11 $\times$ 4.5 cm, weighed 400 g, had an uneven surface, and had a firm elastic consistency. Macroscopic examinations of the cut surface revealed that the testis was replaced by gray-white to yellow-white, solid and partially cystic masses. Several nodules had areas of hemorrhage and necrosis (Fig. 2). The epididymis and spermatic cord were not remarkable. Microscopically, the testis was replaced by tumor cells which could be classified as 1) cells having round nucleoli and pale vesicular cytoplasm; 2) cells having dark stained nucleoli due to rich chromatin and acidophilic cytoplasm; and 3) giant cells apparently distinct from the previous groups. A delicate stroma with scant lymphocyte infiltration was seen (Fig. 3-A, B). Moreover, PAS staining of the tumor cells was negative. Based on these pathological findings, the patient was diagnosed as having spermatocytic seminoma. He was discharged and has been followed up without additional treatment because image diagnosis and laboratory data has shown no evidence of metastasis. For the past 5 years he has been well and has shown no evidence of recurrence.

DISCUSSION

Spermatocytic seminoma, originally described by Masson¹³, is a relatively rare, locally invasive, slow-growing, malignant tumor which is morphologically and clinically distinct from classical seminoma. There are approximately 100 cases in the literature, and our case is believed to be the 5th case in Japan (Table 1). Although the incidence of spermatocytic seminoma in seminoma has been reported to be between 1.7 and 12%, some of the spermatocytic seminoma might have been diagnosed as classical seminoma. In fact, Rosai⁵⁾ reclassified as spermatocytic se-




Fig. 2. Gross appearance of the testicular tumor showing nodularity, foci of necrosis, hemorrhage and cystic degeneration.




Fig. 1. Ultrasonograms of the testis. A, the mixed pattern of echogenic and sonolucent areas. B, the sonolucent area around the testis implying a complication of a hydrocele testis.




Fig. 3. Photomicrograph showing A, the three types of cells in spermatocytic seminomas (H & E, ×400) and B, stroma with scant lymphocyte infiltration (H & E, ×200).

Table 1. Reports of spermatocytic seminoma in Japan.

Case No.	Author	Yr. publ.	Pt. age, yr.	Preop. duration	Side	Size & weight of tumor	Therapy	Metastases	Follow-up (postop.)
1	Tomoyoshi(2)	1968	70	4M 1M	*L *R	50x 35x25mm, 52g 52x 45x30mm, 57g	O + C	(-)	A & W 1 yr
2	Nishi(3)	1970	32		R	50x 40x40mm, 64g	O + R	(-)	A & W 1 yr and 4 mos
3	Takayama(4)	1979	49	1M 2M	**L **R	60x 50x25mm, 65x 60x 45x27mm, 67g	O + RPLND O	(-)	A & W 4 yrs
4	Sato(5)	1984	45	2Y	R	135x 90x70mm, 525g	O + RPLND + C	(-)	A & W 3 yrs and 3 mos
5	Author	1986	81	6Y	R	140x110x45mm, 400g	O	(-)	A & W 5 yrs

* Synchronous, **asynchronous

O = Orchiectomy. C = Chemotherapy. R = Radiation therapy.

RPLND = Retroperitoneal lymphnode dissection.

A & W = Alive and well with no evidence of disease.

minoma 6 out of 81 cases which were diagnosed as classical seminoma. Classical seminoma mainly occurs in 20 to 30-year-old patients, whereas spermatocytic seminoma mainly develops in patients over 40 years old. There is no difference in the site of occurrence, and the incidence of bilateral occurrence is significantly higher in spermatocytic seminoma as compared to classical seminoma (6~10% vs 2~3%). Two of the 5 cases reported in Japan were bilateral (Table 1). The tumor does not arise in undescended testicles nor in organs other than the testicle. Teratomas are never associated with the tumor.

Histologically, spermatocytic seminoma has scant intervening stroma, few scattered lymphocytes around the blood vessels and no granulation or necrotic foci. An important pathological diagnostic feature of the tumor is the marked difference in size between the tumor cells (see Fig. 3). The neoplastic elements can be roughly divided into three main types in which the intracytoplasmic glycogen is absent. Masson¹⁾ suggested that these tumors originate from spermatocytes because of the microscopic similarity of the chromatin arrangement. Although Rosai⁷⁾ supported this hypothesis with morphological observations under an electron microscope, Talerman and coworkers reported some findings morphologically³⁾ and histochemically⁹⁾ against it. Regarding malignant potency, only one histologically-proven metastatic case could be found in the literature¹⁰⁾: the patient showed bilateral axillar lymph node metastases 6 months after orchiectomy, and died 3 months later in spite of irradiation and chemotherapy. Generally speaking, the prognosis following orchiectomy is thought to be considerably good. Although spermatocytic seminoma seems to be radiosensitive¹¹⁾ there is no evidence indicating that post-

operative radiation is effective. Postoperative radiation may increase morbidity and not appreciably lengthen survival, especially in elderly patients like our case. Patients, however, should be followed up because several cases with asynchronous bilateral occurrence have been reported^{4, 6, 11)}

REFERENCES

- 1) Masson P: Etude sur le seminome. *Rev Can Biol* 5: 361~387, 1946
- 2) Tomoyoshi T and Kawamura J: Bilateral spermatocytic seminoma: Report of a case. *Acta Urol Jpn* 14: 753~757, 1968
- 3) Nishi M and Nomizo M: A case of spermatocytic seminoma. *Jpn J Urol* 61: 1035, 1970
- 4) Takayama H and Ooshiro K: Nonsynchronously grown bilateral spermatocytic seminoma: Report of a case. *Acta Urol Jpn* 12: 1327~1331, 1979
- 5) Satoh K, Orikasa S and Imai Y: Electron microscopic studies on histogenesis of testicular tumor. I. Electron microscopic observations of spermatocytic seminoma. *Jpn J Urol* 75: 1939~1948, 1984
- 6) Rosai J, Silber I and Khodadoust K: Spermatocytic seminoma: I. Clinicopathologic study of six cases and review of the literature. *Cancer* 24: 92~102, 1969
- 7) Rosai J, Khodadoust K and Silber I: Spermatocytic seminoma II. Ultrastructural study. *Cancer* 24: 103~116, 1969
- 8) Talerman A, Fu YS and Okagaki T: Spermatocytic seminoma. Ultrastructural and microspectrophotometric observations. *Lab Invest* 51: 343~349, 1984
- 9) Lee MC, Talerman A, Oosterhuis WO and Damjanov I: Lectin histochemistry of classic and spermatocytic seminoma. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 109: 938~942, 1985
- 10) Schoborg TW, Whittaker J and Lewis CW: Metastatic spermatocytic seminoma. *J Urol* 124: 739~741, 1980
- 11) Talerman A: Spermatocytic seminoma: Clinicopathological study of 22 cases. *Cancer* 45: 2169~2176, 1980

(Accepted for publication September 19, 1986)

和文抄録

Spermatocytic Seminoma の 1 例

金沢大学医学部泌尿器科学教室（主任：久住治男教授）

越田 潔・勝見 哲郎*・久住 治男

症例は81歳の男子，1974年5月より右陰囊内容の腫大に気付くも放置．1981年6月，同部は径約15 cmにまで腫大したため，手術を希望して入院した．右高位除睾術を施行したところ，陰囊水腫を合併した重量400 gの腫瘍が摘出された．組織学的に精巣は大小不同の3群に分けられる腫瘍細胞で置換され，これらの胞体中は，グリコーゲン（－）であった．また間質へのリンパ球浸潤もきわめて乏しいなどの所見より，

* 現：国立金沢病院泌尿器科

spermatocytic seminoma と診断された．1946年Masson が報告して以来，欧米では約100例の報告をみるが，本邦では自験例が第5例目と思われる．これまで組織学的に明らかな転移を認めた報告はわずかに1例をみるにとどまり，一般にその予後はclassical seminoma に比べて良好とされている．自験例は高齢であることを考慮して，術後に放射線療法を施行せずに，経過観察し，5年経過した現在，再発の兆候はなく健在である．