

CAP forms on $U(2, 2)$ II. Cusp forms *

Takuya KONNO †, Kazuko KONNO ‡

Abstract

This is a report of our work on non-tempered automorphic representations of $U_{E/F}(2, 2)$. Few years ago, we obtained a complete description of the local components of such automorphic forms. This time, we construct all the expected automorphic forms with these components.

Contents

1	Introduction to CAP forms	1
2	A -parameters	3
3	Review of the local theory	6
4	Presentation of the problem	7
5	Endoscopy for $U_{E/F}(2)$	9
6	Automorphic forms	12

1 Introduction to CAP forms

The term CAP is a short hand for the phrase “Cuspidal but Associated to Parabolic subgroups”. This is the name given by Piatetski-Shapiro [PS83] to those cuspidal automorphic representations which apparently contradict the generalized Ramanujan conjecture. An up-to-date definition of CAP forms might be given as follows.

*Talk at the conference “Automorphic representations, L -functions and periods”, RIMS, Kyoto Univ., January, 2006

†Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8581, Japan

E-mail: takuya@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

URL: <http://knmac.math.kyushu-u.ac.jp/~tkonno/>

‡Dept of Edu., Fukuoka University of Education, 1-1 Bunkyo-machi Akama, Munakata-city, Fukuoka, 811-4192, Japan

E-mail: kazukokonno@vesta.ocn.ne.jp

URL: <http://www15.ocn.ne.jp/~tkonno/kkonno/Kazuko.html>

Let G be a connected reductive group defined over a number field F . We write $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ for the adele ring of F . By an *automorphic representation* of $G(\mathbb{A})$, we mean an *irreducible subquotient* of the right regular representation

$$R(g)\phi(x) = \phi(xg), \quad g \in G(\mathbb{A})$$

of $G(\mathbb{A})$ on the Hilbert space

$$L^2(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi : G(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \text{measurable} \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } \phi(\gamma a g) = \phi(g), \\ \gamma \in G(F), a \in \mathfrak{A}_G, g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \\ \text{(ii) } \int_{G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} |\phi(g)|^2 dg < \infty \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Here, $\mathfrak{A}_G$ is the maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subgroup in the center $Z(G)(\mathbb{A})$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and the measure is taken to be $G(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant. The *discrete spectrum* $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ is the maximum subspace of $L^2(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ which is a direct sum of irreducible subrepresentations. Further this decomposes as

$$L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) = L^2_0(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \oplus L^2_{\text{res}}(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A})).$$

Here $L^2_0(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ is the completion of the space of cusp forms with respect to the Petersson (i.e., L^2 -) norm and called the *cuspidal spectrum*. On the other hand, $L^2_{\text{res}}(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ is spanned by certain iterated residues of Eisenstein series

$$\text{Res}_{\lambda=s} E_P^G(\phi), \quad \phi \in \text{Ind}_P^G(\tau_\lambda), \tau \subset L^2_0(M(F)\mathfrak{A}_M \backslash M(\mathbb{A})),$$

where $P = MU \subset G$ is a proper parabolic subgroup. We observe that

- Let us write $t(\tau_v)$ for the *Hecke* (formerly called *Satake*) *matrix* of τ at any unramified place v for M and τ . Then the Hecke matrix for the residue $\text{Res}_{\lambda=s} E_P^G(\tau_\lambda)$ is $q_v^{-s} t(\tau_v)$. Here q_v is the cardinality of the residue field of F_v .
- According to Langlands' criterion for square integrability, we must have $\Re \varpi^\vee(\mathfrak{s}) > 0$ for any "fundamental coweight" ϖ for P .

In particular, even if τ satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture for M (i.e., $t(\tau_v)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded), any residue $\text{Res}_{\lambda=s} E_P^G(\tau_\lambda)$ in the discrete spectrum cannot satisfy the same conjecture for G .

Now let G^* be the quasisplit inner form of G . At almost all places v of F , $G_v := G \otimes_F F_v$ is isomorphic to G_v^* .

Definition 1.1. An irreducible cuspidal representation $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v \subset L^2_0(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ is a CAP form if there exists an irreducible residual automorphic representation $\pi^* = \otimes_v \pi_v^* \subset L^2_{\text{res}}(G^*(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G^*(\mathbb{A}))$ of $G^*(\mathbb{A})$ such that the absolute values of the eigenvalues of the Hecke matrices $t(\pi_v)$ and $t(\pi_v^*)$ coincide at almost all v .

Example 1.2. (i) Combining the results of Jacquet-Shalika [JS81b], [JS81a] and Mœglin-Waldspurger [MW89], one finds that there are no CAP forms on $G = GL(n)$.

(ii) If $G = D^\times$, the unit group of a central division algebra over F , the trivial representation $\mathbb{1}_{G(\mathbb{A})}$ is a CAP form.

- (iii) The CAP forms on $U_{E/F}(3)$ (any unitary group in 3 variables) are the θ -liftings of automorphic characters on $U_{E/F}(1, \mathbb{A})$ [GR90], [GR91].
- (iv) The CAP forms on $Sp(2)$ are either the Saito-Kurokawa liftings (θ -liftings of automorphic representations of the metaplectic cover $\widetilde{SL(2, \mathbb{A})}$) or the θ_{10} -type representations constructed by Howe-Piatetski-Shapiro [PS83] (θ -liftings of automorphic representations of various orthogonal groups in 2-variables). It is expected but I do not know if these two families are disjoint.
- (v) Some CAP forms on the split exceptional group of type G_2 are studied by Gan-Gurevich-Jiang [GGJ02].
- (vi) The Ikeda lift on $Sp(2n)$ and the Miyawaki lift on $Sp(3)$ [Ike01] are CAP forms.

Besides its importance as counter examples to the Ramanujan conjecture, we propose the following three motivation of studying CAP forms.

- Construct and explicitly describe certain mixed motives associated to Shimura varieties. This point of view is discussed in detail in [Har93].
- Capture some periods of automorphic forms. This is related to the Ikeda-Ichino conjecture.
- Construct unipotent and other singular supercuspidal representations of p -adic groups.

In 2003, we have described the expected local components of the CAP forms of the quasisplit unitary group $U_{E/F}(2, 2)$ in 4-variables [KKa]. In this talk, we construct the cusp forms with those local components.

2 A -parameters

In order to put non-tempered automorphic forms into the framework of Langlands' conjecture, J. Arthur proposed a series of conjectures [Art89]. The conjectural description is given through the A -parameters. On the other hand, these parameters are not well related to the definition 1.1 of CAP forms, because the Ramanujan conjecture is not yet established for any non-abelian reductive group G . In order to obtain a nice framework to study CAP forms, it is best to introduce the following *ad hoc* notion of A -parameters for unitary groups.

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of number fields, and write σ for the generator of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. We fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of E (or F) and write W_F (resp. W_E) for the Weil group of $\bar{F}/F$ (resp. $\bar{F}/E$). Recall the (non-split) extension $1 \rightarrow W_E \rightarrow W_F \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow 1$. We fix an inverse image $w_\sigma \in W_F$ of σ .

First we consider the group $H_n := \text{Res}_{E/F} GL(n)$. Its L -group is given by ${}^L H_n = \widehat{H}_n \rtimes_{\rho_{H_n}} W_F$ with $\widehat{H}_n = GL(n, \mathbb{C})^2$ and

$$\rho_{H_n}(w)(h_1, h_2) = \begin{cases} (h_1, h_2) & \text{if } w \in W_E, \\ (h_2, h_1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We write $\Phi_0(H_n)$ for the set of (isomorphism classes of) irreducible unitary cuspidal representations of $H_n(\mathbb{A})$. Conjecturally, this should be in 1-1 correspondence with the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible n -dimensional representations with bounded image of the hypothetical

Langlands group $\mathcal{L}_E$ of E . We adopt this latter point of view, since it is convenient for some observations. There should be a natural morphism $p_{W_F} : \mathcal{L}_F \rightarrow W_F$. As in the Weil group case, $\mathcal{L}_F$ should be an extension $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_F \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow 1$. Again we take an inverse image $w_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_F$ of the above fixed $w_\sigma \in W_F$. By [Bor79, Prop.8.4], each $\varphi_E \in \Phi_0(H_n)$ is identified with the homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_F \rightarrow {}^L H_n$ given by

$$\varphi(w) := \begin{cases} (\varphi_E(w), \varphi_E(w_\sigma w w_\sigma^{-1})) \times p_{W_F}(w) & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{L}_E, \\ (\varphi_E(w w_\sigma^{-1}), \varphi_E(w_\sigma w)) \rtimes p_{W_F}(w) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.1. An A -parameter for H_n is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{L}_F \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L H_n$ such that

- (i) $\phi|_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})} : SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \widehat{H}_n$ is analytic.
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_F \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^L H_n \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} W_F$ coincides with $p_{W_F} : \mathcal{L}_F \rightarrow W_F$. Thus ϕ is determined by the representation $\phi_E : \mathcal{L}_E \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^L H_n \xrightarrow{\text{1st. proj.}} GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (under (2.1)).
- (iii) ϕ_E is semisimple, so that we have an irreducible decomposition $\phi_E \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \varphi_{i,E} \otimes \rho_{d_i}$. Here, $\varphi_{i,E}$ is an m_i -dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathcal{L}_E$ and ρ_d denotes the d -dimensional irreducible representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Note $\sum_{i=1}^r d_i m_i = n$.
- (iv) $\varphi_{i,E} \in \Phi_0(H_{m_i})$.

A -parameters ϕ, ϕ' for H_n are equivalent if they are $\widehat{H}_n$ -conjugate, or equivalently, if ϕ_E and ϕ'_E are isomorphic. An A -parameter ϕ contributes to the discrete spectrum if and only if it is elliptic, i.e., ϕ_E is irreducible.

Now we turn to the quasisplit unitary group $G = G_n$ in n -variables for E/F . This can be realized in such a way that

$$G_n(R) := \{g \in \mathbb{M}_n(R \otimes_F E)^\times \mid \theta_n(g) = \sigma(g)\},$$

for any abelian F -algebra R . Here $\theta_n(g) := \text{Ad}(I_n)^t g^{-1}$ with

$$I_n := \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & \\ & \ddots & & \\ (-1)^{n-1} & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

The L -group ${}^L G_n = \widehat{G}_n \rtimes_{\rho_{G_n}} W_F$ is given by $\widehat{G}_n = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$\rho_{G_n}(w) = \begin{cases} \text{id} & \text{if } w \in W_E, \\ \theta_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. An A -parameter for G is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{L}_F \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G$ such that

- (BC) $\phi_E : \mathcal{L}_E \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^L G_n \xrightarrow{\text{1st. proj.}} GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ coincides with ϕ_E^H for some A -parameter ϕ^H for H_n .

Two A -parameters are equivalent if they are $\widehat{G}$ -conjugate. Let $\Psi(G)$ be the set of equivalence classes of A -parameters for G . For an A -parameter ϕ , we write $S_\phi(G)$ for the centralizer of $\phi(\mathcal{L}_F \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ in $\widehat{G}$, and $S_\phi(G)$ for the group of connected components of $S_\phi(G)/Z(\widehat{G})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$. $\phi \in \Psi(G)$ is called elliptic if the identity component $S_\phi(G)^0$ of $S_\phi(G)$ is contained in $Z(\widehat{G})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$. We write $\Psi_0(G)$ for the subset elliptic classes in $\Psi(G)$. An elliptic ϕ is of CAP-type if $\phi|_{SL(2, \mathbb{C})}$ is non-trivial. We write $\Psi_{\text{CAP}}(G)$ for the set of classes of CAP-type in $\Psi_0(G)$.

An elementary exercise in representation theory shows that each $\phi \in \Phi_0(G_n)$ can be written as

$$\phi_E \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \xi_i \cdot \varphi_{i,E} \otimes \rho_{d_i} \quad (2.2)$$

where,

- $\varphi_i \in \Psi(G_{m_i})$ is such that $\varphi_{i,E}|_{\mathcal{L}_E}$ is irreducible;
- ξ_i is an idele class character of E such that $\xi_i|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \omega_{E/F}^{n-d_i-m_i+1}$. Here $\omega_{E/F}$ is the quadratic character of $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$ associated to E/F by the classfield theory.
- $\xi_i \cdot \varphi_{i,E} \not\simeq \xi_j \cdot \varphi_{j,E}$, $(1 \leq i \neq j \leq r)$.

Thus it suffices to describe the set

$$\Phi_{\text{st}}(G_m) := \{\varphi \in \Psi_0(G_m) \mid \varphi_E|_{\mathcal{L}_E} \text{ is irreducible}\}.$$

For $\varphi \in \Phi_{\text{st}}(G_m)$, φ_E viewed as a parameter for H_m corresponds to a cuspidal automorphic representation π_E of $H_m(\mathbb{A})$. According to Langlands' functoriality conjecture, the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_E$ corresponds to the *standard base change* lifting from $G_m(\mathbb{A})$ to $H_m(\mathbb{A})$ [Rog90]. Hence the description of $\Phi_0(G_m)$ amounts to that of the image of the standard base change. As for this question, the following expectation is well-known.

Conjecture 2.3. *Let π_E be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $H_m(\mathbb{A})$ and $\varphi^H : \mathcal{L}_F \rightarrow {}^L H_m$ be its Langlands parameter. Take an idele class character μ of E such that $\mu|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \omega_{E/F}$. Then $\varphi_E^H = \varphi_E$ for some $\varphi \in \Phi_{\text{st}}(G_m)$ (i.e., π_E is the standard base change lift of some stable L -packet of $G_m(\mathbb{A})$) if and only if*

(i) $\sigma(\pi_E) := \pi_E \circ \sigma \simeq \pi_E^\vee$ (the contragredient);

(ii) the twisted tensor L -function $L_{\text{Asai}}(s, \mu^{n+1}(\det)\pi_E)$ [Gol94] has a pole at $s = 1$.

Using the base change for $GU_{E/F}(2)$, we deduced the case $m = 2$ of the conjecture from [HLR86, Th.3.12] ([KKa, Cor.3.3]). This avails us to deduce the following description of $\Psi_{\text{CAP}}(G_4)$ from (2.2). Note that this does not involve the hypothetical Langlands group $\mathcal{L}_F$ anymore.

Proposition 2.4. *The set $\Psi_{\text{CAP}}(G_4)$ consists of the following classes. We write η, μ for typical idele class characters of E such that $\eta|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = 1$, $\mu|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \omega_{E/F}$, respectively.*

Name	ϕ_E	$\{(d_i, m_i)\}$	$\mathcal{S}_\phi(G)$
(1.a) ϕ_η	$\eta \otimes \rho_4$	$\{(4, 1)\}$	$\{1\}$
(1.b) $\phi_{\pi_E, \mu}$	$\mu \varphi_{\pi_E} \otimes \rho_2$	$\{(2, 2)\}$	$\{1\}$
(2.a) ϕ_μ	$(\mu \otimes \rho_3) \oplus \mu'$	$\{(3, 1), (1, 1)\}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
(2.b) $\phi_{\pi_E, \eta}$	$(\eta \otimes \rho_2) \oplus \varphi_{\pi_E}$	$\{(2, 1), (1, 2)\}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
(2.c) $\phi_{\underline{\eta}}$	$(\eta \otimes \rho_2) \oplus (\eta' \otimes \rho_2)$	$\{(2, 1), (2, 1)\}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
(2.d) $\phi_{\eta, \mu}$	$(\eta \otimes \rho_2) \oplus \mu \oplus \mu'$	$\{(2, 1), (1, 1), (1, 1)\}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Here, in (1.b), (2.b), π_E runs over the set of irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $H_2(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\sigma(\pi_E) \simeq \pi_E^\vee$ and $L_{\text{Aba}}(s, \pi_E)$ is holomorphic at $s = 1$. In (2.a) $\underline{\mu} = (\mu, \mu')$ where μ' can be μ . In (2.c) $\underline{\eta} = (\eta, \eta')$ modulo permutation, with $\eta \neq \eta'$. Finally, in (2.d) $\underline{\mu} = (\mu, \mu')$ modulo permutation and $\mu \neq \mu'$.

3 Review of the local theory

Let ϕ be an A -parameter for $G = G_4$. By restriction, we obtain the local component

$$\phi_v : \mathcal{L}_{F_v} \times SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow {}^L G_v$$

of ϕ at each place v of F . Here the local Langlands group $\mathcal{L}_{F_v}$ is given by $W_{F_v} \times SU(2, \mathbb{R})$ if v is non-archimedean and W_{F_v} otherwise [Kot84, §12]. ${}^L G_v$ is the L -group of the scalar extension $G_v = G \otimes_F F_v$. Arthur's local conjecture, among other things, associates to each ϕ_v a finite set $\Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v)$ of isomorphism classes of irreducible unitarizable representations of $G(F_v)$, called an A -packet. At all but finite number of v , $\Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v)$ is expected to contain a unique unramified element π_v^1 . Using such elements, we can form the global A -packet associated to ϕ :

$$\Pi_\phi(G) := \left\{ \bigotimes_v \pi_v \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \pi_v \in \Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v), \forall v; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \pi_v = \pi_v^1, \forall v \end{array} \right\}.$$

It is conjectured that any CAP-form on G is contained in $\Pi_\phi(G)$ for some $\phi \in \Psi_{\text{CAP}}(G)$. Thus our problem can be stated as follows.

Problem 3.1. (i) Describe $\Pi_\phi(G)$ (or equivalently, its local components $\Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v)$).
(ii) Describe the multiplicity of each $\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G)$ in $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. (Note $\mathfrak{A}_G = \{1\}$ for the unitary group G .)

Example 3.2. The A -packets associated to some of the parameters listed in Prop.2.4 can be easily described.

(1.a) For ϕ_η , we have $\Pi_\phi(G) = \{\eta_G := \eta_u(\det)\}$, where $\eta_u : U_{E/F}(1, \mathbb{A}) \ni z/\sigma(z) \mapsto \eta(z) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

(1.b) For $\phi_{\pi_E, \mu}$, $\Pi_\phi(G)$ consists of the unique irreducible quotient $J_P^G(\mu(\det)\pi_E | \det|_{\mathbb{A}_E}^{1/2})$, of the global parabolically induced representation from the Siegel parabolic subgroup $P = MU$.

(2.a) For ϕ_μ , $\Pi_\phi(G)$ consists of the θ -lifting $\theta_\mu((\mu/\mu')_u, W)$ of the automorphic character $(\mu/\mu')_u$ of $U_{E/F}(1, \mathbb{A})$.

In particular, no CAP forms occur in these cases. All of these representations are known to occur in the residual discrete spectrum [Kon98]. Hence from now on, we concentrate on the rest cases (2.b–d).

Local A -packets Now let E/F be a quadratic extension of non-archimedean local fields of characteristic zero. We also have corresponding results in the archimedean case, but we need some extra notation to state them. Let ϕ be (local analogue of) an A -parameter of type (2.b–2.d). In [KKa], we have constructed $\Pi_\phi(G)$ by the local θ -correspondence. Let us briefly recall the construction. First note that ϕ can be written in the form

$$\phi_E = \varphi_{\pi_E} \oplus (\eta \otimes \rho_2). \quad (3.1)$$

Here $\varphi_{\pi_E} : \mathcal{L}_E \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ corresponds to an irreducible admissible representation π_E of $H_2(F) = GL(2, E)$ under the local Langlands correspondence [HT01], [Kut80]. Also notice that $\mathcal{S}_\phi(G) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

For a 2-dimensional hermitian space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, we write G_V for its unitary group. $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = (W_n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n)$ denotes the hyperbolic skew-hermitian space of dimension $2n$, so that $G = G_4$ is the unitary group G_{W_2} of W_2 . Fix a character pair $\underline{\xi} = (\mathbf{1}, \eta)$ of $E^\times$ such that $\eta|_{F^\times} = \mathbf{1}$, and a non-trivial character $\psi_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. These specify the Weil representation $\omega_{V, W, \underline{\xi}} = \omega_{W, \mathbf{1}} \times \omega_{V, \eta}$ of $G_V(F) \times G_W(F)$. As usual, this determines the *local θ -correspondence*

$$\mathcal{R}(G_V, \omega_{W, \mathbf{1}}) \ni \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_V & \longmapsto & \theta_{\underline{\xi}}(\pi_V, W) \\ \theta_{\underline{\xi}}(\pi_W, V) & \longleftarrow & \pi_W \end{array} \in \mathcal{R}(G_W, \omega_{V, \eta})$$

between certain subsets $\mathcal{R}(G_V, \omega_{W, \mathbf{1}}) \subset \Pi(G_V(F))$, $\mathcal{R}(G_W, \omega_{V, \eta}) \subset \Pi(G_W(F))$. Here $\Pi(G_V(F))$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations of $G_V(F)$.

Definition 3.3. *In the notation of 3.1, let $\Pi_{\eta\pi_E^\vee}(G_V)$ be the L -packet of $G_V(F)$ whose standard base change to $H_2(F)$ is $\eta(\det)\pi_E^\vee$. (Empty if V is anisotropic and π_E is in the principal series.) We define*

$$\Pi_\phi(G) := \coprod_V \theta_{\underline{\xi}}(\Pi_{\eta\pi_E^\vee}(G_V), W),$$

where V runs over the set of isometry classes of 2-dimensional hermitian space over E .

4 Presentation of the problem

We now go back to the global setting. Let ϕ be an A -packet of type (2.b–d) in Prop.2.4. Having defined the local A -packets, we have the global packet $\Pi_\phi(G) = \bigotimes_v \Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v)$. In the present case, the multiplicity formula in Arthur's conjecture is stated as follows.

Conjecture 4.1. *There exists a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{S}_{\phi_v}(G_v) \times \Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ such that the multiplicity of $\pi = \bigotimes_v \pi_v \in \Pi_\phi(G)$ in $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ is given by*

$$m(\pi) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_\phi(G)|} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_\phi(G)} \epsilon_\phi(\mathbf{s}) \prod_v \langle \mathbf{s}, \pi_v \rangle.$$

Here, ϵ_ϕ is the sign character of the first $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathcal{S}_\phi(G)$ if $\varepsilon(1/2, \pi_E \times \eta^{-1}) = -1$, and is the trivial character otherwise.

Our main result states that $m(\pi)$ is equal to or larger than the right hand side of the conjectural formula. But this makes sense only after the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{S}_{\phi_v}(G_v) \times \Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is described.

Pairing in the stable case The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{S}_{\phi_v}(G_v) \times \Pi_{\phi_v}(G_v) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is given locally as the notation indicates. Thus we may go back to the local non-archimedean situation of §3. First we recall some basic requirements on $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$ from [Art89].

- (i) For $\phi \in \Psi(G)$, we have a Langlands' parameter

$$\varphi_{\phi} : \mathcal{L}_F \ni w \mapsto \phi\left(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|_F^{1/2} & \\ & |w|_F^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}\right) \rtimes p_{W_F}(w) \in {}^L G,$$

where $|\cdot|_F$ is the transport of the module of F by the reciprocity isomorphism $F^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{F,\text{ab}}$ (or its composite with $\mathcal{L}_F \xrightarrow{p_{W_F}} W_F \rightarrow W_{F,\text{ab}}$). Then the associated L -packet $\Pi_{\varphi_{\phi}}(G)$ should be contained in $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$.

- (ii) More precisely, there exists a parabolic subgroup $P_{\phi} = M_{\phi}U_{\phi}$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{L}_F) \subset {}^L M_{\phi}$ and

$$\mu_{\phi} : W_F \ni w \mapsto \phi\left(1, \begin{pmatrix} |w|_F^{1/2} & \\ & |w|_F^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}\right) \in {}^L G$$

is a P_{ϕ} -dominant element of $\mathfrak{a}_{M_{\phi}}^* = (\text{Lie } \mathfrak{A}_{M_{\phi}})^*$. Then $\Pi_{\varphi_{\phi}}(G) = \{J_{P_{\phi}}^G(\pi \otimes e^{\mu_{\phi}}) \mid \pi \in \Pi_{\phi|_{\mathcal{L}_F}}(M_{\phi})\}$, where $J_{P_{\phi}}^G(\pi \otimes e^{\mu_{\phi}})$ is the “Langlands’ quotient¹” of the standard parabolically induced representation $I_{P_{\phi}}^G(\pi \otimes e^{\mu_{\phi}})$. Now let us fix a Borel subgroup $B = TU$ and a non-degenerate character ψ_U of $U(F)$. According to the *generic packet conjecture*, $\Pi_{\phi|_{\mathcal{L}_F}}(M_{\phi})$ contains a unique generic representation π_1 with respect to $\psi_U|_{(U \cap M_{\phi})(F)}$. Then, the pairing between $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$ and $\Pi(\mathcal{S}_{\phi}(G))$ should be chosen in such a way that $\langle J_{P_{\phi}}^G(\pi_1 \otimes e^{\mu_{\phi}}), \cdot \rangle$ is the trivial character of $\mathcal{S}_{\phi}(G)$.

- (iii) The following diagram should commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_{\varphi_{\phi}}(G) \ni J_{P_{\phi}}^G(\tau \otimes e^{\mu_{\phi}}) & \longrightarrow & \langle \cdot, \tau \rangle \in \Pi(\mathcal{S}_{\phi|_{\mathcal{L}_F}}(M_{\phi})) \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ \Pi_{\phi}(G) \ni \pi & \longrightarrow & \langle \cdot, \pi \rangle \in \Pi(\mathcal{S}_{\phi}(G)). \end{array}$$

Going back to ϕ of type (2.b–d), the construction of the local packet $\Pi_{\phi}(G)$ involved the following, so-called ε -*dichotomy* property of the local θ -correspondence. Recall that there are only two isometry classes of 2-dimensional hermitian space V over E . They are classified by the signature $\omega_{E/F}(-\det V)$.

Theorem 4.2 ([KKa] Th.6.4). *We adopt the notation of Def.3.3. The local θ -correspondent $\theta_{\xi}(\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_2), V)$ of the L -packet $\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_2)$ to $G_V(F)$ is the L -packet $\Pi_{\eta\pi_E^{\vee}}(G_V)$ if*

$$\varepsilon(1/2, \pi_E \times \eta^{-1}, \psi_E) \omega_{\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_2)}(-1) = \omega_{E/F}(-\det V),$$

¹Again not precisely, because π is not always tempered in our definition of A -parameters.

and is zero otherwise. Here $\psi_E := \psi_F \circ \text{Tr}_{E/F}$ and $\varepsilon(s, \pi_E \times \eta^{-1}, \psi_E)$ is the Jacquet-Langlands local constant of $\pi_E \times \eta^{-1}$. Also $\omega_{\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_2)}$ denotes the common central character of the members of $\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_2)$.

If we write V for the (isometry class of the) 2-dimensional hermitian space over E satisfying the condition of Th.4.2 and V' for the other one, the construction of $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is summerized in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & \Pi_\phi(G) & G_W \\
 & \nearrow \theta_\xi & & \nearrow \theta_\xi & \uparrow \\
 G_{V'} & \Pi_{\eta\pi_E^\vee}(G_{V'}) & & & \\
 \text{J-L corr.} \downarrow & & & & \\
 G_V & \Pi_{\eta\pi_E^\vee}(G_V) & \xleftarrow{\theta_\xi} & \Pi_{\pi_E}(G_{W_1}) & G_{W_1} = U(1, 1)
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, the *induction principle* of the local θ -correspondence [Kud86], [MVW87, Ch.3] shows that $\Pi_{\phi_\phi}(G) = \theta_\xi(\Pi_{\eta\pi_E^\vee}(G_V), W_2)$. This together with the requirement (iii) above yield the following.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose $\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_2)$ is stable, i.e., consists of a single element, so that $\mathcal{S}_\phi(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$\langle \theta_\xi(\Pi_{\eta\pi_E^\vee}(G_V), W), \cdot \rangle = \text{sgn}, \quad \langle \theta_\xi(\Pi_{\eta\pi_E^\vee}(G_{V'}), W), \cdot \rangle = 1,$$

where V and V' are labeled as above.

5 Endoscopy for $U_{E/F}(2)$

It remains to consider the case where $\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_2)$ is *endoscopic*. This is the case (2.d) in Prop.2.4 (see [KKb, 4.3]):

$$\varphi_E = \mu \oplus \mu', \quad \pi_E = I(\mu \otimes \mu').$$

We write $\Pi_\mu(G_V) := \Pi_{\pi_E}(G_V) = \{\pi_V(\mu)^\pm\}$ with $\mu = (\mu, \mu')$.

We briefly recall the endoscopic lifting for G_V from [KKb]. The unique non-trivial *elliptic endoscopic data* for G_V is $(H, {}^L H, s, \xi)$, where $H = U_{E/F}(1)^2$, $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\xi : {}^L H \hookrightarrow {}^L G_2$ is the L -embedding given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{H} \ni (z_1, z_2) &\longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} \times 1 \\
 \xi : W_E \ni w &\longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0(w) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu'_0(w) \end{pmatrix} \times w \in {}^L G_2. \\
 w_\sigma &\longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rtimes w_\sigma
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mu_0 = (\mu_0, \mu'_0)$ are characters of $E^\times$ such that $\mu_0|_{F^\times} = \mu'_0|_{F^\times} = \omega_{E/F}$. The isomorphism class of the data is independent of μ_0 .

We fix a generator δ of E over F such that $\text{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta) = 0$, and take $\varepsilon \in F^\times \setminus N_{E/F}(E^\times)$. We may realize $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$ as $V = E^2$ and

$$(v, v') = \begin{cases} {}^t\sigma(v) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (2\delta)^{-1} \\ -(2\delta)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} v' & \text{if } V \text{ is hyperbolic,} \\ {}^t\sigma(v) \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v' & \text{if } V \text{ is anisotropic.} \end{cases}$$

Then we fix an embedding

$$\eta_V : H \ni \gamma_H = (zz', z\sigma(z')) \mapsto \begin{cases} z \begin{pmatrix} x & -y\Delta \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \in G_V & \text{if } V \text{ is hyperbolic,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} zz' & 0 \\ 0 & z\sigma(z') \end{pmatrix} \in G_V & \text{if } V \text{ is anisotropic.} \end{cases}$$

Here, each element $\gamma_H \in H$ is written as $(zz', z\sigma(z'))$ for some $z, z' \in \text{Res}_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m$ with $N_{E/F}(z) = N_{E/F}(z')^{-1}$ and $\Delta := -\delta^2$. These data together with the non-trivial character ψ_F in §3 determines the *Langlands-Shelstad transfer factor* $\Delta_V : H(F)_{G\text{-reg}} \times G_V(F)_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This is characterized by the formula

$$\Delta_V(\gamma_H, \eta_V(\gamma_H)) = \lambda(E/F, \psi_F) \omega_{E/F} \left(\frac{z' - \sigma(z')}{-2\delta} \right) \mu_0(x_1) \mu'_0(x_2) \frac{|z' - \sigma(z')|_E^{1/2}}{|z'|_E^{1/2}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Here $\lambda(E/F, \psi_F)$ is *Langlands' λ -factor* for E/F with respect to ψ_F , and we have written $zz' = x_1/\sigma(x_1)$, $z\sigma(z') = x_2/\sigma(x_2)$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in E^\times$.

Fact 5.1 (Labesse-Langlands, [KKb] Ch.3). *For any $f \in C_c^\infty(G_V(F))$,*

$$f^H : H(F)_{G\text{-reg}} \ni \gamma_H \mapsto \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \text{Ad}(G_V(\bar{F}))\eta_V(\gamma_H) \cap G_V(F) \\ \text{mod. } G_V(F)\text{-conj.}}} \Delta_V(\gamma_H, \gamma) O_\gamma(f) \in \mathbb{C}$$

extends to an element of $C_c^\infty(H(F))$. Here $O_\gamma(f)$ denotes the orbital integral of f at γ .

The *endoscopic lifting* which we need is the adjoint map of $f \mapsto f^H$ from the space of invariant distributions on $G(F)$ to that on $H(F)$. In particular, the L -packet $\Pi_\mu(G_V) = \{\pi_V(\underline{\mu})^\pm\}$ is labeled in such a way that

$$\text{tr} \pi_V(\underline{\mu})^+(f) - \text{tr} \pi_V(\underline{\mu})^-(f) = ((\mu/\mu_0)_u \otimes (\mu'/\mu'_0)_u)(f^H)$$

holds. If V is hyperbolic in the realization (5.1), then $\pi_V(\underline{\mu})^+$ is the unique generic member in $\Pi_\mu(G_V)$ with respect to the character [KKb, Prop.4.8]

$$\psi_{U_2} : U_2(F) \ni \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \psi_F(b) \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

(This is a consequence of the *Whittaker normalization* of the transfer factor (5.1).) Combining these with the *seesaw duality* [Kud84]

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G_V & & U_{E/F}(1) \times U_{E/F}(1) \\
\eta_V \downarrow & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \downarrow \\
U_{E/F}(1) \times U_{E/F}(1) & & U_{E/F}(1)
\end{array}$$

we obtain a *Saito-Tunnell* type character formula for $\pi_V(\underline{\mu})^\pm$.

Theorem 5.2. For a character μ such that $\mu|_{F^\times} = \omega_{E/F}$, we introduce a sign $\varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\mu) := \varepsilon(1/2, \mu, \psi_E)\mu(-\delta)$.

(i) If V is hyperbolic, the character (function) $\Theta_{\pi_V(\underline{\mu})^\pm}$ of $\pi_V(\underline{\mu})^\pm$ is given by (respecting signs)

$$\Theta_{\pi_V(\underline{\mu})^\pm} \circ \eta_V = \sum_{\eta|_{F^\times}=1} \frac{(1 \pm \varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\eta\mu^{-1}))(1 \pm \varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\eta\mu'^{-1}))}{4} (\mu\mu'\eta)_u \otimes \eta_u.$$

(ii) If V is anisotropic, we have (respecting signs)

$$\Theta_{\pi_V(\underline{\mu})^\pm} \circ \eta_V = \sum_{\eta|_{F^\times}=1} \frac{(1 \mp \varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\eta\mu^{-1}))(1 \pm \varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\eta\mu'^{-1}))}{4} (\mu\mu'\eta)_u \otimes \eta_u.$$

Of course, these formulae indicates various interesting speculations. But this is not a place to discuss them. We only remark that the same formulae are also valid in the archimedean case. Now we combine the theorem with the seesaw duality

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G_V & & G_2 \\
\downarrow & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \downarrow \\
U_{E/F}(1) & & U_{E/F}(1)
\end{array}$$

to obtain the following.

Theorem 5.3 (Howe duality for $\Pi_\mu(G_V)$). We write $\Pi_\mu(G_2) = \{\pi(\underline{\mu})^\pm\}$ as above. Suppose $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$ satisfies the condition of Th.4.2. Then we have $\theta_\xi(\pi(\underline{\mu})^\pm, V) = \pi_V(\eta\mu^{-1})^{\pm\varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\mu)}$, where $\eta\mu^{-1} := (\eta\mu^{-1}, \eta\mu'^{-1})$.

Pairing in the endoscopic case We now define the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Pi_\phi(G) \times \mathcal{S}_\phi(G) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ for ϕ in Prop.2.4 (2.d). We retain the notation of the above discussion.

Definition 5.4. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_\phi(G)$ for $\phi_E \simeq (\eta \otimes \rho_2) \oplus \mu \oplus \mu'$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The pairing is defined by

$$\langle \cdot, \theta_\xi(\pi_V(\eta\mu^{-1})^\pm, W_2) \rangle := \text{sgn}^{(1-\varepsilon_{V,\eta}(\underline{\mu}))/2} \otimes \text{sgn}^{(1\mp\varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\mu))/2},$$

where $\varepsilon_{V,\eta}(\underline{\mu}) := \varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\eta\mu^{-1})\varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\eta\mu'^{-1})\omega_{E/F}(-\det V)$.

6 Automorphic forms

We now go back to the global situation, and consider the A -parameters ϕ of type (2.b)–(2.d) in Prop.2.4. As is announced in §4, our principal result is the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Each $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v \in \Pi_\phi(G)$ occurs in the discrete spectrum $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ with the multiplicity at least:*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_\phi(G)|} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_\phi(G)} \epsilon_\phi(s) \prod_v \langle \pi_v, s \rangle. \quad (6.1)$$

Here, ϵ_ϕ is the sign character of the first $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathcal{S}_\phi(G)$ if $\varepsilon(1/2, \pi_E \times \eta^{-1}) = -1$, and is the trivial character otherwise.

The proof involves the global θ -correspondence between $G_V(\mathbb{A})$ and $G(\mathbb{A})$ and the description of the discrete spectrum of $G_V(\mathbb{A})$ [KKb].

Remark 6.2. *Those $\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G)$ such that $\varepsilon(1/2, \pi_E \times \eta^{-1}) = 1$ and $\langle \pi_v, \cdot \rangle$ are trivial on the first $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\phi_v}(G_v)$ at all v are the residual discrete automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ [Kon98]. All the other π with non-zero (6.1) are CAP automorphic forms.*

References

- [Art89] James Arthur. Unipotent automorphic representations: conjectures. *Astérisque*, (171-172):13–71, 1989. Orbits unipotentes et représentations, II.
- [Bor79] A. Borel. Automorphic L -functions. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, pages 27–61. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [GGJ02] Wee Teck Gan, Nadya Gurevich, and Dihua Jiang. Cubic unipotent Arthur parameters and multiplicities of square integrable automorphic forms. *Invent. Math.*, 149:225–265, 2002.
- [Gol94] David Goldberg. Some results on reducibility for unitary groups and local Asai L -functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 448:65–95, 1994.
- [GR90] Stephen S. Gelbart and Jonathan D. Rogawski. Exceptional representations and Shimura’s integral for the local unitary group $U(3)$. In *Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part I (Ramat Aviv, 1989)*, pages 19–75. Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990.
- [GR91] Stephen S. Gelbart and Jonathan D. Rogawski. L -functions and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients for the unitary group $U(3)$. *Invent. Math.*, 105(3):445–472, 1991.
- [Har93] Günter Harder. *Eisensteinkohomologie und die Konstruktion gemischter Motive*, volume 1562 of *Lect. Notes in Math.* Springer-Verlag, 1993.

- [HLR86] G. Harder, R. P. Langlands, and M. Rapoport. Algebraische Zyklen auf Hilbert-Blumenthal-Flächen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 366:53–120, 1986.
- [HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*. Princeton UP, 2001.
- [Ike01] Tamotsu Ikeda. On the lifting of elliptic cusp forms to Siegel cusp forms of degree n . *Ann. of Math.*, 154:641–682, 2001.
- [JS81a] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika. On Euler products and the classification of automorphic forms. II. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(4):777–815, 1981.
- [JS81b] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika. On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations. I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(3):499–558, 1981.
- [KKa] Kazuko Konno and Takuya Konno. CAP automorphic representations of $U_{E/F}(4)$ I. Non-archimedean local components. preprint, in revision.
- [KKb] Kazuko Konno and Takuya Konno. Lecture on endoscopy for unitary groups in two variables. november, 2005.
- [Kon98] T. Konno. The residual spectrum of $U(2, 2)$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(4):1285–1358, 1998.
- [Kot84] Robert E. Kottwitz. Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms. *Duke Math. J.*, 51(3):611–650, 1984.
- [Kud84] Stephen S. Kudla. Seesaw dual reductive pairs. In *Automorphic forms of several variables*, pages 244–268. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1984. Tniguchi symposium, Katata, 1983.
- [Kud86] Stephen S. Kudla. On the local theta-correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 83(2):229–255, 1986.
- [Kut80] Philip Kutzko. The Langlands conjecture for GL_2 of a local field. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 112(2):381–412, 1980.
- [MVW87] Colette Mœglin, Marie-France Vignéras, and Jean-Loup Waldspurger. *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p -adique*, volume 1291 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [MW89] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. Le spectre résiduel de $GL(n)$. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 22(4):605–674, 1989.
- [PS83] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro. On the Saito-Kurokawa lifting. *Invent. Math.*, 71(2):309–338, 1983.
- [Rog90] Jonathan D. Rogawski. *Automorphic representations of unitary groups in three variables*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.

Index

- (f, f^H) , 10
- $(H, {}^L H, s, \xi)$, 9
- $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, 7
- $(W_n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n)$, 7
- δ , 10
- $\Delta_V(\gamma_H, \gamma)$, 10
- $\eta_V : H \hookrightarrow G_V$, 10
- $\mathcal{L}_F$ Langlands group
 - global, 4
 - local, 6
- $\mathfrak{A}_G$, 2
- $\Phi_{\text{st}}(G_n)$, 5
- $\Phi_0(H_n)$, 3
- ϕ_E for an A -parameter ϕ , 4
- ϕ_v v -component of ϕ , 6
- $\Pi(G(F))$, 7
- $\Pi_\phi(G)$, 6
- $\Pi_{\pi_E}(G_V)$, 7
- $\Pi_\mu(G_V)$, 9
- $\pi_V(\mu)^\pm$, 10
- $\Psi(G)$, 4
- $\Psi_{\text{CAP}}(G)$, 5
- $\Psi_0(G)$, 5
- ψ_F , 7
- σ , 3
- $\Theta_{\pi_V(\mu)^\pm}$, 11
- $\theta_{\underline{\xi}}(\pi_V, W), \theta_{\underline{\xi}}(\pi_W, V)$, 7
- θ_n , 4
- $\underline{\mu}$, 9
- $\underline{\mu}_0 = (\mu_0, \mu'_0)$, 9
- $\underline{\xi}$, 7
- φ_ϕ , 8
- ε -dichotomy, 8
- $\varepsilon \in F^\times \setminus N_{E/F}(E^\times)$, 10
- $\varepsilon_{\psi_F}(\mu)$, 11
- $\varepsilon_{V, \eta}(\underline{\mu})$, 11
- A -packet, 6
- A -parameter
 - elliptic, 5
 - equivalent, 4
 - for G_n , 4
 - for H_n , 4
- G_n , 4
- G_V , 7
- G_W , 7
- H_n , 3
- $L_0^2(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$, 2
- $L_{\text{disc}}^2(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$, 2
- $L_{\text{res}}^2(G(F)\mathfrak{A}_G \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$, 2
- $S_\phi(G), \mathcal{S}_\phi(G)$, 4
- $t(\tau_v)$ Hecke matrix, 2
- w_σ , 3
- W_F Weil group, 3
- $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$, 2
- automorphic representation, 2
- Ramanujan conjecture, 2
- spectrum
 - cuspidal, 2
 - discrete, 2