

On the finite space with a finite group action

獨協医科大学基本医学基盤教育部門 藤田亮介 (Ryousuke Fujita)
Premedical Sciences, Dokkyo Medical University

1 Introduction

The purpose of our presentation was to study actions of finite groups on finite T_0 -spaces, i.e. topological spaces having finitely many points with the T_0 -separation axioms, that is, for each pair of distinct two points, there exists an open set containing one but not the other. Many well-known properties about finite (T_0) -spaces may be found in [2], [4], [7] and [11]. Throughout this note, assume that any finite topological space (for short, finite space) has the T_0 -separation axiom. Moreover we consider the finite space with a finite group G -action, called a *finite G -space*. Let X, Y be finite G -spaces. Let X denote a finite space. Let x be an element of X . Then we define a subset C_x of X by $C_x = U_x \cup F_x$, where a set U_x be the minimal open set of X which contains x , and a set F_x be the closure of one point set $\{x\}$. For a G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we consider a condition: for any $x \in X$,

$$(*) \quad f(C_x) \subset C_{f(x)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}top_{ex}^G$ be the category consisting of the following data: objects are finite G -spaces and morphisms are G -maps satisfying $(*)$. On the other hand, let $\mathcal{F}_{SC}^G$ be the category which consists of finite G -simplicial complexes and simplicial G -maps. Remark that a finite G -space correspondences to a finite G -partially ordered set (for short, a G -poset). Therefore a finite G -space X determines a finite G -simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}(X)$. Then

Theorem A. *Let X, Y be finite G -spaces. Then X is G -homotopy equivalent to Y in $\mathcal{F}top_{ex}^G$ if and only if $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is strong G -homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}(Y)$.*

We shall explain some notations and terminologies. In $\mathcal{F}top_{ex}^G$, we define the homotopy. Let f, g be morphisms from a finite G -space X to another finite G -space Y satisfying $(*)$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1\}$ be a finite space whose topology is $\{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}\}$ with the trivial G -action. Then f is G -homotopic to g if there is a sequence $f = f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n = g$ such that for each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) there exist two maps $F_i, G_i : X \times \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $(*)$ with

$$F_i(x, 0) = G_i(x, 1) = f_{i-1}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F_i(x, 1) = G_i(x, 0) = f_i(x),$$

denoted by $f \simeq_{ex}^G g$. Moreover X is G -homotopy equivalent to Y , denoted by $X \simeq_{ex}^G Y$, if there are G -maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ satisfying $(*)$ such that $g \circ f \simeq_{ex}^G 1_X$ and $f \circ g \simeq_{ex}^G 1_Y$.

Let K and L be finite simplicial G -complexes, and φ and ψ simplicial G -maps from K to L . Let σ be any simplex of K . If $\varphi(\sigma) \cup \psi(\sigma)$ is also a simplex of L , two simplicial G -maps φ and ψ are said to be *adjacent*. A *fence* in L^K (the set of all simplicial G -maps from K to L) is a sequence $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ of simplicial G -maps from K to L such that any two consecutive are adjacent. A simplicial G -map φ is *strong G -homotopic* to a simplicial G -map ψ if there exists a fence starting in φ and ending in ψ , and it is denoted by $\varphi \sim_G \psi$. Note that the geometric realization is G -homotopic, i.e., $|\varphi| \sim_G |\psi| : |K| \rightarrow |L|$.

When there are simplicial G -maps $\varphi : K \rightarrow L$ and $\psi : L \rightarrow K$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi \sim_G 1_K$ and $\varphi \circ \psi \sim_G 1_L$, K is said to be *strong G -homotopy equivalent* to L (or two simplicial G -complexes K and L have the *same strong G -homotopy type*), denoted by $K \sim_G L$.

Next we presented the second topic. Let G be a finite group. Let X be a finite G -CW-complex, $S(G)$ be the set of all subgroups of G . For each $H \in S(G)$, let X^H be the H -fixed point set, and $\pi_0(X^H)$ be the connected components of X^H . Then we put

$$\Pi(X) := \coprod_{H \in S(G)} \pi_0(X^H) \quad (\text{disjoint union}),$$

called a G -poset associated to X . On the ordering of $\Pi(X)$, we define

$$\alpha \leq \beta \text{ if and only if } \rho(\alpha) \supset \rho(\beta) \text{ and } |\alpha| \subset |\beta| \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Pi(X)),$$

where $\rho : \Pi(X) \rightarrow S(G); \alpha \mapsto H$ s.t. $\alpha \in \pi_0(X^H)$, and $|\alpha|$ is the underlying space of α . A finite G -CW-complex Z with a basepoint q is called a $\Pi(X)$ -complex if it is equipped with a specified set $\{Z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$ of subcomplexes Z_α of Z , satisfying the following four conditions:

- (i) $q \in Z_\alpha$,
- (ii) $gZ_\alpha = Z_{g\alpha}$ for $g \in G, \alpha \in \Pi$,
- (iii) $Z_\alpha \subseteq Z_\beta$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$ in Π , and
- (iv) for any $H \in S(G)$,

$$Z^H := \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Pi \text{ with } \rho(\alpha)=H} Z_\alpha.$$

where $\chi(Z_\alpha)$ is the Euler characteristic of Z_α . Here we define a equivalence relation:

$$Z \sim W \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \chi(Z_\alpha) = \chi(W_\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi(X).$$

We put $\Omega(G, \Pi(X)) := \{\Pi(X)\text{-complexes}\} / \sim$. Then $\Omega(G, \Pi(X))$ is an abelian group via $[Z] + [W] := [Z \vee W]$.

Let X and Y be pointed finite G -spaces. Let $|\mathcal{K}(X)|$ (resp. $|\mathcal{K}(Y)|$) be the geometric realizations of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}(Y)$). Now, we simply write $\Pi(X)$ for $\Pi(|\mathcal{K}(X)|)$. Similarly $\Pi(Y)$ for $\Pi(|\mathcal{K}(Y)|)$. Note that $\Omega(G, \Pi(X))$ and $\Omega(G, \Pi(Y))$ are finitely generated free abelian groups. Then we have a group homomorphism

$$\Omega(G, \Pi(f)) : \Omega(G, \Pi(X)) \rightarrow \Omega(G, \Pi(Y)) \quad ; \quad [Z]_{\Omega(G, \Pi(X))} \mapsto [Z]_{\Omega(G, \Pi(Y))}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}top_*^G$ be the category of pointed finite G -spaces. Let Ab be the category of abelian groups. Hence we have

Theorem B. *There exist a functor $F : \mathcal{F}top_*^G \rightarrow Ab$ such that*

$$F(X) = \Omega(G, \Pi(X)) \text{ and } F(f) = \Omega(G, \Pi(f)).$$

2 Outline of proofs

Proof of Theorem A.

We need some preliminaries to prove Theorem 1. First we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two G -homotopic maps between finite G -spaces satisfying $(*)$ in $\mathcal{F}top_{ex}^G$. Then there exists a sequence $f = f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n = g$ such that for every $0 \leq i < n$ and there is a point $x_i \in X$ with the following properties:

1. f_i and f_{i+1} coincide in $X \setminus Gx_i$, where $Gx_i = \{hx_i \mid h \in G\}$ and
2. $f_i(x_i) \leq f_{i+1}(x_i)$ or $f_{i+1}(x_i) \leq f_i(x_i)$.

Proposition 2. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be G -homotopic maps satisfying $(*)$ between finite G -spaces. Then $\mathcal{K}(f) \sim_G \mathcal{K}(g)$.

Let $\mathcal{X}(K)$ be a face poset for a simplicial complex K . Giving a simplicial map $\varphi : K \rightarrow L$ between simplicial complexes, we can induce a map $\mathcal{X}(\varphi) : \mathcal{X}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(L)$.

Proposition 3. Let $\varphi, \psi : K \rightarrow L$ be simplicial G -maps which is strong G -homotopic between finite G -simplicial complexes. Then $\mathcal{X}(\varphi) \simeq_{ex}^G \mathcal{X}(\psi)$.

Under these preliminaries, we show the following.

Theorem A. Let X, Y be finite G -spaces. X is G -homotopy equivalent to Y in $\mathcal{F}top_{ex}^G$ if and only if $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is strong G -homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}(Y)$.

Proof. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -homotopy equivalence between finite G -spaces with G -homotopy inverse $g : Y \rightarrow X$. By Proposition 2, $\mathcal{K}(f)\mathcal{K}(g) \sim_G 1_{\mathcal{K}(Y)}$ and $\mathcal{K}(g)\mathcal{K}(f) \sim_G 1_{\mathcal{K}(X)}$. If $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and $\mathcal{K}(Y)$ are G -simplicial complexes with the same strong G -homotopy type, there exist $\varphi : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{K}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi \sim_G 1_{\mathcal{K}(Y)}$ and $\psi \circ \varphi \sim_G 1_{\mathcal{K}(X)}$. By Proposition 3, $\mathcal{X}(\varphi) : \mathcal{X}\mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\mathcal{K}(Y)$ is a G -homotopy equivalence with a G -homotopy inverse $\mathcal{X}(\psi)$. Hence, it suffices that $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{K}(X) \simeq_{ex}^G X$. Note that $X \subset \mathcal{X}\mathcal{K}(X)$. Let x_0 be the maximal element of a simplex σ of $\mathcal{K}(X)$. We define a G -map f from $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{K}(X)$ to X by $f(\sigma) = x_0$. Then $f \circ \iota \simeq_{ex}^G id_X$ and $\iota \circ f \simeq_{ex}^G id_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{K}(X)}$, where ι is an inclusion map from X to $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{K}(X)$. In fact, $f \circ \iota = id_X$ and $(\iota \circ f)(\sigma) \subset \sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. $\square$

As a corollary, we have the following.

Corollary 4. A functor $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{F}top_{ex}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{SC}^G$ induces a fully faithful functor between homotopy categories:

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{H}\mathcal{F}top_{ex}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{F}_{SC}^G.$$

Proof of Theorem B.

The following is the key lemma to prove Theorem B.

Lemma 5. Given a G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\Pi(X)$ -complex Z , there exist a G -map $p : Z \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}(X)|$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{p} & |\mathcal{K}(X)| \\ & \searrow |\mathcal{K}(f)| \circ p & \downarrow |\mathcal{K}(f)| \\ & & |\mathcal{K}(Y)| \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover Z has also a $\Pi(Y)$ -complex structure.

Let $\mathcal{F}top_*^G$ be the category of pointed finite G -spaces and Ab be the category of abelian groups. Then we show the following.

Theorem B. *There exist a functor $F : \mathcal{F}top_*^G \rightarrow Ab$ such that*

$$F(X) = \Omega(G, \Pi(X)) \text{ and } F(f) = \Omega(G, \Pi(f)).$$

References

- [1] Buchstaber, V.M. and Panov, T.E., *Combinatorics of simplicial cell complexes and torus actions*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **247** (2004), 1-17.
- [2] Barmak, J., *Algebraic Topology of Finite Topological Spaces and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Math, **2032**, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [3] Björner, A., *Posets, regular CW complexes and Bruhat order*, European. J. Combinatorics. **5** (1984), 7-16.
- [4] Fujita, R. and Kono, S., *Some aspects of a finite T_0 - G -space*, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1876** (2014), 89-100.
- [5] Ginsburg, J., *A structure theorem in finite topology*, Canad. Math. Bull. **26** (1) (1983), 121-122.
- [6] Itagaki, S. and Henmi, Y., *On the correspondence between the category of the finite topological space and the category of the finite simplicial complex* (in Japanese), Abstract on topology session, Hiroshima University, 4-5, 2014
- [7] Kono, S. and Ushitaki, F., *Geometry of finite topological spaces and equivariant finite topological spaces*, in: Current Trends in Transformation Groups, ed. A.Bak, M.Morimoto and F.Ushitaki, pp.53-63, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [8] Kono, S. and Ushitaki, F., *Homeomorphism groups of finite topological spaces*, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1290** (2002), 131-142.
- [9] Kono, S. and Ushitaki, F., *Homeomorphism groups of finite topological spaces and Group actions*, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1343** (2003), 1-9.

- [10] McCord, M.C., *Singular homotopy groups and homotopy groups of finite topological spaces*, Duke. Math. J. **33** (1966), 465-474.
- [11] Stong, R.E., *Finite topological spaces*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. **123** (1966), 325-340.
- [12] Stong, R.E., *Group actions on finite spaces*, Discrete Math. **49** (1984), 95-100.