

The Self-Validating Numerical Method

—A New Tool for Computer Assisted Proofs of Nonlinear Problems—

Shin'ichi OISHI

School of Science and Engineering, Waseda University

Summary

The purpose of the present paper is to review a state of the art of nonlinear analysis with the self-validating numerical method. The self-validating numerics based method provides a tool for performing computer assisted proofs of nonlinear problems by taking the effect of rounding errors in numerical computations rigorously into account. First, Kantorovich's approach of *a posteriori* error estimation method is surveyed, which is based on his convergence theorem of Newton's method. Then, Urabe's approach for computer assisted existence proofs is likewise discussed. Based on his convergence theorem of the simplified Newton method, he treated practical nonlinear differential equations such as the Van der Pol equation and the Duffing equation, and proved the existence of their periodic and quasi-periodic solutions by the self-validating numerics. An approach of the author for generalization and abstraction of Urabe's method are also described to more general functional equations. Furthermore, methods for rigorous estimation of rounding errors are surveyed. Interval analytic methods are discussed. Then an approach of the author which uses rational arithmetic is reviewed. Finally, approaches for computer assisted proofs of nonlinear problems are surveyed, which are based on the self-validating numerics.

1 Introduction

Recent development of soliton theory (see, for example, Ref.[1]) reveals that exact analysis of nonlinear problems allows us to achieve a through understanding of nonlinear phenomena. In fact, soliton theory provides us a deep insight into a miraculous world of completely integrable nonlinear systems. Namely, we can write down exact solutions for many soliton equations. Such an exact solution delineates various interesting properties of solitons. One view of soliton theory involves nonlinear Fourier analysis[2]. In general, a soliton equation has a well-organized underlying algebraic structure related, for example, to infinite dimensional Lie algebras[1].

Although the number of exactly solvable interesting soliton equations exceeds one hundred and soliton equations are scattered in various fields, there remain many more nonlinear equations, which are interest but cannot be solved by the soliton theory. Thus, tools are desired for the exact analysis of such nonlinear equations. Since such equations have, in general, poor algebraic structures, we must use topological methods such as functional analysis combined with algebraic analysis. This is the philosophy of Poincaré. Moreover, in order to obtain a concrete

result, we must also use a computer as an assistant. Thus, a kind of algorithmic functional analysis is needed in this area.

Fortunately, it has recently become clear that computer-assisted proofs of various kinds of nonlinear problems can be performed by validating the accuracy of the numerical calculations[3]-[8]. Here, the concept "validating the accuracy of the numerical calculations" means that it is necessary to consider the effect of rounding errors of numerical computations. A key role in computer-assisted proofs using self-validating numerics is played by the Newton method. The truly pioneering work of Kantorovich[9, 10] shows that by the numerical proof the sufficient conditions for the convergence of the Newton method, proofs of the existence and the local uniqueness of solutions for a wide class of nonlinear functional equations can be done by computer. However, since exact analysis of rounding errors of numerical calculations was considered to be extremely difficult, such an approach was thought to be too restrictive. Recent advances in the study of machine interval analysis break through these difficulties and show that with reasonable effort, one can completely remove the effects of rounding errors. In fact, recently, several programming languages which support machine interval analysis have been developed such as FORTRAN-SC, ACRITH-XSC, PASCAL-(X)SC, and ACRIMOTH, and many computer-assisted proofs of nonlinear problems have been conducted with self-validating numerics [3]-[8], [11]-[16].

The purpose of the present paper is to review assess the current state of research in computer-assisted proofs for nonlinear problems using the self-validating numerics.

2 The Newton Method and Kantorovich's Convergence Theorem

Since, in computer assisted proofs using self-validating numerics, the Newton method plays a fundamentally important role, this paper begins with a review of the Newton method. For theoretical background, see, for example Ref.[10] and for historical remarks, see Refs.[18]-[20].

Let f be a continuously differentiable map from an open set B of a Banach space X into another B-space Y . We are concerned with the problem of finding a zero of f :

$$f(x) = 0. \quad (1)$$

For present purposes, we take any element $x_0 \in B$. If $f(x_0) \neq 0$, x_0 should be updated by

$$x_1 = x_0 + \Delta x. \quad (2)$$

Substituting this into the r.h.s of Eq.(1), we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)\Delta x + o(\Delta x), \quad (3)$$

where $o(\Delta x)$ is a higher-order infinitesimal of Δx . Thus by approximating $f(x)$ by $f(x_0) + f'(x_0)\Delta x$, we have

$$f(x_0) + f'(x_0)\Delta x = 0, \quad (4)$$

which yields

$$x_1 = x_0 - [f'(x_0)]^{-1}f(x_0), \quad (5)$$

provided that $[f'(x_0)]^{-1}$ exists and x_1 remains inside of B . By repeating this process, we have the recursion formula:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - [f'(x_n)]^{-1}f(x_n). \quad (6)$$

This process of forming the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called the Newton method.

If the operator $[f'(x_n)]^{-1}$ is approximated by a linear operator F^{-1} , then the modified Newton method is obtained, in which a sequence is calculated by the formula:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - F^{-1}f(x_n). \quad (7)$$

The (modified) Newton method is known to be very powerful in solving nonlinear equations[10]. In order to demonstrate this efficacy with nonlinear equations, it is useful to consider examples. For this purpose, we consider the following simple problem of obtaining the square root of a positive number:

Example 2.1 (The Newton Method for Obtaining a Square Root) Let us consider the problem of obtaining the square root of a positive rational number c . Since if $c = 4^m a$ then $\sqrt{c} = 2^m \sqrt{a}$, we may assume that $\frac{1}{4} < a < 1$ and seek a value for $\sqrt{a}$. To obtain $\sqrt{a}$, we consider to solve

$$f(x) = x^2 - a = 0. \quad (8)$$

In this case, Eq.(6) becomes as

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{x_n^2 - a}{2x_n} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \right). \quad (9)$$

We begin this iteration from $x_0 = 1$. It is easily seen that x_n makes a monotonically decreasing sequence. Moreover it is easily found that if y_n is a sequence generated by

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(y_n + \frac{b}{y_n} \right), \quad y_0 = 1 \quad (10)$$

for $\frac{1}{4} \leq b < a < 1$, then $|\sqrt{a} - x_n| < |\sqrt{b} - y_n|$ holds true. In this case, the sequence y_n is said to majorize the sequence x_n . Thus for any $a \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$, $|\sqrt{a} - x_n| < |\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} - t_n|$ holds true. Here, t_n is a sequence obtained by Eq.(10) with $b = \frac{1}{4}$. The first few t_n 's are given by

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= 1, \\ t_1 &= \frac{5}{8}, \\ t_2 &= \frac{41}{80}, \\ t_3 &= \frac{3281}{6560}, \\ t_4 &= \frac{21523361}{43046720}, \\ t_5 &= \frac{926510094425921}{1853020188851840}, \\ t_6 &= \frac{1716814910146256242328924544641}{3433683820292512484657849089280}, \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From this we can conjecture that if we let $t_n = \frac{s_n}{r_n}$, s_n and r_n being mutually prime integers, $r_n = 2(s_n - 1)$. In fact, a computer experiment shows that this relation holds for at least $n \leq 12$ and by mathematical induction, we have

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{2s_n(s_n - 1) + 1}{4s_n(s_n - 1)}, \quad s_1 = 5. \quad (12)$$

Thus we have proven that t_n has the form $t_n = \frac{s_n}{2(s_n - 1)}$ and s_n is generated by

$$s_{n+1} = 2s_n(s_n - 1) + 1, \quad s_1 = 5. \quad (13)$$

This implies that

$$\left| \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} - t_n \right| = \frac{1}{2(s_n - 1)} = \delta_n. \quad (14)$$

Finally we have $|\sqrt{a} - x_n| < \left| \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} - t_n \right| = \delta_n$. This gives an exact error estimate.

By similar observations, we can find that if y_n is generated by

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(y_n + \frac{b}{y_n} \right), \quad y_0 = 1 \quad (15)$$

with $b = \frac{(m-1)^2}{m^2}$, we have

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{2u_n(u_n - 1) + 1}{m \frac{2u_n(u_n - 1)}{(m-1)}}, \quad u_1 = 2m(m-1) + 1. \quad (16)$$

Thus if $a \geq \frac{(m-1)^2}{m^2}$, we have a more precise error estimate as

$$|\sqrt{a} - x_n| \leq \frac{(m-1)}{m(u_n - 1)}, \quad (17)$$

where u_n is generated by

$$u_{n+1} = 2u_n(u_n - 1), \quad u_1 = 2m(m-1) + 1. \quad (18)$$

□

In this example, exact error estimates are given between the exact solution $\sqrt{a}$ and its approximations x_n 's obtained by the Newton method using particular properties of the problem. In order to prove the convergence of the Newton method in more general situations, Kantorovich[10] and Kantorovich and Akilov[17] considered a general iteration process

$$x_{n+1} = S(x_n), \quad (19)$$

where S is a C^1 -map defined in the sphere $\|x - x_0\| < R$ of some B-space X ($x_0 \in X$). Along with Eq.(19), he considered a real equation

$$t_{n+1} = g(t_n), \quad (20)$$

where g is a C^1 -map defined in the interval $[t_0, t']$ ($t' = t_0 + r < t_0 + R$). The function g is said to majorize the operator S if

(1)

$$\|S(x_0) - x_0\| \leq g(t_0) - t_0, \quad (21)$$

(2)

$$\|S'(x)\| \leq g'(t) \text{ whenever } \|x - x_0\| \leq t - t_0. \quad (22)$$

Theorem 2.1 (Kantorovich[10] and Kantorovich and Akilov[17]) In the above-mentioned situation, if g majorizes S and if

$$t = g(t) \quad (23)$$

has a root in $[t_0, t']$, then the equation

$$x = S(x) \quad (24)$$

also has a solution x^* , to which the sequence $\{x_n\}$ starting from x_0 is convergent. Also,

$$\|x^* - x_n\| \leq t^* - t_n, \quad (25)$$

where t^* denotes the least root of the equation $t = g(t)$. $\square$

Using this theorem, Kantorovich[10] proved the following famous convergence theorem.

Theorem 2.2 (Kantorovich[10] and Kantorovich and Akilov[17]) Let $B = \{x \mid \|x - x_0\| \leq r\}$ and f is in C^2 on B . Moreover, let

(1) the linear operator $L = [f'(x_0)]^{-1}$ exist;

(2)

$$\|Lf(x_0)\| \leq c; \quad (26)$$

(3)

$$\|Lf''(x)\| \leq K \quad (x \in B). \quad (27)$$

Now, if

$$h = cK < \frac{1}{2} \quad (28)$$

and

$$r \geq r_0 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2h}}{h} c \quad (29)$$

hold, Eq.(1) has a solution x^* to which both the original Newton method

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - [f'(x_n)]^{-1} f(x_n) \quad (30)$$

and the simplified Newton method

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - [f'(x_0)]^{-1} f(x_n) \quad (31)$$

are convergent and $\|x^* - x_0\| \leq r_0$ holds. Furthermore, if for $h < \frac{1}{2}$

$$r < r_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 2h}}{h} c, \quad (32)$$

the solution x^* is unique in B .

The speed of convergence of (30) is characterized by

$$\|x^* - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} (2h)^{2^n} \frac{c}{h} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (33)$$

and that of (31), for $h < \frac{1}{2}$, by

$$\|x^* - x_n\| \leq \frac{c}{h} (1 - \sqrt{1 - 2h})^{n+1} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots). \quad (34)$$

□

Remark 2.1 The conditions $f \in C^2$ and (3) can be replaced by $f \in C^1$ and

(3')

$$\|f'(x) - f'(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\| \quad \text{for any } x, y \in B. \quad (35)$$

In this case $K = \|L\|\alpha$. This was done by Feny[21]. Moreover, various extensions of this theorem have been presented. See for example, Ortega and Rheinboldt[22]. Sharp error bounds are obtained by several authors. See for example Refs.[23]-[27]. □

Moreover Kantorovich and Akilov[17] considered a special equation written by

$$f(x) = p(x) + q(x) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Let x_0 be an approximate solution of

$$p(x) = 0. \quad (37)$$

He showed that if the following conditions are satisfied

(1)

$$\|[p'(x_0)]^{-1} f(x_0)\| \leq c, \quad (38)$$

(2)

$$\|[p'(x_0)]^{-1} f'(x_0)\| \leq d < 1, \quad (39)$$

(3)

$$\|[p'(x_0)]^{-1} f''(x)\| \leq K \quad (x \in B), \quad (40)$$

and if $h = \frac{cK}{(1-d)^2} < 2^{-1}$ and $r \geq r_0 = \frac{(1-\sqrt{1-2h})c}{h(1-d)}$, then Eq.(36) has a solution in B .

Using the theorem 2.2 and its extensions, in Ref.[17], Kantorovich and Akilov presented the following examples of inclusions for exact solutions to functional equations:

(1) A single real and complex equation;

(2) A system of algebraic equations; in particular, they give the an example

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 &= 1, \\ x_1^4 + x_1 x_2^3 &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

They showed an inclusion of an exact solution as

$$0.991173 \leq x_1^* \leq 0.991205; \quad 0.327366 \leq x_2^* \leq 0.327398; \quad (42)$$

(3) A nonlinear integral equation of the form

$$x(s) = \int_0^1 K(s, t, x(t)) dt. \quad (43)$$

Specificcally, they considered the case of $K(s, t, u) = \frac{u^2 \sin st}{2}$ and showed an inclusion

$$|x^*(s) - (1 + 0.38617s - 0.0345s^3)| < 0.0119 \quad (s \in [0, 1]); \quad (44)$$

(4) An initial value problem of a differential equation

$$x'(t) - g(x(t), t) = 0, \quad x(0) = 0 \quad (45)$$

provided that $g(u, t)$ is continuous and is C^2 with respect to u ;

(5) Periodic solution of the differential equation

$$x''(t) + x(t) + \mu g(x(t), x'(t), t) = 0, \quad (46)$$

where $g(u, v, t)$ is continuous and is C^2 with respect to u and v , and is periodic in t with period $k > 0$;

(6) An eigenvalue problem of the operator $U_t = U + tV$, where U and V are linear operators from a Banach space X into itself, provided that an eigenvalue and eigenfunction of U are known;

(7) A certain boundary value problem of a second order quasilinear differential equation with two independent variables.

Examples of existence proofs based on Kantorovich's theorem up to 1967 can be found in Ref.[10, p.723, 749] and Ref.[28, p.138]. Refs.[29]-[39] also give examples.

In 1969, Rall[40] published a beautiful introductory text of the Newton method and its applications. In this book, techniques of the interval analysis initiated by Moore[41] and automatic differentiations are supplemented to the points mentioned above. Since the interval analysis is the topic of another section of the paper, we note here only that this method is based on the doctoral thesis of Moore[41]. Detailed bibliographies can be found in Ref.[42]:

In his book[40], Rall presented a method of automatically implementing the Newton method by making use of automatic differentiations. As an example, he treated the following examples:

(1) A system of algebraic equations; specifically, he gave the an example

$$\begin{aligned} 16x_1^4 + 16x_2^4 + x_3^4 - 16 &= 0, \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 3 &= 0, \\ x_1^3 - x_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

He showed an inclusion of an exact solution as

$$x(1) = \left(\frac{223}{224}, \frac{63}{80}, \frac{79}{60} \right) \quad \|x^* - x(1)\| \leq 1.98526343 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (48)$$

where $x(1)$ is obtained from an initial approximation $x(0) = (1, 1, 1)$ by applying the Newton iteration once.

- (2) An initial value problem of an ordinary differential equation.
- (3) Two-point boundary value problem of the ordinary differential equation. In particular, he considered

$$x''(t) - g(t, x) = 0, \quad x(0) = x(1) = 0, \quad (49)$$

provided that $g(t, x)$ is continuous and is C^2 with respect to x on $[0, 1]$. Since this problem demonstrates a typical application of the Newton method to functional equations, we follow Rall's example: Let

$$f(x) = \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} - g(t, x). \quad (50)$$

In this case, the Newton iteration becomes

$$x_{m+1} = x_m + u_m, \quad (51)$$

where u_m is a solution of the linear boundary value problem

$$u_m'' - g_x(t, x_m)u_m = -f(x_m), \quad u_m(0) = u_m(1) = 0. \quad (52)$$

Using the Green function $G(t, s)$ of the linear differential operator $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ with the boundary condition $x(0) = x(1) = 0$,

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} s(t-1) & 0 \leq s \leq t \\ t(s-1) & t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

we can transform Eq.(52) into the following Fredholm type integral equation

$$u_m(t) - \int_0^1 G(t, s)g_x(s, x_m(s))u_m(s)ds = - \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(x_m(s))ds. \quad (54)$$

Now let us consider the specific example of $g(t, x) = tx^2 - 1$. In this case, if we take $x_0 = \frac{x(1-x)}{2}$, then Eq.(54) becomes

$$u_0(t) - \int_0^1 G(t, s)s^2(1-s)u_0(s)ds = \frac{s^7}{42} - \frac{s^6}{15} + \frac{s^5}{20} - \frac{s}{140}. \quad (55)$$

If we consider the linear integral operator with the kernel $G(t, s)s^2(1-s)$ as a map from $C[0, 1]$ to $C^2[0, 1]$, then we have a bound $\|K\| \leq \frac{4}{27}$, provided that the norm of $C^2[0, 1]$ is given by

$$\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|_\infty, \|x'\|_\infty, \|x''\|_\infty\}. \quad (56)$$

Then a solution of Eq.(55) can be obtained by the Neumann series expansion and we have an estimate

$$\|u_0(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|K\|} \left\| \frac{s^7}{42} - \frac{s^6}{15} + \frac{s^5}{20} - \frac{s}{140} \right\| \leq \frac{27}{23} \frac{31}{420}. \quad (57)$$

Moreover we have

$$\|f'(x_0)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|K\|} = \frac{27}{23}, \quad (58)$$

and $\|f''(x_0)\| = \|-2xI_2\| \leq 2$. Thus we have $h \leq 0.20532 < 0.5$ where h is a constant in Theorem 2.2 so that it becomes evident that an exact solution exists near $x_0 = \frac{x(1-x)}{2}$.

Similar discussions are also given by Moore[43]. In this paper, illustrative examples given in an unpublished paper by Talbot are presented:

(a)

$$x''(t) = (x+a)(x+a-2)[1+2t^2(x+a-1)], \quad x(-1) = x(1) = 0; \quad (59)$$

(b)

$$x''(t) = \exp(x(t)), \quad x(-1) = x(1) = 0; \quad (60)$$

(c)

$$x''(t) = \exp(-x(t)), \quad x(-1) = x(1) = 0 \text{ (this problem has two solutions)}. \quad (61)$$

In Ref.[44], the two-point boundary value problem

$$x''(t) + g(t, x', x'') = 0, \quad x(a) = x(b) = 0 \quad (62)$$

is considered and a method is given for calculating Kantorovich's constants. A more general two-point boundary value problem

$$x''(t) = g(t, x(t)), \quad B_1 y(a) + B_2 y(b) = w, \quad (63)$$

where $g : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $g \in C^2$, B_1, B_2 are matrices and $w \in \mathbf{R}^n$, is considered by Kedem[45]. As examples, he considered

(a)

$$\varepsilon x'' = (x^2 - (t-1)^2)x', \quad x(0) = A, x(1) = B; \quad (64)$$

(b)

$$h'''' + hh''' + gg' = 0, g'' + hg' - hg' = 0, h(0) = h'(0) = h(1) = h'(0) = 0, g(0) = \Omega_0, g(1) = \Omega_1. \quad (65)$$

See also Ref.[46]. Applications to control and oscillation theory is presented in Ref.[47]. Recently, two different approaches have been given by Nakao[48] and Plum[49]. We will discuss their approaches later.

3 Simplified Newton Method and Urabe's Convergence Theorem

3.1 Historical Development

In Ref.[50], Cesari discussed the existence analysis concerning solutions of linear and nonlinear equations $Kx = y$ in function spaces. His method is related to Galerkin's method and reduces the problem to the study of a finite system of transcendental determining equations in a finite-dimensional Euclidean space. He discussed a process which may provide an answer to two questions: (1) If a certain m -th approximation $x(m)$ is known, is it possible to argue whether an exact solution X also exists? (2) If the answer to (1) is affirmative, is it possible to

give an upper estimate for the difference $X - x(m)$ (error bound)? He reduced these problems to Banach's contraction mapping principle or Schauder's fixed point theorem. He treated as an example the nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$x'' + x + \alpha x^3 = \beta t \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1) \quad (66)$$

with homogeneous boundary conditions $x(0) = 0$, $x'(1) + hx(1) = 0$. He showed, for example, that in case of $h = 1$ and $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$, an exact solution $x(t)$ of Eq.(66) exists in the neighborhood of the first Galerkin approximation $x(1) = 0.11873 \sin 2.0288t$ as $\|x(t) - x(1)\| \leq d = 0.0038$.

In Ref.[51], Cesari treated as an example the following nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$x'' + x^3 = \sin t, \quad (67)$$

and showed that it has a periodic solution of period 2π , $X(t)$, in the neighborhood of an approximate solution $x(t) = 1.434 \sin t - 0.124 \sin 3t$ as $\|X(t) - x(t)\| \leq d = 0.124$.

Knobloch presented a remark on Cesari's work[52] and gave an another example of computer-assisted existence proof of periodic solutions of a nonlinear ordinary differential equation of the second order[53].

In 1965, Urabe[54] considered periodic nonlinear differential systems

$$x' = X(x, t), \quad (68)$$

where x and X are vectors of the same dimension and $X(x, t)$ is smooth. He has proved that if an isolated periodic solution $x(t)$ of Eq.(68) exists in a suitable bounded region, then $x(t)$ can always be approximated by means of the Galerkin process. Then, he presented a convergence theorem of the simplified Newton method. Using this, he further showed that if the conditions of his convergence theorem are met at a known Galerkin approximation $x_m(t)$, an exact isolated periodic solution $x(t)$ can be proven to exist in the neighborhood of $x_m(t)$, and an error bound for $x(t) - x_m(t)$ can be determined. More precisely, to determine a periodic solution of Eq.(68) he considered the trigonometric polynomial

$$x_m(t) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^m (a_{2n-1} \sin nt + a_{2n} \cos nt). \quad (69)$$

Substituting Eq.(69) into Eq.(68), he obtained transcendental nonlinear equations for undetermined coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-1}, a_{2m}$. This procedure is nothing but the well-known Galerkin procedure. He proved

Theorem 3.1 (Urabe[54]) Let $X(x, t)$ and its derivatives with respect to the x -coordinates be continuously differentiable with respect to the same x -coordinates and t in the region $D \times L$, where D is a closed bounded region of the x -space and L is the real line. If there is an isolated periodic solution $x = x(t)$ of Eq.(68) lying inside D , then there exists a Galerkin approximation $x = x_m(t)$ for any order $m \geq m_0$ lying in D provided m_0 is sufficiently large. Such Galerkin approximations $x = x_m(t)$ converge uniformly as $m \rightarrow \infty$ to the initial exact solution $x = \hat{x}(t)$ together with their first-order derivatives. $\square$

As an example, in Ref.[54] he treated

$$x'' + 1.52x + (x - 1.5 \sin t)^3 = 2 \sin t. \quad (70)$$

He showed that in the neighborhood of the 3rd-order Galerkin approximation,

$$x = x^\sharp(t) = 1.59941 \sin t - 0.00004 \sin 3t, \quad (71)$$

there exists an exact periodic solution $\hat{x}(t)$ of Eq.(70) which satisfies

$$\|\hat{x}(t) - x^\sharp(t)\| < 0.000141. \quad (72)$$

In the succeeding paper[55], Urabe and Reiter treated the Van der Pol equation with a harmonic forcing term

$$x'' - \varepsilon(1 - x^2)x' + x = \varepsilon E \sin \omega t. \quad (73)$$

They calculated the 15th Galerkin approximation and showed that in its neighborhood there is an exact periodic solution. This equation may be the first practical equation treated by self-validating numerics. Then, his method, which is now called Urabe's method, has been applied for the purpose of numerical analysis of periodic solutions of many nonlinear periodic systems[56, 57, 58, 59]. In Ref.[58], in order to solve a determining nonlinear equation, Shinohara developed a geometrical method, which is a kind of continuation method. Related problems are also treated by Refs.[60]-[73].

Moreover, Urabe's method is extended to nonlinear autonomous systems[74]-[77] and to numerical analysis of quasi-periodic solutions of quasi-periodic differential systems [78]-[80]. In the same philosophy, Urabe developed a theory for the method of computing solutions of the multi-point boundary value problem of ordinary differential equations[81].

Componentwise error estimates for approximate solutions of nonlinear equations are discussed in Refs.[82, 83]. An application is discussed to control problems in Refs.[84]-[87].

Similar approaches of Urabe are presented to include closed orbits of chaotic nonlinear differential equations in Refs.[88, 89, 90].

3.2 Generalization and Abstraction—Infinite Dimensional Homotopy Method—

In this section we would like to point out that Urabe's method can be extended to more general functional equations. In general, it is well known that nonlinear problems require Banach space formalism, and we consider the problem of finding a solution of

$$f(x) = y, \quad (74)$$

where f is a continuous map from a suitable B-space X into another B-space Y . We would like to point out that operator equations solvable by Galerkin's method can be abstracted and generalized as A-proper operator equations, whose notion was developed by Petryshyn[91]. First we show that Theorem 3.1 can be generalized in the context of A-proper operator theory. This is achieved by using the infinite dimensional homotopy method developed by the author and his coworkers[92]-[97].

The A-proper operator is defined through a projection scheme[91]:

Definition 3.1 [91] Let X be a B-space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of X . Moreover, let $P_n : X \rightarrow X_n$ be a linear continuous projection operator. If for any $x \in X$ $P_n x \rightarrow x$ holds true as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then X is called a B-space with a projection scheme $\Pi = \{X_n, P_n\}$. $\square$

It is known[91] that there are various kinds of projection schemes corresponding to, for example, a difference scheme, Galerkin's scheme and so on.

Definition 3.2 [91] Let X and Y be B-spaces with projection schemes $\{X_n, P_n\}$ and $\{Y_n, Q_n\}$, respectively. If for any $n > 0$ $\dim X_n = \dim Y_n$ holds true, $\Gamma = \{X_n, P_n; Y_n, Q_n\}$ is called an operator projection scheme. $\square$

Definition 3.3 [91] Let X and Y be B-spaces having an operator projection scheme $\Gamma = \{X_n, P_n; Y_n, Q_n\}$. Let D be an open set in X . An operator $f : cl(D) \rightarrow Y$ is A-proper iff the following holds true: $Q_m f$ is continuous and for any bounded infinite sequence $\{x_m\} \subset D$ ($x_m \in D_m = D \cap X_m$) satisfying $Q_m f(x_m) \rightarrow y (m \rightarrow \infty)$ there exist a subsequence $\{x_{m_j}\}$ of $\{x_m\}$ and x such that $x_{m_j} \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(x) = y$ hold true. $\square$

Example 3.1 [91]

- (1) Let $\Pi = \{X_n, P_n\}$ be a projection scheme satisfying $\|P_n\| = 1$. Then, if $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a ball condensing operator, $I - f$ becomes an A-proper operator with respect to Π .
- (2) There are many operators in a class of monotone operators which become A-proper operators. $\square$

The concept of A-proper homotopy plays an important role. This concept is introduced by Makino and the present author[92].

Definition 3.4 Let X and Y be B-spaces having an operator projection scheme $\Gamma = \{X_n, P_n; Y_n, Q_n\}$. Let D be an open set in X . A homotopy $h : cl(D) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ is called an A-proper homotopy with respect to Γ iff the following holds true: $Q_m h$ is continuous. For any $t_m \rightarrow t$ ($t_m \in [0, 1]$) and for any bounded infinite sequence $\{x_m\} \subset D$ ($x_m \in D_m = D \cap X_m$) satisfying $Q_m h(x_m, t_m) \rightarrow y (m \rightarrow \infty)$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{m_j}\}$ of $\{x_m\}$ and x such that $x_{m_j} \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $h(x, t) = y$ hold true. $\square$

Example 3.2 (1) (A-properness of the fixed point homotopy)[91] Let X be a B-space with a projection scheme $\Pi = \{X_n, P_n\}$ and D be a bounded open set in X . If $f(cl(D))$ is bounded and the fixed point homotopy $h(x, t) = (1-t)(x-x) + tf(x)$, $(x, t) \in cl(D) \times [0, 1]$, is A-proper for each fixed $t \in [0, 1]$ with respect to Π , then h is A-proper with respect to Π .

- (2) (A-properness of the odd homotopy)[92] Let X be a B-space with a projection scheme $\{X_n, P_n\}$ and $D \subset X$ be a bounded open set symmetric with respect to the origin. If $f(cl(D))$ is bounded and the odd homotopy

$$h(x, t) = \frac{(1-t)(f(x) - f(-x))}{2} + tf(x) + (1-t)y, \quad (x, t) \in cl(D) \times [0, 1], \quad (75)$$

is A-proper for each fixed $t \in [0, 1]$ with respect to Π , then h is A-proper with respect to Π . $\square$

We are now in a position to state the main theorem of this subsection:

Theorem 3.2 Let X and Y be B-spaces having an operator projection scheme $\Gamma = \{X_n, P_n; Y_n, Q_n\}$, and D be an open set in X . Moreover, let $f : cl(D) \rightarrow Y$ be an A-proper operator and $h(x, t) : cl(D) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ be an A-proper homotopy. If h satisfies the following conditions, then at least a solution of $f(x) = y$ can be numerically obtained: (1) h is continuous with respect to t and satisfies $h(x, 0) = g(x)$ and $h(x, 1) = f(x)$. (2) For each n , $Q_n y$ is a regular value of $Q_n g(x)$ and $Q_n g(x) = Q_n y$ has an odd number of solutions in $D_n = D \cap X$. Moreover, we assume that we can obtain a solution x_{0n} of $Q_n g(x) = Q_n y$, which is not connected with other solutions of $Q_n g(x) = Q_n y$ by the solution curve of $Q_n h(x, t) = Q_n y$. (3) $h(x, t) \neq y$ on $\partial D \times [0, 1]$. $\square$ (Proof) Without loss of generality we can assume $Q_n y$ is a regular value of $Q_n h(x, t)$. Thus, the solution set of $Q_n h(x, t) = Q_n y$ consists of disjoint one-dimensional manifolds. From condition (2) there exists at least one solution curve starting from a solution of $Q_n g(x) = Q_n y$ and reaching the $t = 1$ plane or $\partial D \times (0, 1)$ so that there exists (x_n, t_n) satisfying

$$Q_n h(x_n, t_n) = Q_n y \quad \text{on } D_n \times \{1\} \text{ or } \partial D_n \times (0, 1). \quad (76)$$

In fact, starting from $(x_{0n}, t = 0)$ by tracing the solution curve of $Q_n h(x, t) = Q_n y$ numerically, we can obtain (x_n, t_n) . From the boundedness of $\{t_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, it follows that there exists a subsequence $\{t_{n_j}\}$ such that $t_{n_j} \rightarrow t^* \in [0, 1]$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since h is an A-proper homotopy, there exists a subsequence $\{x_m\}$ of $\{x_{n_j}\}$ and x^* such that $x_m \rightarrow x^*$, $h(x^*, t^*) = y$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. If we assume $t^* < 1$, it follows that (x^*, t^*) lies on $\partial D \times [0, 1]$, which contradicts condition (3). Thus, it becomes evident that $t^* = 1$, so that x^* is a solution of $f(x) = y$. $\square$

Corollary 3.1 Let X be a B-space with a projection scheme $\Pi = \{X_n, P_n\}$, D is an open bounded set including the origin and $f : X \rightarrow X$. If the fixed point homotopy $h(x, t) = (1 - t)x + tf(x)$ satisfies the following conditions, then the solution to $f(x) = 0$ can be obtained numerically: (1) For each $t \in [0, 1]$ h is A-proper with respect to Π , and $f(cl(D))$ is bounded. (2) $h(x, t) \neq y$ on $\partial D \times [0, 1]$. $\square$

(Proof) From Example 3.2, h becomes an A-proper homotopy with respect to Π . Since $P_n h(x, t) = P_n 0$ has a unique solution, it is easy to see that the conditions of Th.3.2 hold true. $\square$

Corollary 3.2 Let X be a B-space with a projection scheme $\Pi = \{X_n, P_n\}$, D be an open bounded set symmetric with respect to the origin, and $f : X \rightarrow X$. If the odd homotopy h satisfies the following conditions, then the solution to $f(x) = y$ can be obtained numerically: (1) $h(x, t) = (1 - t)0.5(f(x) - f(-x)) + tf(x) + (1 - t)y$ is A-proper with respect to Π for each fixed $t \in [0, 1]$ and $f(cl(D))$ is bounded. (2) $h(x, t) \neq y$ on $\partial D \times [0, 1]$. (3) For each n , $P_n y \in D_n$ is a regular value of $P_n h(x, 0)$. $\square$

(Proof) From Example 3.2, it follows that h is an A-proper homotopy. Moreover $P_n 0$ is a trivial solution of $P_n h(x, 0) = 0$. If the solution curve starting from $(P_n 0, t = 0)$ does not return to the $t = 0$ plane, then all the conditions of Th.3.2 are satisfied. Even if this solution curve returns

to the $t = 0$ plane at $(x_{0n}, t = 0)$, from the oddness of the homotopy, $-(x_{0n}, t = 0)$ is also a solution. Thus, starting from this point we can restart the curve tracing. If the solution curve returns to the $t = 0$ plane again, the process is repeated. Since $P_n h(x, 0) = 0$ has odd number of solutions, we can find a solution curve which does not return to the $t = 0$ plane. Thus, in this case, the conditions of Th.3.2 also hold true. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 (Schauder and Darbo's fixed point theorem) Let X be a B-space with a projection scheme $\Pi = \{X_n, P_n\}$, D be a open bounded convex set in X , and $p : cl(D) \rightarrow cl(D)$. If p is a continuous ball condensing operator, p has at least a fixed point in $cl(D)$. $\square$

(Proof) Let $x_0 \in D$, $f(x) = p(x) - x$, and $h(x, t) = (1 - t)(x_0 - x) + tf(x)$. Then, h becomes an A-proper homotopy with respect to Π . Moreover, it is easily seen that the conditions of Th.3.2 are satisfied. $\square$

Now, we would like to present a problem. Although by A-proper homotopy theory a method is given for calculating an approximate solution sequence $\{x_n\}$ whose subsequence converges to a true solution x^* , in practice, we cannot choose a convergence subsequence from this approximation sequence! This difficulty can be overcome with the aid of an Urabe-type *a posteriori* error estimation method.

In the following, we assume

Assumption 3.1 Let X be a B-space with a projection scheme $\{X_n, P_n\}$ such that $P_n P_m = P_{\min\{n, m\}}$ and $\|P_n\| \leq 1$. $\square$

In order to overcome the above-mentioned difficulty, we propose the following projective simplified Newton method in X :

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - P_{k+1} F^{-1} f(x_k), \quad k \geq 0, x_0 \in X_0. \quad (77)$$

We note that from the definition, x_k belongs to X_k . The following is an Urabe-type convergence theorem for the projective simplified Newton method:

Theorem 3.3 Let X be a B-space satisfying Assumption 3.1, Y be a B-space, $D \subset X$ is a nonempty open set, and $f : D \rightarrow Y$ is a C^1 -operator. Assume that $x \in D$, being an approximate solution of $f(x) = 0$, and a bounded linear operator $F : X \rightarrow Y$, being an approximation of $f'(x)$, are obtained. Moreover, we assume that there exists a δ satisfying the following conditions:

(c1) $B(x_0, \delta) \subset D$,

(c2) $\|f'(x) - F\| \leq K_0$ for $x \in B(x_0, \delta)$,

(c3) $F^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ exists and satisfies

$$\|F^{-1}\|(\delta^{-1}\|f(x)\| + K_0) \leq 1, \quad (78)$$

(c4) $\|F^{-1}\|K_0 < 1$.

Then the following statements hold true:

- (a) There exists a unique solution, x^* , of $f(x) = 0$ in $B(x_0, \delta)$,
- (b) $x_k \in B(x_0, \delta)$ for any $k \geq 0$,
- (c) $x_k \rightarrow x^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (d) $\|x_k - x^*\| \leq (1 - \|F^{-1}\|K_0)^{-1} \|F^{-1}f(x_k)\|$.

Here, x_k is assumed to be generated by Eq.(77). □

We now present a method for numerically identifying an approximate solution of Eq.(74) satisfying the conditions of Th.3.3. Let X be a B-space satisfying Assumption 3.1, Y a B-space with a projection scheme $\{Y_n, Q_n\}$, $D \subset X$ a nonempty open set, and $f : D \rightarrow Y$, a C^1 -operator such that f' is α -Lipschitz continuous. We assume that there exists an algorithm solving an approximate equation $Q_n f(x) = 0$, $x \in X_n$ for sufficiently large n .

Algorithm 3.1 (Step 1) Let $n = 1$.

(Step 2) Calculate an approximate solution of $Q_n f(x) = 0$, $x \in X_n$. If the solution cannot be obtained, go to Step 4.

(Step 3) Examine whether there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $B(x_n, \delta) \subset D$ and $\|f'(x_n)^{-1}\|(\delta^{-1}\|f(x_n)\| + \alpha\delta) < 1$. If there exists such a δ , go to Step 5.

(Step 4) Let $n = n + 1$ and go to Step 2.

(Step 5) Then, it is seen that in $B(x_n, \delta)$ there exists a unique solution x^* of $f(x) = 0$. If δ is greater than the desired precision, iterate the following starting from x_n :

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - P_{k+1} f'(x_n)^{-1} f(x_k). \quad (79)$$

An error estimation is given by

$$\|x_k - x^*\| \leq (1 - \|f'(x_n)^{-1}\|\alpha\delta)^{-1} \|f'(x_n)^{-1} f(x_k)\|. \quad (80)$$

□

Theorem 3.4 Together with the conditions of Algorithm 3.1, we assume that f is A-proper, Fredholm with index zero, $f(x) \neq 0$ on ∂D and 0 is a regular value of f . Then, Algorithm 3.1 is completed in finite cycles. □

The proof can be found in Ref.[96].

4 Arithmetic for Self-Validating Numerics and Computational Complexity

In this section we shall discuss computer arithmetic for self-validating numerics. Since we are concerned with mathematical proofs of nonlinear problems, automatic rigorous estimation of rounding errors is necessary for such self-validating numerics. Although this kind of rigorous estimation of rounding errors had been believed to be difficult, development of the studies of self-validating numerics in the last decade shows that such estimation is not very difficult and that there are methods for practical implementation.

4.1 Machine Interval Analysis

A fundamental tool of such automatic estimation is the interval analysis introduced in Moore's doctoral thesis entitled "Interval arithmetic and automatic errors analysis in digital computing" [41]. In this thesis, machine interval arithmetic is introduced to automatically estimate rounding errors caused by, for example, floating point calculations. Here, a machine interval is an interval with end points being represented by floating point numbers. In this arithmetic system, for example, the number π is represented as $\pi \in [3.14, 3.15]$. The process generating a sequence of machine intervals such as $[3.141, 3.142]$, $[3.1415, 3.1416]$, $[3.14159, 3.14160]$, $\dots$, is a computation of π in the interval analysis. Thus in the machine interval analysis, a machine interval is a fundamental data type. Arithmetics on machine intervals can be defined. Let A and B be machine intervals and $*$ $\in$ $\{+, -, \times, /\}$, then $A * B$ is defined by

$$A * B = \{a * b | a \in A, b \in B\}. \quad (81)$$

In this case, the following properties hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] + [c, d] &= [a + c, b + d], \\ [a, b] - [c, d] &= [a - d, b - c], \\ [a, b] \cdot [c, d] &= [\min(ac, ad, bc, bd), \max(ac, ad, bc, bd)], \\ [a, b] / [c, d] &= [a, b] \cdot [1/d, 1/c]. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Thus, it turns out that arithmetics between machine intervals can be executed by the arithmetics among end points of intervals. For practical implementations of interval arithmetics, see, for example, Neumaier[42] and Kulish and Miranker[3].

If we define a width and an absolute value of an interval respectively by $w([a, b]) = b - a$ and $||[a, b]|| = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$, then for intervals A and B the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} w(A \pm B) &= w(A) + w(B), \\ w(A \cdot B) &\leq w(A)|B| + |A|w(B). \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

The first equation of (83) indicates that

$$w(A - A) = 2w(A), \quad (84)$$

which implies that the widths of intervals tend to increase as calculations proceed. This drawback has been overcome by Kulish and his coworkers[3, 13]. They showed that widths of intervals can be narrowed by utilizing an interval version of the residue correction method[98]. Architecturally, they proposed the use of a long accumulator to exactly calculate inner products of vectors whose components are floating point numbers[99]. They showed, through many examples, that not only linear problems, but also nonlinear problems, can be solved by this method with guaranteed accuracy[100]. Moreover, they showed that functional equations can also be solved by means of their method[101].

As software for self-validating numerics, FORTRAN-SC, ACRITH-XSC, PASCAL-SC, PASCAL-XSC, and so on have been developed. Since there already exist good reviews[6]-[8], [12, 13, 102] on them, we leave detailed discussion to others. Results related to a rounding error analysis up to 1965 are gathered in Ref.[103].

4.2 Rational Arithmetic

In this section, we describe our approach using rational arithmetic for self-validating numerics. Why do we use rational arithmetic? The following is a partial answer to this question:

- (1) Most numerical algorithms are designed by analytical theory which is based on the concept of real numbers forming a field. The fact that the set of rational numbers also forms a field and is dense in the set of real numbers is very conducive to the design of a numerical algorithm. On the other hand, a set of floating numbers with fixed length does not form a field so that even an associative law does not hold.
- (2) Also, a numerical algorithm using rational arithmetic should involve rounding, because the number of bits needed to represent rational numbers become extremely large even after a few iterations of rational arithmetic. However, we can round a rational number with desired accuracy, by for example, using its continued fraction expansion. Thus rounding errors can be easily estimated.
- (3) Computational complexity theory fits very well with the rational arithmetic model of computation. Namely, to obtain a solution to a numerical problem, the required precision of arithmetic depends on the problem. Although floating point numbers have fixed precision, rational numbers can express arbitrary precision numbers. Thus, at least theoretically, rational arithmetic has an advantage. For example, the design of a polynomial time algorithm of linear programming is based on rational arithmetic.

We now describe how to use rational arithmetic for self-validating numerics. For present purposes, we start with a discussion of how to represent natural numbers. Let P be a fixed natural number greater than one. Then, using P as a base, an arbitrary natural number a can be represented as

$$a = a_n P^n + a_{n-1} P^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 P + a_0, \quad (85)$$

where a_i satisfying $0 \leq a_i < P$ is called a digit and $a_n \neq 0$. We shall denote the correspondence (85) as

$$a = (a_n, a_{n-1}, \cdots, a_1, a_0)_P. \quad (86)$$

Then a rational number q is represented as

$$q = s \frac{q_1}{q_2}, \quad (87)$$

where s is the sign of q and q_1 and q_2 are natural numbers except in the case of $q = 0$. If $q = 0$, then we represent it as $s = +$ or $s = -$, $q_1 = 0$ and $q_2 = 1$. We assume that in normalized form q_1 and q_2 are mutually prime. An efficient implementation of rational arithmetic such as addition, subtraction, multiplication and division is described, by for example, Knuth[104] so that we omit a description here.

We consider here how to round rational numbers. For this purpose, the continued fraction expansion is useful. Let ω be a positive real number. Its continued fraction expansion can be obtained as follows: Let $[\omega]$ be an integer part of ω . Let

$$a_0 = [\omega]. \quad (88)$$

If $\omega - a_0 \neq 0$, then we can write ω as

$$\omega = a_0 + \frac{1}{\omega_1}, \quad (89)$$

where $\omega_1 = \frac{1}{\omega - a_0}$. Since $\omega_1 > 1$ we let

$$a_1 = [\omega_1]. \quad (90)$$

Continuing this process, we have a continued fraction expansion of ω :

$$\omega = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}. \quad (91)$$

We shall denote this relationship as $\omega = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$. It is known that if ω is a rational number, the numbers $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$, can be directly obtained by the Euclidean algorithm. A rounding of the real ω is obtained by truncating its continued fraction expansion as

$$\omega \simeq [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]. \quad (92)$$

If we let

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n], \quad (93)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1}, & p_1 &= a_0a_1 + 1, & p_0 &= a_0 (n \geq 1), \\ q_{n+1} &= a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}, & q_1 &= a_1, & q_0 &= 1 (n \geq 1) \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

hold. From this, it is easy to see that

$$p_n q_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_n = (-1)^{n+1} \quad (95)$$

holds true. Rounding error of approximating ω by p_n/q_n can be easily estimated as follows. The real ω can be represented as

$$\omega = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \omega_{n+1}]. \quad (96)$$

Thus, from Eqs.(94) we have

$$\omega = \frac{\omega_{n+1}p_n p_{n-1}}{\omega_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}}. \quad (97)$$

From this we have

$$|\omega - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^2}. \quad (98)$$

Moreover, if n is even $\omega \geq \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ holds, and if n is odd $\omega \leq \frac{p_n}{q_n}$. It is well known that the approximation of ω by $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ is optimal in the following sense:

Theorem 4.1 (Lagrange) (i) Let ω be a positive real number and $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ be its n -th continued fraction approximation. Then, for any integer p and any integer q satisfying $0 < q \leq q_n$

$$|p - \omega q| > |p_n - \omega q_n| \quad (99)$$

holds true.

(ii) For any integer q satisfying $q_n < q < q_{n+1}$ and for any integer p

$$|p - \omega q| > |p_n - \omega q_n| \quad (100)$$

holds true. □

We now consider intervals with rational number end points.

Theorem 4.2 [105] Let R be a set of sequences of intervals $\{A_i\}$ satisfying the conditions

(1) $A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_n \supseteq A_{n+1} \supseteq \dots$,

(2) $w(A_n = [a_n, b_n]) = b_n - a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, the set R can be identified with the set of real numbers. If we add one more postulation that

(3) a_n and b_n are computable, then R becomes the set of computable reals. □

From this theorem, we may consider a data type having the form

$$\{[r_1, r_2] | r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \text{ are rational numbers}\} \quad (101)$$

to be real type. Arithmetic between real type-data can be defined through Eq.(82). Moreover, a rounding operator for real type data is defined by

$$\Diamond_n[r_1, r_2] = [\nabla_n r_1, \Delta_n r_2]. \quad (102)$$

Here, $\nabla_n r_1 = \frac{p_{2n}}{q_{2n}}$ and $\Delta_n r_2 = \frac{p_{2n+1}}{q_{2n+1}}$. Apparently,

$$[r_1, r_2] \subseteq \Diamond[r_1, r_2] \quad (103)$$

holds true.

Truncation error bounds for special functions are given, for example, by Ref.[106].

We now consider to solve exactly a matrix equation

$$Ax = b, \quad (104)$$

where A is an $n \times n$ matrix whose elements are all rational numbers and b is an n -dimensional vector whose elements are also all rational numbers. Edmonds[107] has shown that

Theorem 4.3 (Edmonds[107]) Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix whose elements are all rational numbers, and suppose that A requires at most m binary digits to write down. Then, the determinant of A requires no more than $O(nm)$ digits to write. $\square$

For the proof, see Refs.[107]-[109].

Based on this theory, it is proven that a solution of Eq.(104) can be obtained in polynomial time of input size, which is defined by the number of digits required to describe A and b [109].

Recently, computational complexity of numerical problems related to mainly one dimensional function has been studied by Ko[110]. In this book he has defined a class of polynomial computable functions and shown that

- (1) Let Max be an operator which maps a function $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ to the function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, defined by $g(x) = \max\{f(x, y) | 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$. Then, $P = NP$ iff for all polynomial-time computable real functions f , $Max(f)$ is polynomial-time computable.
- (2) Let Int be the operator that maps a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ to the function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, defined by $g(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$. Then, $FP = \#P$ iff for all polynomial-time computable real functions f , $Int(f)$ is polynomial-time computable.
- (3) Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a polynomial-time computable one-to-one function. Then F^{-1} is polynomial-time computable. On the other hand, $LOGSPACE = P$ iff for all log-space computable, one-to-one real functions f , F^{-1} is log-space computable.
- (4) There exists a polynomial-time computable function f on $[0, 1]$ such that the derivative f' exists but is not computable. On the other hand, if the second derivative f'' exists and continuous on $[0, 1]$, then f' must be polynomial-time computable.
- (5) There is a natural weak Lipschitz condition on function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]^2$ such that $P = PSPACE$ iff for all first-order ordinary differential equations $y' = f(x, y)$ defined by polynomial-time computable functions f satisfying this weak Lipschitz condition the solutions y are polynomial-time computable.

Definitions of terminologies such as $LOGSPACE$ and $PSPACE$ etc. and related results can be found in Ref.[111].

From these results, it is seen that there exists strong relationship between fundamental problems of the computational complexity theory and numerical algorithms based on rational arithmetic. Error bounds and complexity are given for Fourier analysis by Brass[115] and error bounds of anti-derivatives are given by Refs.[116, 117, 118].

Finally, we note that, based on Urabe's theorem and rounding by the continued fraction expansion, we have developed a self-validating simplified Newton method. This method is implemented by rational arithmetic and avoids exponential explosion of binary digits needed for expressing intermediate results by rounding. For example, we list a result of solving 5-dimensional nonlinear equation

$$f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_5(x)) = x, \quad (105)$$

where

$$f_k(x) = \frac{(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \dots + x_5^3 + \sqrt{5k})}{10}. \quad (106)$$

From this example, the simplified Newton method can well be implemented with rational arithmetic and suitable rounding through the continued fraction expansion. Details will be reported elsewhere.

Table 1

4.3 Logical Foundation

Since self-validating numerics based on rational arithmetic is a kind of constructive mathematics, in this section we briefly discuss a constructive mathematics as the foundation for approaches described in the previous sections. Constructive mathematics can be developed on various mathematical foundations. Roughly speaking, they are classified into two types[110]. One is based on the recursive analysis and the other is not. The approaches based on the recursive analysis are further roughly divided into two classes. The first one is developed in the framework of classical mathematics. Thus as well as constructive objects, nonconstructive objects are allowed in this class. In this class, the work of Gregorczyk, Lacombe, Mostowski and Pour-El and Richards[111] are included[110]. In the other approach, only recursive objects are studied by constructive logic. Work by the following author is included in this class[110]: Moschovakis, Goodstein, Sanin, Ceitin and Aberth. On the other hand, an approach which uses intuitive logic and does not restrict itself to the notion of recursiveness is further classified into the following four classes[112]:

- (1) classical mathematics framework(CLASS)
- (2) Bishop's constructive mathematics(BISH)
- (3) Brouwer's intuitionism(INT)
- (4) Russian constructivism(RUSS).

Roughly speaking, in (2) and (3), the notion of an algorithm, or a finite routine, is taken as primitive. On the other hand, (4) operates within a fixed programming language, and an algorithm is a sequence of symbols in that language.

In this paper, we have taken the following standpoint. Namely, we adopt a classical mathematics as the logical foundation, i.e., we allow other than constructive objects, mathematical objects for which we cannot present an algorithm that constructs the objects. Thus, for instance, the concept of the real number is already given, provided that we know the classical mathematics. Our objective is to find a finite computational procedure for identifying an approximation of a mathematical object whose neighborhood is guaranteed to contain the desired mathematical object. Here, I would like to present a comment. As mentioned in the above-discussion, recently, several programming languages which support self-validating numerics have been developed. The fast automatic differentiation program[113, 114, 118] can also be seen as a kind of language supporting self-validating numerics. Thus, it seems interesting, to define a programming language which not only supports self-validating numerics, but also becomes a logical foundation of the mathematics of self-validating numerics.

Now, we would like to present a comment about the relationship between self-validating numerics and nonlinear functional analysis. As an overview of nonlinear functional analysis, it is

noted that Zeidler, Eberhard has written a huge series of books entitled “Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications”(Springer-Verlag,I (1986), IIA, B(1990), III(1984), IV(1988)). The subtitle of each volume is listed as follows:

I Fixed-Point Theorems,

II/A Linear Monotone Operators,

II/B Nonlinear Monotone Operators,

III Variational Methods and Optimization,

IV Applications to Mathematical Physics.

The areas indicated by the above list, by considering IV as Applications, are main areas of nonlinear functional analysis. From the point of view of the principles which are used in analysis, nonlinear functional analysis can be divided into two areas:

- (a) An area which is based on the compactness principle, and
- (b) an area in which is the based on the axiom of choice.

Roughly speaking, topics I and II are continued in (a) and topic (III) is in (b). There are, however, quite a few exceptions. As is seen in the previous section (a) can become constructive. For example, constructive Sard’s lemma is discussed in Refs.[119, 120].

On the other hand (b) is not constructive at all, so that, for example, BISH adopted the axiom of countable choice instead of the axiom of choice. A good introduction to computational functional analysis is given by Moore[121] and an interesting theory of discrete functional analysis is presented by Zhou[122].

5 Computer Assisted Proofs for Nonlinear Problems

Self-validating numerics has many applications other than to periodic problems of nonlinear differential equations. In this section, we review such applications.

5.1 Functional Equations

Various functional equations have been solved by the self-validating numerical method. Some of them have already been discussed in the previous sections. Although the methods discussed in the previous sections are based on *a posteriori* error estimates, many of the self-validating numerics use interval analysis. In this subsection, we have given an overview of the application of self-validating numerics to functional equations. Emphasis is on interval analysis. For introduction of interval analysis, see Refs.[123]-[126].

(a) Monotone type operator equations: Collatz’s book[127] is now a classic of self-validating numerics. In this book, mainly monotone operator equations are treated. In 1982, he wrote a survey paper[128] in which he reviewed a monotone iteration method. Schröder[129, 130]

also treats self-validating numerical methods for monotone-type nonlinear differential equations including partial differential equations. In Ref.[131], this method is further developed.

Recently, translation of Mikhlin's book[132] has been published, in which various arguments related to self-validation can be found. In particular, on p.27, *a posteriori* error estimation for monotone operator equations is discussed. In this book, an interesting method of opposite functional is also developed for including solutions to boundary value problems of nonlinear equations.

(b) Integral equations: In his book[134], Linz described an approximate solution method for linear operator equations of the second kind, based on, an *a posteriori* method. This description includes Anselone's collectively compact operator approach[135] to Nestrom's method for integral equations. Linz's book is very readable to engineers and gives a good introductive functional analytic basis for error estimation of various approximation methods. Recently, he has presented a method for determination of precise bounds for inverses of linear integral equations, which is useful to *a posteriori* error estimation[136]. Since the Newton method uses linearization, this result is also useful for nonlinear integral equations. Noble[138] also treated a problem of inclusion of solutions for integral equations. Using Noble's approach, Spence[137] gave error bounds for eigenvalues of intergral equations. Related the problems of Linz are discussed by Sloan[139]. Demmel[140] investigated the relationship between the condition number of a problem and the shortest distance from that problem to an ill-posed one. For the finite element method, see for example Ref.[141]. An application is presented of interval integration to the solution of integral equations by Rall[142].

(c) Differential equations: Inclusion methods of solutions for initial value problems of ordinary differential equations were surveyed by Nickel[143] in 1986. In this paper, 123 references relevant to this topic are cited. In Ref.[144], error bounds are given for approximate solutions of ordinary differential equations using Liapunov functions. In 1987, Lohner[145] presented an enclosure method for initial value problems of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. Recently, Stetter[146] developed Lohner's method. For partial differential equations Schwandt[133] solved finite-difference discretizations of Poisson's equation using the interval Gauss-Seidel method. Using a monotone iteration process, Voller[147] treated weak nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems. Nakao[148] has developed a concept of rounding in infinite dimensional spaces and applied it to partial differential equations. Plum[149]-[152] also presented a computer-assisted existence proof for nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems.

5.2 Computer-assisted proof for nonlinear problems

In this subsection, we review applications of the self-validating method to nonlinear problems. Recently, numerical study of nonlinear dynamical systems has made a great stride. Beyn's paper[153] is a very good survey on this topic. If a continuous dynamical system is approximated by a discrete system, then a question "what kind of properties of the original dynamical system are reflected in the discrete system?" is a fundamental interest. In general, qualitative properties of a dynamical system are changed by discretization. Thus, the following question becomes important: Is there an invariant curve for the discrete dynamical system, which is an approximation of a continuous dynamical system posessing an invariant curve?

This type of question is studied in Ref.[154]. Related results are cited in Beyn[153]. For Hamiltonian system the KAM theory is related to such a question. In Ref.[155] various papers are gathered for computer assisted proofs of analysis. In particular, computer-assisted KAM theories are presented by several authors. Reference[156] presents a convergence theorem of a Newton-Moser-type method. In Refs.[157, 158], a method is given for branch inclusion in a generic Hopf bifurcation. A computer assisted proof based on interval analysis is given for a problem related to chaos by Ref.[159].

6 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, the current state of research is surveyed for the study of self-validating numerical methods of nonlinear problems. In Sect.2, Kantorovich's approach to this problem is reviewed. His method is based on his convergence theorem of Newton's method and can be seen as an *a posteriori* error estimation method. Then, in Sect.3, Urabe's approach to this problem is discussed. He treated practical nonlinear differential equations such as the Van der Pol equation and the Duffing equation and proved the existence of their periodic and quasi-periodic solutions using self-validating numerics. Generalizations and abstraction of Urabe's method to more general functional equations are also discussed. Then methods for rigorous estimation of rounding errors are surveyed in Sect.4. First, interval analytic methods are discussed. Then, an approach of the author which uses rational arithmetic is briefly reviewed. Finally, problems related to self-validating numerics are overviewed in Sect.5. Due to the limitation of space, we cannot discuss many of important studies in this area.

Finally, it is noted that many interesting nonlinear problems show potential for treatment by self-validating numerics, such as

- (1) problems related to chaos,
- (2) problems related to perturbed soliton systems,
- (3) problems related to nonlinear large scale circuits simulations, in which numerical solutions are difficult to obtain by the effect of rounding errors,

and so on.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Kazuo Horiuchi of Waseda University for his fruitful discussion and comments. He also would like to express his sincerely thanks to Professor Masao Iri of University of Tokyo for his critical reading of the manuscript. A part of this work is done in collaboration with Dr. Mitsunori Makino and Mr. Masahide Kashiwagi of my laboratory. This paper is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for the Ministry of Education, Science Research and Culture; No.03244105 and 02302046, and by the Waseda University Grant for Special Projects.

References

- [1] Hirota, R.: "Chokusetsu-ho ni yoru Soliton Suri (Direct Method in Soliton Theory)", Iwanami Shoten, to be published, (in Japanese).
- [2] Oishi, S.: "Bilinearization method for soliton equations—A nonlinear version of Fourier's method—", *Memoirs of the School of Science and Engineering*, 46(1982)pp.191-225.
- [3] Kulish, U.M. and Miranker, W.L.: "The arithmetic of the digital computer", *SIAM Review*, 28 (1986) pp.1-40.
- [4] Moore, R.E.: "Methods and applications of interval analysis", SIAM Philadelphia (1979).
- [5] Moore, R.E.: "Interval analysis", Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs. (1966).
- [6] Kaucher, E., Kulish, U.E. and Ullrich, Ch. ed.: "Computer arithmetic", B. G. Teubner-Verlag, Stuttgart (1987).
- [7] Moore, R.E.(ed.): "Reliability in Computing", Academic Press, New York (1988).
- [8] Miranker, W.L.(ed.): "Accurate scientific computations", *Lecture Note in Computer Science* No.235, Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [9] Kantorovich, L.V.: "The method of successive approximations for functional equations", *Acta Math.*, 11 (1939) pp.63-97.
- [10] Kantorovich, L.V.: "Functional Analysis and Applied Mathematics", *Uspeh. Math. Nauk*, 3 (1948) pp.89-185.
- [11] Kearfott, R.B.: "Interval arithmetic techniques in computational solution of nonlinear systems of equations: Introduction, examples, and comparisons, *Lectures in Applied Mathematics*, Vol.26 (1990) pp.337-357.
- [12] IBM High-accuracy arithmetic subroutine library (ACRITH). *General Information Manual*. CC 33-6163-02, 3rd Edition, April 1986.
- [13] Kulish, E.U.: "PASCAL-SC: A PASCAL extension for scientific computation; information manual and floppy discs; version IBM PC/AT; Operating system DOS", *Wiley-Teubner series in computer science*, Stuttgart (1987).
- [14] Nakao, M.: "State of the art for numerical computations with guaranteed accuracy", *J. Information Processing Society of Japan*, 31, 9 (1990) pp.1177-1190 (in Japanese).
- [15] Nakao, M.: "Numerical validation method for the existence of solutions for functional equations", *Sugaku (Mathematics)*, Iwanami-Shoten, 42, 1(1990) pp.16-31 (in Japanese).
- [16] Yamamoto, T. and Chen, X.: "Validated methods for solving nonlinear systems", *J. Information Processing Society of Japan*, 31, 9 (1990) pp.1191-1196 (in Japanese).

- [17] Kantorovich, L.V. and Akilov, G.P.: "Functional analysis in normed spaces", Pergamon Press (1964). Second edition of this book is also published: "Functional analysis", Nauka, Moscow (1977).
- [18] Newton, I.: "De analysi per aequationes infinitas" (1669), in The mathematical papers of Isaac Newton, Whiteside ed., (1969).
- [19] Cajori, F.: "Historical note on the Newton-Raphson method of approximation", Amer. Math. Monthly, 18 (1911) pp.29-33.
- [20] Bicanic, N. and Johnson, K.H.: "Who was 'Raphson'?", Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng., 14 (1979) pp.148-152.
- [21] Feny, I.: "Ber die Lösung der im Banachschen Raume definierten nichtlinearen Gleichungen", Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 5, pp.85-93 (1954).
- [22] Ortega, J.M. and Rheinboldt, W.C.: "Iterative solution of nonlinear equations in several variables", Academic Press (1970).
- [23] Yamamoto, T.: "A method for finding sharp error bounds for Newton's method under the Kantorovich assumptions", Numr. Math., 49, (1986) pp.203-220.
- [24] Urabe, M.: "A posteriori component-wise error estimation of approximate solutions to nonlinear equations", Lect. Notes in Computer Sci., Vol.29, Springer-Verlag(1975) pp.99-117.
- [25] Ostrowski, J.: "Solutions of equations in Euclidean and Banach spaces", Academic Press (1973).
- [26] Yamamoto, T. and Chen, X.: "An existence and nonexistence theorem for solutions of nonlinear systems and its application to algebraic equations", J.Comp. & Appl.Math., 30(1990), pp.87-97.
- [27] Miel, G.: "On a posteriori error estimates", Math. Comp., 31(1977) pp.204-213.
- [28] Krasnosel'skii, M.A., Vainikko, G.M., Zabreiko, P.P., Rutitskii, Ya.B. and Stetsenko, V.Ya.: "Approximate solution of operator equations", Wolter-Noordhoff Publishing Groningen, (1972).
- [29] Baluyev, A.N.: "On the abstract theory of S.A.Chaplygon's method", Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR 83, No.6, pp.781-784 (1952).
- [30] Gavurin, M.K.: "Non-linear functional equations and continuous analogue of iteration methods", Izv. Vyssh. Uch. Zaved. 5 (6) (1958).
- [31] Mysovskikh, I.P.: "On the convergence of L.V.Kantorovich's method of solution of functional equations and its applications", Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR 70, No.4 (1950) pp.565-568.
- [32] Mysovskikh, I.P.: "On a boundary value problem for the equation $\Delta u = k(x, y)u^2$ ", Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR 94, No.4 (1954) pp.995-998.

- [33] Stein, M.L.: "Sufficient conditions for the convergence of Newton's Method in the complex Banach spaces", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3, No.6 (1952) pp.858-863.
- [34] Stein, M.L.: "On methods for obtaining solutions of fixed end-point problems in the calculus of variations", J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 50, No.5 (1953) pp.277-297.
- [35] Koshelev, A.I.: "Newton's Method and generalized solutions of non-linear equations of the elliptic type", Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR 91, No.6 (1953) pp.1263-1266.
- [36] Kantorovich, L.V.: "The majorant principle and Newton's method", DAN SSSR, 76 No.1 (1951).
- [37] Kantorovich, L.V.: "Approximate solution of functional equations", UMN, 11, No.6 (1956).
- [38] Vertgeim, B.A.: "On certain methods of approximate solution of nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces", UMN 12, No.1 (1967).
- [39] Kivistik, L.V.: "On a modification of the iteration method with minimal residuals for the solution of nonlinear operator equations", DAN SSSR 136, No.1 (1961).
- [40] Rall, L.B.: "Computational solution of nonlinear operator equations", John Wiley & Sons (1969).
- [41] Moore, R.E.: "Interval arithmetic and automatic error analysis in digital computing", Ph.D. Thesis, Appl. Math. Statist. Lab. Rep. 25, Stanford University (1962).
- [42] Neumaier, A.: "Interval methods for systems of equations", (Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications 37) Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [43] Moore, R.E.: "Bounding sets in function spaces with applications to nonlinear operator equations", SIAM Review, 20, No.3 (1978) pp.492-512.
- [44] McCarthy, M.A. and Tapia, R.A.: "Computable a posteriori L^∞ -error bounds for the approximate solution of two point boundary value problems", SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 12, No.6 (1975) pp.919-937.
- [45] Kedem, G.: "A posteriori bounds for two-point boundary value problems", SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 18, No.3 (1981) pp.431-448.
- [46] Moore, R.E.: "An interval version of Chebyshev's method for nonlinear operator equations", Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Method & Applications, 7 (1983) pp.21-34.
- [47] Falb, P.L. and de Jong, J.L.: "Some successive approximation methods in control and oscillation theory", Academic Press (1969).
- [48] Nakao, M.: "A numerical approach to the proof of existence of solutions for elliptic problems", Japan J. Appl. Math., 5 (1988) pp.313-332.
- [49] Plum, M.: "Computer-assisted existence proofs for two point boundary value problems", Computing 46, pp.19-34 (1991).

- [50] Cesari, L.: "Functional analysis and Galerkin's method", Michigan Math. Journal, 11 (1964) pp.385-414.
- [51] Cesari, L.: "Functional analysis and periodic solutions of nonlinear equations", Contributions to differential equations, Vol.1, No.2 (1963) pp.149-187.
- [52] Knobloch, H.M.: "Remarks on a paper of L. Cesari on functional analysis and nonlinear differential equations", Michigan Math. J., 10 (1963) pp.417-430.
- [53] Knobloch, H.W.: "Eine neue Methode zur Approximation periodischer Lösungen nichtlinearer Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung", Math. Z., 82 (1963) pp.177-197.
- [54] Urabe, M.: "Galerkin's procedure for nonlinear periodic systems", Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 20(1965)pp.120-152.
- [55] Urabe, M. and Reiter, A.: "Numerical computation of nonlinear forced oscillations by Galerkin's procedure", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 14 (1966) pp.107-140.
- [56] Bouc, R.: "Remarque sur un resultat d'Urabe", Int.J.Non-Linear Mech., 3 (1969) pp.99-111.
- [57] Bouc, R.: "Sur la methode de Galerkin-Urabe pour les systemes differentiels periodiques", Intern. J. Non-Linear Mech., 7 (1972) pp.175-188.
- [58] Shinohara, Y.: "A geometric method of numerical solutions of nonlinear equations and its application to nonlinear oscillations", Publ.RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 13 (1972).
- [59] Yamamoto, N.: "A remark to Galerkin method for nonlinear periodic systems with unknown parameters", J. Math. Tokushima Univ., 16 (1982) pp.55-93.
- [60] Stokes, A.: "On the approximation of nonlinear oscillations", J. Diff. Eq., 12(1972) pp.535-558.
- [61] van Dooren, R.: "An analytical method for certain highly nonlinear periodic differential equations", Funk. Ekva., 16(1973) pp.169-180.
- [62] Fontenot, M.L.: "An analytical method for approximating high-order Galerkin solutions", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 42(1973) pp.158-173.
- [63] Fujii, M.: "An a posteriori error estimation of the numerical solution step by step methods for systems of ordinary differential equations", Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed., 23(1973) pp.35-44.
- [64] Fujii, M.: "Numerical solution of boundary value problems with nonlinear boundary conditions in Chebyshev series", Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed., 25(1975) pp.27-45.
- [65] Hayashi, Y.: "On a posteriori error estimation in the numerical solution of systems of ordinary differential equations", Hiroshima Math. J., 9(1979) pp.201-243.

- [66] Yamamoto, T.: "An existence theorem of solution to boundary value problems and its application to error estimates", *Math. Japonica*, 27, 3(1982) pp.301-318.
- [67] Takahashi, I. and Muroga, Y.: "Numerical computation and its application", Corona Publishing Co., 1979(in Japanese).
- [68] Yamamoto, T.: "Error bounds for computed eigenvalues and eigenvectors", *Numer. Math.*, 34(1980) pp.189-199.
- [69] Nakashima, K., Ohmori, K. and Tsurumi, K.: "The Newton method for nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations", *Bull. Sci. and Eng. Res. Lab., Waseda Univ.*, 73 (1976) pp.54-63.
- [70] Urabe, M.: "Numerical investigation of subharmonic solution to Duffing's equation", *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 5 (1969) pp.79-112.
- [71] van Dooren, R.: "Numerical computation of forced oscillations in coupled Duffing equations", *Numer. Math.*, 20 (1973) pp.300-311.
- [72] van Dooren, R.: "Orbit computation in celestial mechanics by Urabe's method", *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 9 (1974) pp.535-542.
- [73] Fujii, M.: "A posteriori error estimation of the orbit computation of an artificial satellite by means of step-by-step methods", *Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ.*, A30, 1 (1976) pp.146-155.
- [74] Shinohara, Y.: "Numerical analysis of periodic solutions and their periods to autonomous differential systems", *J. Math. Tokushima Univ.*, 11 (1972) pp.11-32.
- [75] Urabe, M.: "A remark to the computation of periodic solution to autonomous differential systems", *Qualitative study of nonlinear oscillations* (1974) pp.27-29.
- [76] Shinohara, Y. and Yamamoto, N.: "Galerkin approximation of periodic solution and its period to van der Pol equation", *J. Math. Tokushima Univ.*, 12 (1978) pp.19-42.
- [77] Shinohara, Y.: "Galerkin method for autonomous differential equations", *J. Math. Tokushima Univ.*, 15 (1981) pp.53-85.
- [78] Urabe, M.: "Existence theorems of quasiperiodic solutions to nonlinear differential systems", *Functional Ekvac.*, 15 (1972) pp.75-100.
- [79] Mitsui, T.: "Investigation of numerical solutions of some nonlinear quasiperiodic differential equations", *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 13 (1977) pp.793-820.
- [80] Shinohara, Y., Kurihara, M. and Kohda, A.: "Numerical analysis of quasiperiodic solutions to nonlinear differential equations", *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, 3 (1986) pp.315-330.
- [81] Urabe, M.: "Numerical solution of boundary value problems in Chebyshev series-A method of computation and error estimation, *Lect. Note in Math.*, 109(1969) pp.40-86.

- [82] Urabe, M.: "Component-wise error analysis of iterative methods practiced on a floating-point system", Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., Ser.A, Math., 27(1973) pp.23-64.
- [83] Yamamoto, T.: "Componentwise error estimates for approximate solutions of nonlinear equations", J. Inf. Proc., 2, 3(1979) pp.122-126.
- [84] Itoh, K.: "On the numerical solution of optimal control problems in Chebyshev series by the use of a continuation method", Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., A29, 1 (1975) pp.149-183.
- [85] Itoh, K.: "An a posteriori error estimation of approximate solutions of two point boundary value problems for piecewise smooth systems", Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., A30, 1 (1976) pp.75-94.
- [86] Itoh, K.: "Computation of optimal controls in a finite Chebyshev series for the integral quadratic cost with cubic control restraint", Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., A31, 2 (1977) pp.133-163.
- [87] Itoh, K.: "Numerical solution of time optimal control problems by a finite Chebyshev series, part 1; computation", Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., A34, 2 (1980) pp.195-232.
- [88] Sinai, Ja.G. and Val, E.B.: "Discovery of closed orbits of dynamical systems", J. Stat. Phys., 23 (1980) pp.27-47.
- [89] de Gregorio, S., Scopplola, E. and Tirozzi, B.: "A rigorous study of periodic orbits by means of a computer", J. Stat. Phys., 32 (1983) pp.25-33.
- [90] de Gregorio, S.: "The study of periodic orbits of dynamical systems. The use of a computer", J. Stat. Phys., 38 (1985) pp.947-972.
- [91] Petryshyn, W.V.: "On the approximation solvability of equations involving A-proper and pseudo-A-proper mappings", Bull. AMS, 81, pp.223-312(1975).
- [92] Makino, M. and Oishi, S.: "Constructive analysis for infinite dimensional nonlinear systems-infinite dimensional version of homotopy method", Trans. IEICE, J73-A, 3 (1990) pp.470-477 (in Japanese).
- [93] Makino, M., Oishi, S., Kashiwagi, M. and Horiuchi, K.: "Computational complexity of calculating solutions for a certain class of uniquely solvable nonlinear equation by homotopy method", IEICE Trans., Vol.E73, No.12 (1990) pp.1940-1947.
- [94] Makino, M. and Oishi, S.: "A homotopy method for numerically solving infinite dimensional convex optimization problems", IEICE Trans., Vol.E72, No.12 (1989) pp.1307-1316.
- [95] Makino, M., Oishi, S. and Horiuchi, K.: "Homotopy method of calculating bifurcating solutions for infinite dimensional chaotic systems", IEICE Trans., Vol. E73, No.6 (1990) pp.801-808.
- [96] Makino, M., Oishi, S., Kashiwagi, M. and Horiuchi, K.: "An Urabe type a posteriori stopping criterion and a globally convergent property of the simplicial approximate homotopy method", IEICE Trans. Fundamentals, Vol.E74, No.6 (1991) pp. 1440- 1446.

- [97] Kashiwagi, M. Oishi, S., Makino, M., and Horiuchi, K.: "An Urabe type convergence theorem for a constructive simplified Newton method in infinite dimensional spaces", IEICE Trans. Vol.E73, No.11 (1990) pp.1789-1791.
- [98] Kaucher, E., and Rump, S.: "E-Methods for Fixed Point Equation $f(x) = x$ ", Computing, 28, pp.31-42(1982).
- [99] Kulisch, U.W. and Miranker, W.L.: "Computer arithmetic in theory and practice", Academic Press, New York(1981).
- [100] Ullrich, C.: "Computer arithmetic and self-validating numerical methods", Academic Press(1990).
- [101] Kaucher E.W., and Miranker, W.L.: "Self-validating numerics for function space problems", Academic Press(1984).
- [102] Kulisch, U. and Miranker, W.L.(eds.): "A new approach to scientific computation", Academic Press, New York(1983).
- [103] Rall, L.B.: "Error in digital computation", John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1965).
- [104] Knuth, D.E.: "The art of computer programming Vol.2/Seminumerical algorithms", Second Edition, Addison-Wesley(1981).
- [105] Vuillemin, J.E.: "Exact real computer arithmetic with continued fractions", IEEE Trans. on Computers, 39, 8 (1990) pp.1087-1105.
- [106] Baltus, C. and Jones, W.B.: "Truncation error bounds for modified continued fractions with applications to special functions", Numer. Math., 55 (1989) pp.281-307.
- [107] Edmonds, J.: "Systems of distinct representative and linear algebra", J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards, 71B (1967) pp.241-245.
- [108] Vavasis, S. A.: "Nonlinear optimization-Complexity issues", Oxford Science Publications (1991) pp.19-21.
- [109] Lovasz, L.: "An algorithmic theory of numbers, graphs and complexity", Society for Industrial and Applied mathematics, Philadelphia (1986).
- [110] Ko, K.-I.: "Complexity theory of real functions", Birkhauser (1991).
- [111] Pour-El, M. and Richards, I.: "Computability in analysis and physics", Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1989).
- [112] Bridges, D. and Richman, F.: "Varieties of constructive mathematics", Cambridge Univ. Press(1987).
- [113] Iri, M.: "Simultaneous computation of functions, partial derivatives and estimates of rounding errors—Complexity and practicality", Japan J. Applied Mathematics, 1, 2 (1984) pp.223-252.

- [114] Iri, M., Tsuchiya, T., and Hoshi, M.: "Automatic computation of partial derivatives and rounding error estimates with applications to large-scale systems of nonlinear equations", *J. Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 24 (1988) pp.365-392.
- [115] Brass, H.: "Practical Fourier analysis-Error bounds and complexity", *ZAMM*, 71, 1 (1991) pp.3-20.
- [116] Corliss, G.F.: "Validating anti-derivatives", in *Computer assisted proofs in analysis*, Meyer K.R. and Schmidt, D.S. (ed.), Springer Verlag(1990)pp.91-96.
- [117] Corliss, G.F. and Rall, L.B.: "Adaptive, self-validating numerical quadrature", *SIAM J. Scientific and Statistical Comput.*, 8 (1987) pp.831-847.
- [118] Rall, L.B.: "Automatic differentiation: Techniques and applications", *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer-Verlag (1981).
- [119] Yomdin, Y.: "The geometry of critical and near critical values of differentiable mappings", *Math. Ann.*, 264 (1983) pp.495-515.
- [120] Yomdin, Y.: "Approximate complexity of functions, LMN 1317 (1988) pp.21-43.
- [121] Moore, R.E.: "Computational functional analysis", Ellis Horwood Limited, (1985).
- [122] Zhou, Y.: "Applications of discrete functional analysis to the finite difference method", *International Academic Publishers* (1991).
- [123] Alefeld, G. and Herzberger, G.: "Introduction to interval computations", *Academic Press* (1972).
- [124] Nickel, K.(ed.): "Interval mathematics 1980, International symposium on interval mathematics (Proc. Conf.)", *Academic Press*, New York (1980).
- [125] Nickel, K.(ed.): "Interval mathematics 1985", *Lecture Note in Computer Science Vol.212*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1986).
- [126] Ratshek, H. and Rokne, J.G.: "Computer methods for range of functions", *Horwood*, Chichester, England (1984).
- [127] Collatz, L. : "Functional analysis and numerical mathematics", *Academic Press* (1966).
- [128] Collatz, L. : "Inclusion of certain types of integral equations", in *Treatment of integral equations by numerical methods* Baker, C.T.H. and Miller G.F.ed., *Academic Press*, (1982) pp.477-488.
- [129] Schröder, J.: "Operator inequalities", *Acad. Press* (1980).
- [130] Schröder, J.: "A method for producing verified results for two-point boundary value problems", *Computing Suppl.*, 6 (1988) pp.9-22.
- [131] Gohlem, M., Plum, M. and Schröder, J.: "A programmed algorithm for existence proofs for two-point boundary value problems", *Computing* 44, (1990) pp.91-132.

- [132] Mikhlin, S.G.: "Error analysis in numerical processes", John Wiley & Sons, (1991).
- [133] Schwandt, H.: "An interval arithmetic approach for construction of an almost globally convergent for the solution of the nonlinear Poisson equation, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput., 5 (1984) pp.427-452.
- [134] Linz, P.: "Theoretical numerical analysis-An introduction to advanced techniques", John Wiley & Sons (1979).
- [135] Anselone, P.M.: "Collectively compact operator approximation theory and applications to integral equations", Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1971).
- [136] Linz, L.: "Precise bounds for inverses of integral equations", Int. J. Computer Math., 24 (1988) pp.73-81.
- [137] Spence, A.: "Error bounds and estimates for eigenvalues of integral equations", Numer. Math., 29 (1978) pp.133-147.
- [138] Noble, B.: "Error analysis of collocation method for solving Fredholm integral equations", in Topics in numerical analysis, Miller, J.J.H. ed., Academic Press (1973) pp.211-232.
- [139] Sloan, I.H.: "Error analysis for a class of degenerate-kernel methods", Numer. Math., 25 (1976) pp.231-238.
- [140] Demmel, J.W.: "On the condition numbers and the distance to the nearest ill-posed problem", Numer. Math., 51 (1987) pp.251-289.
- [141] Ainsworth, M. and Craig, A.: "A posteriori error estimators in the finite element method", Numer. Math., 50 (1992) pp.429-463.
- [142] Rall, L.B.: "Application of interval integration to the solution of integral equations", J. Integral Equations, 6 (1984) pp.127-141.
- [143] Nickel, K.L.E.: "Using interval method for the numerical solution of ODE's", ZAMM, 66 (1986) pp.513-523.
- [144] Cooper, G.J. and Whitworth, F.C.P.: "Liapunov functions and error bounds for approximate solutions of ordinary differential equations", Numer. Math., 30 (1978) pp.411-414.
- [145] Lohner, R.: "Enclosing the solution of ordinary initial and boundary value problems", in Computer Arithmetic, Kaucher, U. and Ullich, Ch. ed., B.G.Teubner (1987).
- [146] Stetter, H.J.: "Validated solution of initial value problems for ODE.", in Computer Arithmetic and Self-Validating, Academic Press (1990).
- [147] Voller, R.L.: "Enclosure of solutions of weakly nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems and their computation", Computing, 42 (1989) pp.245-258.
- [148] Nakao, M.T.: "Numerical verification methods for the solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic problems", Proc. IMACS '91, Dublin, Vol.1 (1991) pp.35-36.

- [149] Plum, M.: "Computer-assisted existence proof for nonlinear boundary value problems", Proc. IMACS '91, Dublin, Vol.1 (1991) pp.385-386.
- [150] Plum, M.: "Explicit H_2 -estimates and pointwise bounds for solutions of second-order elliptic boundary value problems", to appear in J. Math. Anal. Appl..
- [151] Plum, M.: "Existence proofs in combination with error bounds for approximate solutions of weakly nonlinear second-order elliptic boundary value problems", ZAMM, 71 (1991) pp.T660-T662.
- [152] Plum, M.: "Eigenvalue inclusions for second-order ordinary differential operators by a numerical homotopy method", ZAMP, 41 (1990) pp.205-226.
- [153] Beyn, W.-J.: "Numerical method for dynamical systems", in Advances in Numerical Analysis, Light, W. ed., Clarendon Press (1991).
- [154] Braun, M. and Hershenov, J.: "Periodic solution of finite difference equations", Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, (1977) pp.139-147.
- [155] Meyer, K. R. and Schmidt, D.S. (ed.): "Computer assisted proofs in analysis", Springer-Verlag (1990).
- [156] Hald, O.H.: "On a Newton-Moser type method", Numer. Math. 23 (1975) pp.411-426.
- [157] Schneider, K.R. and Heinz, G.: "Branch inclusion in generic Hopf bifurcation: General approach", ZAMM., 71 (1991) pp.241-250.
- [158] Schneider, K.R. and Heinz, G.: "Branch inclusion in generic Hopf bifurcation: The case of scaled van der Pol's equation", Int. J. Nonl. Mech., 26 (1991) pp.187-198.
- [159] Matsumoto, T., Chua, L.O., and Ayaki, K.: "Reality of chaos in the double scroll circuit; A computer-assisted proof", IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems, 35, 7 (1988) pp.909-925.

Table 1: Modified Newton Iterations with Guaranteed Accuracy

k	x_k	δ_k
0	$\left(\frac{829}{3121}, \frac{163}{455}, \frac{167}{389}, \frac{159}{325}, \frac{329}{607}\right)$	$\frac{4}{421}$
1	$\left(\frac{16945}{63793}, \frac{139}{388}, \frac{82}{191}, \frac{91}{186}, \frac{129}{238}\right)$	$\frac{1}{1735}$
2	$\left(\frac{15619}{58801}, \frac{441}{1231}, \frac{659}{1535}, \frac{1840}{3761}, \frac{1993}{3677}\right)$	$\frac{1}{28593}$
3	$\left(\frac{15687}{59057}, \frac{1601}{4469}, \frac{3623}{8439}, \frac{1840}{3761}, \frac{1993}{3677}\right)$	$\frac{1}{471209}$
4	$\left(\frac{15670}{58993}, \frac{6984}{19495}, \frac{18856}{43921}, \frac{9836}{20105}, \frac{12087}{22300}\right)$	$\frac{5}{38827291}$
5	$\left(\frac{15670}{58993}, \frac{43505}{121439}, \frac{18856}{43921}, \frac{21671}{44296}, \frac{50341}{92877}\right)$	$\frac{54}{6910577695}$
6	$\left(\frac{94105}{354278}, \frac{144438}{403307}, \frac{139238}{324325}, \frac{407910}{833777}, \frac{175197}{323231}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2108987969}$
7	$\left(\frac{203897}{767613}, \frac{534427}{1491789}, \frac{538096}{1253379}, \frac{352733}{720994}, \frac{412822}{761639}\right)$	$\frac{1}{34755825669}$
8	$\left(\frac{831275}{3129509}, \frac{1263826}{3527819}, \frac{1275621}{2971285}, \frac{21564053}{44077398}, \frac{17576149}{32427246}\right)$	$\frac{1}{572771127991}$
9	$\left(\frac{24624561}{92704322}, \frac{5250276}{14655517}, \frac{23698703}{55201036}, \frac{21564053}{44077398}, \frac{17576149}{32427246}\right)$	$\frac{1}{9439187783494}$
10	$\left(\frac{24624561}{92704322}, \frac{22994329}{64185917}, \frac{23698703}{55201036}, \frac{647329500}{1323155717}, \frac{88293567}{162897869}\right)$	$\frac{1}{155556489595717}$

There is a solution of Eq.(105) in a ball centered at x_k with a radius δ_k .