

# A characterization of $n$ -dependent theories

Kota Takeuchi

Graduate school of Pure and Applied  
Sciences, University of Tsukuba

## 1 Introduction

The notion of  $n$ -dependent property, a generalization of dependent property ( $NIP$ ), were introduced by Shelah in [1] (2009). Only few basic properties of the  $n$ -dependent property are known, although Shelah showed an interesting result on definable groups for 2-dependent theories [2]. In this article, we show a characterization of  $n$ -dependent theories by using counting types over finite sets:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula.  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -dependent if and only if there is a constant  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $|S_\varphi(\prod_i A_i)| < 2^{k^{n-\epsilon}}$  for sufficiently large  $k \in \omega$  and for all  $|A_i| = k$ .*

Then we see boolean combinations of  $n$ -dependent theories are again  $n$ -dependent as a corollary of the characterization. This characterization gives a partial answer for a conjecture on the number of types in  $n$ -dependent theories by Shelah in [1]:

*Conjecture 2* (S. Shelah). Let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula and let  $m = \text{len}(x)$ .  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -dependent if and only if  $|S_\varphi(\prod_i A_i)| < 2^{mk^{n-1}}$  for all  $|A_i| = k$ .

(Note that Shelah's conjecture is immediately false where  $n = 1$ , and you can check that it is also false where  $n \neq 1$  with a little discussion. So I think we should replace " $mk^{n-1}$ " by something like " $\beta(\log k)k^{n-1}$ " ( $\beta$  depends on  $n, \varphi$ ), to make a sense.)

One of the most important results in this article is a generalization of Sauer-Shelah lemma, a famous combinatorial lemma, discussed in section 3. One will notice that the characterization and (generalized) Sauer-Shelah lemma are two sides of the same coin. This report is a partial result of a study with A. Chernikov and D. Palacin on  $n$ -dependent theories.

## 2 Preliminaries

When we discuss on model theoretic topic, we will use ordinal notation in model theory:  $\varphi(x), \psi(y), \dots, M, N, \dots, A, B, \dots$ , are used for formulas, models and subsets of models, except  $x, y, \dots$  and  $a, b, \dots$  are used for tuples of variables and elements, respectively. We work under the big model of a complete  $L$ -theory  $T$ , so every model and set of elements are contained in it.

When we discuss on combinatorial situation, we will use  $X, Y, \dots$  for (universal) sets,  $V, W, \dots$  for subsets of  $X, Y, \dots$  and  $v, w, \dots$  for elements in  $V, W, \dots$ .

First of all, we give a definition of  $n$ -dependence.

**Definition 3.** 1. Let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula. The formula  $\varphi$  is said to be  $n$ -independent if there are sets  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) such that for every disjoint subsets  $X$  and  $Y \subset \prod_i A_i$  there is a tuple  $b$  satisfies  $\models \bigwedge_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X} \varphi(b, a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge \bigwedge_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y} \neg \varphi(b, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .  $n$ -dependence is defined by the negation of  $n$ -independence.

2. Let  $T$  be an  $L$ -theory.  $T$  is said to be  $n$ -dependent (or, have  $n$ -dependent property) if every formula  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is  $n$ -dependent.

Note that  $\varphi(x, y)$  is 1-dependent if and only if  $\varphi(x, a)$  is independent for some  $a$ . It is immediate that  $n$ -dependence implies  $(n+1)$ -dependence, so  $n$ -dependent property is a generalization of  $NIP$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula and  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) a set of parameters. Let  $B \subset \prod_i A_i$ .

1. A  $\varphi$ -types over  $B$  is a maximal consistent set of formulas  $\varphi(x, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\neg \varphi(x, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in B$ .
2.  $S_\varphi(B)$  is the set of all  $\varphi$ -types over  $B$ .

For the proof of the main result, we'll use a graph theoretic fact, as bellow.

**Definition 5.** Let  $n \geq 1$  be a natural number. An  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph  $(V, E)$  is an  $n$ -uniform hypergraph satisfying the following:

- $V$  is a disjoint union of sets  $V_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).
- If  $E(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  holds then  $v_i \in V_i$ .

We say  $(V, E)$  has size  $k$  if  $|V_i| = k$  for all  $i$ . An  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph  $(V, E)$  is said to be complete if there is no  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph  $(V, E')$  with  $E' \supsetneq E$ . If  $n = 1$ , the  $n$ -hypergraph  $(V, E)$  is just a set  $V$  and a subset  $E \subset V$ , and it is complete if  $E = V$ .

Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph of size  $k$ . If  $G$  is complete, then it has  $k^n$  edges, and immediately contains copies of complete  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraphs of size  $< k$ . The following fact shows that there is  $\epsilon$  (not depending on the choice of  $G$ ) such that if  $G$  has  $k^{n-\epsilon}$  edges then it contains a copy of complete  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph of size  $d$ .

**Fact 6** (Erdős[3]). *Let  $d, n > 1$  be natural numbers. Then for sufficiently large  $k > n_0$ , the following condition holds: Let  $(V, E)$  be an  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph of size  $k$ . If  $|E| \geq k^{n-\epsilon}$  with  $\epsilon = d^{1-n}$  then  $(V, E)$  contains a copy of a complete  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph of size  $d$ .*

*Remark 7.* Fact 6 given in [3] doesn't hold where  $n = 1$ , because  $k^{n-\epsilon} = k^0 = 1$ . So we replace the lower bound  $k^{n-\epsilon}$  by  $dk^{n-\epsilon}$ , then the fact holds for all  $n \geq 1$ . This replacement is necessary for our main lemma to include Sauer-Shelah lemma. But it make the inequation in the main lemma more complex.

Our characterization of  $n$ -dependent property is related to a combinatorial proposition, called Sauer-Shelah lemma. To explain this lemma, we need to introduce some notions in combinatorics. Most of the following is proved in Hang Q. Ngo's online note [4] and [5].

**Definition 8.** Let  $X$  be a set.

1. A set system  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $X$  is a subset of the power set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  of  $X$ .
2.  $\mathcal{H} \cap V := \{W \cap V : W \in \mathcal{H}\}$  for  $V \subset X$ .
3. We say  $V \subset X$  is shuttered by  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $\mathcal{H} \cap V = \mathcal{P}(V)$ .

**Definition 9.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set and  $\mathcal{H}$  a set system on  $X$ .

1.  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(k) := \max\{|\mathcal{H} \cap V| : |V| = k\}$ . The function  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is called a shutter function.
2. VC-dimension (Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension):  $VC(\mathcal{H}) = \max\{k : \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(k) = 2^k\}$ .

**Fact 10** (Sauer-Shelah lemma). *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a set system on an infinite set  $X$ . Suppose that  $VC(\mathcal{H}) = d < \infty$ . Then for  $n > d$ ,*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \binom{k}{i} \leq \left(\frac{ek}{d}\right)^d = O(2^{d \log_2(k)}).$$

By using Sauer-Shelah lemma, we have the following:

**Fact 11.** *Let  $\varphi(x, y)$  be an  $L$ -formula.  $\varphi(x, y)$  is dependent if and only if there is  $d$  such that for all  $k > d$ ,  $|S_{\varphi}(A)| \leq \left(\frac{ek}{d}\right)^d = O(2^{d \log_2(k)})$ , where  $|A| = k$ .*

One of elegant proofs of Sauer-Shelah lemma is given by Shifting technique, as below.

**Fact 12.** *Let  $X$  be a finite set and  $\mathcal{H}$  a set system on  $X$ . Then we can find a set system  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X$  such that*

- $|\mathcal{H}| = |\mathcal{G}|$ ,
- if  $V \subset X$  is shuttered by  $\mathcal{G}$  then  $V$  is shuttered by  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under taking subset.

### 3 A generalization of Sauer-Shelah lemma

In this section, we prove an inequation like Sauer-Shelah lemma. There may be better bound for our inequation, but still it is useful enough to apply to  $n$ -dependent theories.

We'll generalize the notions in the previous section to higher dimension. Suppose  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $X_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be sets of size  $m \in \omega \cup \{\omega\}$  and let  $X = \prod_i X_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a set system on  $X$ . (Note that  $|X| = m^n$ , and if  $X$  is shuttered by  $\mathcal{H}$  then  $|\mathcal{H}| = 2^{m^n}$ .)

**Definition 13.** 1.  $\pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) := \max\{|\mathcal{H} \cap V| : V = \prod_i V_i, V_i \subset X_i, |V_i| = k\}$ .

2.  $VC_n$ -dimension:  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = \max\{k : \pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) = 2^{k^n}\}$ .

**Lemma 14** (Main lemma). 1. (precise form) Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = d < \infty$ . For sufficiently large  $k$ , we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{D(k)} \binom{k^n}{i} \leq \left( \frac{ek^n}{D(k)} \right)^{D(k)} = O(2^{D(k)(\epsilon \log_2 k + \log_2(e/(d+1)))}),$$

where  $D(k) = (d+1)k^{n-\epsilon} - 1$  and  $\epsilon = (d+1)^{1-n}$ . Especially, if  $n = 1$  then  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $D(k) = d$ , so we have Sauer-Shelah lemma.

2. (simpler form 1) Let  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = d < \infty$  and let  $\epsilon = (d+1)^{1-n}$ . There is  $\beta$  (depends only on  $d$  and  $n$ ) such that  $\pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) \leq 2^{\beta n^{k-\epsilon} \log k}$  for sufficiently large  $k$ .
3. (simpler form 2) Let  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = d < \infty$ . There is  $\epsilon'$  (depends only on  $d$  and  $n$ ) such that  $\pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) \leq 2^{k-\epsilon'}$  for sufficiently large  $k$ .

*Proof.* The simpler form is immediately shown from the precise form by taking  $\beta > (d+1)\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ . We'll show the first item. Let  $X = \Pi_x X_i$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  a set system on  $X$ . Let  $V_i \subset X_i$  be a set of size  $k$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H} \cap V$  with  $V = \Pi_i V_i$ . We'll check  $|\mathcal{H}_0| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{(d+1)k^{n-\epsilon}-1} \binom{k^n}{i}$ . By the shifting technique in Fact 12, we can find  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfying

- $|\mathcal{H}_0| = |\mathcal{G}|$ ,
- if  $W \subset V$  is shuttered by  $\mathcal{G}$  then  $V$  is shuttered by  $\mathcal{H}_0$ ,
- $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under taking subset. (Hence if  $W \in \mathcal{G}$  then  $W$  is shuttered by  $\mathcal{G}$ .)

Consider any subset  $W \subset V$  with  $W = \Pi_i W_i$  and  $|W_i| = d+1$ . Since  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = d < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  cannot contain  $W$ , otherwise  $W$  is also shuttered by  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , hence by  $\mathcal{H}$ , contradicting to the assumption  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = d$ . Take an element  $W' \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then we have  $W \not\subset W'$  because  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under taking subset. We may regards  $W'$  as an  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hypergraph of size  $k$  with vertices  $V_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup V_n$  and edges  $W'$ . Then  $W'$  has no complete  $n$ -partite  $n$ -hyper subgraph of size  $d+1$ . So, by Fact 6 and Remark 7, the number  $|W'|$  of edges must be bounded by  $(d+1)k^{n-\epsilon}$  where  $\epsilon = (d+1)^{1-n}$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{G} \subset \{W' \subset V : |W'| \leq (d+1)k^{n-\epsilon} - 1\},$$

and

$$|\mathcal{G}| \leq |\{W' \subset V : |W'| \leq (d+1)k^{n-\epsilon} - 1\}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{(d+1)k^{n-\epsilon}-1} \binom{k^n}{i}.$$

The rest of the inequation is shown by a general inequation  $\sum_{i=0}^s \binom{t}{i} \leq (et/s)^s$  for  $t > s \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

Note that if  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$  then  $\pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) = 2^{k^n}$  for all  $k$ . So we have the following dichotomy:

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a set system on  $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  with  $|X_i| = \omega$ . One of the following holds.*

1.  $\pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) = 2^{k^n}$  for all  $k$ .
2. There is  $\epsilon' > 0$  such that for sufficiently large  $k$ ,  $\pi_{\mathcal{H},n}(k) < 2^{k^{n-\epsilon'}}$ .

## 4 Characterizing $n$ -dependent property

First we recall the definition of  $n$ -dependent property.

**Definition 16.** 1. Let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula. The formula  $\varphi$  is said to be  $n$ -independent if there are sets  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) such that for every disjoint subsets  $X$  and  $Y \subset \prod_i A_i$  there is a tuple  $b$  satisfies  $\models \bigwedge_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X} \varphi(b, a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge \bigwedge_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y} \neg \varphi(b, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .  $n$ -dependence is defined by the negation of  $n$ -independence.

Let  $A = \prod_i A_i$  be a set of parameters with  $A_i$  of size  $k$  and let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula. We want to measure the size of the set  $S_\varphi(A)$  of  $\varphi$ -types over  $A$ .

**Definition 17.** Let  $M$  be an  $\omega$ -saturated model of  $T$  and let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula.

1. For  $p \in S_\varphi(\prod_i M^{|y_i|})$ , we define  $(\Pi M)_p \subset \prod_i M^{|y_i|}$  by  $\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_i M^{|y_i|} : \varphi(x, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in p\}$ .
2. A set system  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  on  $\prod_i M^{|y_i|}$  is the set  $\{(\Pi M)_p : p \in S_\varphi(\prod_i M^{|y_i|})\}$ .

*Remark 18.* Let  $A \subset \Pi_i M^{|y_i|}$ . The following are immediate from the definitions.

1.  $M_p \cap A = M_q \cap A$  if and only if  $p|A = q|A$ .
2.  $|\mathcal{H}_\varphi \cap A| = |S_\varphi(A)|$ .
3.  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -dependent if and only if  $VC_n(\mathcal{H}) = d < \infty$ .

With the above remark, we can calculate the number of types by counting  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi \cap A$ .

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an  $L$ -formula. The following are equivalent.*

1.  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -dependent.
2. For sufficiently large  $k$ , if  $A = \Pi_i A_i$  with  $|A_i| = k$ , then  $|S_\varphi(A)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{D(k)} \binom{k^n}{i} \leq \left( \frac{ek^n}{D(k)} \right)^{D(k)} = O(2^{D(k)(\epsilon \log_2 k + \log_2(e/(d+1)))})$ , where  $D(k) = (d+1)k^{n-\epsilon} - 1$  and  $\epsilon = (d+1)^{1-n}$ . Especially, the case  $n = 1$  implies the well know characterization of dependent property.
3. Let  $\epsilon = (d+1)^{1-n}$ . There is  $\beta$  such that for sufficiently large  $k$ ,  $|S_\varphi(A)| \leq 2^{\beta k^{n-\epsilon} \log_2 k}$  for all  $A = \Pi_i A_i$  with  $|A_i| = k$ .
4. There is  $\epsilon'$  such that for sufficiently large  $k$ ,  $|S_\varphi(A)| \leq 2^{k^{n-\epsilon'}}$  for all all  $A = \Pi_i A_i$  with  $|A_i| = k$ .

*Proof.* Immediately shown from Lemma 14 and Remark 18. □

**Corollary 20.**  *$n$ -dependent formulas are closed under taking boolean combinations.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $\psi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be  $n$ -dependent formulas. By the definition, the negation of  $n$ -dependent formula is  $n$ -dependent. On the other hand,  $|S_{\varphi \wedge \psi}(A)| \leq |S_\varphi(A)| \times |S_\psi(A)| \leq 2^{k^{n-\epsilon'}} \times 2^{k^{n-\epsilon''}} \leq 2^{k^{n-\epsilon'''}}$  for some  $\epsilon', \epsilon''$  and  $\epsilon'''$ . So  $\varphi \wedge \psi$  is  $n$ -dependent. □

## References

- [1] Shelah, S. "Strongly dependent theories." arXiv preprint math/0504197-v4 (2009).
- [2] Shelah, Saharon. "Definable groups for dependent and 2-dependent theories." arXiv preprint math/0703045v4 (2010).
- [3] Erdős, P. "On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs." *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 2.3 (1964): 183-190.
- [4] Hung Q. Ngo, "Three proofs of Sauer-Shelah Lemma." <http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/hungngo/classes/2010/711/lectures/sauer.pdf>
- [5] Aschenbrenner, M., Dolich, A., Haskell, D., Macpherson, D., and Starchenko, S. "Vapnik-Chervonenkis density in some theories without the independence property, I." arXiv preprint arXiv:1109.5438 (2011).