

THE RUMIN COMPLEX ON CR MANIFOLDS

PETER M. GARFIELD AND JOHN M. LEE

ABSTRACT. Building on work of Rumin, Akahori, and Miyajima, we introduce a bigraded differential complex on hypersurface-type CR manifolds, whose cohomology reproduces Kohn-Rossi cohomology. Our main result is a Hodge theorem for this complex in the strictly pseudoconvex case.

1. BACKGROUND

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional nondegenerate CR manifold of hypersurface type. The principal cohomological invariants of M are its Kohn-Rossi cohomology groups $H^{p,q}(M)$. In seeking to connect $H^{p,q}(M)$ with deRham cohomology and with CR deformation theory, T. Akahori and K. Miyajima [AM] defined a new double complex $F^{p,q}$, with differential operators $d': F^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{p+1,q}$ and $d'': F^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{p,q+1}$, and showed that the complex $(F^{p,q}, d'')$ reproduces Kohn-Rossi cohomology when $p + q > n + 1$. In a recent unpublished preprint [A], Akahori also claimed that subellipticity does not work when $q = n - 1$ or $q = n$. In fact, it is easily seen that $\square''$ cannot be subelliptic when $q = n$, because $H^{p,q}(M)$ is infinite-dimensional for all p in that case. We give a correct statement in Theorem 3 below; see [GL] for details.)

Recently M. Rumin [R] introduced a new way to compute deRham cohomology on a contact manifold. If θ is a contact form and $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal in Λ^*M generated by θ and $d\theta$, set

$$(1) \quad F^k = \mathcal{I}^\perp \cap \Lambda^k M, \quad E^k = \Lambda^k M / (\mathcal{I} \cap \Lambda^k M).$$

(Here $\mathcal{I}^\perp$ represents the annihilator of $\mathcal{I}$ with respect to the wedge product.) Since $\mathcal{I}$ is a differential ideal, it is easy to check that d maps F^k into F^{k+1} , and descends to a map, which we also call d , from E^k to E^{k+1} . However, $F^k = 0$ when $k \leq n$ and $E^k = 0$ when $k \geq n + 1$, so each of these complexes is nontrivial only in half of the degrees on a given manifold.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32F40; Secondary 32C16, 58A14, 58G05.

Research of both authors supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS 94-04107.

Rumin constructed the following sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{d} E^1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} E^n \xrightarrow{D} F^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} F^{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here D is defined by $D[\omega] = d(\omega + \theta \wedge \beta)$ for $[\omega] \in E^n$, with β chosen so that $d(\omega + \theta \wedge \beta) \in F^{n+1}$. Rumin showed that D is well defined, and the above sequence is a complex, which we call the *Rumin complex*. He showed moreover that it is an acyclic resolution of the constant sheaf $\mathbf{R}$, so that its cohomology is isomorphic to deRham cohomology; and that M can be endowed with a Riemannian metric in such a way that each deRham cohomology class has a unique “harmonic” representative $\omega \in \Gamma(E^k)$ or $\Gamma(F^k)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= d^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } E^k, k < n; \\ D\omega &= d^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } E^k, k = n; \\ d\omega &= D^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } F^k, k = n + 1; \\ d\omega &= d^*\omega = 0 && \text{in } F^k, k > n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

2. A BIGRADING OF THE RUMIN COMPLEX

We wish to bring together the constructions of Rumin and of Akahori and Miyajima by introducing a bigrading of Rumin’s complex. To fix our notation, let $T^{1,0} \subset \mathbf{C}TM$ denote the sub-bundle defining the CR structure, with $T^{0,1} = \overline{T^{1,0}}$, $T^{1,0} \cap T^{0,1} = \{0\}$, and $[\Gamma(T^{0,1}), \Gamma(T^{0,1})] \subset \Gamma(T^{0,1})$ (the “integrability condition”). If we set $H = \text{Re}(T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}) \subset TM$, then H has real dimension $2n$ and carries a complex structure.

Let $\mathbf{C}E^k$ and $\mathbf{C}F^k$ denote the complexified Rumin bundles, obtained by replacing $\Lambda^k M$ in (1) by the bundle $\mathbf{C}\Lambda^k M$ of complex-valued forms, and $\mathcal{I}$ by its complexification. Define subspaces $E^{p,q} \subset \mathbf{C}E^{p+q}$ and $F^{p,q} \subset \mathbf{C}F^{p+q}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} E^{p,q} &= \{[\omega] \in \mathbf{C}E^{p+q} : \gamma|_H \text{ is of type } (p, q) \text{ for some } \gamma \in [\omega]\}, \\ F^{p,q} &= \{\omega \in \mathbf{C}F^{p+q} : (X \lrcorner \omega)|_H \text{ is of type } (p-1, q) \text{ for any } X \notin H\}, \end{aligned}$$

where a complex-valued $(p+q)$ -form on H is said to be of type (p, q) if it gives zero whenever it acts on more than p vectors from $T^{1,0}$ or more than q vectors from $T^{0,1}$.

It is straightforward to check that

$$\mathbf{C}E^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p,q}; \quad \mathbf{C}F^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} F^{p,q}.$$

The integrability condition then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d: E^{p,q} &\rightarrow E^{p,q+1} \oplus E^{p+1,q}, \\ d: F^{p,q} &\rightarrow F^{p,q+1} \oplus F^{p+1,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Write the resulting operators (d followed by projection) as d'' , d' . (This coincides with Akahori and Miyajima's definition on $F^{p,q}$.) From $d^2 = (d'' + d')^2 = 0$, therefore, it follows that

$$d'd' = d''d'' = d'd'' + d''d' = 0.$$

When $p + q = n$, things are not quite so nice. The best we can say is

$$D: E^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{p,q+1} \oplus F^{p+1,q} \oplus F^{p+2,q-1}.$$

Writing the three resulting operators as D'' , D' , and D^+ , and decomposing $Dd = dD = 0$ into types, we obtain

$$D''d'' = d''D'' = d'D'' + d''D' = D'd'' + D''d' = 0.$$

Theorem 1. *Consider the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^p \hookrightarrow E^{p,0} \xrightarrow{d''} E^{p,1} \xrightarrow{d''} \dots \xrightarrow{d''} E^{p,n-p} \xrightarrow{D''} F^{p,n-p+1} \xrightarrow{d''} \dots \xrightarrow{d''} F^{p,2n+1-p} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{K}^p := \ker d'': E^{p,0} \rightarrow E^{p,1}$ for $p < n$, $\mathcal{K}^n := \ker D'': E^{n,0} \rightarrow F^{n,1}$, and $\mathcal{K}^{n+1} := \ker d'': F^{n+1,0} \rightarrow F^{n+1,1}$. This is an acyclic resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{K}^p$, which is isomorphic to the sheaf $\mathcal{O}^p$ of CR-holomorphic $(p,0)$ forms. Therefore the q^{th} cohomology group of the complex above is isomorphic to Kohn-Rossi cohomology $H^{p,q}(M)$ for all p, q .

Unfortunately, this does not fit together into a double complex because $D'd'$ and $d'D'$ are not zero in general. Instead, we have:

Theorem 2. *The filtration of the Rumin complex R defined by*

$$\mathcal{F}^p R^{p,q} = R^{p,q} + R^{p+1,q-1} + \dots + R^{n+1,p+q-n-1}$$

(where $R = E$ or F as appropriate) induces a CR-invariant spectral sequence $R_r^{p,q}$, whose $r = 1$ term is Kohn-Rossi cohomology and which converges to the graded group associated with the induced filtration of deRham cohomology.

The proofs of these two theorems will be given in [GL].

3. HODGE THEORY

By themselves the results of the preceding section don't tell us much that is new about CR manifolds. Tanaka [T] studied a similar spectral sequence defined in terms of the deRham complex, and it can be shown that our spectral sequence is isomorphic to his for $r \geq 1$. Our hope is that the real utility of this point of view will be proved by applying Hodge theory.

As above, let $R^{p,q}$ denote $E^{p,q}$ when $p+q \leq n$, and $F^{p,q}$ when $p+q \geq n+1$. Let θ be a fixed choice of contact form on M , and T its *characteristic vector field*: this is the vector field uniquely determined by $T \lrcorner \theta = 1$, $T \lrcorner d\theta = 0$. The *Webster metric* g_θ is the Riemannian metric defined by using the Levi form on H and declaring T to be orthonormal to H (see [W]). Using this metric, we can identify the quotient bundles $E^{p,q}$ with honest bundles of $(p+q)$ -forms by noting that $E^{p,q} \cong E_\theta^{p,q} := \{\omega \in \mathbb{C}\Lambda^{p+q}M : \omega|_H \text{ is of type } (p,q) \text{ and } \omega \perp \mathbb{J} \text{ with respect to } g_\theta\}$, and then we can define adjoint operators d''^* of d'' and D''^* of D'' . We say a section u of $R^{p,q}$ is *harmonic* if $d''u = d''^*u = 0$, with d'' replaced by D'' when $p+q = n$, and d''^* by D''^* when $p+q = n+1$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 3. (A Hodge theorem for the bigraded Rumin complex) *Let M be a compact, strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$, $n \geq 2$. For each (p,q) , $H^{p,q}$ is isomorphic to the space of harmonic sections of $R^{p,q}$.*

Proof. We only sketch the proof here. Complete details will appear in [GL].

Let $\square'' : R^{p,q} \rightarrow R^{p,q}$ be defined as follows:

$$\square'' = \begin{cases} d''d''^* + d''^*d'', & p+q \neq n, n+1; \\ (d''d''^*)^2 + D''^*D'', & p+q = n; \\ D''D''^* + (d''^*d'')^2, & p+q = n+1. \end{cases}$$

The main part of the proof is showing that $\square''$ is subelliptic on $R^{p,q}$ for $0 < q < n$. Akahori [A] proved this when $p+q > n+1$ and $2 \leq p, q \leq n-2$ (see the remark above). Here is a somewhat simplified version of his proof, which works also in the case $(p,q) = (n+1,1)$.

Our choice of contact form θ determines a canonical connection ∇ , the *pseudohermitian connection* [W, T], which is compatible with H and its complex structure, and with respect to which θ and $d\theta$ are parallel. For any tensor field u on M , the total covariant derivative ∇u can be decomposed as

$$\nabla u = \nabla' u + \nabla'' u + \nabla_T u \otimes \theta,$$

where $\nabla' u$ involves derivatives only with respect to $(1,0)$ vector fields, and $\nabla'' u$ only with respect to $(0,1)$ vector fields. Writing $\nabla_H u = \nabla' u + \nabla'' u$, the *Folland-Stein norms* $\|\cdot\|_k$ are defined by

$$\|u\|_k^2 = \sum_{j=0}^k \|\nabla_H^j u\|^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the L^2 norm.

Define operators

$$(2) \quad \partial' u = (-1)^{p+q} \text{Alt}(\nabla' u), \quad \partial'' u = (-1)^{p+q} \text{Alt}(\nabla'' u)$$

acting on complex-valued forms of any degree. A computation shows that $d' = \partial'$ and $d'' = \partial''$ on $F^{p,q}$. By commuting covariant derivatives, we obtain the following Bochner identity for sections of $F^{p,q}$:

$$(3) \quad \partial''^* \partial'' + \partial' \partial''^* = \frac{n-q}{n} \nabla''^* \nabla'' + \frac{q}{n} \nabla'^* \nabla' + \mathcal{O}_0,$$

where ∂''^* is the adjoint of ∂'' acting on *all* forms (not just sections of $F^{p,q}$), and $\mathcal{O}_0$ represents an operator of order zero. It follows by conjugation (noting that conjugation takes $F^{p,q}$ to $F^{q+1,p-1}$) that

$$\partial^* \partial + \partial \partial^* = \frac{n+1-p}{n} \nabla'^* \nabla' + \frac{p-1}{n} \nabla''^* \nabla'' + \mathcal{O}_0.$$

By integration, therefore, we obtain the following L^2 identities:

$$(4) \quad \|\partial' u\|^2 + \|\partial''^* u\|^2 = \frac{n-q}{n} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 + \frac{q}{n} \|\nabla' u\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|u\|^2),$$

$$(5) \quad \|\partial' u\|^2 + \|\partial^* u\|^2 = \frac{n+1-p}{n} \|\nabla' u\|^2 + \frac{p-1}{n} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|u\|^2).$$

(One can check that ∂'' agrees with the Kohn-Rossi operator $\bar{\partial}_b$ up to an operator of order zero, and that (4), which actually holds in much greater generality, gives an easy proof of the subellipticity of the Kohn Laplacian $\square_b$ on (p, q) -forms when $0 < q < n$.)

The adjoint $d''^* : F^{p,q+1} \rightarrow F^{p,q}$ is given by ∂''^* followed by projection onto $F^{p,q}$. A straightforward computation yields for $u \in \Gamma(F^{p,q})$, $p+q > n+1$,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} (u, \square'' u) &= \|d'' u\|^2 + \|d''^* u\|^2 \\ &= \|\partial' u\|^2 + \|\partial''^* u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+q-n} \|\partial' u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Following Akahori, we use (4), (5), and (6) to obtain

(7)

$$\begin{aligned}
(u, \square'' u) &\geq \|\partial'' u\|^2 + \|\partial''^* u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+q-n} (\|\partial' u\|^2 + \|\partial'^* u\|^2) \\
&\geq \frac{n-q}{n} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 + \frac{q}{n} \|\nabla' u\|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{p+q-n} \left(\frac{n+1-p}{n} \|\nabla' u\|^2 + \frac{p-1}{n} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 \right) - C\|u\|^2 \\
&= \frac{(n-q)(p+q-n) - (p-1)}{n(p+q-n)} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{q(p+q-n) - (n+1-p)}{n(p+q-n)} \|\nabla' u\|^2 - C\|u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

When $0 < q < n-1$ and $p+q > n+1$, both coefficients on the right-hand side above are strictly positive, so we obtain the following Gårding-type inequality:

$$(8) \quad (u, \square'' u) \geq c\|u\|_1^2 - C\|u\|^2.$$

The subellipticity of $\square''$ then follows by standard arguments.

When $q = n-1$ the coefficient of $\|\nabla' u\|^2$ in (7) is positive, but that of $\|\nabla'' u\|^2$ is zero. To handle this case, we observe that (2) implies $\|\partial' u\|^2 \leq K\|\nabla' u\|^2$. (The constant factor K arises because there are two different norms in use—the Hodge norm for $(p+q+1)$ -forms, and the Hodge norm for (covector-valued) $(p+q)$ -forms.) Thus (6) and (4) in the case $q = n-1$ give

$$(9) \quad (u, \square'' u) \geq \frac{1}{n} \|\nabla'' u\|^2 + \frac{n-1}{n} \|\nabla' u\|^2 - \frac{K}{p-1} \|\nabla' u\|^2 - C\|u\|^2.$$

Adding ε times (9) plus $(1-\varepsilon)$ times (7), for suitably small ε , yields (8).

For the case $p+q < n$, we could proceed in a similar manner. However, it is easier to note that this case is equivalent to the case $p+q > n+1$, as follows.

Let $*$ be the Hodge star operator determined by g_θ and the orientation $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$, and let $\bar{*}$ denote $*$ followed by conjugation. These operators have the following mapping properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
* &: E_\theta^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{n+1-q, n-p}, \\
* &: F^{p,q} \rightarrow E_\theta^{n-q, n+1-p}, \\
\bar{*} &: E_\theta^{p,q} \rightarrow F^{n+1-p, n-q}, \\
\bar{*} &: F^{p,q} \rightarrow E_\theta^{n+1-p, n-q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the adjoint operator $d''' : E^{p,q} \rightarrow E^{p,q-1}$ is $(-1)^{p+q} * d'' * = (-1)^{p+q} \bar{*} d'' \bar{*}$; therefore, $\bar{*} \square'' = \square'' \bar{*}$. It follows immediately that subellipticity of $\square''$ on $F^{p,q}$ for $p+q > n+1$ and $0 < q < n$ implies subellipticity on $E^{p,q}$ for $p+q < n$, $0 < q < n$. (This also gives a new proof of Serre duality for Kohn-Rossi cohomology, $H^{p,q}(M) \cong H^{n+1-p,n-q}(M)$, originally due to Tanaka [T].)

The remaining cases ($p+q = n, n+1$) are more difficult, since then $\square''$ is a fourth-order operator. Consider first the case $p+q = n+1$, $0 < q < n$. The key observation is that the Hodge star operator $*$: $F^{p,q} \rightarrow E_{\theta}^{p-1,q}$ has a particularly simple expression: it is just $*u = cT \lrcorner u$ for some constant c of modulus one. Using this, we can show by a laborious computation that for $u \in \Gamma(F^{p,q})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \square'' u) &= \|D''^* u\|^2 + \|d''^* d'' u\|^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \|(\partial' \partial'^* - \partial' \partial'^*) u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|(\partial''^* \partial' + \partial' \partial''^*) u\|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|u\|_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Throwing away the first term and writing $\Delta'' = \partial''^* \partial' + \partial' \partial''^*$, we obtain

$$(u, \square'' u) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta'' u\|^2 - C \|u\|_1^2.$$

Combined with the fact that Δ'' is subelliptic on $F^{p,q}$ when $0 < q < n$ (which follows from (4)), this easily yields subellipticity of $\square''$. The argument for the case $p+q = n$ can be carried out similarly; alternatively that case can be deduced from the $p+q = n+1$ case by means of the Hodge star operator.

Finally, we prove that every cohomology class has a unique harmonic representative (i.e., a representative in $\text{Ker } \square''$) when $n \geq 2$. When $0 < q < n$, this follows directly from the fact that $\square''$ is subelliptic, hence Fredholm. When $q = 0$, it is true for the trivial reason that d''' is the zero operator, so $H^{p,0}(M) = \text{Ker } d'' = \text{Ker } \square''$. (Replace d''' by D''' when $p = n+1$, and d'' by D'' when $p = n$.) On the other hand, when $q = n$, we argue as follows. Assume first that $p \geq 2$. Any cohomology class in $H^{p,n}(M)$ is represented by a section u of $F^{p,n}$ (with $d''u = 0$ trivially). Since $\square''$ is Fredholm on $F^{p,n-1}$, we can write

$$d''' u = \square'' v + w = d''' d'' v + d'' d''' v + w,$$

where $\square'' w = 0$. Since $\text{Im } d'''$, $\text{Im } d''$, and $\text{Ker } \square''$ are all mutually orthogonal, we must have $d''' u - d''' d'' v = d'' d''' v = w = 0$. In particular, $u - d'' v \in \text{Ker } d''' = \text{Ker } \square''$, and we are done. The $p = 1$ and $p = 0$ cases are virtually identical, with $E^{p,q}$, D'' , D''' inserted in place of $F^{p,q}$, d'' , and d''' as appropriate. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [A] T. Akahori, *A mixed Hodge structure on a CR manifold*, MSRI preprint 1996-026 (unpublished).
- [AM] T. Akahori and K. Miyajima, *An analogy of Tian-Todorov theorem on deformations of CR-structures*, *Compositio Math.* **85** (1993) 57–85.
- [GL] P. Garfield and J. M. Lee, *The bigraded Rumin complex on CR manifolds*, in preparation.
- [R] M. Rumin, *Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact*, *J. Differential Geom.* **39** (1994) 281–330.
- [T] N. Tanaka, “A Differential Geometric Study on Strongly Pseudo-Convex Manifolds”, Kinokuniya Company Ltd., Tokyo, 1975.
- [W] S. Webster, *Pseudohermitian structures on a real hypersurface*, *J. Differential Geom.* **13** (1978) 25–41.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195-4350

E-mail address: garfield@math.washington.edu, lee@math.washington.edu