

Strong Unique Continuation Property of Two-dimensional Dirac Equations and Schrödinger Equations with Aharonov–Bohm Fields

立命館大学大学院理工学研究科 生駒 真 (Makoto Ikoma)
Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ritsumeikan University

1 Introduction

It is well known that, if any harmonic function $u(x)$ in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ satisfies

$$\partial_x^\alpha u(x_0) = 0$$

for all multi-indices α at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$, then $u(x)$ vanishes identically in Ω . Recently, it is shown by Grammatico [3] that, if Ω contains the origin and $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ (Sobolev space) satisfies

$$|\Delta u| \leq \frac{M}{|x|^2} |u(x)| + \frac{C}{|x|} |\nabla u| \quad (1)$$

(a.e. on Ω) with $M > 0$ and $0 < C < 1/\sqrt{2}$, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \varepsilon^{-\ell} \int_{|x| < \varepsilon} |u|^2 dx = 0, \quad (2)$$

then $u(x)$ vanishes identically in Ω (one can see some related works in the References of Grammatico [3]). Then we say that the inequality (1) has the strong unique continuation property. If $u(x)$ satisfies (2), $u(x)$ is said to vanish of infinite order at the origin, or to be flat at the origin. We can not expect the strong unique continuation property for every $C > 0$. For Alinhac–Baouendi [1] shows that, if $C > 1$, there is a non-trivial complex-valued function $v \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$, which is flat at the origin satisfying $\text{supp } v = \mathbf{R}^2$ and (1) with $M = 0$ (see also Pan–Wolff [7]).

For corresponding problems to the Dirac operator

$$L_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j p_j \quad \left(p_j = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad n \geq 2 \right),$$

where α_j are $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices satisfying $\alpha_j \alpha_k + \alpha_k \alpha_j = 2\delta_{jk} I_N$ ($N = 2^{[(n+1)/2]}$), De Carli–Ōkaji [2] shows that, if a positive constant $C < 1/2$, then the inequality

$$|L_0 u| \leq \frac{C}{|x|} |u| \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega \quad (u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)^N) \quad (3)$$

has the strong unique continuation property, where $|u| = \sqrt{|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2}$ (see also Kalf–Yamada [5] and Ōkaji [6]). The restriction on $C < 1/2$ is needed to treat the angular momentum term (spin–orbit term) but the radial part of L_0 . As is also pointed out by De Carli–Ōkaji [2], the counter example by Alinhac–Baouendi [1] implies that a certain restriction on the constant C in (3) is also necessary. In fact, if we set

$$u_1 := \partial u = (\partial_1 - i\partial_2)v, \quad u_2 := \bar{\partial} u = (\partial_1 + i\partial_2)v,$$

then we can see that u_1 and $u_2 \neq 0$ are flat at the origin satisfying (1) with the same constant $C > 1$ (cf. Corollary below). It is an open problem what happens for $1/2 \leq C \leq 1$. In this note we investigate the strong unique continuation property for 2-dimensional Dirac operators with Aharonov–Bohm effect, which is one of singular magnetic fields at the origin, and give a perturbation to the spin–orbit term. Our proof is given along the same line as in De Carli–Ōkaji [2] and Kalf–Yamada [5].

2 The Result

Let us consider 2-dimensional Dirac operators with Aharonov–Bohm fields

$$L_\beta := \sigma \cdot D = \sigma_1 D_1 + \sigma_2 D_2,$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D_j := p_j - b_j(x) = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - b_j(x),$$

$$b_1(x) := -\beta \frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \quad b_2(x) := \beta \frac{x_1}{|x|^2},$$

and β is a real number. Such a magnetic field has a delicate singularity at the origin in spectral theory (see, e.g., Tamura [8]).

Put $\tilde{\beta} := \beta - [\beta]$, where $[\cdot]$ is Gauss’s symbol.

Theorem 1. Let Ω be a connected open set in $\mathbf{R}^2$ containing the origin. If $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)^2$ is flat at the origin and

$$|L_\beta u| \leq \frac{C_0}{|x|} |u| \tag{4}$$

a.e. on Ω for a positive constant $C_0 < \gamma(\beta)$ with

$$\gamma(\beta) := \begin{cases} \frac{1-2\tilde{\beta}}{2} & \left(0 \leq \tilde{\beta} < \frac{1}{4}\right), \\ \tilde{\beta} & \left(\frac{1}{4} \leq \tilde{\beta} < \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ 1-\tilde{\beta} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \tilde{\beta} < \frac{3}{4}\right), \\ \frac{2\tilde{\beta}-1}{2} & \left(\frac{3}{4} \leq \tilde{\beta} < 1\right), \end{cases}$$

then u vanishes identically on Ω .

Corollary. Let $S_\beta := D_1^2 + D_2^2$ be the Schrödinger operator. Let Ω be an open set containing the origin. If $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ is flat at the origin satisfying

$$|S_\beta v| \leq \frac{C_0}{|x|} |Dv| \quad (5)$$

a.e. on Ω for a positive constant $C_0 < \gamma(\beta)$, then v vanishes identically on Ω , where $|Dv| := \sqrt{|D_1 v|^2 + |D_2 v|^2}$.

For the proof of Corollary, let us put $u_1 := (D_1 - iD_2)v$ and $u_2 := (D_1 + iD_2)v$. Since v is flat at the origin, we can show that $D_1 v$ and $D_2 v$ are flat at the origin by using (5). Therefore, u_1 and u_2 are flat at the origin and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 v &= \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2}, \quad D_2 v = -\frac{u_1 - u_2}{2i}, \\ D_1 D_2 v &= D_2 D_1 v. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_\beta u| &= \sqrt{2} |(D_1^2 + D_2^2)v| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} C_0}{|x|} |Dv| \\ &= \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{2}|x|} \sqrt{|u_1 - u_2|^2 + |u_1 + u_2|^2} \\ &= \frac{C_0}{|x|} |u|, \end{aligned}$$

which gives from Theorem 1 that $u_1 = u_2 \equiv 0$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \equiv 0$ in Ω . Since v is flat at the origin, we have $v \equiv 0$.

Moreover, applying the proof of Grammatico [3], we can prove the above property even if $C_0 < \sqrt{2} \gamma(\beta)$. In fact, we can see the following result:

Theorem 2. If $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ is flat at the origin satisfying

$$|S_\beta v|^2 \leq \frac{M^2}{|x|^4} |v|^2 + \frac{A^2}{|x|^2} |\partial_r v|^2 + \frac{B^2}{|x|^4} |(\partial_\theta - i\beta)v|^2 \quad (6)$$

a.e. on Ω , with positive constants M, A, B such that $A^2 + B^2 < 4\gamma(\beta)^2$, then v vanishes identically on Ω , where (r, θ) is the polar coordinate and $\partial_r = \partial/\partial r$, $\partial_\theta = \partial/\partial \theta$.

Therefore, if $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ is flat at the origin satisfying

$$|S_\beta v| \leq \frac{C_0}{|x|} |Dv|$$

a.e. on Ω for a positive constant $C_0 < \sqrt{2}\gamma(\beta)$, then v vanishes identically on Ω , by setting $A = B$ and $M = 0$ in (6).

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Here we introduce some notations. Let

$$D_r := \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{x_j}{r} D_j, \quad \sigma_r = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{x_j}{r} \sigma_j,$$

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \frac{1}{2} - i\sigma_1\sigma_2(x_1 D_2 - x_2 D_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \sigma_3(x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1 - \beta), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_3 := -i\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spin-orbit operator S is written by polar coordinates $x_1 = r \cos \theta$ and $x_2 = r \sin \theta$ as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \beta - i\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} + \beta + i\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

which can be regarded as a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(S^1)^2$. Then we have

$$\sigma \cdot D = \sigma_r \left(D_r + \frac{i}{r} S \right), \quad \sigma_r^2 = I, \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_r D_r = D_r \sigma_r, \quad \sigma_r S = -S \sigma_r, \quad D_r S = S D_r, \quad (9)$$

$$D_r^2 \geq \frac{1}{4r^2} \quad (10)$$

on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$. The last inequality can be shown by a commutator relation

$$\left[D_r, \frac{1}{r} \right] = \frac{i}{r^2}.$$

Lemma 2. For a real number m we put

$$A := \sigma \cdot D - i \frac{m}{r} \sigma_r.$$

Then we have

$$A^* A \geq \frac{1}{r^2} \left(S - m - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \quad (11)$$

on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$, and the spectrum $\sigma(S)$ consists of discrete eigenvalues

$$\left\{ n + \frac{1}{2} \pm \beta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. The properties (8), (9) and (10) give

$$\begin{aligned} A^* A &= \left[\sigma_r \left(D_r + \frac{i}{r} S \right) + \frac{im}{r} \sigma_r \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\sigma_r \left(D_r + \frac{i}{r} S \right) - \frac{im}{r} \sigma_r \right] \\ &= \left[D_r - \frac{i}{r} (S - m) \right] \left[D_r + \frac{i}{r} (S - m) \right] \\ &= D_r^2 - \frac{1}{4r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(S - m - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r^2} \left(S - m - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows (11). Since S has a complete orthonormal eigenfunctions in $L^2(S^1)^2$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{in\theta} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{-in\theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}),$$

we obtain (12).

Lemma 3. There exists a sequence of positive numbers m_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) with $m_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\|r^{-m_j}(\sigma \cdot D)u\| \geq \gamma(\beta) \|r^{-m_j-1}u\|$$

for any $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)^2$ whose support does not include a neighborhood of the origin, where $\gamma(\beta)$ is what is defined in Theorem 1.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$. In view of lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} r^{-2m} |\sigma \cdot D\varphi|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |A(r^{-m}\varphi)|^2 dx \\ &\geq \min_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |n \pm \beta - m|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} r^{-2m-2} |\varphi|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$ and $m \in \mathbf{R}$. Seeing the definition of $\gamma(\beta)$ in Theorem 1, we can find a sequence $m_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\min_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |n \pm \beta - m_j|^2 = \gamma(\beta).$$

For a given $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)^2$ whose support does not include a neighborhood of the origin, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})^2$ such that $\varphi_j \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ ($j \rightarrow \infty$), which completes the proof.

Lemma 3 yields the following

Lemma 4. Suppose that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)^2$ is flat at the origin with (4). Let $B_{R_0} := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |x| < R_0\} \subset \Omega$. For any $R_1 < R_0$ there exists a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(R_0, R_1)$ independent of m_j such that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma(\beta)^2 - C_0^2] \int_{B_{R_1}} r^{-2m_j-2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2C_0^2 \int_{R_1 < |x| < R_0} r^{-2m_j-2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \quad + C_1 \int_{R_1 < |x| < R_0} r^{-2m_j} |u|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where m_j is the one given in Lemma 3.

Proof. Fix $0 < R_1 < R_0$ and take $\delta > 0$ and a smooth function $\chi_\delta \in C_0^\infty(0, R_0)$ such that

$$\chi_\delta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\delta \leq r \leq R_1) \\ 0 & (r \leq \delta/2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\chi'_\delta(r)| \leq \begin{cases} C_2 \delta^{-1} & (\delta/2 \leq r \leq \delta) \\ C_2 & (R_1 \leq r \leq R_0) \end{cases}$$

for a positive constant C . Then Lemma 3 and the condition (4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(\beta)^2 \int_{\delta \leq r \leq R_1} r^{-2m_j-2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \gamma(\beta)^2 \int r^{-2m_j-2} |\chi_\delta u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int |r^{-2m_j} (\sigma \cdot D)(\chi_\delta u)|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\delta/2 \leq r \leq \delta} r^{-2m_j} [C_2^2 \delta^{-2} + C_0^2 r^{-2}] |u|^2 dx \\ & \quad + C_0^2 \int_{\delta \leq r \leq R_1} r^{-2m_j-2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{R_1 \leq r \leq R_2} r^{-2m_j} [C_2^2 + C_0^2 r^{-2}] |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since u is flat at the origin, the last three integrals tend to zero if $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Therefore we have (13) with $C_1 = 2C_0^2$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $B_{R_0} \subset \Omega$ and take $0 < R_2 < R_1 < R_0$. In view of (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\gamma(\beta)^2 - C_0^2] \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{2m_j} \int_{B_{R_2}} \frac{|u|^2}{r^2} dx \\
& \leq [\gamma(\beta)^2 - C_0^2] R_1^{2m_j} \int_{B_{R_1}} r^{-2m_j-2} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq 2C_0^2 R_1^{2m_j} \int_{R_1 < |x| < R_0} r^{-2m_j-2} |u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C_1 R_1^{2m_j} \int_{R_1 < |x| < R_0} r^{-2m_j} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq 2C_0^2 \int_{R_1 < |x| < R_0} \frac{|u|^2}{r^2} dx \\
& \quad + C_1 \int_{R_1 < |x| < R_0} |u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Making $m_j \rightarrow \infty$, we have $u \equiv 0$ in B_{R_2} . Since R_1 and R_2 are arbitrary, we have $u \equiv 0$ in B_{R_0} .

Assume that there is $x_0 \in \Omega$ with $|x_0| = R_0$. The condition (3) yields

$$|L_0 u| \leq \frac{C_0 + |\beta|}{|x|} |u| \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Set $x_\varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon)x_0$ for $0 < \varepsilon < R_0$. If

$$0 < \rho < \frac{R_0 - \varepsilon}{1 + 2(C_0 + |\beta|)},$$

then we can find a positive constant $C' < 1/2$ such that

$$|L_0 u| \leq \frac{C'}{|x - x_\varepsilon|} |u| \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B_\rho(x_\varepsilon),$$

where $B_\rho(x_\varepsilon)$ is the open ball with radius ρ and center x_ε . This fact implies, by De Carli-Ökajı [2],

$$u \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B_{R_1},$$

where $R_1 := R_0 [1 + \{2(C_0 + |\beta|) + 1\}^{-1}]$. By repeating this procedure we have $u \equiv 0$ in Ω .

4 Proof of Theorem 2

We shall apply the method developed in Grammatico[3] to (6). The spectrum $\gamma(\Delta'_\theta)$ coincides of eigenvalues $\{(k - \beta)^2 \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ with the corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi_k(\theta) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{ik\theta}$.

We introduce the coordinates $(T, \theta) \in \mathbf{R} \times S^1$ with $T = \log r$.

For $V \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times S^1)$ we write

$$V(T, \theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} f_k(T) \varphi_k(\theta).$$

We note that

$$\int \int |V(T, \theta)|^2 dT d\theta = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int |f_k(T)|^2 dT,$$

since

$$\|V(T, \cdot)\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |f_k(T)|^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^2(S^1)$ -norm. Set

$$Q = r^2 S_\beta$$

and

$$Q_\tau = e^{-\tau T} (Q e^{\tau T} V),$$

where τ is a real parameter.

We can see directly

$$Q_\tau V = -(\partial_T^2 + 2\tau \partial_T + \tau^2 + \Delta'_\theta) V.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|Q_\tau V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT &= \int \|\partial_T^2 V(T, \cdot)\|^2 + 2 \int \langle \partial_T^2 V, \Delta'_\theta V \rangle dT + 2\tau^2 \int \|\partial_T V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\ &\quad + \tau^4 \int \|V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT + 2\tau^2 \int \langle V, \Delta'_\theta V \rangle dT + \int \|\Delta'_\theta V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT. \end{aligned}$$

Since we obtain

$$\int \langle \partial_T^2 V, \Delta'_\theta V \rangle dT = \int dT \int |\partial_T \Omega_\beta V|^2 d\theta \geq 0$$

by using $\Delta'_\theta = \Omega_\beta^* \Omega_\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|Q_\tau V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT &\geq 2\tau^2 \int \|\partial_T V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT + \tau^4 \int \|V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\ &\quad + 2\tau^2 \int \langle V, \Delta'_\theta V \rangle dT + \int \|\Delta'_\theta V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|Q_\tau V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT &\geq \tau^4 \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int |f_k(T)|^2 dT - 2\tau^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (k - \beta)^2 \int |f_k(T)|^2 dT \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (k - \beta)^4 \int |f_k(T)|^2 dT + 2\tau^2 \int \|\partial_T V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT. \end{aligned}$$

The later inequality can be written as

$$\int \|Q_\tau V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \geq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (\tau^2 - (k - \beta)^2)^2 \int |f_k(T)|^2 dT + 2\tau^2 \int \|\partial_T v(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT. \quad (15)$$

Seeing the definition of $\gamma(\beta)$ in Theorem 1, we can find a sequence $\tau_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\min_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(\tau_j^2 - (k - \beta)^2)^2}{(k - \beta)^2} = C_\beta, \quad (16)$$

where $C_\beta = 4\gamma(\beta)^2$. Then we obtain from (15)

$$\int \|Q_\tau V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \geq C_\beta \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (k - \beta)^2 \int |f_k(T)|^2 dT = C_\beta \int \|\Omega_\beta V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT. \quad (17)$$

Setting $U = e^{\tau T} V$, the above inequality can be written as

$$\int e^{-2\tau T} \|QU\|^2 dT \geq C_\beta \int e^{-2\tau T} \|\Omega_\beta U\|^2 dT. \quad (18)$$

For any $C'_\beta < C_\beta$ we can find a sufficiently large τ_0 such that

$$(\tau^2 - (k - \beta)^2)^2 \geq C'_\beta \geq \tau^2$$

for any $\tau \geq \tau_0$ satisfying (16). Then, in view of (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|Q_\tau V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT &\geq C'_\beta \tau^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int |f_k(T)|^2 dT + 2\tau^2 \int \|\partial_T V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\ &\geq C'_\beta \left(\tau^2 \int \|V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT + \int \|\partial_T V(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From now on, we consider $\tau \geq \tau_0$ satisfying (16). We recall $U = e^{\tau T} V$ so that

$$\int e^{-2\tau T} \|QU\|^2 dT \geq C'_\beta \int e^{-2\tau T} \|\partial_T U\|^2 dT. \quad (20)$$

For any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have from (18) and (20)

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{-2\tau T} \|QU\|^2 dT &\geq \alpha C'_\beta \int e^{-2\tau T} \|\partial_T U\|^2 dT \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) C_\beta \int e^{-2\tau T} \|\Omega_\beta U\|^2 dT. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

On the other hand, we obtain from (19)

$$\int e^{-2\tau T} \|QU\|^2 dT \geq C'_\beta \tau^2 \int e^{-2\tau T} \|U\|^2 dT. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, (21) and (22) give

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right) \int e^{-2\tau T} \|QU\|^2 dT &\geq \tau' \int e^{-2\tau T} \|U\|^2 dT + \alpha C'_\beta \int e^{-2\tau T} \|\partial_T U\|^2 dT \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) C_\beta \int e^{-2\tau T} \|\Omega_\beta U\|^2 dT, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $\tau' = C'_\beta{}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau$.

Now let us set $W_c^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2) = \{v \mid v \in W^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2) \text{ has a compact support}\}$. The Inequality (23) still holds for $v \in W_c^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$, since the fact follows from the denseness of $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ in $W_c^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$.

We set $B_R = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |x| < R\} \subset \Omega$ and choose $\chi(T) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and

$$\chi(T) = \begin{cases} 1, & T < T_0 \\ 0, & T > \log R, \end{cases}$$

where $e^{T_0} < R$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ such that

$$\phi(T) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

and $\phi_j(x) = \phi(jx)$ ($j \in \mathbf{N}$).

Let $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ be flat at the origin for which (6) holds. Then the functions $\phi_j \chi u \in W_c^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ satisfy (23). If we take the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we see that χu also satisfies (23).

By (T, θ) coordinates (6) becomes

$$|Qu|^2 = |e^{2\tau T} S_\beta u|^2 \leq M^2 |u|^2 + A^2 |\partial_T u|^2 + B^2 |\Omega_\beta u|^2 \quad (24)$$

for $T < \log R$.

By applying (23) to χu we have for τ big enough

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}) & \left(\int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|Qu(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT + \int_{T_0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\tau T} \|Q(\chi u)(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \right) \\ & \geq \tau' \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT + \alpha C'_\beta \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} |\partial_T u(T, \cdot)|^2 dT \\ & \quad + (1 - \alpha) C_\beta \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|\Omega_\beta u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

If we set

$$\psi(T) = M^2 \|u(T, \cdot)\|^2 + A^2 \|\partial_T u(T, \cdot)\|^2 + B^2 \|\Omega_\beta u(T, \cdot)\|^2,$$

then we obtain from (24) and (25)

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}) & \left(\int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \psi(T) dT + \int_{T_0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\tau T} \|Q(\chi u)(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \right) \\ & \geq \tau' \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT + \alpha C'_\beta \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} |\partial_T u(T, \cdot)|^2 dT \\ & \quad + (1 - \alpha) C_\beta \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|\Omega_\beta u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right) \int_{T_0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\tau T} \|Q(\chi u)(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\
& \geq \left(\tau' - M^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right)\right) \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\
& \quad + \left(\alpha C'_\beta - A^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right)\right) \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} |\partial_T u(T, \cdot)|^2 dT \\
& \quad + \left((1 - \alpha) C_\beta - B^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right)\right) \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|\Omega_\beta u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $A^2 + B^2 < C_\beta$ and τ is big enough, we can choose any $C'_\beta < C_\beta$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\alpha C'_\beta - A^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{\tau'}\right)\right) > 0, \quad (1 - \alpha) C_\beta - B^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{\tau'}\right)\right) > 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-2\tau T_0} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right) \int_{T_0}^{+\infty} \|Q(\chi u)(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\
& \geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right) \int_{T_0}^{+\infty} e^{-2\tau T} \|Q(\chi u)(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\
& \geq \left(\tau' - M^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right)\right) \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} e^{-2\tau T} \|u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT \\
& \geq e^{-2\tau T_0} \left(\tau' - M^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau'}\right)\right) \int_{-\infty}^{T_0} \|u(T, \cdot)\|^2 dT.
\end{aligned}$$

Making $\tau = \tau_j \rightarrow \infty$, we have $u \equiv 0$ in $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |x| < e^{T_0}\}$, and therefore $u \equiv 0$ in B_R . With the similar argument in Theorem 1, we have $u \equiv 0$ in Ω .

References

- [1] Alinhac, S. and Baouendi, M.S., A counterexample to strong uniqueness for partial differential equations of Schrödinger type, *Comm. P. D. E.*, **19** (1994), 1727–1733.
- [2] De Carli, L. and Ōkaji, T., Strong unique continuation property for the Dirac equation, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **35** (1999), 825–846.
- [3] Grammatico, C., A result on strong unique continuation for the Laplace operator, *Comm. P. D. E.*, **22** (1997), 1475–1491.
- [4] Ikoma, M. and Yamada, O., Strong unique continuation property of two-dimensional Dirac equations with Aharonov–Bohm fields. *Proc Japan Acad.*, **79**, Ser.A (2003), 158–161.
- [5] Kalf, H. and Yamada, O., Note on the paper by De Carli and Ōkaji on the strong unique continuation property for the Dirac equation, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **35** (1999), 847–852.

- [6] Ōkaji, T., Strong unique continuation property for first order elliptic systems, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications* **46**, 146-164, Boston 2001, Birkhäuser.
- [7] Pan, Y. and Wolff, T., A remark on unique continuation, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **8** (1998), 599–604.
- [8] Tamura, H., Resolvent convergence in norm for Dirac operator with Aharonov–Bohm field. *J.Math. Phys.*, **44** (2003), 2967–2993.